CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS TRIBUNAL



TRIBUNAL CANADIEN DES DROITS DE LA PERSONNE

BETWEEN/ENTRE:

RICHARD WARMAN

Complainant

and/et

CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

Commission

and/et

ALEXAN KULBASHIAN, JAMES SCOTT RICHARDSON, TRI-CITY SKINS.COM, CANADIAN ETHNIC CLEANSING TEAM and AFFORDABLESPACE.COM

Respondents

BEFORE/DEVANT:

ATHANASIOS HADJIS THE CHAIRPERSON/

LE PRÉSIDENT

ROCH LEVAC REGISTRY OFFICER/

L'AGENT DU GREFFE

FILE NO./Nº CAUSE: T869/11903

VOLUME: 14

LOCATION/ENDROIT: Oakville, Ontario

DATE: 2005/02/24 **PAGES:** 3211-3547

CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS TRIBUNAL/TRIBUNAL CANADIEN DES DROITS DE LA PERSONNE

HEARING HELD IN THE LAKESHORE ROOM OF THE HILTON GARDEN INN, 2774 SOUTH SHERIDAN WAY, OAKVILLE, ONTARIO, ON THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2005, AT 9:30 A.M. LOCAL TIME

CASE FOR HEARING/CAUSE DEVANT ÊTRE ENTENDUE

IN THE MATTER of a complaint filed by Richard Warman, dated February 5, 2002, pursuant to section 13, subsection 1 of the Canadian Human Rights Act against Alexan Kulbashian, James Scott Richardson, Tri-CitySkins.com, Canadian Ethnic Cleansing Team and AffordableSpace.com. The complainant alleges that the respondents have engaged in a discriminatory practice on the grounds of religion, race and national and ethnic origin in the matter related to the usage of a telecommunication undertaking.

APPEARANCES/COMPARUTIONS

Richard Warman on his own behalf as Complainant

Monette Maillet on behalf of the Canadian Human Rights Commission

Alexan Kulbashian on his own behalf as Respondent

Vahe Kulbashian on behalf of Respondent (Alexan Kulbashian)

James Scott Richardson on his own behalf as Respondent

StenoTran

TABLE OF CONTENTS

				F	PAGE
ARGUMENT	ву	MR.	KULBASHIAN	(Cont'd)	3211

1	Oakville, Ontario
2	Upon commencing on Thursday, February 24, 2005
3	at 9:30 a.m.
4	REGISTRY OFFICER: Order, please.
5	All rise.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning.
7	MS MAILLET: Good morning.
8	REGISTRY OFFICER: Please be seated.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: One moment, please.
10	ARGUMENT BY MR. KULBASHIAN (Cont'd):
11	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. Actually I
12	have
13	MR. RICHARDSON: I did a let little
14	fixing up of my closing statement yesterday.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: I was told about
16	that, you just sort of cleaned it up; is that it?
17	MR. RICHARDSON: I cleaned it up and
18	I added my rebuttal to the top it.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. And I
20	understand that - I have a copy. Okay.
21	MR. RICHARDSON: Okay.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Great.
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Thanks.

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: I understand it was
2	mostly editing; right?
3	MR. RICHARDSON: Mostly and then just
4	the rebuttal at the top from what I had to say.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: No objection is
6	there?
7	MS MAILLET: No.
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Actually there's
9	a preliminary, like basically I want on the record, I
10	think I speak for myself and both my co-respondents,
11	that our intents and from our understanding these
12	weren't going to make the record, our closing
13	statements weren't going the actual documents
14	weren't going to make the record from our impression.
15	The whole idea basically is just, I
16	guess, in case Mr. Warman or somebody wants to go suit
17	happy, I guess, just to protect ourselves from that,
18	because we didn't expect that this would be handed out
19	to everybody and put on the record.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: If you are worried
21	about the content in terms of if there's spelling
22	mistakes
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Not spelling

1	mistakes, I mean, it's more about maybe allegations
2	made in the content in writing that was supposed to be
3	only a guide for us and if nobody decides, I guess, to
4	open a lawsuit to take advantage of the situation.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: I see what you are
6	saying. The record you used the word the record.
7	The record is really what's being said here, okay.
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's what I'm
9	just saying, I'm saying for the record basically.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: What you're reading
11	into the record is what counts and I think we've
12	already discussed the issue.
13	I think your issue is taken. It is
14	of assistance to me to read it, but because precisely
15	who is making the decision is consulting a certain
16	document it has to be public. It's not an exhibit,
17	it's just part of your authorities basically.
18	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: All right, thank
19	you
20	Okay. I'm onto my first section
21	after the introduction.
22	Okay. As I mentioned in my
23	introduction, I was questioned in length and

1	extensively by Det. Wilson the night of my arrest which
2	was pretty much testimony in effect.
3	During the whole questioning I was
4	honest and consistent. I wasn't afraid to hide any
5	information in my involvement or my views at that time
6	and the documents that were produced and some of the
7	statements of the witnesses to this court corroborate
8	most of what I said during my questioning.
9	And I also want to basically let the
10	court know that the respondents (sic) and the
11	Commission did not bring any concrete evidence,
12	documentary evidence to refute what I said in my
13	testimony.
14	And the Tribunal has at its disposal
15	the Crown brief and this was extensively dealt with in
16	the examination in chief and the cross-examination of
17	Det. Wilson.
18	And I'm going to be basically talking
19	about, you know, taking excerpts from the transcript
20	and commenting on them.
21	The following statements pretty much
22	summarize the extent of my involvement and my political
23	views well, political views at the time of the

1	interview, not necessarily right now.
2	I'm reading from line 783 these
3	lines are according to what we received, so this is
4	like from interview day one, line 783.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: So it says, okay:
7	"DET/CST. WILSON: member of,
8	which one are we?
9	K: Well, I was a member of."
10	I'm not sure, like it's copy and
11	pasted, so
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN:
14	"W: So did you start the
15	Canadian Ethnic Cleansing Team?
16	K"W: Okay. So the Canadian
17	Ethnic Cleansing Team which you
18	were or are a: Um, we. It was
19	collective."
20	And says, yeah:
21	"W: It was collective"
22	So, I never actually admitted to
23	starting it as much as stating that it was a collective

1	unlike the closing statement by Ms Maillet suggested.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So, your
3	point on that statement is
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: that you never
6	admitted to starting the organization, just that you
7	were part of it; is that it?
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah. And I did
9	not well, the idea as I stated it was collective and
10	I didn't state that I actually started it.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: As Ms Maillet
13	suggested in her closing argument.
14	And it says:
15	"W: And I understand that you
16	on-line on the computer identify
17	yourself as Toten Kopf as well.
18	And I stated:
19	"K: Um, actually I identify
20	myself as Alex KRAUSE, TotenKopf
21	is part of u, I guess",
22	And then it just stops:
23	"(inaudible) get into that,

1		should I because this is
2		branching off."
3		And then next line 788:
4		"K: Yeah somebody donated a
5		domain to James",
6		Talking about the CECT domain during
7	my interview.	
8		"W: So now you start it, you
9		have a domain in the spring of
LO		2001, okay. Um, who manages the
L1		site or who, because it's a
L2		pretty decent looking site.
L3		Like when you pull it up it,
L 4		it's professionally done. Like
L5		it really is a good looking
L6		site."
L7		I said:
L8		"K: I, I made the layouts.
L9		W: You made the layouts.
20		K: And designed the scripts for
21		it."
22		And he says:
23		"Okay. Basically if uh the site
		StenoTran

1	viewed on (inaudible)
2	perspective there is two
3	sections to it. There is
4	scripts that pretty much control
5	what it looks like
6	W: Uh huh.
7	Kand there's the content.
8	W: Okay.
9	K: I made just a template, the
10	look.
11	K: I had, well I had nothing to
12	do with information. I just had
13	to do with template right.
14	K: No, I developed, I developed
15	and somebody else did the
16	content, yeah.
17	CST. WILSON:"
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: And just to be
19	sure, we are talking here about the C.E.C.T. site;
20	right?
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yes.
22	"W: You love doing sites.
23	Would you up-date this site,

1	like the look of the site every
2	once in a while.
3	K: No the look um, for the past
4	year pretty much has been
5	exactly the same.
6	And this is in regard to the C.E.C.T.
7	site not the Vinland Voice site.
8	And it says and this is just
9	commenting on Totenkopf on its own, you know, like, the
LO	identity issue. It goes on:
L1	"K: Well the, the, if it's just
L2	Totenkopf on it's own it
L3	wouldn't be me but since there's
L4	a little, this here."
L5	And as Det. Wilson testified at that
L6	time, I was actually pointing at the symbol.
L7	"Wa little death head beside
L8	it. So the one with the little
L9	death head beside it that's you,
20	is that right? That's,
21	that's
22	K: That specific uh logo is me.
23	Otherwise it just says Totenkopf

1	it's not me.
2	W: Okay so that lothat, that
3	logo is yours.
4	K: Yeah.
5	W: Is it, is it like a copyright
6	logo or is it just everybody
7	knows that's you.
8	K: Everyone knows that's me."
9	As far as logo goes.
10	And this is talking about domains:
11	"K: Yeah. Um, I would register
12	domains, um, after a while
13	because basically everybody
14	would register to my account.
15	W: Uh huh.
16	K:since I have secure
17	account."
18	And Det/Cst. Wilson continues in
19	reading the transcript continuing, it says:
20	"W:you did receive e-mails
21	under totenkopf.
22	K: Yeah I did.
23	W: Everybody submitted the

StenoTran

1	contents. Did you ever"
2	There's a typo there.
3	" ever submit content for it?
4	K: Yes I did.
5	W: Okay. Did you give space to
6	the Canadian Ethnic Cleansing
7	Team;
8	K: Yes. Because, since I was
9	part of it I figured I'd donate
10	the space.
11	W: Did you charge them 10 bucks
12	to put it up?
13	K: No. I donated that.
14	W: Vinland Voice.
15	K: I got paid for it."
16	Affordable-Space see, there's
17	another issue basically that Affordable-Space as far as
18	the evidence brought to the court there's no evidence
19	at all as to how the sign-up procedure was of this
20	site, nobody ever took screen shots or print-outs of
21	how the sign-up procedure looked.
22	Basically they did, both the
23	complainant and the Commission suggested that there was

1	a full control, I guess, method of signing up where
2	somebody would contact me, tell me what the site was
3	and sign up.
4	However, they brought no evidence
5	that would show exactly how the sign-up procedure was
6	or if they were automated scripts that the site was
7	sign-up and I'm suggesting the sign-up was automatic.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, there's the
9	problem right there because from what I understood no
10	evidence was led by you either to contradict the
11	evidence that is being suggested by the
12	complainant/Commission.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: But the idea is
14	bringing information, just making like, I guess, bogus
15	claims about something.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, no. You have
17	to understand, I mean I think I explained this from
18	very early in the case, we talked about the burdens of
19	proof in cases and the balance of probabilities, one
20	side may lead some evidence, the other side to counter
21	it with its own evidence and then the scale is weighed.
22	Now, I'm sure the other side will say
23	that they have led whatever evidence, to whatever

1	extent it is, and argue that it's credible and that
2	there is no evidence no evidence has been led by you
3	other than through cross-examination to contradict that
4	and that, therefore, the scale remains tipped one way.
5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And Det. Wilson
6	testified that it was a possibility that the site was
7	automatic, but I'll get to that.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN:
LO	"DW:In this case we're
L1	dealing with white supremacist
L2	businesses or organizations, but
L3	he has other businesses involved
L4	as well."
L5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Where are you
L6	reading from?
L7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: This is continued
L8	where Det. Wilson said that I'm also involved in other
L9	business.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Actually go up a
22	bit, I just lost my track there for a second.
23	THE CHAIDDEDSON: Vac

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Wilson said:
2	"W:to affordablespace.
3	K: Well, you just go to the
4	site you can sign up on line."
5	That's from my interview and so far
6	no one has brought anything to refute that.
7	It says, okay:
8	"K: Where they get either, they
9	get 10 bucks a moth or a 100
10	bucks a year U.S.)"
11	For the cost of signing up on line.
12	It continues, Det. Wilson admits that
13	I'm also involved in other businesses.
14	"DW:In this case we're
15	dealing with white supremacist
16	businesses or organizations, but
17	he has other businesses involved
18	as well.
19	K: Okay. Basically uh the
20	concept is the reason why I got
21	um quite a few racial people
22	signing up is because it's
23	affordable

1	K: Both site, most places
2	charge you 50 bucks a month
3	K: Um, but a lot of peracial
4	people advertised my server."
5	That was me during my interview.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mm-hmm.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And also during
8	the interview I stated:
9	"K: I don't visit the sites I
10	host.
11	W: Oh okay. Okay. Um
12	K: Because it's like a waste of
13	time too."
14	That's what I state. And no one can
15	actually say that they know of me visiting the sites or
16	even know of me knowing of any of the content.
17	Saying I should know the content is
18	akin to, like, me telling them they should know what's
19	buried in their backyard.
20	So, when I find out about acts
21	against my belief I resigned, so this is coming to
22	that:
23	"K: Because after I left I

1	didn't, I wouldn't say I left on
2	very good terms because I just
3	got pissed off. Basically what
4	happened with"
5	The name, I wasn't okay with the
6	Canadian Ethnic Cleansing Team name.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Can you repeat
8	that, please.
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: This is reading
10	from my interview.
11	"K: Basically after I left I
12	didn't, I wouldn't say I left on
13	very good terms because I just
14	got pissed off. Basically what
15	happened with the Canadian
16	Ethnic Cleansing Team is when
17	the name I wasn't already okay
18	with
19	W: Yeah."
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, you were not
21	okay with the name?
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And:
23	"K:and once, I don't even

1	know how to explain it, but once
2	uh this whole thing with James
3	happened"
4	Like relating to the arrest.
5	"K:I said Look. You take the
6	name and what James did",
7	And at that point it was kind of
8	alleged because I was telling the police officer.
9	He said:
LO	"Yeah."
L1	I said:
L2	"the shoe fits."
L3	"K: I said That's, that's fine.
L4	I won't have anything to do with
L5	this.
L6	W: Okay.
L7	K: After I, after I heard James
L8	was raided, that's it.
L9	W: Okay.
20	K: So I got pissed off."
21	So basically because of what happened
22	to James and, like, the allegations, I stated in my
23	interview that I didn't want to have anything to do

1	with it.
2	And Affordable-Space, basically
3	being appointed on Affordable-Space is like any other
4	server designed to generate income.
5	And this is me during the interview.
6	"K: It's not. It's not
7	designed to be a racial server.
8	W: Yeah.
9	K: In fact it was just designed
10	to be a server.
11	W: To make money.
12	K: It's a hosting server.
13	Yeah.
14	W: To make a dollar, I
15	understand that. Now to get
16	sort of the technical"
17	In the following statements so,
18	that was just like a comment there.
19	In the following statements I state
20	that I don't monitor the sites that I host. So, coming
21	back to interview:
22	"W: How do you find out about
23	that? (anti-racists) Do you,

1	do you sort of monitor the
2	amount of sites you have?
3	K: No, I don't. That's the
4	thing, uh I don't and that's why
5	I take the riskwillwhere."
6	It's just kind of from the interview.
7	And:
8	"K: No. That, that I don't
9	remember. Actually don't um, if
10	you ever read my articles I'm
11	very moderate in the way I
12	write
13	W: Okayunless I'm writing a
14	sarcastic article."
15	I'm also worried because I know that
16	my articles can be modified, so then I state:
17	"K: And that's, that's what
18	really worries me.
19	W: Okay.
20	K:because if somebody
21	modifies what you wrote."
22	This is talking about positing stuff
23	on line, which is also a very big possibility that

1	somebody with control of the site could modify an
2	article before posting it.
3	So, in the following I also explain
4	the procedure how I contacted Vinland Voice to remove
5	the article, you know, after I heard about the whole
6	allegations of threats, et cetera, said:
7	"K: No, no. I'm talking, like
8	I mean somebody told me that it
9	was illegal to, to write that
10	kind of stuff
11	W: Okay.
12	K: and then I got in contact
13	with the people by e-mail.
14	K:Yes. And I get in touch
15	with.
16	W:right.
17	K:immediately with the
18	people who uh
19	W: How do you do that?
20	$ ext{K: }\ldots ext{editor of the Vinland}$
21	Voice. There's a e-mail address
22	that you click on"
23	On the site, so basically you contact

1	the people who are in charge of the site.
2	He said:
3	"W: Okay.
4	K:link and I just say get
5	rid of this article."
6	And then also this is where I state
7	that all the articles written by Totenkopf are not me,
8	it says, and during the interview:
9	"W: Okay. Um, and after that,
LO	after James was arrested there
L1	area a couple of more articles
L2	written by Totenkopf.
L3	K: Yes.
L4	W: You again.
L5	K: Not all.
L6	W: Not all of them. How many,
L7	how Many articles you think you
L8	wrote?
L9	K: Two by Totenkopf and
20	anything else that started
21	identifying me as Alex Krause,
22	that was me."
23	As in other, like, the pseudonym Alex

1	Krause.
2	"W: Okay. So everything else
3	that's identified as Alex Krause
4	is you and two from Totenkopf.
5	Two from Totenkopf.
6	K: Two from Totenkopf."
7	And also another thing, Vinland Voice
8	was sponsored by CECT and it was an independent site
9	with a different URL address, so this is where we talk
10	about that during the interview.
11	"K: Vinland Voice was sponsored
12	by the Canadian Ethnic Cleansing
13	Team maybe or I guess there's a
14	lot CECT members involved in it
15	but articles would come in from
16	everywhere."
17	I also state that Affordable-Space
18	was located in the United States, not only like, as
19	they suggested, the legal implications as they tried to
20	look at it from one angle.
21	So as it says here:
22	"Canada is horrible for
23	co-location or dedicated server

1	services."
2	That is what I state during my
3	interview.
4	Also the following paragraphs explain
5	why the server was in the U.S.A.
6	"W: So what I'm telling you, so
7	what I'm going to ask. The next
8	question is, is that the reason
9	you feed it through the States.
10	K: Is first of all cheaper
11	connections. One more thing
12	after your last.
13	W: Okay. Cheaper connections
14	fine. And you though that
15	you're borderline, you're
16	borderline criminal activity
17	K: No.
18	W:hosting it on a Canadian
19	site. Why don't you hosting it
20	on a Canadian site then?
21	K: Another thing because
22	CaCanada is horrible for
23	co-location or dedicated server

1		services.
2		W:let's step back then.
3		You work at a company.
4		Politically how would you class
5		yourself?
6		K: Um, one hundred per cent
7		political just um right wing.
8		K: Uh, myself? I consider
9		myself more of a, right wing
10		politician. I don't know how to
11		explain it.
12		W: Okay. What do you think
13		about race mixing?
14		K: Um, I would personally not,
15		I mean uh I personally wouldn't
16		mix. I mean I wouldn't even go
17		as far as uh mixing outside of
18		my culture, but
19		W: Okay, okay. Um, now in the
20		past"
21	This	is talking about more tattoos
22	and stuff that they we	re testifying to.
23		"W:now in the past and its

1	removed",
2	He's stating that my tattoos have
3	been removed.
4	"W:and you've had a
5	swastika tattooed on your arm.
6	K: It wasn't a swastika
7	(inaudible) It's a sun-wheel."
8	So, this is just me talking about my
9	tattoos frankly with the detective.
LO	And it says, okay:
L1	"W: Why do you identify
L2	yourself as Alex KRAUS and not
L3	your own name because of
L4	politics?
L5	K: Um, because of uh
L6	opposition. Anybody who
L7	doesn't, because opposition
L8	whose pretty I guess ruthless in
L9	uh, in carrying out all sort of
20	attacks on people who don't
21	agree with their policwith
22	their politics."
23	In the following paragraph I also

1	explain that Totenkopf is the section of public/press
2	relations, it's not someone's name. Many people could
3	write under that name.
4	It says:
5	"W: Okay. You, you also go by
6	or identify yourself as another
7	name and we've already said it
8	Totenkopf.
9	K: Um
10	W: How does that come about?
11	K: Totenkopf was actually a
12	concept for CECT group. It was
13	uh public press relations. It's
14	the section of public press
15	relations and basically uh
16	initially started off to be a
17	couple people.
18	K:And they would write
19	under that name. It's kind of
20	like the, think of it as a
21	sub-group.
22	K: No, I'm just web master.
23	W: Okay.

1	K: I just did the design part.
2	W: You are the website master,
3	okay. Did you get paid by the
4	Canadian Ethnic Cleansing Team
5	to do it?"
6	That was part of the interview.
7	Basically I also explained that
8	Vinland Voice looks like the CECT site and also about
9	the domain registration as far as Vinland Voice goes.
LO	So, Vinland Voice took the CECT
L1	layout and modified the name. That's what I stated
L2	during my interview.
L3	"K: Yeah. Um, I would register
L 4	domains um, after a while
L5	because basically everybody
L6	would register to my account"
L7	That's also a key point about domain
L8	registration.
L9	"W: Uh huh.
20	K:since I have a secure
21	account.
22	W: What does WP stand for for
23	you?

1	K: White Pride."
2	I also explain the following, that
3	the system is automated. When e-mail is received it
4	automatically forwards as far as the e-mail system
5	goes. So:
6	"K: Right now we have
7	totenkopf@wpcect.com right.
8	W: Yep.
9	K: When an e-mail is sent this
10	address is tied to an account on
11	the server.
12	W: Okay.
13	K: Right, so somebody sends an
14	e-mail to this.
15	W: Yep.
16	K: It goes to the server:
17	W: Yep.
18	K:and the server determines
19	okay, totenkopf"
20	And it continues from there.
21	I don't want to read the entire
22	article, so I'm just like reading segments to guide, I
23	guess, the Tribunal.

1	Okay. Also I was talking about how I
2	would never dial directly and the person in charge
3	would be in charge of that, so
4	"K: The person who would be
5	able to have access to this is
6	the person who actually uploaded
7	the content or the people."
8	This is as far as like Vinland Voice
9	goes.
10	"W: Who did that?
11	K: That's what, that's what I
12	don't know. What I would do is
13	I would"
14	Then it stops, it's just a
15	continuation of the interview there.
16	Wilson states:
17	"W: Okay. Okay. Did you ever
18	add stuff to the website?
19	K: Directly no.
20	W: Never directly?
21	K: No.
22	W: Yep.
23	K:um @wpcect.com is tied to

1		a certain account. It's a
2		wpcect three or so right.
3		W: Right.
4		K: And then what we have under
5		that account
6		W: Uh huh.
7		K:was the file called
8		.forward which had a list, a
9		constantly changing list of
10		e-mail addresses that every
11		single e-mail that came here
12		would forward to many, many
13		other e-mail addresses.
14		W: So would it just go to one
15		person or all those people.
16		K: It would go to all those
17		people."
18	Now,	the point as far as these e-mail
19	problems go is the fact	t that I talked, that the
20	interview was done fram	nkly and openly with Det. Wilson
21	and I did have extensiv	ve computer experience at the
22	time and what I was tal	lking to Det. Wilson, as Wilson
23	admitted to.	

1	Neither of the witnesses that came to
2	the Tribunal hearing, nor the complainant nor the
3	Commission have any computer expertise by their own
4	admission and I don't believe that they can in any way
5	refute any of the information that was, I guess, put
6	into evidence from my testimony from the interview at
7	the police station.
8	So anyways it continues with Wilson,
9	he says:
10	"W: You don't like the Klan
11	very much.
12	K: Red necks.
13	W: So, so what you're saying is
14	that you, you don't really like
15	the people holding up the bible
16	saying
17	K: No."
18	Although I've covered so many
19	subjects, it's noticeable that the following is the
20	only question asked by Det. Wilson regarding the
21	Tri-City Skins and Det. Wilson told me that he
22	had Det. Wilson had said that I had told him that I
23	was a member of the Tri-City Skins and says:

1		"W: Yeah, okay. Um, Tri-City
2		Skins. Are they on
3		Affordablespace?
4		K: They're on my server."
5		Just making a note there. And
6	Wilson:	
7		"W: So they pay. They're the
8		ones that pay you 10 bucks a
9		month to put Tri-City Skins on
10		there.
11		K: They have office space on my
12		server. Well that's the way
13		it's set up."
14		And I also state that:
15		"K: I don't visit the sites I
16		host.
17		W: Oh okay. Okay. Um
18		K: Because it's like a waste of
19		time too."
20		Then I say:
21		"K: Well I mean, well you,
22		well: You have to understand
23		that I don't monitor anything

StenoTran

1	that goes on my server.
2	K: I can't. I, it's literally
3	impossible."
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any
5	evidence to explain to me the distinction between
6	server and Affordable-Space? I don't want you to
7	testify here, but is there any evidence on the record
8	to explain to me the distinction between server and
9	Affordable-Space?
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: As in difference
11	between one being company and one being the actual
12	equipment. I think there was evidence.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So, that's
14	the distinction that is being alluded to here, the
15	equipment versus the company that operates the
16	equipment, the business.
17	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Because there's
18	allegations that the single server in Cari.net belonged
19	to me and that was only property of
20	affordable-space.com, no evidence that there was no
21	other property in affordable-space.com, they brought
22	Ms Maillet stated in her closing argument that
23	affordable-space.com was sold to Steven Weingand when

1	through evidence that was provided in court the only
2	thing that Det. Wilson stated was that
3	Affordable-Space's account with Cari.net was sold to
4	Steven or transferred over to Steven Weingand.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: I just want to be
6	clear though on the distinction as you interpret it
7	here, that server in your interpretation means the
8	physical equipment located in California?
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
10	There was testimony to that in court.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Your interpretation
12	of what's being said here server is that this is Cari
13	Net equipment, so to speak?
14	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yes.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: But I say my
16	server.
17	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: As in like the
18	equipment physically, because it doesn't state that I
19	own all the servers, per se, it just states that my
20	server, meaning it would be, I guess, the property
21	specific property of that company that belongs to me.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, your
23	interpretation of "my server" here is that it refers to

1	the server that you are utilizing in California
2	physically?
3	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The physical box
4	itself.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's where
6	Tri-City Skin's website is located.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Right.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Physically.
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yes.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: In a virtual way.
11	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: And
13	Affordable-Space means the actual business operations
14	that you are operating, so that you're saying that this
15	statement here suggests that Tri-City Skins did not
16	enter into the realm of Internet through
17	Affordable-Space's business operations, but it was to
18	be located physically on Cari Net in California.
19	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Kind of, in a
20	way. Kind of confused me a bit.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm trying to
22	understand what you're saying.
23	So, I'm putting it to you

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: As in
2	tri-cityskins.com was not, like, as in
3	affordable-space.com didn't have policy to say, okay,
4	we're only going to be serving, you know, hosting
5	certain amount of sites.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, let me rephrase
7	then. Is it your submission the evidence shows
8	Tri-City Skins did deal with affordable-space.com to
9	enter into the world of the Internet?
LO	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: It's my
L1	THE CHAIRPERSON: The information.
L2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The information
L3	shows that Tri-City Skins
L 4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Website.
L5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: deal with as
L6	in, like, sign up or in any way, right?
L7	Yeah, it would have dealt with
L8	affordable-space.com.
L9	THE CHAIRPERSON: It dealt with
20	Affordable-Space.
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: To some degree.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: To get on line.

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Let me just take a
2	note here.
3	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: See the thing is,
4	like, 'my' is like a figure of speech in essence for
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I know, it's
6	like you're saying this is my hotel room upstairs.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: I think I
9	understand what you are saying by that, but I'm still
10	not clear on why you are making a distinction.
11	You are saying that Tri-City Skins is
12	on the server that's located in California.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That is what I'm
14	saying in my interview, but the other thing is that
15	what I'm stating in my closing argument is that the
16	only information that came out about tri-cityskins.com
17	throughout the whole interview, regardless of the fact
18	that Wilson did at some point testify that I told him
19	during the interview that somebody had told another
20	officer that during the interview, which is a long
21	chain, that I was part of tri-cityskins.com.
22	The only information that came out
23	regarding TCS throughout the interview was that

1	information regarding their hosting. There was no
2	information about my membership.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: You said that. But
4	you made a statement about how there's a distinction
5	between Affordable-Space and server and I'm trying to
6	understand that.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Well, one is
8	company and one is property, if you think of it.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. But then I
LO	asked you, did they go in through the company and you
L1	told me
L2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: They would have.
L3	THE CHAIRPERSON: they would have.
L4	There was a relationship there, according to the
L5	evidence, you said.
L6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yes, in a way.
L7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
L8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Like anybody does
L9	business with anybody has a relationship with that
20	person.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's right.
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: But doesn't state
) 2	anything about the buginess

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, are you
2	interpreting his question: "Are they on
3	Affordable-Space" as meaning are they on
4	Affordable-Space's physical equipment and your answer
5	to that was no, they're on the server.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Well, the idea
7	is making a comment like, are they on
8	Affordable-Space is a very broad statement, so I kind
9	of narrowed it down saying I didn't say no
10	necessarily, it was more like narrowing down the
11	possibilities.
12	It was more a figure of speech but as
13	in, like, the idea of narrowing it down from being
14	Affordable-Space. Like some people say, I'm on the
15	Internet, you know, in a general sense.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: And you were being
17	more specific?
18	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yes.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So go on
20	then.
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay.
22	The following like are examples why
23	Det. Wilson had so much animosity towards me especially

1	regarding the database that was sort of threat for Det.
2	Wilson and his colleagues.
3	And so anyways Wilson starts off:
4	"W: Did you wrote the Werry
5	Tilson site, the WerWerry
6	Tilson article?
7	K: Um, I don't know, which one
8	is that?
9	W: The one that says Terry
10	Wilson but it says WerWerry
11	Tilson right at the top of the
12	thing I think.
13	K: Yeah."
14	Also going down it says:
15	"W: How do I check to see if
16	Alexi KULBASHIAN name is there?
17	How would I check that? How
18	would I check to see if don
19	MCKINNON's name there, mine or
20	whatever's name is there?"
21	And this is regarding the database
22	during the interview.
23	So anyways, regarding the threat of

1	September 14th, it is quite remarkable that Det. Wilson
2	asks Det. Wilson's questions imply that I was part
3	of the threat.
4	Det. Wilson said:
5	"W: Did you have anything to do
6	with that threat?
7	K: In fact the only thing I had
8	to do with that threat is the
9	fact it's on my server."
10	Again, a figure of speech.
11	And Wilson said:
12	"W: Who wrote the editor, the
13	editor's voice September 14th?
14	K: Don't know. And you have to
15	understand that and um, I can
16	assume it's James because you
17	arrested him for it."
18	So, that would pretty much explain
19	more of the situation with saying James' name earlier
20	on, this was during my interview.
21	Continuing, although Det. Wilson has
22	tried. to show the court that the computers were
23	handled in a professional manner, the following shows

1	that that was not true. They just unplugged it and due
2	to the encryption the whole like, all the data was
3	lost. I say
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Here you are
5	referring to your computer?
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yes.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Which could
9	obviously translate to, like, the way they generally
10	handle computers.
11	I said:
12	"K:has the program runs in
13	memory all the time. If the
14	computer is um, basically the
15	way whwhen I left my computer
16	and this is what, I'm not sure
17	if you guys, did you guys look
18	on my computer when you were
19	there before you unplugged it?
20	W: No.
21	K: That's fucking stupid.
22	W: Why? What's on your
23	computer?

1	K: Like did you look into, like
2	did you actually try to access
3	anything on the computer or
4	just
5	W: No.
6	"which is encrypted"
7	W: Yeah."
8	And I talk about the encryption
9	there.
10	Now, I'm just going to get to the
11	highlights of the interview.
12	Okay. I was a member of the Canadian
13	Ethnic Cleansing Team but not Tri-City Skins and there
14	was no evidence put in front of the court, in fact,
15	there's no evidence that wasn't eventually taken back
16	that I was actually a member of Tri-City Skins or had
17	anything to do with them other than the server.
18	I state that I only designed the
19	template of the CECT but did not control the content.
20	I state that the Vinland Voice's template was copied
21	from the CECT and it was sponsored by CECT but it's a
22	different site with different address.
23	And when I talk about sponsor, I was

1	talking about a lot of members being involved in the
2	Vinland Voice.
3	I also stated that designed
4	Affordable-Space and that I was a major contributor,
5	but Affordable-Space's location was in the U.S. and
6	managed through there because of better service.
7	I did not and could not monitor sites
8	and their content and was not required to by law for
9	that matter.
10	I was involved in a lot of projects
11	by admission of Det. Wilson and myself in my interview
12	and that I had full-time employment at that time.
13	I also stated that and by
14	admission of Det. Wilson too, people signed on line for
15	Affordable-Space and also payments were done on line.
16	Also Affordable-Space would register
17	domains because they had secure accounts.
18	And also stated that Tri-City and
19	Vinland Voice paid affordable-space.com to have their
20	served hosted on there, which was basically a sense of
21	business more than, I guess, freebies for friends, the
22	way they tried to put it, stating that I knew exactly
23	what they were.

1	So, anyways, I was identified as Alex
2	Krause and I also stated that Totenkopf was a subgroup
3	of CECT for public/press relations.
4	I stated that many people wrote under
5	that pseudonym and so far no evidence has been brought
6	to suggest that it is only me or me.
7	I also stated that I wrote two
8	articles under Totenkopf and that I never submitted
9	articles directly to CECT.
10	And I also stated that all the
11	articles were submitted on line and automatically sent
12	to the addresses on its list.
13	So, basically to add articles to the
14	Vinland Voice.
15	I also state that I wrote mostly what
16	was under the Alex Krause pseudonym and that my
17	articles and my views are moderate and so far no
18	articles have been brought that can be stated that it's
19	definitely me and that there have been any kind of wild
20	threats or anger in there.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: The two articles
22	that were written by you under Totenkopf as referred to
23	in the transcript, is there evidence of which those

1	articles were?
2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: There's no
3	evidence as to which those articles were. In fact,
4	there's no suggestion as to which those articles were
5	either.
6	During the interview I get asked but
7	I state that, he says he doesn't remember. I don't
8	remember exactly which ones they are either.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, see that cuts
10	both ways.
11	If there is an admission on your part
12	in the interview conducted in the interrogation room
13	that two of the articles were Totenkopf, then that
14	opens the door for a conclusion that any number any
15	two of those articles written by Totenkopf are ones
16	written by you.
17	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: And if there are,
19	to use your word, some that are moderate and some that
20	are less moderate, any one of those could be attributed
21	to you and you have not led any evidence to indicate
22	which ones are in accordance with the statement under
23	the transcript of the interrogation.

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: No evidence has
3	been led to indicate which one is truly yours, as you
4	state, and which ones are not.
5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. The thing
6	is that the burden of proof is on the complainant. The
7	issue here is there's no indication as to whether or
8	not there were hundreds or even, like, tens or even
9	hundreds of articles written by Totenkopf.
10	Not all articles by Totenkopf were
11	brought by the complainant by his own admission, and
12	that just because it could have been any one of the
13	articles under that name, I mean, it's possible that
14	there were articles on other sites, it's possible there
15	were articles the idea is that just because somebody
16	wrote under a certain pseudonym that there could have
17	been many other articles on, does not mean that that
18	person necessarily wrote the articles that are an
19	infraction.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: That may be, but
21	you see what the problem is here, remember we're not in
22	a criminal court here; right.
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Right.

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, the burden of
2	proof is on the complainant and Commission and they
3	have, at the bare minimum, they have an admission from
4	you in an interview conducted by Mr. Wilson way back
5	that at least two articles were penned by you as
6	Totenkopf.
7	You were, of course, being
8	interrogated at the time.
9	So they are going to argue that, with
10	all the other circumstantial evidence, that perhaps
11	there were more than two and you admitted to two and
12	there may be more, and you have not led any evidence to
13	contradict that.
14	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Actually there
15	was some evidence on
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Well, let's
17	hear that.
18	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The first thing
19	is that there's no indication that those articles were
20	on line, for that matter, the articles by Totenkopf
21	could be articles that were posted off line or e-mailed
22	to somebody.
23	Another thing is that Det. Wilson did

1	allege that Totenkopf wrote two articles against the
2	police, one of them about arrest procedures and your
3	rights.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is that the Werry
5	Tilson article?
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: No, just talking
7	about articles stating that know your rights when you
8	get arrested, et cetera.
9	There were articles he was talking
10	about, about police committing assault, et cetera, et
11	cetera, and the articles they brought up about
12	self-defence against police.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: And those are what
14	you would call moderate articles?
15	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The idea is, they
16	would not have been political or they would have not
17	been against the Canadian Human Rights.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, there are some
19	articles signed by Totenkopf that are not
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And Det. Wilson
21	claimed those articles are by myself, so in which case
22	the only articles that I in a way, if anything, Det.
23	Wilson should admit those are the articles that I

1	wrote.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but you see it
3	is your own statement, which in some way may have been
4	self-serving, during the interrogation that two of the
5	articles signed by Totenkopf are yours.
6	I don't have any evidence, it seems
7	to me, I'm asking if there is, to contradict that
8	statement or to elaborate or enhance on it.
9	That is what I'm asking you, if it
10	exists. I think I have your answer though. I think
11	you have answered the question.
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I guess I'll come
13	back to that.
14	So, continue.
15	Also when I found out that there was,
16	like, you know, an alleged threat posted, I resigned
17	and where there was a complaint I used my influence to
18	remove that article.
19	Now, but I also explain in detail
20	that this does not mean I have control over those sites
21	or I was aware of the materials posted.
22	I also explained in detail that my
23	computer was not handled professionally by the police -

1	this was explained in the interview to Det. Wilson.
2	And it's also apparent that the
3	police animosity towards me, you know, before my
4	arrest, there is also no questions posed to me during
5	my interview about the Tri-City Skins group aside from
6	you know, questions whether or not they were on my
7	server regardless of the fact that Det. Wilson that
8	somebody had said, et cetera, that I told him during
9	the interview that I was part of Tri-City Skins.
LO	Moreover, there's no allegation by
L1	Det. Wilson that I told him I actually was Totenkopf.
L2	See and the problem is, getting into
L3	the issue of removal of contents.
L4	Det. Wilson testified that every time
L5	he knew that a complaint was sent to me about content
L6	that would have been either against the law or possibly
L7	against the law, that I would take action and try to
L8	have somebody remove it, as in the owner of the site,
L9	et cetera.
20	And he testified to either somebody
21	posting an article telling them to call the police and
22	harass them or other articles that he would say
23	apparently comething about the Tri-City Sking et

1	cetera, site.
2	There is no indication like, no
3	one has stated so far that any complaints posted to me
4	have been ignored and also nobody stated that they even
5	complained to me about the content before the court
6	here today.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: So you're saying
8	the evidence of - which I will check - the evidence of
9	Mr. Wilson was that when complaints were made to you
10	personally
11	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: With regard to
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Content.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: website content
15	on Affordable-Space?
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: No, basically
17	content on any of the sites that were on
18	Affordable-Space.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Sites that were on
20	Affordable-Space, the articles were removed, promptly
21	removed.
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Not necessarily
23	promptly, but I mean there is only a matter of how fast

1	people can act, but the idea is that they were, action
2	was taken to have them removed if by notifying the
3	owner of the site or editor or news editor or by
4	contacting to a link on the sites.
5	And neither Det. Wilson nor Mr.
6	Warman could testify that they actually did complain
7	about the content on the sites.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Can I take you back
9	to your comment about how the computer was not handled
10	professionally at the top of that paragraph. Your
11	pages aren't numbered.
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Oh, sorry.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: But that last
14	section there, the unprofessional handling; is it in
15	reference to what I saw in one of the excerpts before,
16	that they pulled the plug without securing by
17	pulling the plug it automatically encrypted your
18	information.
19	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The information
20	was encrypted but it was accessible until the plug was
21	pulled.
22	The other question is, I also asked
23	Det. Wilson about kill switches on the computer and

1	possibly of computers being rigged, and that he also
2	stated that basically all they did is they went in and
3	pulled the plug as opposed to making sure there would
4	be no kill switches or any kind of rigging on the
5	computer that might destroy the data directly, which
6	I'm not like, then he stated those were their
7	practices.
8	So, in my opinion, it left the
9	computer for, like, you know, there was danger of
10	damage.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: But, sir, what it
12	sounds to me is what you're being critical here is of
13	their police techniques for getting information and
14	making their case.
15	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying
17	in fact, is that you're saying they did a bad job of
18	collecting information to make a case against you.
19	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And handling the
20	computer was one of them.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: But you're not
22	saying that what information was collected from
23	computers is inaccurate, you're saying that they just

1	did a bad they could have had more information had
2	they done their job right.
3	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Det. Wilson
4	testified that no information was collected from my
5	computer whatsoever.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's right, in a
7	sense. Zero in effect.
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm perhaps trying
10	to see if you are - I think you did mention this - that
11	you wish to extrapolate from this treatment of your
12	computer to the treatment that was made of the computer
13	that was seized in London.
14	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: What I'm finding
16	here is that your suggestion is simply that they didn't
17	do what should have been done to keep the information
18	accessible, as opposed to dropping the computer on the
19	floor or something and destroying the hard drive or the
20	other way around, which is a suggestion that perhaps
21	manipulating the data.
22	That's not what you're condemning the
23	police here for doing, you're condemning them for not

1	doing what a proper forensic police investigator should
2	do.
3	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Well, basically I
4	could be critical of them because had they done their
5	job ma be they would have come here with a little more
6	evidence in hand, rather than with the papers that he
7	came with.
8	Maybe he would be able to, like,
9	corroborate his stories more or my stories more or
10	maybe have a different view, but the fact of the matter
11	is, my opinion is that he took the path of not knowing
12	and then assuming what happened as opposed to the path
13	of knowing.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: That begs a
15	question. His evidence was Mr. Wilson's evidence
16	was, I remember in answer to your cross-examination,
17	that if you wanted more information, all you would have
18	had to do was give us the encryption key and we would
19	have been able to obtain it, but you exercising your
20	rights as an accused
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: refused to
23	provide that information.

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
2	Well, the allegations like, going
3	to continue. They are just basically a break down by
4	me, I'm going to get into the breakdown of me. This
5	the section about Det. Wilson that basically will
6	address a lot of those issues.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Now I'm going to
9	proceed to the section about Mr. Warman.
10	Okay.
11	Mr. Warman was one well, actually
12	the complainant and he was also a witness at this
13	Tribunal hearing, therefore, he was actually a party
14	to, I guess, the complainant side and I would ask the
15	court not to consider him as an independent witness.
16	Moreover, he has personal monetary
17	interest in this trial. He's an experienced lawyer,
18	involved especially in Internet hate crimes, he
19	actually brags about that.
20	I will demonstrate that he has not
21	done the minimum factual investigation on his own
22	regarding these sites and the respondents and his own
23	admittance he has based his conclusion on assumptions

Т.	rather than tolicrete rates.
2	He states that discussion in the
3	Canadian Human Rights Commission led him to believe
4	certain things.
5	He also relied mostly on information
6	of the only other witness, I guess the only witness
7	that could testify to the events, Det. Wilson, who is
8	also, I guess, a collaborator during the investigation.
9	We will be dealing with the issue of
10	Det. Wilson's credibility in the following section, but
11	right now we'll demonstrate that Mr. Warman has based
12	all his case on his own assumptions and unreliable
13	witnesses.
14	We will also demonstrate that he's
15	not a trustworthy witness.
16	There is also more contradictions
17	about his statements that we will be getting into.
18	Also, other than his testimony he has
19	not produced any documents to show the validity of his
20	case.
21	The following is a clear example of
22	the way he tried to implicate myself. He is not
23	talking about any concrete facts and basically just

1	discussions in the human rights milieu and reviewing
2	websites.
3	Basically it says over here:
4	"And the documents that I was
5	reviewing on the websites, along
6	with discussions I had with
7	colleagues within the Human
8	Rights milieu in Canada led me
9	to believe that the person who
LO	was identified as Totenkopf on
L1	the websites was in fact Alexian
L2	Kulbashian."
L3	Also with regards to the Vinland
L4	Voice materials that he has produced to the court,
L5	there's not a single one which was directly downloaded
L6	from the original website and to Mr. Warman's
L7	acknowledgement they are all cut and paste by his own
L8	words and are portions of actual ones.
L9	"And again, although I can't
20	identify the exact source of it,
21	it appears to be a cut and
22	pasted version and I can testify
23	that I did personally witness

1	this document on the C.E.C.T
2	excuse me, the Vinland Voice
3	website."
4	But that leaves us only with his word
5	to determine whether or not the content is actually, I
6	guess, legit.
7	Also Mr. Warman states:
8	"Again, I'm not a computer
9	expert, but what I would have
LO	done was cut and paste the
L1	version into a Word Perfect or
L2	probably a Word document and
L3	then just print that up in and
L4	of itself, so"
L5	I'm not sure what exactly he's
L6	testifying on over here, but one way or another it's
L7	his continuation that the pages were not printed
L8	directly from the site and they were either copied and
L9	pasted from one place or another or from e-mails that
20	he received, as he states.
21	And Mr. Warman also states:
22	"No. And in the subsequent
23	Vinland Voice articles, I can

1	state that that's because these
2	were copies that were
3	downloaded, the ones that don't
4	have URL addresses, from the
5	material that they sent me as
6	e-mail."
7	Basically stating that the reason
8	there is no URL is because they came in by e-mail.
9	"But this material itself, I
10	can't testify as to why it
11	doesn't actually have the URL
12	address underneath it, but I can
13	testify that I did, in fact, see
14	this at the opening of the
15	Vinland Voice website."
16	And assuming that Mr. Warman's memory
17	is great enough to actually remember every word exactly
18	in every article that he read, then I mean maybe he
19	would know if that article was exactly the way it was
20	on line, but I would suggest to the court that the
21	articles might not have been exactly as they were
22	posted on line.
23	And also Mr. Warman states that:

1	"Yes, although I may have gone
2	directly to it through the
3	Vinland Voice website rather
4	than going all the ways through,
5	because I knew the direct URL to
6	the website, so I may not have
7	spent the extra time."
8	Basically Mr. Warman states that he
9	also knew the URL, the website site which, I mean
10	judging by the fact that he did download a lot of the
11	other sites, information from other sites, he didn't
12	download information from this site, regardless of the
13	fact that he did know the URL and he can't state that I
14	didn't know where it was.
15	So, anyways Mr. Richardson asks:
16	"MR. RICHARDSON: Why didn't he
17	cut and past I guess what I
18	want to know is, why didn't he
19	cut and paste the whole thing or
20	why didn't he template the whole
21	thing? Why the inconsistencies?
22	"MR. WARMAN: I'm sorry, the
23	material was collected over a

1	period of seven years and I
2	can't testify as to why specific
3	things were collected or printed
4	off in a certain fashion."
5	So, basically he also admits to not
6	knowing the exact source and I guess the chain of
7	handling of the information that was finally brought to
8	trial.
9	So, the following statement also
10	shows that Mr. Warman has tried to get the original
11	version of the articles of Tri-City Skins.
12	Warman states:
13	"MR. WARMAN: Yes, because I was
14	unable to find the original
15	version on the Tri-City Skins
16	website anymore. I had gone
17	back with the intention of
18	downloading and printing off a
19	copy of the actual article that
20	was present on the Tri-City
21	Skins website but they had moved
22	on to the next week's or
23	subsequent week's versions of

1	the articles that were broadcast
2	by William Pierce."
3	The fact of the matter is it also
4	does show here that as far as Tri-City goes he did,
5	actually, in fact, go out and try and grab the original
6	information.
7	As to why he did not with the Vinland
8	Voice and bring in, I guess, more like second rate
9	copies, you know, is for the court to decide whether or
LO	not those copies could actually be taken as original or
L1	authentic.
L2	The problem is that the sites, as
L3	were testified in court, were shut down shortly
L 4	afterwards, so there is no way that anybody else could
L5	have actually brought original copies of the site.
L6	And since Mr. Warman was, like,
L7	monitoring the site throughout his investigation, you
L8	would expect that somebody like that would actually
L9	download or print the pages of the site as evidence if
20	he was going to open a human rights case.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, what are you
22	suggesting?
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I'm suggesting

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: That the material
2	is fabricated again?
3	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: that the
4	material, that there's no information, that he can't
5	testify on, I guess, the chain of command on the
6	document.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: His evidence is
8	that at least on a couple of occasions
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Like, how it was
LO	collected, why it was printed a certain way, he said he
L1	cannot testify on that.
L2	He also testifies that he says the
L3	articles is the same because he remembers seeing it on
L4	the site but I'm sure that no one has that good a
L5	memory to remember every word in every article and that
L6	slight modifications make
L7	THE CHAIRPERSON: But his evidence
L8	is wait. His evidence is that he got the article by
L9	being on the mailing list, he received them through
20	e-mails, and presumably at least for some of them he
21	cited an excerpt where that explanation exists, where
22	he received it as an e-mail, he cut and pasted text and
23	put it in a text file.

1	Now
2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Well, some of the
3	articles are only like portions, I guess, they're not
4	the entire documents.
5	It's not obviously Mr. Wilson
6	came in Det. Wilson came in with printed out
7	e-mails. It's also obviously possible to print out
8	e-mails and show
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, it is.
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: and show the
11	actual document rather than make a copy of it and then
12	show a document.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, the conclusion
14	that you want me to draw from the fact that he cut and
15	paste out of e-mails is that the document should be
16	rejected entirely because they were done in that
17	fashion.
18	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Or should not be
19	considered as complete documents.
20	In the above statements Mr. Warman
21	also indicates that those are not the original
22	documents from the website, that they are cut and
23	pasted and printed. These are not complete copies of

1	the documents and are portions.
2	Mr. Warman also states that he knew
3	the URL address of the website but he did not want to
4	spend the extra time and downloading from the sites.
5	This is not a valid reason coming
6	from an experienced and trained lawyer and according to
7	him, an investigator of hate on the Internet.
8	Moreover, it is evident that Mr.
9	Warman's selective memory in his above statements. He
10	cannot remember certain things but he remembers that he
11	has witnessed all the articles on the Vinland Voice
12	site.
13	How can Mr. Warman state with so much
14	certainty that these documents are the exact replicas
15	of the site and remembers each detail of the articles.
16	Moreover, Mr. Warman states that in
17	the case of articles from Tri-City Skins he had gone
18	back to print the actual articles directly from the
19	site. This demonstrates a double standard approach,
20	which makes us wonder why he did it more like why he
21	didn't get it from Vinland Voice but did from the
22	Tri-City Skins.
23	Also it is evident that Mr. Warman

1	above statement shows he might not be telling the truth
2	because in the case of the Tri-City website he has gone
3	the extra efforts to always download the originals
4	directly from the site.
5	His statement proves that he used
6	double standards. Both Det. Wilson and Mr. Warman
7	testified that cut and paste articles can be very
8	easily edited or modified.
9	Regarding his motives, it is probable
10	that he could have easily tampered with the articles.
11	The following is another statement
12	that shows Mr. Warman's selective memory where he
13	states he doesn't even remember the procedure when he
14	filed his complaint, but still remembers all the
15	details in an article.
16	It says:
17	"So, how did you file your
18	complaint, did you go see Mr.
19	Steacy yourself or how does it
20	work, the procedure?"
21	Mr. Warman stated:
22	"At the time what I would have
23	done was submitted to the

1	best of my recollection, what I
2	would have done was submitted
3	written material in the form of
4	perhaps a letter along with
5	accompanying material indicating
6	examples."
7	So, which shows there's certain
8	uncertainty about the way a procedure works and he
9	stated that he remembers the articles.
10	So, my submission is that he couldn't
11	have actually remembered the exact content of the
12	articles and that it's very possible that they could
13	have been modified.
14	The following paragraphs show that
15	Mr. Warman intentionally interpreted the logo on the
16	left side of the page as being the signature of the
17	author, although at the bottom of the article it is
18	signed WHITE POWER CANADA.
19	Mr. Warman testified that he has
20	gained good knowledge of the Internet during the last
21	five years. Moreover, for the rest of the articles he
22	has always interpreted the bottom signature as the
23	author's name. Whether it is out of ignorance or

1	intentional, I don't believe this statement can be
2	trusted.
3	It says:
4	"MR. WARMAN: Yes. At the let
5	just below the sort of links
6	that you can click on, there is
7	the skull and cross bones symbol
8	that is referred to as Totenkopf
9	from the World War II Nazi era
LO	and also the word itself spelled
L1	out, Totenkopf.
L2	And it's my belief that this
L3	article was, in fact, written by
L4	Mr. Kulbashian."
L5	That's what he states, and this is
L6	talking about, if you remember the layout with the
L7	little symbol on the left side.
L8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Symbol on the left
L9	side.
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
21	And also:
22	"MS MAILLET: And it appears
23	that there's a signature at the

1	bottom of the first page,
2	WPCANADA.
3	MR. WARMAN: Yes. And, again,
4	my belief that the person using
5	that pseudonym is James Scott
6	Richardson."
7	Also it says:
8	"MR. WARMAN: Thank you. Do you
9	think it's possible then, or do
10	you have any idea or any belief
11	as to who might have written the
12	article at tab 17 entitled
13	"Intro to Racial Woes"?
14	DET/CST. WILSON: There is no
15	doubt in my mind that that's
16	written by James Scott
17	Richardson."
18	In his testimony, whether it was
19	intentional or by accident, Mr. Warman stated that in
20	the beginning that he believes the fact that symbol was
21	on the left side of the page means the author of the
22	article was myself, but he also stated later on in his
23	testimony that an article with WDCANADA at the yery

1	bottom was James Richardson, according to his opinion,
2	and that the symbol didn't matter, regardless of the
3	fact there was a symbol on the left side of that
4	article.
5	So, if the question is he was trying
6	to force an identification of the article's author or
7	whether or not he didn't pay attention to it, I don't
8	think that his opinion on that as an identifying
9	factor as myself is valid, or myself writing an
10	article.
11	Okay. Also when later confronted
12	during cross-examination he refutes what he had said.
13	When I ask him:
14	"Okay. So, you believe that the
15	fact that that symbol was found
16	on every single page of every
17	site that you say I had
18	something to do with, that means
19	I wrote every article that that
20	symbol shows up beside?
21	MR. WARMAN: No, in fact, and
22	that wasn't my evidence."
23	Then again he contradicts saying:

1	"I'm saying that it's an
2	indicia."
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mm-hmm.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: More like an
5	indication.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, both are
7	valid terms.
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The above is an
9	indication that Mr. Warman's opinion on my identity as
LO	far as just the fact that the symbols pasted on the
L1	page cannot be trusted and, again, I suggest that due
L2	to, I guess, monetary gains that this case might bring
L3	him, I suggest that it's possible that it might have
L4	been even more intentional rather than of poor
L5	knowledge.
L6	I said to get compensation because of
L7	the article Mr. Warman alleges that Totenkopf and who
L8	he alleges is me, has exposed him and put his life into
L9	danger.
20	He testified giving all sorts of
21	reasons that according to a few documents he produced
22	he believes that the signature Totenkopf is myself and
23	the author.

1	Mr. Warman makes a parallel of a
2	newspaper article in which Alex Krause and James
3	Richardson had an alleged interview.
4	Following the interview, there was an
5	article in the Vinland Voice where the author wrote
6	about the interview and mentioned TOT as one of the
7	people interviewed.
8	The Vinland Voice article was cut and
9	paste portion of the actual text which could have
10	easily been tampered with.
11	Although we would never know the
12	reasons for the author using Totenkopf because
13	Totenkopf being a public/press relations subgroup, the
14	author might have used it in that context.
15	But Mr. Warman does not explain how
16	these articles are written by Totenkopf about a third
17	party Alex Krause and still Alex Krause and Totenkopf
18	could be the same person
19	Here I would like to mention that the
20	two articles about my resignation are signed at the
21	bottom by Alex Krause.
22	The resignation to the C.E.C.T. is
23	signed Alex Krause and then at the top next to Alex

1	Krause in parenthesis is written Totenkopf and there
2	was no explanation as to what that would mean.
3	In the Vinland Voice it only states
4	Alex Krause, no mention of Totenkopf.
5	I suggest that just as people who
6	sign their names with their positions beside them, the
7	Totenkopf doesn't necessarily mean that I am Totenkopf,
8	it could also mean, as I stated in my interview with
9	Det. Wilson, that it's a subgroup rather than an actual
10	individual.
11	And so far there is no explanation as
12	to how Totenkopf could have written about Alex Krause
13	which, you know, Totenkopf alleges
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: It all turns around
15	the same problem, which I've said before and you're
16	going to have to face the whole way through, the only
17	suggestion that Totenkopf represents a group is a
18	statement that you made in this interrogation which was
19	transcribed by a secretary after Mr. Wilson's
20	interview.
21	I have no evidence in front me, to my
22	knowledge, affirming that Totenkopf represents a group.
23	You have not brought evidence, you

1	didn't bring three other members of the organization to
2	say we all were members of Totenkopf, we all wrote
3	Totenkopf.
4	You haven't done any of that. So,
5	there is a problem here in terms of the evidence.
6	I mean, the only suggestion that
7	Totenkopf represents more than one person is your
8	statements during the interview with Mr. Wilson; right?
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there anything
11	else?
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: But that would
13	be, since that was included as evidence and according
14	to Det. Wilson that is what I told him, in effect, that
15	is evidence and, in fact, what I'm bringing is other
16	evidence basically using the other evidence to
17	support that claim.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. What's
19	the other evidence that supports the claim?
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: For example,
21	Totenkopf writing about Alex Krause in the third person
22	and that during the resignation letter it's signed Alex
23	Krause and Totenkopf in brackets, in one of the

1	articles which is more, I guess, consistent with
2	somebody identifying himself as part of a group rather
3	than an individual.
4	Just like somebody would say, I don't
5	know, like I don't know, John Smith human relations,
6	it's not like his name is human relations or that's his
7	pseudonym.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So, the
9	reference to Alex Krause in the third person.
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yes, by
11	Totenkopf. Multiple references actually to Alex Krause
12	in the third person.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: If you will note
14	closely, your entire closing document here is written
15	in the third person. You're presenting it in the third
16	person.
17	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: No, it was
18	written in the first person. It was a collaborative
19	thing.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, I'm saying I
21	understand and that is probably fine, but that is a
22	counter argument to what you're telling me is that it's
23	not unknown for people to refer to themselves in the

1	third person.
2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, but the
3	issue is not just like see, this document was
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Read this with
5	other things and you could draw the conclusion, is what
6	you're saying?
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, but the
8	fact of the matter is this document isn't written by
9	somebody who alleges to be somebody and writing about
10	somebody else, this document is more like a narrative
11	in essence.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: I understand.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: So, there is a
14	difference between like Totenkopf writing about Alex
15	Krause or just somebody in general writing about Alex
16	Krause or Alex Krause writing about Alex Krause, which
17	is different.
18	And so, see what it says here
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were went
20	to here here, we would you were around there
21	somewhere.
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Here I would like
23	to mention that there are two articles about my

1	resignation and both are signed okay, I actually
2	read that one.
3	There is not a single article in the
4	newspaper or elsewhere Alex Krause is referred to as
5	Totenkopf or Alexan Kulbashian being a Tri-City Skins
6	member, only the police and Mr. Warman allege that
7	Totenkopf is Alex Krause.
8	Det. Wilson acknowledged that the
9	article is a reproduction of a previous article in NOW
10	Magazine in which it's said that the Ottawa based
11	lawyer Mr. Warman had submitted a formal complaint to
12	the CHRC.
13	There is no doubt that Mr. Warman or
14	somebody else from the CHRC must have contacted the
15	newspaper regarding the complaint, thus Mr. Warman
16	exposed himself, like quite a bit of time before the
17	article in the Vinland Voice wrote about it.
18	Mr. Warman also said that when he
19	heard his name and address mentioned in the article, he
20	said:
21	"I was shocked. I had never
22	anticipated that they would
23	stoop to something like that,

1	that I certainly considered it
2	to be a personal threat and to
3	be a threat against my personal
4	safety.
5	And I certainly contacted the
6	police as soon as possible after
7	I received that message, and
8	without going into specific
9	details about what the police
10	have done, they have made what I
11	would describe as alternate
12	arrangements in terms of my
13	personal security that would not
14	be the case for an average
15	citizen.
16	MR. RICHARDSON:have you
17	received any death threats,
18	either verbal, through e-mail,
19	in face or anything by anybody
20	that you would consider in the
21	movement since that time of the
22	initial ", like I guess
23	alleged, " threat?"

1	Mr. Warman states:
2	"No, you know, that I would
3	consider
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: "not".
5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN:
6	"Not, you know, that I would
7	consider to be within the
8	neo-Nazi movement, per se."
9	So, Mr. Warman is evasive regarding
10	the police response. If this was considered as a real
11	serious threat it would have been a criminal act.
12	Mr. Warman does not tell us the
13	actions the police took against the alleged author and
14	we are obviously still yet to know.
15	I'm not sure if they actually acted
16	against the author or charged him.
17	Moreover, Det. Wilson testified that
18	Mr. Warman is a well-known public figure that has
19	openly acted against other people, organizations
20	against his beliefs and opinions.
21	Mr. Warman also testified that for
22	over 15 years he was involved in social justice and
23	human rights issues. Was Mr. Warman's name unlisted in

1	the phone directory or not? He doesn't tell us,
2	because it would have been possible that his name and
3	address would have been listed in the phone book, in
4	which case it would have been a matter of public
5	record.
6	During the court proceedings his
7	address should have been mentioned and, you know
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't quite
9	understand that.
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: His address
11	listing should have been mentioned, it was like
12	public if he was going to make an allegation that he
13	was exposed, then he should also made an allegation
14	that say his address was not in the phone book and that
15	no one knew he had done that and somebody exposed him
16	in the media; whereas somebody who admits met to, I
17	guess, being a front runner in the battle against
18	Internet hate and who apparently had direct interview
19	with NOW Magazine talk about his case, can't state that
20	he was exposed by somebody else when he exposed
21	himself.
22	Mr. Warman goes further and further
23	in his exaggerations and states that he was concerned

1	about the database because it would provide information
2	about him.
3	Mr. Warman admits in the following
4	paragraph that he received no threats with association
5	of the article and damages.
6	All this makes us wonder if this is a
7	tactic to ask for personal for compensation. Mr.
8	Warman has a history of asking for personal
9	compensation with the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal.
LO	In the following Mr. Warman says
L1	according to his opinion that is a wrong address, but
L2	does not explain why P.O. Box 1061, 31 Adelaide Street
L3	is the correct address. He then states he has not
L4	checked the validity of either address.
L5	This is like a continuation.
L6	So, I'm basically saying regarding
L7	the TCS sites, Mr. Warman says:
L8	"Yes, it is. And then, what in
L9	my opinion, is a false address
20	that they have used to register.
21	It's registered as 14
22	Eight-Eighth Avenue and, again,
23	you know the 88 being neo-Nazi

1	parlance, Kitchener, Ontario,
2	and then the postal code is
3	designed to look or to imitate
4	the word Smarties."
5	Mr. Warman also states:
6	"Yes, it is. And then what, in
7	my opinion, is a false
8	address"
9	Wait a second, this was just oh
10	yeah, it's a double paste. It happens some time.
11	Okay.
12	"THE CHAIRPERSON: This Adelaide
13	Street address, are you aware of
14	what it is? Is it a postal
15	station?"
16	Mr. Warman states:
17	"Yes, my information is that it
18	is in fact
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Information.
20	You haven't visited this place
21	yourself?
22	MR. WARMAN: No, not
23	personally."

1	With all due respect, there could
2	have been there was no evidence that this was
3	actually a real address and that anybody stated it was
4	a real address, and even during the dialogue in the
5	alleged chats on line the address, when there is a
6	question posed to WPCANADA about use of the P.O. Box,
7	the address wasn't actually given of the P.O. Box.
8	So, by all means, this could have
9	been an fake P.O. Box address or a fake address in
10	general.
11	So, then Mr. Warman tries to relate
12	Affordable-Space, Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T.
13	He says:
14	"MR. WARMAN: Yes, there is,
15	although I do not have a copy of
16	the Whois results from
17	affordable-space.com, I can
18	state that I personally on that
19	same date conducted a Whois
20	search on register.com and that
21	the results or the address
22	listed as the contact or the
23	admin contact is registered to

1	the same P.O. Boxon Adelaide
2	Street, the same P.O. Box that
3	was used for the Tri-City Skins
4	and the C.E.C.T. websites."
5	The fact of the matter is that he
6	states that he doesn't have the copy the Whois results
7	regardless of the fact he had a lot of other
8	information for Whois results.
9	I don't think that the court should
LO	rely on his memory of what he saw there, on the Whois
L1	results without him actually bringing documentary
L2	evidence and I believe that
L3	THE CHAIRPERSON: For what do I have
L4	Whois results? I have got a whole bunch of Whois
L5	results.
L6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: For
L7	affordable-space.com. He states that the same address
L8	that affordable-space.com used as a company. There's
L9	no evidence
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: For all the others
21	ones but I don't have Affordable-Space's Whois, is that
22	what you're saying?
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, that's

1	right, because even though he states that
2	Affordable-Space had a P.O. Box here, right, however
3	there was no evidence that was brought aside from his
4	memory that Affordable-Space had an actual address over
5	here.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: At Adelaide Street?
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah. So, as
8	most of the time again, like, Mr. Warman does not
9	produce documents to back his statements but he expects
10	the court to believe him and trust his memory.
11	Here we wonder what happened to those
12	documents he doesn't give any explanations about.
13	Mr. Warman admits he has not filed
14	any complaints with the sites or the server because his
15	understanding I was in control of the server.
16	The Chairperson asks:
17	"Did you file a complaint with
18	affordablespace?
19	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did you
20	file a complaint with the site
21	at all?
22	MR. WARMAN: No, it was my
23	understanding that you, in fact,

1	were in control of the company."
2	Now, that doesn't actually respond
3	you know, give an answer as to why but I'll continue.
4	So, I said:
5	"So, you still didn't go through
6	and complain to
7	affordablespace.com?
8	MR. WARMAN: I felt that
9	considering my evidence was that
LO	you were, in fact, in control of
11	that and that you were, in fact,
L2	affiliated with"
L3	Mr. Warman also says:
L4	"It's my belief that you're one
L5	of the owners or one of the
L6	controlling minds of that
L7	corporation, based on
L8	information was published in
L9	newspapers, based on the
20	information that I witnessed in
21	terms of the Totenkopf being the
22	contact address for a number of
23	the sites, and then all being

1	related to the same P.O. Box
2	including affordablespace."
3	He still doesn't he's very vague
4	and evasive process. He does state that he did not
5	complain. He doesn't give a reason as to why he didn't
6	complain aside from the fact that he believed it was me
7	that was in control of the server, and if anything, and
8	this I feel supports the question as to whether or not
9	he was expecting the profits from these hearings as
LO	opposed to actually doing a service to the community.
L1	The above statements also show that
L2	Mr. Warman did not file a complaint to any of the sites
L3	and Affordable-Space.
L4	If Mr. Warman's sole intention was to
L5	stop alleged discrimination and the welfare of the
L6	public, couldn't he have at least complained to
L7	Affordable-Space or the websites, or myself, and wait
L8	for their response and then act.
L9	The reason that Mr. Warman gives are
20	based on his assumption that he believed that I was in
21	charge of it.
22	Again, he admits in the statement
23	that Affordable-Space was a company.

1	Moreover, although Mr. Warman said
2	that Affordable-Space had a few owners and controlling
3	minds, Mr. Warman backtracks saying that I was in
4	control.
5	You were in control, just underlined
6	as in like the quotes.
7	Whether I was in control or not, he
8	doesn't explain what difference it would have made on
9	his decision not to complain,
10	Also it is noticeable that he uses
11	newspaper as reliable source of information or
12	evidence, according to him.
13	In the following lines I asked
14	specifically if Mr. Warman is an expert in the Internet
15	and he says he has learned quite a bit over the past
16	five years, sort of extensive work in it.
17	By using extensive work during the
18	five years, Mr. Warman's message is that he has very
19	good knowledge.
20	Then during cross-examination he
21	denies what I did specifically ask him and what he told
22	previously, saying that I asked him if he had used the
23	Internet or visited them and Mr. Warman replies was

1	This is another example, I guess, of
2	his poor memory during testimony.
3	I state:
4	"Okay. Do you consider yourself
5	an expert in IRC or computer
6	internet-related, I guess the
7	internet field in general?
8	MR. WARMAN: I consider that
9	I've learned quite a bit over
10	the past five years, sort of
11	extensive work in it."
12	There's no yes or no answer. So,
13	again, it's either an evasive answer or he's trying to
14	imply that he is.
15	Mr. Richardson asked:
16	"Do you believe that it is
17	possible that "X" is a command
18	style bot, may I use, that
19	controls the room #wpcanada and
20	as it clearly states that it is
21	"X" that changes topic to wpcect
22	or vinlandvoice and not a
23	person, not Totenkopf changes

1	topic or not #WPCANADA changes
2	topic?"
3	Mr. Warman says:
4	"I'm sorry, that's outside my
5	knowledge.
6	MR. RICHARDSON: But you did
7	state in fact earlier that you
8	have been visiting the IRC
9	servers over the last five
10	years. Did you not learn
11	anything in the five years that
12	you were visiting these sites?
13	MR. WARMAN: No, in fact, Mr.
14	Kulbashian asked me if I had
15	used them and I had visited them
16	and I replied yes."
17	So, just asserting that he has no
18	expertise, regardless of the fact that he tries to
19	comment on it.
20	Mr. Warman has not checked the
21	mechanism of the sites to know if someone actually
22	controlled them and knew or knew about the content
23	of the material posted, although he's blaming the

1	respondents for posting the material.
2	Sorry, there's a typo there.
3	I ask:
4	"Okay. So, did you by any
5	chance happen to try or even see
6	any indication on whether or not
7	the sites were manually updated,
8	automatically updated through
9	scripts or whether or not they
LO	were monitored, or whether or
L1	not this site was just
L2	statically uploaded to the site
L3	and the content was just
L4	statically uploaded?"
L5	Mr. Warman answers:
L6	"I'm sorry, that's not within my
L7	knowledge."
L8	When Ms Maillet was questioning Mr.
L9	Warman he answered with servitude, but during the
20	cross-examination when the questions were not to his
21	best interest he was evasive.
22	Moreover, there is no indication that
23	Alex Krause or Totenkopf has submitted articles to the

1	Tri-City Skins site.	
2	The fo	llowing two paragraphs confirm
3	that.	
4	I ask:	
5	п	Sorry. Are there any articles
6	0	n the Tri-City site by either
7	A	lex Krause, Totenkopf or
8	W	PCANADA?
9	М	R. WARMAN: I would have to go
10	b	ack through all the evidence
11	t.	hat I've already entered into
12	е	vidence, but if there was, then
13	i	t would have been indicated at
14	t	he time."
15	Mr. Ri	chardson asks:
16	п	From your recollection, all the
17	t	emplates or all the webpages
18	h	ad Totenkopf on the side of the
19	a	ctual webpage itself, the
20	S	ymbol itself and the name."
21	The Ch	airperson asks:
22	п	The webpage of Vinland Voice?
23	М	R. RICHARDSON: The Vinland

1	Voice and C.E.C.T.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: And C.E.C.T.?
3	MR. RICHARDSON: And C.E.C.T.
4	MR. WARMAN: I can't testify to
5	that for certainty.".
6	In the following - that's what he
7	actually answers, sorry.
8	In the following Mr. Warman has not
9	checked ownership of Affordable-Space, he has not
10	explained or shown any evidence if Affordable-Space had
11	any legal status or not.
12	Question is:
13	"Did you check the ownership of
14	the company affordablespace.com,
15	the actual ownership of the
16	company itself, not ownership of
17	the domain?
18	MR. WARMAN: I did not "
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: It didn't.
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN:
21	"It didn't indicate that it was
22	an incorporated company or that
23	it had any formal legal status."

1	The answer is not yes or no, again
2	evasive but you can assume from the answer that the
3	it's no, he did not check.
4	So, again, it would be his assumption
5	as to how affordable-space.com worked.
6	Mr. Warman has also acknowledged that
7	only three or four alleged hate sites are hosted on
8	Affordable-Space on a thousand plus sites that the
9	server hosts.
10	I ask:
11	"So, how many sites do you
12	believe were actually hosted, I
13	guess from what you read?
14	MR. WARMAN: I'm aware of at
15	least, you know, three to four,
16	and then after that I would have
17	to say that I'm not sure how
18	many as a total were being
19	hosted by the company."
20	And then HR-1 tab 38 states:
21	"Affordable-Space.com started
22	off as a private project that
23	turned into a business that

1	hosts 1000+ accounts."
2	This is from I believe it's from
3	there HR, like, you know, from their exhibit list.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Their exhibit.
5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: So, the following
6	chat also confirms what I told the police that the
7	Vinland Voice site was designed by someone else and
8	that someone else was the founder of CECT.
9	WPCANADA states:
L O	"Just finishing off the Voice"
L1	"its abiggie"
L2	And then:
L3	"its madness,tonight mate, I
L 4	haven't left yet, I was
L5	finishing the vinland voice off"
L6	says WPCANADA.
L7	WPCANADA: finishing the web
L8	page for the vinland voice, a
L9	weekly white newspaper that I
20	do"
21	"traveling and doing shit. I
22	founded CECT and it keeps me
23	busy"

1	The fact of the matter is
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Slow down a little
3	bit, please.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Sorry.
5	The fact of the matter is so far as
6	far as identification process goes, they haven't been
7	able to bring any concrete evidence as to who is who,
8	in fact they are going off assumptions and the danger
9	of the court relying on assumptions over actual
10	documentary evidence, proving somebody's identity, is
11	that anybody could then use the Canadian Human Rights
12	Commission as either a profit machine or some kind of I
13	guess middleman for censoring political speech they did
14	not agree with.
15	And if the court itself decides to go
16	off assumptions and stating that these assumptions can
17	actually be used as evidence, for example, saying I
18	assume that you ran this so I didn't do anything, or I
19	assume that this person is this or that there's no
20	concrete evidence saying that I believe it's so, then
21	the Tribunal becomes you know, is at the mercy of
22	that person's opinion into making their judgment and
23	also the system itself is at the mercy of that

1	person
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: You are aware of
3	the concept though of circumstantial evidence.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I am, but
5	circumstantial evidence would require some sort of
6	actual documentary evidence that would, I guess
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Circumstantial
8	evidence is taking many pieces of a pie and sort of
9	putting them together to make one pie.
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, but taking
11	many pieces of pie crusts you can't make a pie.
12	The problem is, if you don't actually
13	have the documentary evidence to back up your claims,
14	it is just many pieces of claims that could either be
15	well designed or allegations that the person is
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, your submission
17	is that the circumstances the evidence
18	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The documentary
19	evidence.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: The evidence is not
21	sufficient to create a case based on circumstantial
22	evidence?
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And I believe

Т	that in order for an actual case to be exist there
2	should be some form of irrefutable documentary evidence
3	and by documentary evidence I mean not missing Whois
4	searches on and then making claims on that missing
5	Whois search stating, oh, I remember this or actual
6	having originals rather than saying, well, I remember
7	seeing it on the site.
8	Because stuff like that is stuff
9	like that actually makes it a little, I guess,
10	dangerous for the case and it turns the Tribunal into
11	more of a pawn for the person's like agenda rather than
12	to actually seek justice.
13	Okay.
14	The following was submitted by the
15	complainants and corroborates what I told the London
16	Police and that I don't have time to read the websites
17	and that Affordable-Space hosts and to get involved
18	with sorry, little typo there.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Where are you?
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Sorry.
21	I don't have time to read the
22	websites that Affordable-Space hosts and to get
23	involved with CECT or Vinland Voice sites, as in like,

1	I don't have time to get involved in the CECT and the
2	Vinland Voice and that I don't have time to read
3	Affordable-Space.
4	Basically it says here, basically
5	assuming that these chat logs are authentic it says:
6	"FdaJEWS continues, he states:
7	"i ran into a few old guys in
8	Toronto, and they were wondering
9	about alex.
10	like how he has so much money
11	and shit"
12	And WPCANADA responds:
13	"too many projects"
14	FdaJEWS: "i think it is ok"
15	WPCANADA: "not enough time"
16	FdaJEWS: but he is giving all
17	these people web space, po
18	boxes, etc."
19	WPCANADA states:
20	"spends a lot of his personal
21	dough on donating web space"
22	Now, the idea is that by the
23	admission if you take the chats as being fact, then

1	theof the chats are that I have a lot of projects
2	and a lot of, you know, that I keep myself busy and
3	that would, I guess, negate the suggestion that I
4	should be monitoring the sites made by the Commission
5	and the complainant.
6	In the above lines there is no
7	mention of any particular names or organizations. Mr.
8	Warman produced documents of the Zoom Festival site,
9	which is a school event and apparently the hosting was
10	donated by myself but he comes to the following
11	assumption:
12	"MR. WARMAN:"spends a lot
13	of his personal dough on
14	donating web space" and "he is
15	the best thing that has come to
16	this movement in years", it
17	further establishes a link
18	between Mr. Kulbashian and the
19	hosting of other racialist or
20	racial website"
21	The following is significant because
22	Mr. Warman comes to the conclusion on vague statement
23	by a third party expressing his own opinion about the

1	use of an unidentified P.O. Box and he says that this
2	indicates that I have control over the P.O. Box, you
3	know, 1061.
4	WPCANADA replies:
5	"hmmm, e-mail Alex, I am sure he
б	will let you use the po box"
7	MR. WARMAN: It's my belief that
8	that is important because I
9	believe that WPCANADA is
10	referring to the P.O. Box on
11	Adelaide Street, P.O. Box 1061,
12	and that the Alex he is
13	referring to is Alex Kulbashian,
14	and that this indicates that Mr.
15	Kulbashian has control over the
16	P.O. Box."
17	Again, coming back to the whole point
18	of assumptions, this becomes dangerous considering
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: But it's not just
20	assumptions, okay, that's why you have to look at the
21	Big picture.
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's true, but
23	I'm targeting individual parts of the big picture.

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, no, what you're
2	doing, you're going into each individual item but you
3	have to at some point deal with the big picture.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's the point
5	of going through this document.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Because there's
7	P.O. Box here and there's P.O. Box there and there's
8	P.O. Box there and we see a lot of P.O. Boxes and they
9	all connect back to Adelaide and they all connect back
10	to you, then that's how they make a circumstantial
11	case.
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's true, but
13	as far as evidence comes to the court, there's no
14	direct connection to myself and the P.O. Box, there's
15	no evidence that states that I actually owned the P.O.
16	Box, in fact in this chat that he claims is P.O. Box is
17	1061 it just says the P.O. Box and I'm not sure if Mr.
18	Warman is aware that there's many P.O. Boxes in the
19	city.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: This by itself
21	doesn't tell us much; does it?
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: I agree with you.

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's why I'm
2	getting into more I'm targeting every single point
3	of what he's alleging is evidence I was involved in
4	certain things.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Fine.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: So, that's why I
7	am continuing with this document.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you want a
9	break?
10	REGISTRY OFFICER: All rise.
11	Upon recessing at 11:00 a.m.
12	Upon resuming at 11:20 a.m.
13	REGISTRY OFFICER: Order, please.
14	All rise.
15	Please be seated.
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: All right.
17	Continuing with the Mr. Warman
18	section of my closing arguments.
19	Mr. Warman has not seen either Alex
20	Krause or Alexan Kulbashian in the chat room.
21	When Mr. Richardson asks him:
22	"No. So, is it your opinion,
23	just based on a first name, that

1	Alex Krause was Totenkopf and
2	James Richardson is WPCANADA,
3	even though there is nothing in
4	the chat logs themselves that
5	state that?
6	MR. WARMAN: No, that wasn't my
7	evidence."
8	The following is another example of
9	how Mr. Warman's selective memory operates.
10	Mr. Richardson states:
11	"Okay. So, taking away the
12	alleged solicitation by myself,
13	is it your understanding that
14	the typical way to go is you
15	find it, and you can either
16	download it, I guess, from the
17	website page, but typically to
18	be a part of the mailing list
19	that it was part of, you would
20	have to sign a user name
21	information?"
22	Mr. Warman replies:
23	"You know, this was three years

1	ago. I don't remember exactly
2	what information was requested
3	of me when I signed up."
4	So, the following statement concerns
5	a Tri-City Skins site.
6	It says:
7	"You don't see any articles with
8	his name on it, you don't see
9	any guestbook entries or
10	anything like that; is that
11	correct?
12	MR. WARMAN: Not under the name
13	either Alex Krause or Alexan
14	Kulbashian or
15	MR. RICHARDSON: Totenkopf.
16	MR. WARMAN: Totenkopf."
17	Mr. Richardson also asks:
18	"From your recollection, all the
19	templates or all the webpages
20	had Totenkopf on the side of the
21	actual webpage itself, the
22	symbol itself and the name."
23	This is getting back to the previous

1	testimony where the excerpt stated that he sorry.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: We have seen this
3	excerpt before.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, we've seen
5	this expert before.
б	Okay. Mr. Warman during the
7	examination in chief tried to give the information that
8	he has quite a bit of knowledge about the internet, but
9	during his cross-examination revealed and he admitted
10	that as Det. Wilson he knows almost nothing.
11	Mr. Warman states that he has
12	interpreted the technical information of Execulink, the
13	cat room and internet documents. We do not believe
14	that he has the expertise to be able to analyze any
15	documents which involve technicalities.
16	Also, Mr. Warman's commentary about
17	the articles should be disregarded because he's not an
18	expert in hate material and most importantly he is
19	biased.
20	Mr. Warman, like his, I guess
21	colleague as you would say in this investigation, Det.
22	Wilson, did not have any evidence that would
23	demonstrate the extent of my involvement in Tri-City

1	Skins and CECT websites as well as the server
2	Affordable-Space.
3	The documents that he produced to
4	this court were most of them downloaded from the
5	Internet sites about articles that he claims are
6	contrary to s. 13 or newspaper articles.
7	The rest of the documents were given
8	to him by his witness Det. Wilson.
9	He was demonstrated to be a I'm
10	going to change that part that's written over there,
11	he's going to be demonstrated to be an unreliable
12	witness.
13	Mr. Warman is a lawyer and is trained
14	to collect actual facts, but again he based all his
15	testimony on his own assumptions and analysis made from
16	newspaper articles, which Mr. Chair told are proven to
17	be unreliable sources.
18	Most of the time relevant documents
19	are missing. We also demonstrated that Mr. Warman has
20	double standards to the articles he produced, he has
21	selective memory and he is evasive. He has
22	contradicted himself on too many occasions and most
23	importantly he is a party of the other side asking for

1	compensation.
2	Mr. Warman also brought forward the
3	most dishonest witness in this case, Det. Wilson. When
4	he tried to introduce information - just a second - Mr.
5	Wilson's report I'm guessing - to this court the
6	complainants let he just get this for a sec.
7	Okay. When we tried to introduce
8	evidence, Mr. Steacy's report to this court, the
9	complainants objected strongly and told that the
10	document was not complete and was a copy thereof.
11	But Mr. Warman's documents pertaining
12	to Vinland Voice are all incomplete, cut and paste
13	versions of the actual articles, therefore, we ask the
14	court to disregard all documents which are not
15	downloaded from the actual site or sources, especially
16	when they are incomplete, they are only portions of the
17	originals and with no URL addresses and dates.
18	Moreover, we also demand that this
19	court, I guess due to my allegation, like, poor
20	character of Mr. Warman
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's fine, you
22	can go that far.
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Also disregard

1	I don't mean to, like, to be
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I
3	understand. Go ahead.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Also disregard
5	all statements unless he provides authentic
6	documentation to back them up.
7	Mr. Warman did not provide any
8	evidence that I myself acted knowingly or willingly
9	contrary to s. 13.
10	Although the Chairperson reminded the
11	complainants, you know, during a few occasions, Mr.
12	Warman - just a sec - I have typos here, sorry about
13	that. Let me read this here.
14	A few occasions oh yeah, reminded
15	Mr. Warman on a few occasions to bring forward Mr.
16	Warman's compensation or any other penalty for
17	discussion, thus by their own negligence the
18	respondents did not get a chance to deal with the
19	validity of their request. By their own negligence
20	they have forfeited their own claim and we ask the
21	court to dismiss any compensation to the complainants
22	or any other penalty.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Can you elaborate

1	on that.
2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Basically the
3	fact of the matter is you reminded them a few times to
4	actually bring up the issue of compensation during the
5	hearing and they stated to leave it to the very end.
6	They didn't bring it up for
7	cross-examination, they didn't bring it up for initial
8	examination.
9	In fact, what they are bringing in,
LO	in a way, basically he's putting his claims and the
L1	amount of money right afterwards right now in his
L2	closing argument.
L3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, we had to put
L4	the particulars the statement of cases which was
L5	referred to this morning, and from what I gather from
L6	the way the argument was made today, they are relying
L7	on evidence that was introduced into the record to
L8	support those amounts.
L9	So, I think a lot of this discussion
20	may have surrounded the whole issue of expenses, right
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Expenses, yeah.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: And we have seen
23	that that's been waived now, in part because the

1	legislation doesn't seem to allow them to claim the
2	expenses, so I think a big chunk of that.
3	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I think it was
4	also in the closing arguments that expenses would be
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, my
6	understanding expenses are not being claimed.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: If I misunderstood
9	it, I see nodding from the side there.
10	That whole issue of expenses we
11	thought would be an issue in this case is not there any
12	more.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. Now,
14	coming back to the issue of circumstantial evidence, my
15	position is that as an unreliable witness, the Tribunal
16	should not use Mr. Warman's evidence without any
17	corroboration from a reliable source as any kind of
18	evidence that could be collected in the pool of
19	circumstantial evidence, because in order for that to
20	be circumstantial evidence, there would have to be
21	evidence that would be deemed, I guess, unrefutable or
22	at least in some way reliable and I believe that Mr.
23	Warman acting in his own hest interest in this case

1	decided to interpret in some cases intentionally - or
2	in some cases maybe unintentionally because of the
3	ignorance on certain matters - he decided to interpret
4	the information to his own advantage,
5	and for, I guess, to achieve his own goal.
6	And my opinion is that goal is not
7	necessarily in the name of justice.
8	Okay. Talking about Ms Maillet now.
9	In her opening speech Ms Maillet read
10	s. 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act and especially
11	she read two amended sections 2 and 3 which states:
12	"MM:and subsection 2
13	deals with a matter, this was
14	amended pursuant to the
15	anti-terrorism legislation,
16	which indicates:
17	"For greater certainty,
18	subsection 1 applies in respect
19	of a matter that is communicated
20	by means of a computer or group
21	of interconnected or related
22	computers, including the
23	internet, or any similar means

1	of co	ommunication, but does not
2	apply	y in respect of a matter
3	that	is communicated in whole or
4	in pa	art by means of the
5	faci	lities of a broadcasting
6	under	rtaking."
7	And s	subsection 3 provides for an
8	exemp	otion.
9	"For	the purposes of this
LO	secti	ion, no owner or operator of
L1	a te	lecommunication undertaking
L2	commi	unicates or causes to be
L3	commi	unicated any matter
L4	desci	ribed in subsection 13 by
L5	reaso	on only that the facilities
L6	of a	telecommunication
L7	unde	rtaking owned or operated by
L8	that	person are used by other
L9	perso	ons for the transmission of
20	that	matter."
21	This is th	ne subsection. Just to make
22	a comment here, to note tha	t the amendments the
23	interim amendments as state	d, I think were 2001 from

1	her comments, am I right?
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
3	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And that the
4	threat appeared briefly and disappeared September 14th
5	which was before the amendment for Internet in
6	December, 2001.
7	I just wanted to make that comment.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: My understanding
9	is, and I will here your side on this point because
10	it's significant.
11	Does anyone have a copy of the Act
12	prior to the 2002 amendments, 2001-2002.
13	MS MAILLET: No, sorry.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: You don't. Okay.
15	That's something I'll look into. It's a very
16	significant point.
17	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The point is
18	we're talking about the Internet-related provisions and
19	the anti-terrorism legislation was in December, 2001,
20	after the anti-terrorism
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's right. But
22	I'm also concerned with ss. 3 was it altered in any
23	way.

1	My sense is that it was not altered,
2	but
3	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I'm talking
4	about basically when was the Internet-related
5	provisions of the Act, I guess, amended.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: I believe it was ss
7	2 that was added with the amendments.
8	Do you have a copy of the statute
9	yourself?
LO	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Actually, 2001.
L1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
L2	THE CHAIRPERSON: 2002, the newest
L3	version.
L4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, the newest
L5	version, right.
L6	MR. V. KULBASHIAN: 2002.
L7	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's the one.
L8	That's the copy I have in front of
L9	me. Unfortunately I should have had the older one.
20	But I'd like you to look at it. Can
21	you look at s. 13, Mr. Kulbashian.
22	No, I have one, I'm asking Mr. Alex
2	Kulhaghian to look at it

1	So, you see my understanding is that
2	paragraph 2 or ss. 2 whatever was inserted with the
3	amendments but what has No. 3 was originally with the
4	No. 2 in front.
5	Do you understand?
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. And what I
8	will try to do during the lunch break
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's more a
10	question
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry.
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: This is more of a
13	question regarding what we were talking about yesterday
14	about the amendments December, 2001.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, there are two
16	issues here. The entire article is of relevance to
17	what is going on here.
18	There is the business about the
19	Internet; right?
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: There is also the
22	ss. 3 that's of relevance, particularly with
23	affordable-space.com.

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So, we're
3	clear on the legislation.
4	What I will undertake during the
5	lunch break, or maybe the Commission could do the same,
6	but I will contact general counsel at the Tribunal and
7	see if I can get you a copy of the statute as it
8	existed prior to the amendments.
9	I don't think I think essentially
LO	sub 2 was out, that was not there and 3 was 2 at the
L1	time, but
L2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. Now, the
L3	above clearly indicates
L4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Hold on a sec
L5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Sorry. Let me
L6	know.
L7	THE CHAIRPERSON: We'll find it.
L8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: So, should I
L9	continue?
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay.
22	The above clearly indicates that no
23	facility of a telecommunication undertaking will be

1	liable for any matter communicated in whole or in part
2	through those facilities, you know, based on whether or
3	not I knew about it, et cetera.
4	Ms Maillet in her following
5	statement implied that the section also applies to
6	Affordable-Space. Ms Maillet did not state that s.
7	13.c does not apply to servers, but according to her
8	interpretation, it only applies to large undertakings
9	where the owner doesn't have knowledge of what is going
10	on by her own words.
11	As follows, Ms Maillet says:
12	"It's the position of the
13	Commission that in that section
14	it applies to the owner of a
15	telecommunication undertaking,
16	for example, that doesn't have
17	knowledge of what's going on;
18	for example, Bell Canada or a
19	large company that simply the
20	only involvement they would have
21	in this subsection in the
22	messages being communicated is
23	that they own the undertaking."

1	In the above statements Ms Maillet
2	accepts the fact that s. 13 could have also applied to
3	Affordable-Space if it were a large undertaking, but s.
4	13 doesn't specify that there's a statute limiting the
5	size of the undertaking. It states the facilities of a
6	telecommunication undertaking period. There isn't
7	actually any size limitation or size restriction.
8	It does describe or even
9	incorporation requirements.
10	It does not describe the size or any
11	other particulars about the facility.
12	Ms Maillet by her statement also
13	implies that the size of the company is an important
14	factor in determining the conduct of a company.
15	This is quite a shocking statement
16	coming from a lawyer representing the CHRC for an
17	alleged discrimination case, where she herself is
18	clearly involved in discrimination against smaller
19	institutions because of their size.
20	Moreover, she is also discriminating
21	against the ethics of the institution implying that
22	larger companies are structured in such a way that they
23	can be trusted more than their smaller counterparts.

1	Section 13 exempts the owners of all
2	communication undertakings irrelevant to their size,
3	you know basically according to the subsections, not if
4	I was involved.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: I understand. The
6	size as I understand it is one of the elements that one
7	would look at.
8	It's not a requirement.
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Her response
10	exactly, but her response is the size but the fact of
11	the matter is
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: The statute doesn't
13	talk about it, but she's arguing that in a situation
14	where you have an enterprise, a large enterprise.with
15	50,000 employees, it's not the same as an enterprise
16	where there's one or two or three employees.
17	It creates it suggests, the
18	evidence would suggest that the smaller enterprise is
19	more likely to have knowledge of what is going on
20	within its clientele than the large.
21	I think that is what is being
22	suggested by her.
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: But that, I

1	guess, contravenes the book of authorities where she
2	I think it was Supreme Court judge stated that it would
3	be impossible for a company to monitor web pages
4	because there are so many and they are so dynamic.
5	It has nothing to do with the size
6	itself as much as the pages are dynamic and they change
7	all the time and you can't keep monitoring every second
8	that somebody could be uploading something and changing
9	the content.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, it all
11	depends on the facts of every case, I think that's what
12	the court said.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, what are you
15	saying about the facts in this case?
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I'm saying the
17	facts in this case are that, first of all, coming back
18	to some of this information which I'm not going to be
19	going over because it's not conclusion time, they were
20	talking about information about Totenkopf writing two
21	articles.
22	If you are talking about it from a
23	perspective of a balance of probabilities, if there's

1	15 articles out there and Totenkopf wrote two article,
2	then the balance of probabilities is that it's possible
3	that the one or two articles brought by Totenkopf might
4	not be written by myself.
5	You're talking about probabilities.
6	If it's a scale it's like who says you're going to pick
7	the red ball if there's 15 other balls. That's the
8	idea.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: I understand.
10	I made to you my comment earlier
11	about the fact that you have not led counter evidence
12	to contradict that.
13	And the only evidence the two
14	letters is your own statement.
15	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Right. And they
17	are doing to say those were the two that you admitted
18	and that was a very self-interested comment on your
19	part to limit yourself to two, but they are also - and
20	they have pointed to all these other components that
21	point to you as being Totenkopf.
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: But as in the
23	testimony so far it would be assumptions more than

1	actual facts.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. I understand
3	your submissions.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: In conclusion, I
5	believe that Affordable-Space as a facility of
6	communication cannot be held accountable, you know, it
7	has a physical presence and its size is not relevant to
8	whether it's exempt or not from s. 13.
9	It is actually very regrettable that
10	during the beginning of the hearing that Ms Maillet
11	frequently put us under time constraint pressure,
12	myself and my co-respondent. She was constantly
13	complaining and wanted us to wrap up our
14	cross-examination in a short period.
15	And due to our experience, we feel we
16	were not granted enough time to cross-examine Mr.
17	Warman in more length, in more detail.
18	And yesterday in her closing
19	statement I believe that Ms Maillet has distorted on so
20	many occasions, the testimony of the witnesses and
21	interpretation of the documents. It's very shocking to
22	witness such unethical tactics from a CHRC lawyer.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, sir.

1	MR. WARMAN: Making an allegation of
2	that kind, of unethical conduct of an officer of the
3	court
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: It's a strong
5	allegation, sir.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: In my opinion.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Ethics for lawyers
8	are a big deal.
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: In my opinion I
10	would say that I believe that her closing statement
11	wasn't
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Instead of you
13	know, here's the key, here's the key.
14	Deal with the evidence, not with the
15	persons, all right.
16	So, what's important here is that if
17	certain facts were misrepresented, point them out to
18	me, and you've been doing that a lot all the way
19	through.
20	Stick to that, don't get into
21	personal shots. They don't help any, and you have to
22	keep in mind, Ms Maillet is counsel, she's not a
23	witness. It was different with Mr. Warman because he

1	was a party, yes, and a witness.
2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Right.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Deal with the
4	witnesses, deal with the evidence, don't deal with
5	personal attacks against the representatives.
6	I mean I think you understand what
7	I am trying to say.
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, if you feel
LO	that the Commission misrepresented the facts in their
L1	final submission, you should just go ahead and point
L2	out what the misrepresentations were, which you've
L3	already done, you began your discussion yesterday by
L4	referring directly to their document and pointing out
L5	areas where you disagree with qualification of that
L6	evidence, right.
L7	Just stick to that.
L8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay.
L9	Now, to go down to Det. Wilson
20	section, it's kind of a long section, so
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I will be
23	demonstrating that Det. Wilson is actually

1	untrustworthy Vetrovec witness, and just coming up to
2	the Vetrovec R v. Vetrovec which was
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: What do you mean by
4	that?
5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Well, it's in the
6	classification by the court of a witness with a prior
7	history of, I would say, unreliable actions that would
8	make him hard, I guess I don't know if you actually
9	read, I think
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Why don't you take
11	me to it.
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I don't actually
13	have it with me. The problem is, I don't have access
14	to all the legal documents as much as lawyers beside me
15	to.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Somehow you got
17	your hands onto the Vetrovec decision of the Supreme
18	Court, and that's accessible on the Internet, but
19	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right, but
20	it's kind of not
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: What are you trying
22	to say?
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Basically I

1	believe that he not only has a past that would make him
2	unreliable, but he also was in the Vetrovec case it
3	was an unreliable past that would make it that in order
4	for a court to take his word they would have to have it
5	corroborated with actual direct evidence.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: So you're saying
7	Det. Wilson is an unreliable witness?
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yes, I am and I
9	believe he didn't stop, I guess, misrepresenting the
10	truth again during his testimony in this court.
11	Det. Wilson is a trained and
12	experienced witness handling difficult situations.
13	He used many methods to elude the
14	answers when he was in difficulty. He was evasive when
15	the questions were not in his best interest. At the
16	beginning, during his questioning by Ms Maillet, Det.
17	Wilson acted to show a gentle and trustworthy character
18	with an almost perfect memory. He pretended to be an
19	unbiased policeman performing his duties for the best
20	of the community. But in the early stages during the
21	cross-examination we believe he revealed his true
22	identity.
23	Det. Wilson and his group of police

1	in London, including the London Crown and a few bad
2	apples from Toronto police, have been the most can I
3	use that word?
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Sir, listen, I have
5	told you. This is strong language, it's not necessary.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: It's kind of an
7	introduction though.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: I know. No, I
9	know. Really you should have thought about this.
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: We didn't get to
11	change it after what happened yesterday, so
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: It wasn't because
13	of what happened, it just makes common sense.
14	All I'm asking you guys to do here
15	today is to present the evidence to meet the case,
16	convince me one way or the other.
17	You don't have to start throwing
18	words at people.
19	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: My problem is
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: You are going to
21	change the word on the record, I appreciate that but
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Ms Maillet's
23	closing and as well Mr. Warman's closing did not deal

1	with evidence as much as, like a lot of, I guess,
2	innuendo to what was going on, some dramatics, et
3	cetera.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: They never used a
5	euphemism for you personally, they talked about the
6	information out there as
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: It's not
8	euphemism not, I'm actually trying to state my
9	position
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, you say a
11	person's despicable.
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's why I
13	didn't want to use that word, that's why I stop.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Be careful and slow
15	down your reading then so you can delete the words that
16	are inappropriate and I'll write them in.
17	Like, I just took out the other word
18	that you had there before Vetrovec, I don't know, wrote
19	unreliable.
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: So, during my
21	visits to the U.S. Det. Wilson also lied to the FBI and
22	sent his own countryman, in a sense, like a Canadian,
23	to jail by using information from non-existent

1	documents.
2	They also went on by his own
3	admission to the media to publicize their big catch
4	would be my word for it and stain my reputation. The
5	list goes on.
6	I'd like to stress to the court that
7	what we would be able to reveal is the tip of the
8	iceberg and that only he would know most of the facts
9	So, basically I want to say is, the
10	following is a typical characteristic of Det. Wilson.
11	Although he is in the police force and should have
12	documentation, as always he does not show any
13	documentation to support his statements.
14	The Crown sorry. He states that
15	the police have kept copies.
16	Det. Wilson you know what, I'm
17	getting kind of confused here. I'm doing it on the
18	fly, so
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Take your time.
20	Slow it down. Have a drink of water.
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: He states that
22	there were copies of documentation that were with the
2.3	police in London, but he doesn't explain why they were

1	missing from the files that he brought with him.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, say that
3	
3	again, please.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: He explains that
5	there were copies of documentation that were with the
6	police in London.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: However he
9	doesn't explain why they were missing from the file
10	that he brought with him to the Tribunal hearing, and
11	this is part:
12	"THE CHAIRPERSON: Can I back
13	you up on something. All these
14	e-mails that you received and
15	sent along the way, did you keep
16	copies of them?
17	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, I did.
18	We kept copies for the criminal,
19	everything from the first
20	contact with them, we kept
21	copies of them.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: And they were
23	submitted to the Justice of the

1	Peace?
2	DET/CST. WILSON: The e-mails,
3	no.
4	The facts that we received in
5	the e-mails were submitted to
6	the Justice of the Peace. I did
7	have them as an appendix if he
8	wanted to see them, but they
9	were
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: And are they
11	appendixed here to your report?
12	DET/CST. WILSON: They are not
13	included in this report that I'm
14	referring to now, they are
15	included in the criminal report
16	that we provided the crown as
17	part of the death threat
18	investigation."
19	Although Mr. Warman is asking for
20	damages in being, you know, by being exposed in Vinland
21	Voice regarding his complaint, Det. Wilson testifies
22	that NOW Magazine had already published an article
23	about Mr. Warman's complaint and that there was a

1	reproduction of that	article in the Vinland Voice.
2	In t	this article he states that:
3		"it was written by Stuart
4		Duncan, and in this article Alex
5		Krause is identified as a member
6		of the Canadian Ethnic Cleansing
7		Team who actually runs they
8		describe it as running the
9		server for the Canadian Ethnic
LO		Cleansing Team, for the C.E.C.T.
11		websites, and it also
L2		acknowledges the fact that Mr.
L3		Richard Warman has filed a
L4		complaint with the Canadian
L5		Human Rights Commission
L6		involving the Tri-City Skins and
L7		the C.E.C.TOn December the
18		2nd, 2001 I logged on to the
L9		Vinland Voice website, it was
20		again still operating at this
21		time and in it was a
22		reproduction of an article done
23		by NOW Magazine."

1	Det. Warman sorry I'm getting
2	mixed up DW, Det. Wilson told the court about the
3	e-mails which would relate to Alex Krause or Totenkopf
4	but does not produce any documents to prove it. He
5	wants us to take his word.
6	"On December the 14th, Det. Cst.
7	Jim Hogan of the Metro Toronto
8	Hate Crimes Unit forwarded
9	another e-mail to Det/Cst.
10	McKinnon involving this
11	investigation and Det/Cst.
12	McKinnon had me read that. The
13	e-mail was authored by
14	"Totenkopf" - C.E.C.T.
15	Public/Press relations"
16	Alex sends email complaining
17	signed Tot But, again, in that
18	what's relevant to this is the
19	fact that Ms Miles has
20	identified Mr. Kulbashian as
21	Totenkopf, C.E.C.T. as a
22	conversation that they had back
23	and forth."

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did you just
2	take passages from three different pages there?
3	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: No, they're
4	copied and pasted. They are just line numbers.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, they are line
6	numbers.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, it comes
8	in. The line numbers, put it beside it, it was so like
9	difficult to keep removing the line numbers when we
10	were copying and pasting.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Do we have line
12	numbers in the transcript that are that high numbered?
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, the
14	transcripts. Yeah, they're numbered.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: I thought it was
16	only page numbers that were marked.
17	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Oh no, no,
18	there's line numbers.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: But just two digit
20	line numbers I thought.
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: No, there's it
22	goes all the way to a thousand.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: The line numbers?

1	MR. V. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, the line
2	numbers.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, it's 1 through
4	25.
5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: But if you turn
6	the other page.
7	Those are the earlier ones. Look at
8	the ones, I guess later ones because I know early on
9	there weren't that many line numbers, that would go up
10	this high.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: What I want to know
12	is this: are you taking things is this one
13	continuous sentence
14	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, it is
15	actually.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: or is it from
17	different pages?
18	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: No, it's
19	continuous, continuous conversation. It's hard to
20	explain.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to look
22	at it, because I'm not sure that's what you've done
23	here.

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: It's just copied
2	and pasted and then highlighted sentences.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: It doesn't look
4	that way. I mean, it looks like it's cut and pasted
5	but it looks like you have taken three different
6	chunks because it doesn't close.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Where is three
8	different chunks?
9	THE CHAIRPERSON:
LO	"Alex sends email complaining
L1	signed TOT. But, again, is that
L2	what's relevant to this"
L3	Who's speaking? I don't even know
L 4	who's speaking.
L5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Sorry, about
L6	that. That's actually Mr. Wilson, but I guess it was
L7	really it actually stopped and it was like, so just
L8	copy and pasted.
L9	THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to look
20	at it, all right. I'll look at it.
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Because the thing
22	is we don't want to put everything it's more like
23	quotes.

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: I need to know
2	who's talking at least.
3	The other ones you have written you
4	have Mr. Vahe Kulbashian, Mr. Wilson, you see that?
5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: On the later ones,
7	but I don't have that here.
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: But there's a
9	header to this, head into it, it says, I guess, he
10	wants us to take his word and I guess we're just
11	basically explaining what his word was.
12	I guess we'll continue and bring more
13	information about that.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, bring more
15	information.
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Det. Wilson shows
17	a partial and an un-despicable sorry.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't even know
19	what that means.
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: This shows
21	partial documents and admits that any court would
22	reject them and, again, expects the court, the Tribunal
23	here, to take his word for them.

1	Mr. Vahe Kulbashian says:
2	"Yes. Now, if you look to those
3	pictures, all of them, do you
4	believe that any court would
5	accept those pictures like
6	puzzles without the full detail
7	of each one?
8	DET/CST. WILSON: Absolutely
9	not, sir.
10	MR. VAHE KULBASHIAN: The first
11	page, yes. On the right side up
12	the page we can't even read what
13	it says
14	MR. VAHE KULBASHIAN: So, in a
15	way, we should take your word
16	for this?
17	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir."
18	The following paragraphs show that
19	Det. Wilson cannot be trusted by this Tribunal. In his
20	testimony about James Richardson there's no mention
21	about uncut cards in James Richardson's apartments -
22	that's line 691, that's for lines numbers by the way -
23	and that Det. Wilson testifies that those uncut cards

1	were found in my room.
2	During his cross-examination by
3	myself he contradicts himself and says that he didn't
4	testify that the uncut cards were in my home but they
5	were found in James Richardson's.
6	Although I questioned him later about
7	the cards and he says that's not true, coming back to
8	line 691:
9	"Also in the apartment were
10	business cards"
11	This is his testimony by the way:
12	"These business cards were
13	Canadian Ethnic Cleansing Team,
14	WPCANADA, and I believe it said
15	public relations no, I'm
16	sorry, he wasn't the public
17	relations, WPCANADA was the
18	recruiter for WPCANADA and those
19	business cards were located very
20	close to the computer at which
21	Mr. Richardson was sitting."
22	And he's talking about my room.
23	"Also located inside the

1		apartment were or inside his
2		bedroom next to the computer
3		were business cards that were
4		not cut out of the cardboard yet
5		and on these business cards it
6		said "Canadian Ethnic Cleansing
7		Team Totenkopf Public Relations
8		underneath it."
9	Then	I ask him:
10		"Okay. Yesterday during your
11		testimony you also stated that
12		found a batch of uncut cards at
13		my house during the search and
14		seizure.
15		DET/CST. WILSON: No, I don't
16		believe I stated that I had a
17		set of uncut cards from your
18		house, I believe I said I seized
19		a set of uncut card from Mr.
20		Richardson's place and there was
21		a set of cards from your place."
22	Then	I continue, Mr. Kulbashian asks:
23		"I guess I should just say, is

were actually seized from my house? DET/CST. WILSON: No, they're not. MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Could you see if you can find a reference to that in the evidence list? MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I'm not sure if the cards are actually explicitly marked with their content, but if they are, that would obviously help. DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only reference I have here is I have an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to business cards for exhibit No.	1	it possible that these cards
DET/CST. WILSON: No, they're not. MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Could you see if you can find a reference to that in the evidence list? MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I'm not sure if the cards are actually explicitly marked with their content, but if they are, that would obviously help. DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only reference I have here is I have an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	2	were actually seized from my
not. MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Could you see if you can find a reference to that in the evidence list? MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I'm not sure if the cards are actually explicitly marked with their content, but if they are, that would obviously help. DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only reference I have here is I have an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	3	house?
MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Could you see if you can find a reference to that in the evidence list? MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I'm not sure if the cards are actually explicitly marked with their content, but if they are, that would obviously help. DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only reference I have here is I have an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	4	DET/CST. WILSON: No, they're
you see if you can find a reference to that in the evidence list? MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I'm not sure if the cards are actually explicitly marked with their content, but if they are, that would obviously help. DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only reference I have here is I have an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	5	not.
reference to that in the evidence list? MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I'm not sure if the cards are actually explicitly marked with their content, but if they are, that would obviously help. DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only reference I have here is I have an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	6	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Could
9 evidence list? 10 MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I'm not 11 sure if the cards are actually 12 explicitly marked with their 13 content, but if they are, that 14 would obviously help. 15 DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only 16 reference I have here is I have 17 an exhibit No. 14 that we seized 18 on the search warrant at your 19 place. 20 There are Tri-City Skins and 21 C.E.C.T. business cards. 22 I have another reference to	7	you see if you can find a
MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I'm not sure if the cards are actually explicitly marked with their content, but if they are, that would obviously help. DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only reference I have here is I have an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	8	reference to that in the
sure if the cards are actually explicitly marked with their content, but if they are, that would obviously help. DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only reference I have here is I have an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	9	evidence list?
explicitly marked with their content, but if they are, that would obviously help. DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only reference I have here is I have an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	10	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I'm not
content, but if they are, that would obviously help. DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only reference I have here is I have an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	11	sure if the cards are actually
would obviously help. DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only reference I have here is I have an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	12	explicitly marked with their
DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only reference I have here is I have an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	13	content, but if they are, that
reference I have here is I have an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	14	would obviously help.
an exhibit No. 14 that we seized on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	15	DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only
on the search warrant at your place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	16	reference I have here is I have
place. There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	17	an exhibit No. 14 that we seized
There are Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. business cards. I have another reference to	18	on the search warrant at your
21 C.E.C.T. business cards. 22 I have another reference to	19	place.
I have another reference to	20	There are Tri-City Skins and
	21	C.E.C.T. business cards.
business cards for exhibit No.	22	I have another reference to
	23	business cards for exhibit No.

1	16, three business card
2	packages, and exhibit No. 24,
3	assorted papers and C.E.C.T.
4	business cards, none of them
5	express the fact that they were
6	an uncut version, which I know
7	myself is a relevant piece of
8	fact, that I would make sure
9	that would be reflected in the
LO	exhibit list."
L1	Even though he did state earlier on
L2	that they were uncut from my house.
L3	THE CHAIRPERSON: All of this to say
L4	there was a contradiction in his evidence.
L5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: See, the point is
L6	that I'm new to this process and I'm going to do my
L7	hardest to get every single point across just to make
L8	sure that I don't regret anything later.
L9	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I just want
20	to be clear, you're raising it
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Pretty much to
22	show a contradiction.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: But the evidence

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The contradiction
2	is that uncut cards implies they are being printed at
3	the location.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand
5	that.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: What it would do
7	is it would basically prejudice the court or the
8	Tribunal against me or against Mr. Richardson. The
9	idea is
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, you are saying
11	Mr. Wilson in his examination in chief misrepresented
12	the facts?
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
14	This was in the cross-examination.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: And changed his
16	position in cross-examination.
17	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
18	Det. Wilson admits that after his
19	resignation after my resignation I wasn't involved
20	in any articles.
21	Det. Wilson says:
22	"Yes, sir, you told me in the
23	interview you resigned, as well

1	as posted it on the internet
2	that you resigned at that same
3	time.
4	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did you
5	see any articles or anything
6	that would indicate that I was
7	actively \part of the C.E.C.T.
8	afterwards?
9	DET/CST. WILSON: After December
10	16th?
11	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Yeah, I
12	think it would have been
13	December 16th.
14	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir, I
15	don't believe I did."
16	In the following he refutes Mr.
17	Warman's testimony that the author of the article was
18	myself, this is the article that Mr. Warman IDs as me.
19	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So,
20	would you believe that, I guess,
21	logo and template would indicate
22	the author of the article or
23	just, I mean, designer of the

1		template or
2		DET/CST. WILSON: On the
3		template? I believe
4		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: The
5		actual Totenkopf logo with the
6		skull on the side.
7		DET/CST. WILSON: I believe that
8		to be the web master of the
9		webpage because it's found on
LO		every page after that."
L1	Det.	Wilson states that the articles
L2	can be altered easily	on the Internet.
L3	I as:	k:
L4		"Somebody who somebody who is
L5		a middleman, basically the guy
L6		who received the article and
L7		would upload it, do you think
L8		that person could modify an
L9		article he received to upload
20		before he actually did upload
21		it?
22		DET/CST. WILSON: Absolutely."
23	Agai	n, Det. Wilson shows documents

1	with no signature or header and he accepts the fact
2	that they could easily get forged.
3	I asked this is just talking about
4	Execulink records by the way for the alleged, I guess,
5	warrant for Execulink that he said seized Mr.
6	Richardson's information.
7	I asked:
8	"Do you see the name Execulink
9	anywhere, I mean as referring to
10	company name, other than the
11	type of information that you
12	were talking about yesterday?
13	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir, I
14	don't.
15	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Is there
16	any official letterhead from the
17	company?
18	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir,
19	there's not.
20	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Is there
21	a signature by the person who
22	issued this document?
23	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir,

1	t	there's not.
2	M	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
3	Н	Have you ever seen the forms
4	((BELL) they provide with
5	i	information that they extract
6	f	From customer accounts?
7	D	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir, it
8	s	says Bell Canada on the top
9	p	page.
10	M	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
11	I	Is it safe to say that a
12	đ	document that looks identical to
13	t	this can be produced on
14	M	Microsoft Word or on WordPad?
15	D	DET/CST. WILSON: A document
16	i	dentical to this could be
17	p	produced in another way?
18	M	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Well,
19	У	veah.
20	D	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir, I
21	t	think that's possible."
22	Again,	the following is another
23	explicit example that De	et. Warman, his word cannot be

1	trusted sorry, Det. Wilson.
2	Det. Wilson would say anything, but
3	when he gets caught, he is used to react smoothly and
4	in an evasive manner and changes his testimony.
5	Det. Wilson testified that Det. Hogan
6	and Det. Samm met me at my address, which is not true.
7	When he gets caught he says, that is not his
8	information, he did not say that, he did not testify to
9	that.
LO	Det. Wilson says:
L1	"Yes, sir. It says: "On
L2	December 20, 2001 Detective
L3	James Hogan (6274) and Detective
L4	Constable Samuel Samm (1297) of
L5	the Toronto Police Service Hate
L6	Crime Unit met with Alexan
L7	Kulbashian (1981/12/07) in
L8	person for the purpose of
L9	discussing some of his
20	activities related to web sites
21	on the Internet. At this time
22	Alexan Kulbashian confirmed that
23	he uses the names 'Alex Krause'

1	and 'Totenkopf' as pseudonyms
2	for himself when working on the
3	web.
4	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I'm not
5	talking about punctuation
6	though.
7	Do you see an address in
8	paragraph 1?
9	DET/CST. WILSON: No, I don't.
10	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: (ON DEC
11	20th) On the first day you
12	testified you had testified that
13	Detective Samuel Samm and James
14	Hogan met me at my house. I
15	don't remember what date it was
16	because I don't remember off the
17	top of my head, but do you know
18	when they met me at my house?
19	DET/CST. WILSON: Well, it's my
20	information that they never met
21	you at your house and if I gave
22	that impression I apologize. I
23	know they met you, they did not

1		meet you at your house.
2		DET/CST. WILSON: On December
3		the 20th, Cst. Sam Samm relayed
4		information to me that he had
5		gone out and spoken to Alexan
6		Kulbashian, it mostly had to do
7		with an assault that he was
8		already dealing with in Toronto,
9		Mr. Kulbashian was dealing with
LO		an assault, and as a part of
L1		Cst. Samm's duties, he went out
L2		to speak with him concerning
L3		thatCst. Samm
L4		attended at Mr. Kulbashian's
L5		address, and during this
L6		conversation Alexan Kulbashian
L7		admitted to being Totenkopf as
L8		well as Alex Krause to Cst.
L9		Samm."
20	MR. A	A. KULBASHIAN: And this actually
21	came before, as you mi	ght notice from line number.
22	This is what he had st	ated and then he said, I'm sorry
23	if I gave out that imp	ression, I apologize. It's a

1	little more than just impression, once, like lately put
2	there.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: What is the
4	significance of that, whether it was your address or
5	not?
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The significance
7	is contradictions. The entire document on Det. Wilson
8	here is contradictions, and I'm not going to use any
9	stronger words yet.
LO	The following is a continuation of
L1	this problem. This is give me a sec.
L2	They say that I have admitted to them
L3	to being Totenkopf and Alex Krause and designed those
L4	sites, which now Det. Wilson confirms is not the case
L5	in such an evasive manner.
L6	Det. Wilson says:
L7	"I can't read my own duty book
L8	notes sometimes, so"
L9	Okay, this is from his duty book, for
20	the record:
21	"During interview Kulbashian,
22	Alexan states he designed the
23	webpage for the following

1	sites/groups:
2	- Canadian Ethnic Cleansing Team
3	(C.E.C.T.)
4	- Tri-City Skins
5	- Toronto Police Leisure Club
6	- Vox Candidi
7	- Vinland Voice
8	Kulbashian further stated"
9	Yeah, I'm being corrected, I think it
10	was from Samm's duty book.
11	"Kulbashian further stated he
12	runs a web server which
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: Hosts.
14	DET/CST. WILSON: Okay. Oh
15	yeah.
16	" which hosts additional
17	racist/white supremacist sites
18	known as 'AffordableSpace'.
19	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Would
20	you consider him (McKinnon) to
21	be a person of integrity?
22	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
23	DET/CST. WILSON: No, I believe

1	the interview stated that you
2	had the Tri-City Skins site on
3	your server of
4	affordablespace.com.
5	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
6	remember me admitting to
7	designing the Toronto Police
8	Leisure Club site?
9	DET/CST. WILSON: No, I believe
10	you admitted to it being on your
11	server.
12	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did I
13	admit to designing the Vinland
14	Voice site itself?
15	DET/CST. WILSON: No, you
16	advised me, if I recall
17	correctly, that the design was
18	actually taken from the Canadian
19	Ethnic Cleansing Team site that
20	you designed and the Vinland
21	Voice was created out of that
22	design you created."
23 The	two e-mails which they claimed

1	about my involvement and pseudonyms as always are
2	missing.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: I missed entirely
4	what you just read a mile a minute.
5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: These are quotes
6	I can make it brief. Basically in the
7	cross-examination
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: where he
10	stated that according to some documents that he
11	believed Det. Samm and Det. Hogan stated that Det.
12	McKinnon had told them that during my interview I had
13	confessed to doing the following or admitting to
14	doing the following things, and according to him who
15	was actually interviewing me, during my interview at
16	the police station, he said that I did no such thing.
17	And this idea of trying you see
18	the thing is the fact of the matter is that the
19	complainant and the commission had brought police
20	notes.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And some
23	police

1	THE (CHAIRPERSON: These police notes
2	were contradicted by e	vidence during the interrogation
3	MR. A	A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
4	In a	way this might show some sort
5	of, I guess, group eff	ort on falsifying information.
6	Okay.	. Now, we're also talking about
7	the e-mails where he w	as talking about e-mails from
8	Carol Miles in Arizona	stating that I was Totenkopf.
9	He sa	aid there was the e-mail that was
LO	sent back stating that	I was Totenkopf by me.
11	So, t	calking about that Ms Maillet
L2	says:	
13		"I can advise the Chair that we
L 4		went through three binders that
L5		Mr. Wilson has with him and we
L6		didn't see any reference to that
L7		e-mail."
L8	Even	though Det. Wilson testified
L9	about such e-mails.	
20	I say	7 :
21		"You also testified about an
22		e-mail where I complained about
23		Carol Miles and that was

1	forwarded to Det. McKinnon.
2	Is that e-mail there too.
3	DET/CST. WILSON: I'd have to
4	look through the binders to
5	ensure, but I don't believe I've
6	come across it just yet.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: No one has
8	found it. So, it's not in the
9	possession of this witness."
10	The following shows that they went so
11	far as to like alienate my boss to have me fired. They
12	even implicated Mr. Weisman which was my ex-boss as
13	being the owner of Stop Islam.com site.
14	It says two-page letter, Exhibit No.
15	R-2 (Appendix I, page 16-17) this is our exhibit.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mm-hmm.
17	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Two-page letter
18	on the letterhead of Weisman Consultants Inc. dated
19	December 20, 2002.
20	And this letter from what I remember
21	Det. Wilson said he recognized the letter and seen it
22	before.
23	"In addition, we get visits

1	from various Police
2	organizations, with letters from
3	the FBI, that Weisman
4	Consultants Inc. is the
5	registered owner of the hate
6	website, StopIslam. As you were
7	in charge of our websites, we
8	can conclude that we were
9	exposed to this as a result of
10	your activity on the hosting
11	computers."
12	So, that's actually probably the
13	letter that he recognized.
14	Although Det. Wilson dealt with
15	almost every subject that came into his mind during
16	this whole questioning, I was never asked any questions
17	about Tri-City Skins. This is during the interview at
18	the police station.
19	Det. Wilson also admitted that he was
20	investigating the Southern Ontario White Supremacist
21	groups.
22	Det. Wilson knew very well that I had
23	nothing to do with Tri-City Skins other than them being

1	on, you now, the server.
2	When I told him that his only
3	relation with Tri-City was that they were on the serve
4	he did not respond or continue to ask me anything.
5	Det. Wilson says:
6	"Well, I can tell you that
7	before September 14th members of
8	the white supremacist movement
9	in southwestern Ontario were all
10	being monitored by myself and
11	many other police officers in
12	the province.
13	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, and
14	you obviously just admitted that
15	I claimed that I was part of
16	Tri-City Skins in that phone
17	conversation.
18	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
19	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: If you
20	were investigating Tri-City
21	Skins, did you at any part
22	during my interview at the
23	police station ask me about

1	membership of Tri-City Skins?
2	DET/CST. WILSON: No, and I can
3	explain
4	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
5	remember asking me about
6	anything else other than just
7	the threat that was posted
8	on-line?
9	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir, I
10	did."
11	Well, the idea being that he asked me
12	about quite a few other things as we, I guess, saw in
13	court during my interview but he didn't ask me about
14	membership in Tri-City Skins and the only thing he ever
15	asked me about Tri-City Skins was the hosting.
16	And he claims that we have to take
17	his word for it that I told him during a phone
18	conversation, even though there was messages according
19	to Toronto officer's logs, message from the London
20	Police to the Toronto officers stating that I told them
21	during my interview that I was Totenkopf and I was part
22	of Tri-City Skins.
23	So, it's a pretty serious

1	inconsistency.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. I'd
3	like us to take our break at noon today again.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Sure.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, is this an
6	appropriate time?
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: What time is it,
8	12:05?
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: It's for lunch
11	break.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: But I have a
13	commitment.
14	So, you will have to accommodate me.
15	So, we can continue after. You say
16	you only have half an hour.
17	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I thought you
18	were talking about half an hour break.
19	MR. V. KULBASHIAN: No, no, no, he's
20	not
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I call the
22	times.
23	Okay, thank you.

1	Upon recessing at 12:05 p.m.
2	Upon resuming at 1:30 p.m.
3	REGISTRY OFFICER: Order, please.
4	All rise.
5	Please be seated.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Levac is
7	handing you out copies of the statute as it was prior
8	to the amendments of 2001.
9	So, I was a bit erroneous in terms of
10	what I said before, there always were three
11	subsections, but ss. 2 was a bit different as you can
12	see, ss. 2 is a four-line paragraph in the first and
13	now it's longer to include the reference to the
14	Internet.
15	And you will see that ss. 2, the new
16	ss. 2 incorporates what was in the old ss. 2, so you
17	can refer back to that.
18	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Just kind of a
19	clarification issue.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry?
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Kind of a
22	clarification issue from yesterday. So, that is
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I don't know

1	I haven't heard the parties in terms of there was
2	some mention from the Commission and complainant about
3	the new legislation and it may have some application.
4	There was
5	so ongoing existence of the websites past December,
6	2001, I think there was a bit of an overlap you
7	indicated, Ms Maillet?
8	MS MAILLET: That's correct. Most of
9	the material was downloaded and viewed in the fall of
10	2001 but there were some that were viewed as well in
11	January and February, 2002.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: It is your
13	submission that the Act, the pre-amendment Act applies
14	to this case?
15	MS MAILLET: Well, to some of the
16	material but so both versions end up applying
17	because some of it as well was viewed in January and
18	February.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, it is also your
20	position in terms of, when one analyses the statute,
21	that that the amendments did not have a retrospective
22	effect, they applied from that moment forward; is that
23	your position?

1	MS MAILLET: That's correct, that's
2	correct. However, when the amendments came in and they
3	indicated "for greater certainty", I believe it was my
4	submission that that was always the intent.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: I understood that
6	and you also referred to a couple of our decisions to
7	that effect.
8	MS MAILLET: That's right.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: But pre-amendment.
10	MS MAILLET: That's right.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Richardson, I
12	see you are at the microphone.
13	MR. RICHARDSON: Yes, sir. I
14	understand that we gave the closing arguments in good
15	faith to the court so that everybody can have time to
16	prepare.
17	I witnessed the Commission give my
18	closing statements to the news reporter in the back
19	here and I have many concerns with this. (a) it being
20	some of the stuff that I have not even put in the
21	record might end up appearing in a newspaper tomorrow.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
23	MR. RICHARDSON: I'm very upset that

1	my information has been handed out like this (a)	
2	without my permission, I mean this was handed out in	
3	good faith, as far as I'm concerned, you know, and	
4	they're just really handing this out.	
5	My concern is that this is going to	
6	end up in the paper tomorrow before	
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Before you have had	
8	a chance to speak.	
9	MR. RICHARDSON: I feel my closing	
10	statement is no good now and I actually want to write a	
11	new one.	
12	I'm disgusted.	
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: Hold on, hold on.	
14	MS MAILLET: As an officer of the	
15	court, Mr. Chair, I can advise you that I have not	
16	provided Mr. Richardson's statement to the reporter	
17	that's sitting here.	
18	I hadn't even read it, I gave my copy	
19	back to Mr. Levac this morning, he gave me the new	
20	version and I have no idea where Mr. Richardson is gets	
21	this information from. We can ask	
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Quick	
23	clarification. He might have been using the wrong	

1	term, I think he meant the complainant.	
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, so I'll ask.	
3	Mr. Warman, did you hand over your	
4	document to	
5	MR. WARMAN: I think Mr. Richardson	
6	may be confusing a decision of the Supreme Court that	
7	was just handed out today that I handed to Mr.	
8	Richmond.	
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: To the reporter?	
10	MR. RICHARDSON: All four of us	
11	witnessed it, we seen the document, it's my closing	
12	statement. I mean	
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, let me	
14	clarify.	
15	I mean I think it's only fair, yes,	
16	they are public but we haven't even touched upon them	
17	yet, so I think it's fair.	
18	MR. RICHARDSON: He can pull anything	
19	out of his gym bag, here it was these papers he gave	
20	him. What does that matter, what he pulls out.	
21	I mean, there's four people here that	
22	saw you.	
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Richardson,	

1	please.
2	MR. RICHARDSON: Whatever.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, don't
4	'whatever' please
5	You know, every time there is a
6	discussion where someone says something counter to your
7	consequence, you state 'whatever' and you start packing
8	your things as if you are ready to leave.
9	MR. RICHARDSON: Well, I'm you know
10	I'm obviously a liar, I did not just see that happen.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know. Can
12	I find out, please, sir.
13	So, what is this document that was
14	handed to you, Mr. Levac?
15	One thing at a time, please, Mr.
16	Richardson.
17	It is a copy of a decision from the
18	Supreme Court, Mr. Richardson, and Mr. Warman is this
19	the document you handed, the decision is R. v.
20	Cromouski.
21	MR. WARMAN: Yes, it's a decision
22	that I just printed off from the computer and handed to
23	Pandy Richmond of the London Free Dress

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Did you hand any
2	other documents to the reporter from the London Free
3	Press?
4	MR. WARMAN: No, I did not.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: This is a statement
6	that you are making on your oath of office?
7	MR. WARMAN: If Mr. Richardson is
8	referring to the document that moments ago I handed to
9	Mr. Richmond, that is exactly the document there.
LO	THE CHAIRPERSON: Let me ask you a
L1	more direct question.
L2	Did you hand the journalist a copy of
13	Mr. Richardson's
L4	MR. WARMAN: No, I did not.
L5	THE CHAIRPERSON: preparation for
L6	his submissions?
L7	MR. WARMAN: No, I did not.
L8	THE CHAIRPERSON: I have his answer
L9	and I have no reason to doubt it. Why Mr. Richardson,
20	can you not accept the answer?
21	MR. RICHARDSON: Four people here saw
22	him do it. We seen the article. I mean, it doesn't
23	matter, you know something, it just doesn't matter

anymore.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Sir, those are the
types of comments that I think are inappropriate.
MR. RICHARDSON: He pulled a piece of
paper out of his bag, it could have been any piece of
paper.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask you,
sir
MR. RICHMOND: Sure.
THE CHAIRPERSON: to stand up and
approach the microphone so I can resolve this.
Do you have in your possession a copy
of Mr. Richardson's submissions?
MR. RICHMOND: I do not, sir.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Your name, sir,
just for the record?
MR. RICHMOND: Randy Richmond.
THE CHAIRPERSON: From the?
MR. RICHMOND: London Free Press.
THE CHAIRPERSON: You did not receive
a copy?
MR. RICHMOND: I did not.
THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr.

1	Richardson, I have three affirmations that it did not
2	occur from the individuals and I have no reason to
3	doubt them, and considering you say you witnessed the
4	handing over of papers from a distance, these are
5	people who were actually allegedly involved. I don't
6	see any reason to question their
7	MR. RICHARDSON: I didn't use the
8	word distance
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: And I should point
10	out that eventually by the end of the day or tomorrow
11	you will have had your opportunity to make your
12	submissions.
13	MR. RICHARDSON: Well, I'm not using
14	these closing statements now because they are no good
15	to me.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Richardson,
17	seriously, I have an affirmation here.
18	Do you have any proof to the contrary
19	that they were sent. You say at what distance were
20	you when you saw this transfer?
21	MR. RICHARDSON: We were all standing
22	right here, we were right here.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's a good four

1	metres away.
2	Four metres. The papers that were
3	handed other look very similar to your arguments, I
4	must say.
5	As a matter of fact it's being shown
6	to me now by Mr. Levac, he's putting your submission in
7	the left hand and the decision from the Supreme Court
8	on the right side and they look very similar to each
9	other from a distance and where I am sitting right now.
10	It's possible you made a mistake.
11	I have no further reason to question
12	the affirmation of three other individuals in this
13	room.
14	I'm sorry, Mr. Richardson.
15	Go ahead, Mr. Kulbashian, continue,
16	please. And I expect you, Mr. Richardson, to make your
17	submissions thereafter and not to take positions that
18	are extreme. There's no reason for that.
19	Go ahead.
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. I'm just
21	trying to figure out where I was before.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Take a moment.
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay, here we go.

1	Another example is kind of like, I
2	guess, irregularities on behalf of Det. Wilson are the
3	following:
4	Basically we're talking about the
5	report by Dean Steacy and where we were asking him
6	about the encryption on the computer, et cetera.
7	It says:
8	"I spoke with CST Wilson
9	concerning Alexan Kulbashian -
10	CECT and asked if they had been
11	able to un-encrypt Alexian
12	Kulbashian's (AK) hard drive
13	yet?
14	CST Wilson said that they had
15	been able to crack only a small
16	portion of the hard drive and
17	that it take upward of 1000 CDs.
18	I asked if he seen what was on
19	the dick's", dick's disk's
20	I think.
21	He said that there is
22	information from the websites:
23	the Tri-City Skins; the CECT:

1	Vinelandvoice, by April 19,
2	2002. He said that he checked
3	on the 17th and they were closed
4	down."
5	This is kind of information,
6	information about the I don't know if you remember
7	Dean Steacy's report about Det. Wilson telling him
8	about the sites, et cetera because he stated he had
9	found according to Dean Steacy who is an
10	investigator at the Commission in his memo he had
11	stated that he had talked to Det. Wilson.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Right.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And that some of
14	the computer had been cracked, et cetera.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And I say I
17	ask:
18	"Do you remember this
19	conversation with Dean Steacy?
20	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, I do.
21	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Is this
22	I guess an accurate portrayal, I
23	guess a generally accurate

1	portrayal of the conversation?
2	DET/CST. WILSON: Absolutely,
3	sir. We never got into your
4	computer at all because of
5	encryption, so at one time we
6	said it's 51 CDs, somebody
7	other experts would tell us it
8	would be a thousand CDs to put
9	on it.
LO	We never got inside.
L1	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Thanks.
L2	Okay. Now, if you can just
L3	if you're talking about
L 4	paraphrasing
L5	Because this is like a continuation
L6	where he said it was paraphrased, and even though it
L7	says that he had told sorry Mr. Steacy that he had
L8	cracked the computer and even though I confronted him
L9	on it, he said still said it was an accurate portrayal
20	anyway he still said it was wrong.
21	Anyway, now I said:
22	"Okay. Now, if you can just
23	if you're talking about

1	paraphrasing, where would he
2	have gotten information about
3	what was inside the encryption
4	if you had told him that it
5	wasn't cracked?
6	DET/CST. WILSON: I have no
7	idea, sir.
8	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, are
9	you saying that he made that
10	part up?
11	DET/CST. WILSON: No I'm not,
12	sir.
13	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Actually
14	the flow of the conversation
15	says:
16	"I asked if he had seen what was
17	on the dicks. He said that
18	there is information from the
19	websites: the Tri-City Skins;
20	CECT; Vinelandvoice."
21	This is in direct relation to
22	what would be on the disk.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's another

1	approach.
2	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I
3	understand. Did you say
4	something along the lines of a
5	thousand CDs at all?
6	DET/CST. WILSON: Oh, I think so
7	I did, yeah.
8	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
9	Now, would you agree that
10	there's a slight discrepancy in
11	the number?
12	DET/CST. WILSON: Absolutely,
13	sir. Because we never got into
14	your computer, we have no idea
15	if it's 51 CDs, five CDs, a
16	thousand CDs, (Just thru
17	numbers!)"
18	Sorry, typo there.
19	I say:
20	"But according to him you did
21	also tell him some things about
22	what content was on the hard
23	drive.

1	I'm just getting confused here.
2	DET/CST. WILSON: No, I don't
3	believe I said there was
4	anything on your hard drive. I
5	said it was encrypted and we
6	couldn't get into it.
7	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, do
8	you think that Dean Steacy is
9	being untruthful in his
10	DET/CST. WILSON: No, I don't
11	believe he is."
12	The problem here is I believe that
13	this is a blatant and if anything, like, a blatant
14	attempt at covering up lies that he told Dean Steacy
15	I don't know if he's a detective, Det. Steacy, Mr.
16	Steacy I guess.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: The investigator,
18	the special investigator.
19	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I believe that
20	these are blatant lies that he told him to either, I
21	guess, raise his profile as somebody who can get things
22	done, or he didn't have the heart to tell him that he
23	had nothing, or he was trying to make things, or he was

1	expecting those few phrases in the investigation to go
2	as far as the court ending up saying: Oh well, he says
3	that he found this information. I don't think he ever
4	expected to testify.
5	Anyway one way or another I believe
6	this is a blatant lie and he was being evasive.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Wilson was
8	being evasive.
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yes.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Blatant attempt at
11	what?
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Blatant
13	attempt a blatant lie. Not a blatant attempt,
14	actually is blatant lie and I don't believe I don't
15	have any reason to question Mr. Steacy's, I guess I
16	don't have any reason to question Mr. Steacy's
17	credibility and in terms of what information he filed
18	in his report.
19	The problem is when he gets told by
20	an officer, you see the thing is a misunderstanding
21	would be maybe he said it wasn't encrypted but I
22	understood wrong but when he says that the information
23	that we found was, I mean somebody would either having

1	to be really make up information for that to be that
2	kind of miscommunication between Det. Wilson and Mr.
3	Steacy.
4	And I believe that's actually a
5	blatant lie that was told to the investigator on this
6	case before this case reached the Tribunal.
7	And I believe that that's a part of
8	circumstantial evidence that would have, had this not
9	been addressed, I believe this would have been some
10	form of circumstantial evidence saying that this
11	information was found on Mr. Kulbashian's computer ever
12	though we don't have his computer here, but we'll trust
13	his word for what information was found if it had been
14	addressed in the court.
15	And I believe that is actually pretty
16	serious, and I'm going to continue with my I'm going
17	to make more commentary.
18	Okay. And he also claims that he
19	didn't complain to the sites.
20	"DET/CST. WILSON: Did I
21	complain to?
22	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Any of
23	the sites at all.

1	DET/CST. WILSON: No, I didn't."
2	We have the admission of two of the
3	witnesses that they did not complain about the content
4	the sites to anybody.
5	In the following Det. Wilson
6	acknowledges that my attire was not that of a skinhead
7	and I did not resist arrest and it says:
8	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
9	So when you raided my house then
10	in relation to that, did you
11	find any flight jackets, any
12	boots with white laces, red
13	laces, anything like that?
14	DET/CST. WILSON: I didn't find
15	any flight jacket or boots, I
16	found T-shirts.
17	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: What was
18	I wearing when you arrested me?
19	DET/CST. WILSON: Your
20	underwear.
21	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Oh,
22	pretty much.
23	What was I wearing when I was

1	walking out?
2	DET/CST. WILSON: You were just
3	wearing normal clothes, nothing
4	denoting any affiliation to
5	anything.
6	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did I
7	resist arrest or fight with the
8	police officers, or get
9	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir. You
10	knew we were coming because you
11	were talking to Det. McKinnon on
12	the phone.
13	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: But did
14	I in any way try to run or
15	struggle or anything like that?
16	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir."
17	Det. Wilson is not concerned that
18	Totenkopf is actually myself, only so far it is only
19	the police who have identified myself as Totenkopf and
20	there is no other allegation anywhere that I am
21	Totenkopf.
22	It says:
23	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, do

1	you believe that every article
2	posted that has Totenkopf is me?
3	Posted under, I guess posted
4	under the pseudonym Totenkopf is
5	posted by me?
6	DET/CST. WILSON: I don't know."
7	And then further on I ask:
8	"Aside from Alex Krause, is
9	there any, I guess, any
10	reference to Totenkopf at all in
11	newspapers or any other, I
12	guess, non-C.E.C.T. sources that
13	you would 1 have seen?
14	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
15	There was a confidential human
16	source that said you were
17	Totenkopf, there was another
18	police officer that says you
19	identified yourself as Totenkopf
20	or Alex Krause to him
21	personally.
22	So, there is other indications
23	that you were Totenkopf.

1	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And to
2	media or to anybody to the
3	media, have I ever ID'd myself
4	as anything but Alex Krause?
5	DET/CST. WILSON: No, you
6	identified yourself other
7	than Alex Krause?
8	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Anything
9	other than Alex Krause, I
LO	DET/CST. WILSON: Not that I
L1	know of, no, sir."
L2	The problem is he goes back to the
L3	information of Det. Samm's memo that states that he was
L4	told by Det. McKinnon I told them that I was Totenkopf
L5	and he's trying to use that information that he
L6	previously read in the court in his initial questioning
L7	to state after the fact that there is a confidential
L8	human source and another police officer that says that
L9	you identified yourself as Totenkopf.
20	But, in fact, from the officer's
21	notes, the notes don't state I identified myself to him
22	as Totenkopf, they state that they were told by Det.
23	McKinnon, another detective on this case, that T

1	identified myself as Totenkopf at the police station
2	during my interview.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Wilson's
4	understanding of you identifying yourself as Totenkopf
5	is derived from hearsay he received.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I believe Mr.
7	Wilson's opinion is not derived from hearsay, see the
8	problem is Mr. Wilson claimed in his testimony that
9	Det. Samm had told him that I identified myself to him
LO	or Det. Hogan as Totenkopf.
L1	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's hearsay.
L2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Exactly.
L3	However, when he refers to Det. Samm's notes, Det. Sam
L4	states I identified myself to Det. McKinnon and Det.
L5	Wilson during my interview.
L6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Double hearsay.
L7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Exactly, and no
L8	information as to me identifying myself to Det. Samm
L9	himself as Totenkopf.
20	So, basically and there is no
21	evidence that I did identify myself during the
22	interview as Totenkopf, in fact, he claims I did not,
2	which I helieve is a little more than just using

1	hearsay, it's distortion of the events and of the
2	facts.
3	Okay. The following is another
4	contradictions by Det. Wilson.
5	In the memo it says that the sites
6	were shut down on April 19th, 2002 but he testified
7	that a judge ordered Alexan Kulbashian to shut down the
8	sites on June 6th, 2001.
9	I'm sorry, there's a typo.
10	So, this is basically in the
11	conversations between Det. Wilson and Mr. Steacy.
12	"So, the memo says as of April
13	19th, 2002 the websites were
14	closed, were down; is that what
15	it says?"
16	I say:
17	"He testified on June 6th that I
18	was told to shut down the sites,
19	which makes it apparent the
20	sites were up then."
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Let me read that
22	again.
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Basically he was

StenoTran

1	stating, he was trying to say that as of June 6th, I
2	think it was actually oh, June 6th, 2002.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: 2002, right.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: 2002, right. So,
5	he was stating that the sites were still up after the
6	fact, even though as in he was trying to imply that
7	by being ordered to shut done the sites on June 6th,
8	even though the date was inaccurate, he was implying
9	that the sites were still up after I was ordered to
10	shut them down.
11	Okay. And Det. Wilson testified that
12	he realized that the one crouching in the picture was
13	not me, it is in the picture of the Tri-City Skins that
14	he claimed, and says that upon meeting with me he
15	realized that the information was not true, however,
16	during his testimony he admitted to telling the court
17	during my bail condition that he had a picture of me
18	crouching in front of the Tri-City Skinheads, as a
19	member of the Tri-City Skinheads, and here it is.
20	It says:
21	"One of the seized photos
22	depicted several individuals,
23	grouped together displaying the

1	nazi salute. The male crouching
2	in the front portion of the
3	photo was Alexan Kulbashian."
4	This information was in his brief
5	that was submitted during my bail hearing
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: I recall.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Mr. Richardson
8	says:
9	"So, back to the photo. Is the
LO	guy crouching on the floor
L1	Alexan Kulbashian?
L2	DET/CST. WILSON: No, it's not."
L3	Det. Wilson says:
L4	"At the time I wrote this I
L5	believed it to be true, but as
L6	time went on during the
L7	investigation I realized that it
L8	was not, in fact, true."
L9	During his cross-examination he also
20	admitted to using this document during my bail hearing
21	to try and get them to deny me bail.
22	Knowing that that information is not
23	true and filing it with the court, which would have to

1	have been filed as an affidavit for the court to
2	obviously accept it as true information, would mean
3	that he would have a history of lying by his own
4	admission giving false information to the courts.
5	So, Det. Wilson worked above and
6	beyond his call of duty and did not ask why the charges
7	were dropped. I believe that this is a pure lie. I
8	believe that he knows full well why the charges were
9	dropped.
10	Mr. Richardson says:
11	"Is it safe to say that, like
12	the collection of evidence that
13	you spent all your own time on,
14	you went above and beyond the
15	call of duty to achieve this
16	matter?
17	DET/CST. WILSON: I believe I
18	got an award because I did that.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: You got a
20	reward or award?
21	MR. RICHARDSON: He got an
22	award.
23	Speaking of that award, you got

1	that award for arresting Alex
2	and I. The people that gave you
3	that award, do they know all the
4	charges were dropped?"
5	And - give me a sec. Oh.
6	"MR. RICHARDSON:*** Yeah. Now,
7	getting into that, in your
8	opinion, Later on quoting
9	again,. can you ell me why our
10	criminal charges were dropped?
11	DET/CST. WILSON: I don't know.
12	I have never had a reply from
13	the crown attorney and I never
14	asked the crown attorney too, I
15	apologize.
16	MR. RICHARDSON: You spent three
17	years on the case and you never
18	asked him?
19	DET/CST. WILSON: That's not my
20	job. And again, the mean time I
21	have moved across the country
22	and my job is to present a file
23	to the crown attorney."

1	Det. Wilson also states later on:
2	"I think I did more in this
3	investigation than I have done
4	in other investigations, yes. I
5	have done in other
6	investigations, yes."
7	In the following paragraph Det.
8	Wilson clearly states that Vinland Voice and CECT are
9	different sites.
L O	I say:
L1	"Okay. I'll get into that
L2	later.
L3	Now, do you think that the
L4	C.E.C.T. sites and the Vinland
L5	Voice sites are the same sites?
L6	DET/CST. WILSON: No, they're
L7	not the same site, they're two
L8	different websites.
L9	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, they
20	have different URLs, you'd say?
21	DET/CST. WILSON: As far as I
22	know, yes, sir."
23	Okay. Now, getting into the issue

1	between my relation to the Tri-City Skins.
2	And this is his information and it's
3	not exactly well, if you look at this way, it says:
4	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Now,
5	it's a question, do you believe
6	that I had anything to do with
7	either managing, creating or
8	administering the Tri-City site?
9	DET/CST. WILSON: The Tri-City
LO	Skin site?
L1	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Yes.
L2	DET/CST. WILSON: Yeah, I
L3	believe that you hosted that
L4	site."
L5	And he doesn't state that he believes
L6	there is any other involvement. He doesn't state that
L7	I believes that there is any other involvement with me
L8	and the Tri-City site, which would, I guess, if he
L9	could state that maybe there was involvement of design
20	or maintain, maybe it would give any kind of I guess
21	evidence to possibly that I knew what was on the site,
22	but as the complainant and the Commission are
2.3	suggesting, their is still no proof as far as the

1	witness that was investigating the alleged crime in the
2	first place that he thought there was any involvement
3	between me and that site and he would have been the
4	investigator.
5	So, basically if you choose to
6	believe his testimony, then that is what he said.
7	Okay. Now, the following is an
8	issue, it's kind of contradiction.
9	In paragraph 1212 it says that I
10	started the StopIslam site and that he states that I
11	was under investigation and he had not seen the sites.
12	"DET/CST. WILSON: Because I
13	know Alexan did, because when he
14	fled when he went to the
15	United States to Arizona, FBI
16	and the INS had an investigation
17	when he started a website called
18	Stopislam.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: StopIslam?
20	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: .com
21	site.
22	Yeah, it's a site that Detective
23	Wilson attributed to me last

1		time, about a couple of months
2		ago.
3		DET/CST. WILSON: The
4		StopIslam
5		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Yeah.
6		DET/CST. WILSON: that was
7		run from the hosting company
8		that put a threat on the
9		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: That's
10		right.
11		DET/CST. WILSON: University
12		of San Francisco?
1,3		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did you
14		ever get a chance to review that
15		site?
16		DET/CST. WILSON: No, I didn't.
17		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, you
18		never saw it?
19		DET/CST. WILSON: No, the FBI
20		notified us that you were under
21		investigation"
22	Now,	the problem is just give me a
23	sec, I'm just going to	try to get this in order because

1	this is kind of a long section here.
2	Okay. By his admittance well, by
3	his admission - I got arrested by the FBI on December
4	13th, 2002 and by his admission Det. Wilson also knew
5	that the server's ownership had changed hands prior to
6	my arrest by the FBI in the U.S.
7	But regardless, he told the FBI by
8	his own admission that I was the owner and that upon
9	cross-examination he states that he did not tell them
10	that Affordable-Space belonged to me.
11	Det. Wilson in this bunch of quotes
12	goes from one lie to another.
13	Okay. After the information that he
14	notes that Affordable-Space changed ownership on
15	February 8th, 2004 to Steven Weingand, the questions
16	are:
17	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And do
18	you remember what you told the
19	FBI about me?
20	DET/CST. WILSON: I told them
21	that you were facing charges
22	here and that because of your
23	trip to the United States that

1		you were presently wanted by our
2		department for breaching your
3		conditions, and as a result of
4		the information they supplied
5		another breach was laid.
6		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
7		So, are you sure you didn't say
8		anything about me owning that
9		server?
10		DET/CST. WILSON: You owning
11		AffordableSpace"com?
12		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: That's
13		right.
14		DET/CST. WILSON: I very well
15		could have, because the
16		information on the February 8th
17		transfer, I didn't receive until
18		July that year when I executed
19		the search warrant in San
20		Diego."
21	And a	also, continuing on from there, a
22	little down:	
23		"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.

1		And so why would you have told
2		the FBI that I owned the server?
3		DET/CST. WILSON: I don't
4		believe I ever told them"
5		that "you owned the server."
6		Even though he states that he very
7	well could have.	
8		And then continuing on from there, it
9	says:	
10		"Actually, if I put it his way,
11		the FBI actually told me that
12		they were told by a London
13		police officer that I owned the
14		server, what would you say?
15		DET/CST. WILSON: Very possibly,
16		sir. I can't recall and it's
17		very possible that I told them
18		that personally.
19		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: It's
20		very possible?
21		DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
22		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, if
23		it's very possible, then why

1	would you tell them that if you
2	knew it not to be true?
3	DET/CST. WILSON: Well, I would
4	never sort of deceive them. I
5	don't know."
6	The following shows that although I
7	never testified that I designed or claimed I designed
8	the TCS site, Det. Wilson went and told the judge that
9	I did during one of my cases.
10	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And did
11	the judge make the decision
12	because maybe you had told him
13	something during your the case?
14	DET/CST. WILSON: Absolutely,
15	sir, I went and spoke to him in
16	his chambers when he called me.
17	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And did
18	you by any chance tell him that
19	I admitted to designing and, I
20	guess, running the Tri-City site
21	like you had told the court
22	previously?
23	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir."

1	Now, Det. Wilson admits that CECT has
2	never promoted violence other than the article,
3	according to him, like you know, if you can interpret
4	it on September 14th.
5	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
6	And now, have you ever got an
7	indication where C.E.C.T. or
8	Vinland Voice or anything like
9	that, aside from what you state
10	if threats of violence, what you
11	allege is threats of violence in
12	that article of September 14th,
13	have you seen any other
14	situations where C.E.C.T. has
15	promoted violence?
16	DET/CST. WILSON: I don't
17	believe so."
18	Although Mr. Warman is trying to, I
19	guess, put some claim on myself for writing the alleged
20	article or whoever, Det. Wilson confirmed that I am not
21	the author of the article.
22	"DET/CST. WILSON: It says:
23	"Lots of fun "crap" has happened

1	in the past week. Alex Krause's
2	name has appeared"
3	This is where we're talking about the
4	article, the one that allegedly targeted Mr. Warman,
5	anyway.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mm-hmm.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Says:
8	"Lots of fun "crap" has happened
9	in the past week. Alex Krause's
10	name has appeared in the NOW
11	Magazine. He's been exposed.
12	Oooh! Another C.E.C.T. member
13	has been exposed too, however
14	his name wasn't mentioned, just
15	his charges of self defence on a
16	transit vehicle
17	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And
18	referring to him in the third
19	person?
20	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir."
21	And this is actually like a quote,
22	and I ask:
23	"Okay, thanks. Now, can you

StenoTran

1	read the bottom where's there, I
2	guess, a signature.
3	DET/CST. WILSON: It says
4	Totenkopf.
5	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: In this
6	article what would you say
7	Totenkopf is doing in the first
8	paragraph?
9	DET/CST. WILSON: What would I
10	say he's doing?
11	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Yeah,
12	would he be is he writing as
13	Alex Krause or referring to Alex
14	Krause in the third person?
15	DET/CST. WILSON: I think he's
16	writing about Alex Krause.
17	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And
18	referring to him in the third
19	person?
20	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
21	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So,
22	would say that I wrote that
23	article?

1	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir."
2	And talking about HR-1, tab 25 I ask:
3	"Okay. But you would say in
4	this article Totenkopf is
5	writing about Alex Krause;
6	right?
7	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
8	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: In that
9	case, still I guess we'll leave
10	it. It's just Totenkopf talking
11	about the third person; right?
12	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
13	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Talking
14	about Alex Krause in the third
15	person?
16	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
17	The above also contradicts Det.
18	Wilson's previous statement that Alex Krause is
19	Totenkopf.
20	Okay. Now, Det. Wilson reading this
21	document talking about kind of a news report about some
22	database.
23	So, it says it's reading Exhibit

1	No. R-5 document from the C.E.C.T. Anti-Racist
2	database.
3	It says:
4	"DET/CST. WILSON: "The intent
5	is purely legal and we will not
6	support or be held responsible
7	for any illegal activity that
8	may or may not benefit from the
9	validity of this resource. This
10	database will, however, aid in
11	the identification of a
12	perpetrator in the event a
13	victim wishes to press charges."
14	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Would
15	you consider this a statement or
16	behalf of the C.E.C.T?
17	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, I would.
18	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Would
19	you consider it's a statement
20	denouncing violence?
21	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir."
22	Continuing, I ask him:
23	"Do you know what this document

1	says roughly?"
2	And this is showing him HR-1, tab 28
3	if you actually wanted to turn to it.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Tab 28?
5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: If you like, it's
6	up to you. I just have put in the name, if you want
7	to it's the resignation thing.
8	So, it says:
9	"Do you know what this document
10	is roughly?
11	DET/CST. WILSON: I've seen it
12	before, yes.
13	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
14	know what the document is about?
15	DET/CST. WILSON: Yeah, it's
16	Alex Krause or yourself leaving
17	the Canadian Ethnic Cleansing
18	Team.
19	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
20	Now, look at the bottom where
21	it's signed. What is it signed
22	by?
23	DET/CST. WILSON: Alex Krause.

1	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
2	see Totenkopf anywhere there?
3	DET/CST. WILSON: No, I don't."
4	Okay. And next and I ask him:
5	"Okay. Have you seen anything
6	about articles about, so far
7	I'd say, or anything you
8	reviewed, have you seen any
9	articles that indicate strongly
10	that Alex Krause is Totenkopf
11	written on the site?
12	DET/CST. WILSON: Any articles?
13	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Any
14	articles, anything published,
15	Alex Krause, and the publisher
16	Totenkopf would also be Alex
17	Krause?
18	DET/CST. WILSON: I can't recall
19	any, no, sir.
20	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: But you
21	have seen many articles where
22	Totenkopf talks about Alex
23	Krause in the third person?

1	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
2	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: How hard
3	would you say you were trying
4	to, in effect, get and my
5	co-respondent"
6	Wait a sec, I think we might have
7	mixed some of the pages up here.
8	I'm just going to make a note.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's why it would
10	have been nice to put some automatic page numbering in
11	there.
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Well, actually
13	that's a problem because we didn't expect this to
14	actually come here and I was going to use my computer
15	and print it out separately.
16	But this is one that got printed out
17	for us, that's why I'm using this.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh.
19	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. So, Det.
20	Wilson contradiction, although he previously stated
21	that the September 14th article would cause bodily
22	harm, before he had said that it was a threat of death.
23	So, I ask him:

1	"And so coming back to that, is
2	there anywhere in that article
3	that death is threatened?
4	DET/CST. WILSON: You declare
5	war on Muslims and Jews.
6	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: But you
7	previously testified that the
8	article itself was more a threat
9	to bodily harm than a death
10	threat?
11	DET/CST. WILSON: No, I
12	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: It
13	didn't say anything about
14	killing people.
15	DET/CST. WILSON: No, I didn't,
16	I said that I thought it was
17	going to cause physical harm to
18	other peoples, Jews and Muslims
19	as relayed in your article.
20	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And did
21	you put the word death anywhere
22	in that commentary on the
23	article?

1	DET/CST. WILSON: Did I put any?
2	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: When you
3	were talking about the article,
4	did you talk about death at all?
5	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
6	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Is there
7	any indication that James was
8	either involved in creating,
9	designing, maintaining or
10	administering the Tri-City site?
11	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir."
12	Going down:
13	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
14	Did you see anything by
15	Totenkopf of Alex Krause on the
16	Tri-City site?
17	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir, I
17 18	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir, I don't recall.
18	don't recall.
18 19	don't recall. MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Anything
18 19 20	don't recall. MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Anything at all, including guest book

1	I say:
2	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
3	know anybody or did you yourself
4	or anybody in your department
5	ever complain about the content
6	on the Tri-City site to
7	AffordableSpace.com?
8	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir.
9	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, you
10	don't know of any situation
11	where somebody would have
12	brought AffordableSpace.com's
13	attention to the content on the
14	Tri-City site?
15	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir."
16	I say:
17	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
18	Now, so do you know of any
19	situations where somebody
20	complained directly to
21	AffordableSpace.com or myself
22	about content that was removed?
23	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir, I

1	have no knowledge of that.
2	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did you
3	ever go through sign-up
4	procedure?
5	DET/CST. WILSON: A sign-up
6	procedure for what?
7	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: The
8	sign-up procedure to sign up for
9	an account on the website?
10	DET/CST. WILSON: No, I didn't.
11	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, you
12	wouldn't have seen any kind of
13	automated scripts that
14	automatically create accounts?
15	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir."
16	The fact of the matter is no one
17	actually no one testified they checked to see if
18	there was any kind of automation built into the site
19	when the site was up, so any allegation made that I
20	would have to have known because every site went
21	through me would be basically unfounded.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: The problem is, the
23	problem is

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: they are making
3	submissions on one hand, and perhaps the ideal way for
4	that for what you are saying to have come into
5	evidence is for someone to have testified on automated
6	whatever you call them, automated sign-ups to create
7	accounts as part of your defence.
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The problem is I
9	don't
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: The problem is, I
11	don't have that evidence.
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's true.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: I think. I'll
14	check. Unless you can tell me that there's evidence
15	somewhere about scripts that result in your
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I guess there was
17	something like that in my information at the court.
18	However, the thing is that, see,
19	coming back to what I'm doing right now, basically I'm
20	not - I'm trying to show a consistent pattern,
21	especially in the main witness where Mr. Warman claims
22	to have gotten a lot of his information from, I'm
23	trying to show consistent pattern of deceit, of I

1	mean, the best way to put it is lying.
2	And the fact of the matter is, if
3	anything, what I'm trying to do is try to pick slowly
4	at, like, the pool of circumstantial evidence to try to
5	shrink to it down because it's coming down to, I guess,
6	the balance of probabilities.
7	The fact of the matter, is at the
8	very end it's the quality of information that creates,
9	I guess, a better circumstance not the quantity.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: I know, but you
11	see, if to demonstrate that Mr. Wilson is "not telling
12	the truth", on this particular point is to somehow put
13	forth a proposition that there's an automated way to
14	sign up and I don't know what, I don't have the
15	evidence.
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's true.
17	But
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: You asked a
19	question to him
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: This one is not
21	talking about truth as much as saying did you even
22	check, meaning that they can't deny that it was like
23	that either.

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't even have
2	evidence what an automated sign-up procedure is.
3	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: In English pretty
4	much. The fact of the matter is, does the complainant
5	or the Commission have any evidence as to what an
6	automated sign -up procedure is.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, it's not that
8	fact because that's part of your defence.
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's true, but
LO	the problem is, again coming down to, just having a
L1	giant volume of information and trying to dump a giant
L2	volume amount of information.
L3	See, the issue is, like, I understand
L4	this isn't like criminal court.
L5	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, no.
L6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand
L7	that, but however
L8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Anyway, I think you
L9	understand what I'm trying to say, it's not what you
20	said just now, but let me explain that again.
21	The point is that they put forth a
22	set of evidence that supports their proposition. You
2.3	are supposed to with your evidence or through the

1	cross-examination process, if you can, demonstrate your
2	defence and if your defence on this particular issue is
3	that there is some sort of an automated process that
4	doesn't link that prevents you from knowing who was
5	getting on line and so on, that's your defence and you
6	have to prove it.
7	If I don't have evidence to prove it,
8	then it's an issue. So, either you present me that
9	evidence in the existing record, or well, that's
10	what you have to do.
11	And the problem may be here that you
12	did not lead any evidence in defence. So, if I don't
13	have something to contradict it, other than your
14	question suggesting something - which I don't even
15	understand what we are talking about - the sign-up
16	procedure to sign up for an account on the websites
17	with automated scripts, I have no idea what you are
18	talking about.
19	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Well, that's the
20	idea.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: You can't lead
22	evidence now to tell me what that is.
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Oh, well, the

StenoTran

1	fact of the matter is, in English, being in English,
2	the idea of an automated sign-up procedure, I'm not
3	talking about leading evidence as to what it is,
4	meaning because there is implication that I would have
5	known every site that was in there.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Sir, I have no idea
7	what automated scripts that automatically create
8	accounts is.
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's a
10	description of what it does.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know. You
12	didn't bring me somebody to tell me what it is or you
13	testify yourself. You did neither, so that's going to
14	be a problem for this part of your defence, if I don't
15	have any understanding of what this is.
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand.
17	Now
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: I just want you to
19	understand how it's going to work.
20	You asked many questions often that
21	were very technical and they sort of were trying to
22	suggest something that was beyond the scope of the
23	understanding of the witness, but if you don't give me

1	affirmative evidence of what it is, the fact that you
2	ask a really tough complicated question doesn't get it
3	into evidence, understand? right.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, but the
5	question is, you stated that it was beyond the scope of
6	the technical knowledge of the witness. My point was
7	to show that it was beyond the scope of the technical
8	knowledge of the witness.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but so
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: But the fact of
11	the matter is
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: As far as I'm
13	concerned you could have asked him, do you know what ar
14	automated ***do-hickey that connects a muffler to a car
15	is and he says, no, sir.
16	But is it relevant to the case? I
17	don't know, because I don't know what automated scripts
18	that automatically create accounts is either.
19	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. See,
20	exactly coming back to my point.
21	Like, I understand you don't
22	understand what it is and I know there was no evidence
23	brought, but I guess on the same, I guess, note there

1	was no technical evidence brought by the see, the
2	burden of proof is on the complainants.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: The burden of proof
4	is on the complainants to establish a prima facie case
5	and then you must be able to explain it.
6	I'm thinking back to the case where
7	Mr. Richardson, where you cited a question earlier
8	or an exchange in the transcript where Mr. Richardson
9	said a whole bunch of stuff about technicalities
LO	regarding logs or chat logs or something.
L1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Mm-hmm.
L2	THE CHAIRPERSON: And it was
L3	determined the response didn't I believe the witness
L4	actually in question - I don't know whether it was Mr.
L5	Wilson or Mr. Warman - didn't understand the notion.
L6	But if I don't know what the
L7	significance is of that technical gobbledegook that was
L8	asked of him, what good does it do me?
L9	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I understand.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: The situation here
21	is if it's up to the complainant to present a case,
22	to build a case that's prima facie, yes, that's their
23	burden, but

Т	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: To a certain
2	extent, like, I guess if you wrote down, say, no to
3	that, if you don't know what like as the Chair, what
4	the technical reference is in relation to, even if it's
5	talking about the contents of the IRC logs and the
6	witness who came on the stand basically testified to
7	having no expertise in computers, then how can you, as
8	a Chair, state that any evidence presented to you from
9	a technical, I guess, source is
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: No. The witness
11	came and said with the knowledge that he had of the
12	logs, this is what he found on the computer and this is
13	what he saw and there was evidence of certain
14	knowledge.
15	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: And then you asked
17	questions of that witness and you say to the witness
18	gobbledegook, gobbledegook and he says I don't know,
19	and then that's interesting that he didn't know what
20	the gobbledegook is, but if you don't tell me later how
21	knowledge of that gobbledegook is important to
22	understand the logs and how it undermines the
23	information being presented about the logs, what am I

1	going to do with it?
2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. Coming
3	to
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, I just want you
5	to understand that.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand
7	that.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: And that's an
9	important legal point here. It's one thing to
10	cross-examine but sometimes affirmative evidence is
11	required to contradict a prima facie case if it's
12	established.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right. So,
14	coming down to the underlying point is that I
15	understand this isn't a criminal court, but in any
16	court if a witness to a certain extent admits to, say,
17	misrepresenting the truth in a court beforehand, or
18	misrepresenting the truth beforehand
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: You are not
20	understanding what I'm saying, Mr. Kulbashian. I will
21	give you an example.
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: If I am saying that

1	I was driving down this road and I was doing a speed of
2	70 kilometres an hour when I saw the car go right past
3	me, all right, that's an affirmation.
4	Now, you may ask me: Sir, what do
5	you know about the size of piston rings and how much
6	oil is consumed by a car, and I will say no, but my
7	initial affirmation that I was doing 70 kilometres an
8	hour and somebody flew past me is a valid statement.
9	Do you understand?
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, if to my
12	statement it becomes important to know what the oil
13	pressure of the vehicle was at that moment, you have to
14	demonstrate to me why it's important to know that as
15	the driver of that car.
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, the Internet is
18	a widely used instrument by millions and millions of
19	people around this world, and there were affirmations
20	made by people about data that they witnesses through
21	the Internet.
22	Now, if more technical knowledge
23	serves some purpose in clarifying their evidence or

1	contradicting it even, you have to demonstrate.
2	So, if you go back to my analogy with
3	the car, you have to show how knowledge of oil pressure
4	is important in knowing whether a guy sped past you
5	while you were doing 70 according to your spedometre.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's what I'm
8	driving at. I think I've mentioned enough. We should
9	I think proceed at this point.
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. So,
11	basically although he realized the picture in the photo
12	was not me, Det. Wilson went and testified, according
13	to him, saying that first he says that he told the
14	judge crouching was myself, then he denies and so on.
15	From one contradiction to another,
16	so it says:
17	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
18	If you could turn to HR-1, tab
19	60"
20	Document, just you guys for the chat,
21	page 6. Okay. He states:
22	"DET/CST. WILSON: "November
23	27th, 2001 Detective McKinnon

1	received a fax from Detective
2	Samm of the Metro Toronto Police
3	Hate Crimes Unit. The fax
4	included a Metro Toronto
5	identification photo. Det/Cst.
6	Wilson compared the
7	identification photo to the
8	photo seized from Richardson's
9	apartment. One of the seized
10	photos depicted several
11	individuals grouped together
12	displaying a Nazi salute. The
13	male crouching in the front
14	portion of the photo was Alexan
15	Kulbashian."
16	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: That's
17	good. Can you read the date on
18	the document, very top?
19	DET/CST. WILSON: February the
20	14th, 2002.
21	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
22	Do you remember the day after
23	two days after I got arrested

1	when I went for bail hearing in
2	London, do you remember talking
3	about my involvement with
4	various racist groups and
5	telling the judge that I was
6	seen in a picture with a group?
7	DET/CST. WILSON: It's possible,
8	sir. Yes, I did."
9	And I said:
10	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So,
11	would that be after you saw me
12	or before you saw me?"
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: No problem.
14	MR. A. KULBASHIAN:
15	"or before you saw me?
16	DET/CST. WILSON: After I saw.
17	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And wold
18	that be inconsistent with what
19	you said previously that when
20	you saw me you realized that
21	that was not right?
22	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
23	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, you

1	testified during my bail hearing
2	that you had a picture of me
3	involved with Tri-City that
4	where I was in the front
5	crouching, trying to prove that
6	I was a member of Tri-City
7	during my bail hearing.
8	DET/CST. WILSON: I don't recall
9	that at all, sir."
10	Even though he admitted earlier on to
11	testifying to that.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, he admitted it
13	in
14	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yes.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Right up here,
16	"Yes, I did." Are you talking about the top of this
17	page
18	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yes.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: where he said,
20	"Yes, I did."
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yes.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: And now you're
23	saying there's a contradiction right afterwards?

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: It's an immediate
2	contradiction, like, not very far after.
3	And the Chairperson
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, this is in
5	sequence here, this is one after the other here?
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, if you look
7	at the numbers.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. The numbers.
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: 1592 and 1594.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
11	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. And the
12	Chairperson:
13	"Sorry, I didn't quite get your
14	earlier answer.
15	You said that there was some
16	discussion at the bail hearing
17	about the photo?
18	DET/CST. WILSON: About the
19	photo, I believe there was from
20	my recollection, there was some
21	discussion abut the photo, I
22	don't recall, and I don't
23	believe I would have identified

1	Mr. Kulbashian in the front part
2	of the photo, but I don't recall
3	and I can't recall what I
4	testified to concerning that
5	photo, but the photo was
6	produced. 24"
7	See, now the problem is by his own
8	admission just earlier on in testimony he admitted that
9	he did testify getting caught in a lie, he turns around
10	and changes his testimony right here in front of the
11	court.
12	Now, the problem is if anything the
13	question is showing a history where he does lie under
14	oath which should be, I guess, well, basically that
15	makes him a Vetrovec witness.
16	That's another problem, I think we'll
17	have to bring that tomorrow. Do you still want me to
18	bring the Vetrovec statute tomorrow, the Vetrovec
19	decision, sorry?
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, maybe you
21	should if you're going to be referring to it again it
22	would be a good idea, I suppose.
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: So, I will have

1	it tomorrow, but I'm just referring to it right now.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
3	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: So, basically
4	that would make him a Vetrovec witness, having the
5	history, having liked admitted to having lied on the
6	stand, like earlier on, and admitted to having lied to
7	the police, et cetera, makes him a person and by the
8	Vetrovec decision, his information would have to be
9	corroborated by an independent source in order for his
10	information to be, I guess, considered with any weight
11	in the judge's decision.
12	So, I'm just going to continue from
13	there.
14	So, for the sake of his vendetta,
15	Det. Wilson got involved in cases that did not concern
16	him. Even the detective in charge did not get involved
17	in.
18	So, I say:
19	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
20	So, the fact that Detective
21	do you know who Detective Neil
22	is?
23	DET/CST. WILSON: No, I don't.

1	I think maybe Detective Neil is
2	the Internet guy from the Metro
3	Toronto Police Service. I'm not
4	too sure.
5	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Just
6	clarification, Detective Neil
7	was the detective on my assault
8	case.
9	DET/CST. WILSON: Oh, I'm sorry.
10	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
11	remember him being at any of my
12	bail hearings?
13	DET/CST. WILSON: No, I don't.
14	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
15	Getting back to the threats
16	then, if I can remember where
17	they were. Did you ever testify
18	at one of my bail hearings that
19	I was not the author of the
20	article and that I was not the
21	one who made the threats, just
22	facilitated them?
23	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir,

1	that's exactly what I said."
2	So, this is him testifying that he
3	was at my bail hearing for another case, unrelated case
4	and testified on the stand.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mm-hmm.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Even though he
7	had nothing to do with this case.
8	Anyways, for such a long
9	investigation with so many events Det. Wilson that
10	there are only few documents that he has about my case.
11	He doesn't dare to give an explanation as to what
12	happened to the rest of the reports, which I believe
13	were shredded.
14	Anyways, I say:
15	"Are there more binders of files
16	the police have on me or are
17	those the only ones?"
18	He says:
19	"Oh, I'm sure there's more.
20	There's an assault binder that's
21	associated to an assault you
22	had."
23	I say:

1	"How about London?"
2	He says:
3	"No, I believe this is pretty
4	much your incidence in London."
5	Which is his testimony that this is
6	pretty much all the files that London has because he
7	said he had brought them with him.
8	And also lower down he also says:
9	"No, I don't believe I have an
L O	e-mail from Ms Myles."
L1	Which also him stating because
L2	earlier on he testified that they would probably have
L3	it at London, at the London police station however this
L4	is a contradiction where he says:
L5	"No, I believe this is pretty
L6	much the incidence in London."
L7	And also saying:
L8	"No, I don't have an e-mail
L9	of Ms Myles."
20	Which is not in the binders that he
21	had. And I say:
22	"Was it ever in that file?
23	DET/CST. WILSON: In that

1		binder?
2		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Yes.
3		DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir.
4		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, is
5		there other binders that there's
6		information regarding my case?
7		DET/CST. WILSON: No, there
8		would be a file associated to
9		your offences that you committed
10		in the United States in May of
11		that
12		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I'm
13		sorry, I didn't hear what you
14		said, offences what?
15		DET/CST. WILSON: That you
16		committed in the United States.
17		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Offences
18		I've committed. What offences?
19		DET/CST. WILSON: That you were
20		arrested by the FBI and the
21		INS."
22	And	this is where Mr. Chair steps in,
23	it says:	

1		"THE CHAIRPERSON: I have to
2		advise you as well, I mean
3		unless he was convicted"
4	And	I say:
5		"Was I even charged?"
6	And 1	Mr. Chair says:
7		" you had to say that he was
8		charged."
9	Det.	Wilson says:
10		"Allegedly, allegedly he was
11		okay.
12		THE CHAIRPERSON: The rule
13		applies to you as well, Mr.
14		Wilson.
15		DET/CST. WILSON: Absolutely."
16	The	above also shows how
17	irresponsible Det. Wil	son can be. He can use any word
18	irrespective of its co	onsequences.
19	Det.	Wilson admits that he lied to
20	the FBI in this sense:	
21		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
22		This question is then, are you
23		sure you followed the case

1	fully, my assault case fully?
2	DET/CST. WILSON: Your assault
3	case?
4	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Yes.
5	DET/CST. WILSON: Do you know if
6	the case proceeded summarily or
7	by indictment?
8	DET/CST. WILSON: I believe the
9	crown elected to go summarily.
10	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And what
11	would be the maximum sentence I
12	would have gotten?
13	DET/CST. WILSON: Six months.
14	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And what
15	did you tell the FBI?
16	DET/CST. WILSON: Five years."
17	Det. Wilson acknowledges that no
18	anti-Arab articles were authored by Alex Krause, and
19	this is just like a point of clarification.
20	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Not see
21	me, sorry. Have you ever
22	noticed any anti-Arab articles
23	authored by Alex Krause?

1	DET/CST. WILSON: No, the only
2	one I've seen is the one you
3	facilitated."
4	Well, he alleges I facilitated,
5	anyways.
6	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Have
7	you season" okay, seen
8	"any anti-Arab articles
9	authored by who you allege to be
10	me as Totenkopf?
11	He states:
12	"No, sir."
13	And continuing on, this is about
14	HR-1, tab 25:
15	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: That's
16	fine. Thanks. So, would you
17	say"
18	This is about an article, specific
19	article at HR-1, tab 25.
20	"would you say this was a
21	pro-Palestinian article or an
22	anti-Palestinian article?
23	DET/CST. WILSON: I would say it

1	would be an anti-Jewish article
2	myself.
3	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Is this
4	political?
5	DET/CST. WILSON: Very much so."
6	Det. Wilson admits the very
7	possibility of others writing articles under
8	Totenkopf's name.
9	My question, and I say:
LO	"That's not my question. My
L1	question is, do you believe
L2	every article that's written
L3	under the pseudonym Totenkopf is
L4	authored by me?"
L5	He states:
L6	"Do I believe the possibility
L7	that somebody else used
L8	Totenkopf and wrote an article?"
L9	I say:
20	"At cect.vinland voice?"
21	He says:
22	"Yes, sir, I believe that's very
23	possible."

1	Det. Wilson also admits his
2	allegations about Arizona were unsubstantiated.
3	Okay, I ask him:
4	"Do you know if any charges were
5	pressed or if bail was pursued
6	in Arizona?" (regarding
7	Miles)
8	He says:
9	"No, as a matter of fact I
LO	believe this was
L1	unsubstantiated."
L2	This is talking about where he said
L3	that I was charged, and he says that no, he believes
L4	that I was not charged or any bail was pursued, even
L5	though he oh sorry, about Miles sorry, yeah,
L6	about the Miles thing I think I might have gotten
L7	confused here. I'm getting like tired.
L8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Would you like to
L9	take a break?
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, that would
21	be great.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: It's been an hour,
) 3	but we'll take our break

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Oh. Shall we go
2	a little longer, maybe.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: It's up to you.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay, I'll go a
5	little longer.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: If you're tired
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Just kind of,
8	like, standing up.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: A bit longer.
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Whenever she
11	wants her break, I'll tough it out.
12	All right. It says, although Det.
13	Wilson tried to give the impression that I fled the
14	country the bail my bail condition didn't contain
15	any clause preventing me from leaving the country.
16	And the proof is, I ask:
17	"And that there was no clause to
18	not leave Canada, right?
19	DET/CST. WILSON: Right.
20	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: That
21	would be correct; right?
22	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir."
23	Even though he stated that the reason

1	why they arrested me on the way back earlier on, which
2	I read, was that I was in breach of bail by going to
3	the U.S.
4	Okay. Regarding the events related
5	to the U.S., such as my arrest and accusations, Det.
6	Wilson's lies and contradictions are numerous.
7	Moreover, Det. Wilson did not disclose any documents to
8	prove his allegations.
9	The Chairperson could not have put it
10	better, and as the Chairperson said:
11	"So, the big picture is that he
12	misled the American
13	authorities."
14	It would be very lengthy to go into
15	details, but again regarding Peter Kierluk, the crown
16	of London, Mr. Peter Lindsay's affidavit and Det.
17	Wilson's witnessing, they did not respect his
18	agreements and promises.
19	We would like the court to note
20	that
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Just give me a sec.
22	Mr. Richardson, you're speaking so
23	loud I'm having a hard time concentrating on what Mr.

1	Kulbashian is saying. So, if you are going to have an
2	extended conversation, I would ask you to step outside;
3	otherwise, tone it down a bit, please.
4	With the mumbling I hear on the left
5	side, I can't concentrate on Mr. Kulbashian.
6	Thank you.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Give me one sec.
8	Okay, sorry about that.
9	Also, we would like also the court to
10	note that a request to the Attorney General for hate
11	crime charges to be pressed on the initial case that
12	never say basically a day in court was rejected by the
13	Attorney General.
14	I'm talking about the original
15	criminal charges.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is that in
17	evidence. I see the number 1820.
18	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, he stated
19	that it was rejected. He was asked that.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Page 1820 of the
21	transcript.
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN; I think that's
23	line 1820.

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: I honestly think
2	it's page.
3	MS MAILLET: It's page.
4	MR. V. KULBASHIAN; No, no, no, it's
5	page.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Oh, it's page,
7	yeah. Okay, page. Sorry, I'm just getting confused
8	here. Okay.
9	The numbers are throwing me off than
LO	anything else, trust me.
L1	THE CHAIRPERSON: We have many more
L2	lines in this case than 1820.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, right.
L4	Okay. Another example of Det.
L5	Wilson's lies and evasive responses and contradictions
L6	He doesn't know how and where I got arrested but he
L7	says they kicked my door in and then when he is
L8	confronted tried to change the subject.
L9	"DET/CST. WILSON: The time they
20	kicked your door in with 50 FBI
21	agents."
22	And he talks about that, and I say:
23	"Do you know if I was arrested

1	indoors or outdoors?
2	DET/CST. WILSON: I have no
3	idea.
4	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So why
5	would you say they kicked my
6	door in?"
7	Okay. Another one, he says:
8	"Yes, sir, in an interview, they
9	were investigating a threat to
10	the University of San Francisco.
11	During their investigation,
12	their investigation led them to
13	Mr. Kulbashian. They - I don't
14	now, I won't say executed a
15	warrant, they attended his
16	address and detained him and
17	spoke to him concerning the
18	threats and it was determined
19	during that interview that he
20	had not made those threats, that
21	actually it was posted on a, I
22	guess, the"
23	It continues.

1	Det. Wilson states that Mr. Warman is
2	a very well-known public figure and that is address can
3	be found on civil court documents.
4	This is just getting to the point of
5	identification and exposure, et cetera.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: You referred to
7	that earlier when discussing Mr. Warman, if I recall.
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, that's
9	right.
10	I ask him, I say:
11	"say he's (Warman) a very
12	well-known figure?
13	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
14	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: You know
15	his field, fighting hate crimes?
16	DET/CST. WILSON: Absolutely,
17	sir.
18	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Would
19	you consider him more a public
20	figure or a private figure?
21	DET/CST. WILSON: When it comes
22	to fighting hate crimes, he's a
23	public figure."

1	I say:
2	"Do you believe him to be the
3	opposite of incognito?"
4	And he says:
5	"Yes, he's not got a mask on."
6	I say:
7	"Do court documents get posted
8	on line for let's say civil
9	cases?"
10	He says:
11	"It could, and if somebody
12	posted a charge sheet of an
13	accused party or his
14	recognizance, it would have the
15	accused party's address if it
16	was posted as one of his
17	conditions to comply with."
18	And my continuation, kind of:
19	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
20	know of any incidents where or
21	have you heard of incidents
22	where Mr. Warman has approached
23	the media himself?

1	DET/CST. WILSON: Oh yes, sir.
2	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And to
3	talk about cases he's starting
4	or cases he's in?
5	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
6	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So,
7	would you consider him to have
8	gone that step to expose himself
9	to the public?
10	DET/CST. WILSON: He's gone that
11	step to highlight the
12	investigations or the complaints
13	he's made, yes, sir.
14	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
15	And when he goes to the media,
16	have you noticed that he also
17	exposes other people?
18	DET/CST. WILSON: He exposes
19	like other people he has
20	complaints against, yes, I
21	believe so, yes, sir."
22	I say, in the following specific
23	question, Det. Wilson again is evasive in his answer.

1	His answers are not re	elevant to the question. My
2	question is:	
3		"So, how would that give me
4		how would that still I guess
5		you're not answering my
6		question, that's why I have to
7		keep asking this again. How
8		would that mean that I knew what
9		content was on that site?"
10	He s	aid:
11		"Because you had the ability to
12		exclude people and told me that
13		you could include people or
14		website from your sit and,
15		therefore, that made me believe
16		that you would know the content
17		of those websites and,
18		therefore, have the ability to
19		exclude or include certain
20		people on your hosting company.
21	Okay	. The Superior Court judge I
22	think there's a typo t	there, I think. Oh yes, the
23	Superior Court judge o	dismissed the pictures, he found

1	them irrelevant for the	ne case. This is talking about
2	tattoos and stuff like	e that.
3	And	I ask him:
4		"Okay. Have you - Okay, is it
5		true that when you brought the
6		pictures of the tattoos to
7		Superior Court for my bail
8		hearing that Justice Hamilton
9		did not want to see them?"
10	He s	ays:
11		"Yes, sir."
12	I co	ntinue:
13		"Okay. So, the other question
14		is are those in exposed places
15		of my body?"
16	He s	ays:
17		"No, sir, they are not."
18	Det.	Wilson admits that I have not
19	written any anti-Jewis	sh articles. And I ask:
20		"My question was, have you read
21		any articles that you allege
22		were by me, written by me that
23		are anti-Jewish?"

1		DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir."
2	Even	though he stated that the
3	anti-Palestinian artic	les were anti-Jewish earlier on.
4	After so many evasive	answers, Det. Wilson admits that
5	he has not seen me wit	h any Tri-City Skins members, he
6	also admits that I did	not have or wore any Nazi
7	clothing.	
8	Well,	I guess, except for the
9	T-shirts that he testi	fied to. And I say:
LO		"Did you find bomber jacket?
L1		No.
L2		Shaved head?
13		No.
L 4		Boots with white laces?
L5		No.
L6		Was I wearing any Nazi
L7		paraphernalia?
L8		No."
L9	That '	s kind of like going to the
20	brief. So, okay, I as	k:
21		"How many times has, I guess,
22		hate crime intelligence
23		monitored Tri-City events?"

1	He states:
2	"Lots of time, I believe."
3	I said:
4	"Have you ever seen" me
5	"at Tri-City events?"
6	I guess there's a missing word there.
7	He said:
8	"No, sir.
9	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Have you
10	ever seen me with Tri-City
11	anywhere?
12	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir."
13	Det. Wilson has testified previously
14	that the transfer to Mr. Weingand was in February.
15	This is about the AffordableSpace account with
16	Cari.net.
17	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN:"
18	I ask him: "Had there been any
19	indication of any control of
20	AffordableSpace after July 5th
21	when there was a transfer of
22	control from when you got the
23	copy of the transfer of control?

1	DET/CST. WILSON: You exerted
2	some control I believe in July
3	when you were able to transfer
4	your, I guess, ownership to Mr.
5	Weingand."
6	Okay. Even though he stated it was
7	on April 16th, earlier on.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: February?
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, February.
10	Sorry, February, sorry. You know what I'm getting the
11	dates mixed up in my head. I guess, too much altitude
12	right now standing up.
13	Okay. The following shows that Det.
14	Wilson is a liar, he also I guess I'll take that
15	word back he's a liar and of poor character.
16	Okay. And this is actually the key
17	point.
18	"THE CHAIRPERSON: In December,
19	2002, you don't have any
20	affirmative knowledge of actual
21	control?
22	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: But you have

1	no reason to suspect that he
2	ceased having control; is that
3	what you're saying? You have no
4	affirmative information
5	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: that he had
7	control from July to December of
8	2002?
9	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
10	Yes, sir."
11	And my question is:
12	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And did
13	you state to the FBI that I did
14	have control?
15	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir."
16	And I say - this is later on:
17	"However, in that time, aside
18	from that specific incident, was
19	there any situation or anything
20	that could say - any indication
21	that I did maintain or
22	administer or run any sites on
23	AffordableSpace.com?

1	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir."
2	The danger here is that an officer,
3	like, who admits to first of all he testified that
4	the FBI arrested me based on those threats. He
5	testified that he knew nothing about that, and at this
6	point in time he finally testifies that he had no
7	indication that I controlled the server, had control of
8	the server and he also testifies that he told the FBI
9	that I did.
10	Completely doing a 180 off his
11	testimony where he stated he had no idea why they
12	arrested me, he had some idea why they arrested me, he
13	said it was because of something he didn't know about,
14	and now he admits to telling the FBI that I did have
15	control of the server - I didn't - and he also admits
16	that this is the reason why I've gotten arrested he
17	actually admitted, sorry, earlier on that this was the
18	reason why I had gotten arrested.
19	And knowing some information about
20	from, like, I guess people with Maher Arar which was a
21	Syrian citizen who got deported from Canada sorry,
22	from the U.S. because of Canadian, I guess, authorities
23	sending information about him to U.S. and got tortured

1	in Syria, the same thing could have actually happened
2	to me since I am a Lebanese citizen.
3	And the fact of the matter is, Det.
4	Wilson betrayed a citizen of his own country by lying
5	to authorities in another country to get me arrested.
6	So, I think and I believe that
7	actually says a lot about his character and I believe
8	it's not extreme to call him a liar, or if anything, I
9	guess, in a way, his own agent when he operates
LO	outside, like, you know, the rule of the law.
L1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, break.
L2	REGISTRY OFFICER: All rise.
L3	Upon recessing at 2:45 p.m.
L4	Upon resuming at 3:05 p.m.
L5	REGISTRY OFFICER: Order, please.
L6	All rise.
L7	Please be seated.
L8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. Coming
L9	back to what we finished off last time. What I stated
20	in my opening statement of my closing statement, my
21	introduction that when I stated that Det. Wilson
22	admitted to criminal activity, this is what I meant.
23	And I also believe that the lies he told to the FBI are

1	similar in fashion to the lies that he would have told
2	Det. Dean Steacy Mr. Steacy talking about, I
3	don't know Steacy or Stacy, I don't know how to
4	pronounce his name.
5	MR. CHAIRPERSON: The Commission
6	investigator.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay, Commission
8	investigator and when he talked about cracking
9	computers, cracking CDs, et cetera.
LO	Now, continuing with that
L1	information. Although in the following I am
L2	specifically asking questions about my own computer,
L3	Det. Wilson is trying to shift the focus from my
L4	computer to Mr. Richardson's computer.
L5	This is kind of like the excerpts.
L6	It says:
L7	"From the websites of the
L8	Tri-City Skins, the Canadian
L9	Ethnic Cleansing Team and the
20	Vinland Voice."
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mm-hmm.
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: This is where he
23	reads information that he allegedly told he had found

1	on my computer.	
2		"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And
3		anybody tell you there was that
4		kind of information on the
5		unencrypted portion?
6		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: In that
7		case was there any CD in
8		question at this time where
9		there was information from
10		Tri-City Skins, C.E.C.T. and
11		Vinland Voice?
12		DET/CST. WILSON: Mr.
13		Richardson's computer.
14		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Is there
15		any information from the
16		Tri-City site?
17		DET/CST. WILSON: I'm trying to
18		remember if there was a
19		Tri-City's icon on the front
20		page of Mr. Richardson's
21		computer. Again, I'm sorry, I
22		can't recall, so I'd have to say
23		no, there was no Tri-City

1	information."
2	Now, the thing is that in that
3	dialogue which was actually just cut down seriously,
4	just to save time, Det. Wilson when asked why he would
5	tell the thing, the investigator, Commission
6	investigator that there was
7	those three sites information on my
8	computer, he stated that the information was on James'
9	computer, he tried to shift the focus.
10	And when I asked him if there was
11	that information on James' computer, he tried to
12	confuse it until he finally came down you know,
13	basically down to the wire, when I asked him was there
14	any of that information on James' computer, he stated
15	no.
16	If neither of that information was
17	found on either my computer or Mr. Richardson's
18	computer, then it's obvious there was some kind of
19	manufacturing of information that went on between in
20	that communication.
21	So, then not criticizing the
22	credibility and I'm not even questioning the
23	credibility of the investigator, I believe that it's

1	highly likely after all that Mr. Wilson admitted to
2	that he gave false information to the investigator at
3	the Commission.
4	The following statement makes us
5	concerned as to why Mr. Warman instead of going to the
6	source, he cut and paste the e-mails and brought them
7	as evidence here.
8	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Sorry.
9	So do you believe that
10	information that's pasted into
11	an e-mail is reliable?
12	DET/CST. WILSON: That's pasted
13	into an e-mail, I'm sorry.
14	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Yes,
15	pasted and sent by e-mail; is it
16	reliable?
17	DET/CST. WILSON: Just no,
18	sir there's
19	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: It's not
20	reliable. And do you believe
21	that it's modifiable?
22	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir, it's
23	an electronic document."

1	Det. Wilson admits also that
2	AffordableSpace's sign-up process is automated without
3	my knowledge. Another contradiction of his past
4	statements. I state:
5	"Do you remember seeing any
6	Visa, Master Card, Pay Pal
7	symbol on the front?"
8	Referring to the AffordableSpace
9	site, He says:
10	"Yes, sir.
11	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
12	talk to somebody in particular,
13	specifically when you go and ask
14	for a product to be shipped to
15	you when you put your credit car
16	number in?
17	DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir.
18	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, is
19	it possible the entire process
20	was automated without my
21	knowledge?
22	DET/CST. WILSON: The sign-up
23	process?

1	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Mm-hmm?
2	DET/CST. WILSON: Absolutely."
3	Det. Wilson during the examination in
4	chief tried to give the impression that he has quite a
5	lot of knowledge about the Internet, but during his
6	cross-examination it was revealed and he admitted that
7	like Mr. Warman he knows almost nothing.
8	Well, expertise wise.
9	Det. Wilson states that he has
LO	interpreted the technical information about Execulink
L1	and the chat room and Internet documents, he also
L2	admitted to not taking expert advice from experts, as
L3	he states, and he does not have the competence to
L4	interpret those materials. Therefore, we do not
L5	believe he has expertise to be able to analyze those
L6	documents and ask the court to reject his testimony
L7	which pertains to the Internet or computer materials.
L8	And the chat here is, I ask:
L9	"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did you
20	interpret the contents of the
21	warrant the contents of the
22	information provided by
23	Execulink?

1		DET/CST. WILSON: Did I
2		interpret it?
3		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did you
4		do it yourself?
5		DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir.
6		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So,
7		would you say you are competent
8		enough to interpret technical
9		information provided?
10		DET/CST. WILSON: Technical
11		information from there?
12		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN:
13		Provided.
14		DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir.
15		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: But you
16		did just say that you
17		interpreted it yourself. Did
18		you run that information by a
19		computer expert?
20		DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir."
21	Now,	this is the idea. Now, talking
22	about more in detail a	about the document that was
23	provided from Execulir	nk. He comments on Cox88 and I

1	say
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: The Execulink
3	information is at HR-4, this is references to
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: This is HR-4
5	references.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: But I only tell
8	him to look at it at HR-4 because in the beginning I
9	asked him about the information then I ask him to look
10	at it, which is why it says HR-4.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, I'll let you
12	finish. Go ahead.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: This is him
14	commenting on HR-4 basically.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mm-hmm.
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I say:
17	"Do you know what an NAS
18	identifier is?
19	DET/CST. WILSON: No.
20	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
21	know what an NAS IP address is?
22	DET/CST. WILSON: No.
23	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Service

StenoTran

1	type?
2	DET/CST. WILSON: No.
3	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Frame
4	protocol.
5	DET/CST. WILSON: None of that."
6	And according to Det. Wilson he was
7	the one that analyzed the Execulink paper.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Right. You see, it
9	comes right after we see user name 'Cox88' which has a
10	significance because we've seen it related to one of
11	the parties in other evidence, right?
12	So, I mean, I gather that's where he
13	drew some and I think it's at page continues on
14	to the fifth somewhere in one of these later pages.
15	Right. When one sees the customer service print-out
16	from Execulink, one sees that the log-in and service ID
17	for this client is Cox88.
18	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Correct?
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, you asked him
22	about all these other things, NAS identifier, NAS IP
23	address and all that kind of stuff at the bottom.

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I say the fact of
2	the matter is
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: He doesn't know it.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The fact of the
5	matter what I'll be suggesting or saying or stating,
6	I guess, is that according to him this document is
7	legit, authentic and
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: He testified that
9	he obtained it himself from
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: He testified that
11	it was authentic. He also testified that without the
12	help of an expert he interpreted the document himself.
13	Now, interpreting a document is a
14	more, I guess, detailed process than just gathering
15	getting some information from a document.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: I see your point,
17	but let's
18	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: But that's what I
20	read from the abstract. I just asked you, it says
21	service ID for this client is Cox88. He testified that
22	he got this information with regards to the respondent,
23	Mr. Richardson.

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Well, basically
2	what I'm doing is, some of these topics I'm touching on
3	assuming that the Tribunal finds that the information
4	is admissible or the information has any weight in
5	establishing, like
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Right, exactly.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: So, this is
8	assuming, assuming that it does
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I'm suggesting
11	that he might be lying about having interpreted the
12	document because he states that he interpreted it
13	himself, did not consult an expert and he also states
14	he doesn't know what a lot of the document is.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: I appreciate your
16	comments on that. I understand what you are saying,
17	but you have to keep it in the context of the actual
18	evidence here.
19	What we saw is that on the first
20	three pages are those technical terms that you referred
21	to in your questioning, but right above that was user
22	name 'Cox88' and I have the dates in question and it's
23	related to the same user name that appears on the

1	customer service document.
2	Now, it goes back to what I asked you
3	earlier: Is this oil pressure or is it speed? You
4	know what I mean.
5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah. Okay.
6	Basically what I'm saying
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, the purpose
8	do we need to know does a person need to know what
9	account input octets means in order to figure out that
10	the user ID is Cox88 for that phone number located at
11	that address at London?
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. The
13	problem I'm getting into
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
15	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: is more of the
16	issue again of credibility in a sense, but the fact of
17	the matter is, looking at the information, for example,
18	if you read where it says dates, if you look at the
19	dates and stuff like, can you just briefly read what
20	it says there are the dates.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Customer service?
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: No, in the actual
23	report where he's looking at the report for date and

1	time, you stated that you just read it, it says
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, it says,
3	Thursday, Thursday
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Right. What it
5	actually says for time start, et cetera.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Various times.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Can you actually
8	read that line where it says start something.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: September 13th,
10	12:26:46, 2001.
11	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: But can you read
12	that whole line from the beginning where it says start
13	or actually it says something.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Why don't you look
15	at it instead of asking me to look at it.
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay, I'll get
17	into that. I'll get into that. The whole idea is that
18	if somebody brings a document that they can't interpret
19	and they comment on it, in fact, it basically it's a
20	statement that they know what they're talking about and
21	making a statement that they know what they're talking
22	about when they don't, on the stand, is also a form of
23	interpreting misinterpreting.

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Because this
2	individual doesn't understand the rest of the
3	information on this sheet his interpretation of the
4	line that appears fairly obvious, user name 'Cox88' is
5	not as obvious as one would think?.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I would say there
7	is a lot more information than just 'Cox88', so it
8	might not be as obvious as one would think.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON; Fine.
LO	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The fact of the
L1	matter is this is assuming the Tribunal finds that the
L2	document is not manufactured.
L3	THE CHAIRPERSON: But you see, you
L4	hear what you just said, let me back you up, I would
L5	say that this is not enough information you just said;
L6	right ?
L7	But I have no evidence to indicate
L8	that to me otherwise?
L9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: No, no.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Did you testify?
21	Did you bring me an expert to tell me that without this
22	oil pressure you can't possibly draw the conclusion
23	about the speed of this car?

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: But the idea is,
2	I would suggest that this would not be not, as in like
3	this is my closing statement, basically like my
4	suggestion that, so I'm not trying to make any
5	that's why I'm saying using the word suggest rather
6	than saying it is, as did the Commission and Mr.
7	Warman.
8	The reason I'm using suggest so that
9	I don't basically get, I guess, heat for not testifying
10	and then
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: It isn't for heat,
12	sir, it was your decision not to testify, not lead any
13	evidence on your own.
14	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand
15	that, so that's why I'm using the word suggest rather
16	than saying it is.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Right, okay. Never
18	mind. Go ahead.
19	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. Now,
20	here's the thing, Det. Wilson has not done the minimum
21	work and he still accuses Mr. Richardson of being the
22	author of the e-mails.
23	And I ask:

1		"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Is it
2		possible that the IP addresses
3		get spoofed on IRC or get
4		mimicked on IRC?"
5	Well	, the mimicked being an English
6	term for this. He sta	ites:
7		"If that's a chat line, it's
8		possible.
9		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
10		Did you get any did you
11		request records of IP addresses
12		of e-mails that you received
13		from James?
14		DET/CST. WILSON: No."
15	Which	h comes back to the fact that ar
16	e-mail IP addresses we	ere never validated.
17	Also	, the following is another
18	typical evasive method	l that Det. Wilson used during
19	lengthy question. He	does not answer a specific
20	question. He also adm	nits he has not contacted any of
21	the sites or server ag	gain.
22		"Did you ever do an
23		investigation", I ask,

1	"to see if there was anybody
2	else involved with
3	AffordableSpace.com?
4	DET/CST. WILSON: Again, sir, if
5	I did an investigation where I
6	thought somebody else had
7	knowledge, consent, control over
8	AffordableSpace and had
9	knowledge about the threat,
10	there's be three of yous here,
11	or four of yous.'
12	I guess that's what was typed up.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mm-hmm.
14	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. I ask:
15	"But did you do an investigation
16	to find out if there was anybody
17	else that employed by
18	DET/CST. WILSON: I didn't
19	locate anybody else.
20	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did you
21	do an investigation to try to
22	locate
23	DET/CST. WILSON: Yes, sir, I

1		did an investigation to try to
2		locate more members of the
3		Canadian Ethnic Cleansing Team
4		as well.
5		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: My
6		question was specifically to
7		AffordableSpace.com? DET/CST.
8		WILSON: Yes, sir, and I didn't
9		locate any.
10		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did you
11		try to locate, let's say, tech
12		support, representatives?
13		DET/CST. WILSON: I didn't
14		locate any.
15		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did you
16		try" to e-mail,
17		"AffordableSpace while I was
18		locked up in London?"
19	That	's kind of like probably because
20	I didn't want to conti	nue with the details because he
21	does say no.	
22	And	I say, okay:
23		"MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Oh no,

1			I said, did you e-mail
2			AffordableSpace.com e-mail
3			addresses, for example, abuse or
4			info@affordablespace.com while I
5			was locked up in London?
6			DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir."
7		I sa	id:
8			"Did you try e-mailing any of
9			the e-mail addresses on any of
10			the sites you were investigating
11			during your investigation?
12			DET/CST. WILSON: No, sir.
13			MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did you
14			try e-mailing more than one
15			e-mail address on the C.E.C.T.
16			sites?
17			DET/CST. WILSON: No. Actually
18			if"
19		Cont	inues. So, just the fact that
20	it's no. I also	o ask:	
21			"How many e-mail addresses did
22			you see on the Canadian Ethnic
23			Cleansing Team site as

1	@wpcect.com?"
2	And at that point he testified that
3	he saw many and that he didn't e-mail any of them.
4	So, the following is other evidence
5	that, I guess, could not have been Totenkopf and I
6	stated Totenkopf was a subgroup of C.E.C.T. I ask:
7	"Okay. You stated previously
8	that you believed I was
9	Totenkopf; is that right?"
10	He states:
11	"Yes, sir."
12	I said:
13	"Not a member of a group called
14	Totenkopf but just Totenkopf?"
15	He says:
16	"Yes. Just an individual yes,
17	sir, sorry."
18	I said:
19	"Okay. If you look beside where
20	it says, what does it say,
21	public press relations."
22	He said:
23	"Public press relations

StenoTran

1			comma"
2		I say	7 :
3			"Does it say anything about web
4			master or management of content
5			or anything like that?"
6		He sa	ays:
7			"No, sir."
8		I sai	.d:
9			"Do you believe there was
10			somebody else that was actually
11			web master for this site other
12			than myself?"
13		He sa	uid:
14			"Other than yourself? No, sir."
15		And M	Ms Maillet was also compelled to
16	ask the following	ques	tion because of the preponderance
17	of evidence agains	st	sorry, the preponderance of
18	evidence against	Det.	Wilson showing that, you know,
19	he was corrupt.	As th	e French say "qui s'excuse
20	s'accuse".		
21		Why a	are you objecting this time? So,
22	go ahead.		
23		MR. W	MARMAN: Mr. Kulbashian has just

1	accused Det. Wilson of being corrupt. Again, this is a
2	repeated pattern of abusing the Tribunal's process to
3	make these unfounded and unsubstantiated allegations
4	that Det. Wilson is corrupt, he has perjured himself,
5	he has engaged in criminal activities. It is a
6	complete abuse of the process.
7	Mr. Kulbashian should either
8	substantiate his allegations or he should withdraw
9	them.
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN; You hold a stack
11	of documents there with quotes from his own testimony,
12	so if you want to interrupt me at least think about
13	what you're going to interrupt me about.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Direct your answer
15	to me.
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The fact of the
17	matter is I showed Det. Wilson's own testimony where he
18	admits
19	THE CHAIRPERSON; And let me draw the
20	conclusions that I would draw from those points.
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Exactly.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: You don't have
23	to

1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Just as the
2	complainant
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Just move on. Just
4	move on, please.
5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: My point is, just
6	as the complainant and the Commission did make
7	allegations throughout their closing statements
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: I just want to make
9	one point clear to you, all of you. You can use all
10	the dirty language that you want against an individual
11	or demeaning language, and Mr. Warman you may object
12	all you want, in the end it won't make any difference
13	because I'm going to work on the basis of the evidence
14	So, if you think you're achieving
15	points Mr. Kulbashian by bashing individuals with
16	strong words, you don't.
17	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I wasn't using
18	strong words in this one.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, you called
20	him corrupt, that's about as strong an accusation as
21	you can make about a police officer or any public
22	official.
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Well

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's a very
2	strong statement.
3	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand
4	that.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: And if you say it
6	on the street, if you said it to the press that's in
7	this room you may find yourself in court at some point.
8	So, don't make strong statements. In
9	fact, I'm advising you, it's in your best interest.
LO	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Mr. Chair
L1	THE CHAIRPERSON: To just simply say,
L2	this witness has contradicted himself and that,
L3	therefore, is not credible, because that's all I need
L4	for my purposes. I don't need to this is not a
L5	trial for a corruption charge, all right.
L6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay.
L7	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. So,
L8	limit your comments, and that way you won't get
L9	objections, you won't lose your rhythm; get it?
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand,
21	okay. I take that back then. Okay.
22	So, Ms Maillet says:
23	"Thank you. Sir"

1	This was in her re-direct, I guess.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: You want to refer
3	to this extract, this excerpt from the transcript?
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, this is
5	during the re-direct I believe.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, during the
7	re-direct. Okay. Go ahead, yes.
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Ms Maillet says:
9	"Thank you. Sir, there were
10	also suggestions during
11	cross-examination that
12	throughout your investigation
13	and possibly thereafter that
14	there were times that you, it
15	was suggested by the
16	respondents, either misled the
17	court, there was even a
18	suggestion that you perjured
19	yourself, were the words that
20	they used, and I want to give
21	you an opportunity as well to
22	answer to that in
23	re-examination."

1	Det. Wilson answers back:
2	"I can answer, at no time did I
3	mislead a court at any time and
4	at no time did I mislead an
5	officer of the court at any
6	time."
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. And
8	your point is, I read your next
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: My point is
10	that
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: You've already
12	identified situations where you feel he made
13	representations to other instances
14	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And I believe he
15	forgot what his answers earlier on I believe at
16	this point he had forgotten all the answers he gave
17	earlier on in the testimony in cross-examination and I
18	think he answered that way because I guess he had
19	forgotten what he said.
20	During cross-examination, Det. Wilson
21	realized that revealed that he was an unreliable
22	witness who would, I guess, I would suggest even go as
23	far as criminal activity to reach his goals

1	To his own admission he was
2	implicated in many irregularities which could be
3	considered criminal acts in a court of law.
4	And I believe that the personal
5	vendetta against myself and Mr. Richardson motivated
6	him to these.
7	Basically what I have to say is that
8	if this is what he admitted to, I don't know what he
9	didn't admit to, and I only tried for sake of brevity
10	to include only a little bit of his information on the
11	testimony here and I don't believe the court has time
12	to go over seven days of testimony, I guess, and have
13	it shredded apart, so basically
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: What are you
15	referring to?
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Basically it's
17	the idea, the only reason that basically the points
18	that I made here.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mm-hmm.
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And I guess
21	quotes that I made of his testimony and noted
22	information from his testimony, there is probably more
23	and there could be more but for the sake of brevity I

1	didn't to go through every single point, and I didn't
2	want to waste the court's time but I believe at this
3	time the Tribunal should, I guess in my opinion, it
4	would be hard to come to any other decision myself that
5	he himself was anything but an unreliable witness and
6	that his information should either be directly
7	corroborated by, like, irrefutable evidence,
8	documentary evidence and not evidence that he provided
9	or evidence that's modifiable or his evidence should be
10	thrown out.
11	During my bail hearing when I was in
12	appeal court, Chief Justice Morden said, if any witness
13	gets caught with one lie, I would throw him out of this
14	courtroom.
15	And I believe that this is the policy
16	that this Tribunal should adopt and I'm not saying just
17	with one lie, there is enormous amounts of information
18	that suggests that Det. Wilson was less than honest
19	throughout his investigation and in the court and in
20	the Tribunal here, as well as in other courts earlier
21	on.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: What about the
23	documents though that have been introduced? You may

1	take issue with his testimony, including the transcript
2	that you've referred to extensively in order to present
3	your side of the story.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Some of the issues
6	that you brought forth in your defence emerge out of
7	the transcript of your interrogation too because it was
8	filed by that witness?
9	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That was filed by
10	us.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, okay. It was
12	filed by you.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Actually it was
14	filed by the
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, but it was
16	drafted by that witness.
17	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: It was drafted
18	by, I guess, the reporter at the police station.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mm-hmm.
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: The fact of the
21	matter is see, it's the idea of that's what I
22	meant when I said, I guess, documentary and
23	irrefutable I guess documentary evidence

1	The fact of the matter is any
2	evidence that he brought in and stated that I assert or
3	I assure the Tribunal that this evidence has not been
4	modified, this evidence has not been tampered, the fact
5	of the matter is he also did admit to certain actions
6	that would make modifying evidence small amounts of
7	evidence even seem trivial to somebody who admitted to
8	something he admitted to.
9	And I believe the Tribunal should, I
10	guess in a way, decide for itself, I'm not going to
11	make any I'm not going to tell the Tribunal, you can
12	decide for yourself.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: I understand.
14	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Whether or not
15	he's a witness whose testimony should carry any weight
16	in this Tribunal hearing.
17	The fact of the matter is that
18	according, like, to Mr. Warman's admission, a lot of
19	information he got during the investigation, a lot of
20	information that the investigator at the Commission got
21	were from Mr. Warman and that I believe that
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Sorry, I'm sorry,

1	I'm getting mixed up.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: DWs, yes.
3	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: So, we got all
4	the DWs yeah, were from Det. Wilson, and I believe it's
5	kind of like the information is poisoned and going
6	through a system and spreading from one person to
7	another, where many people say that I believe this is
8	it without realizing that the sources are identical.
9	It all comes down to the same
LO	officer.
11	Here the remarkable fact is the
L2	scarcity of investigation documents produced to this
L3	Tribunal to back his claim such as police reports,
L 4	personal notes, even the crown brief were supplied by
L5	us.
L6	Det. Wilson did not disclose a single
L7	document to back up his claims about the extent of my
L8	involvement, all he told the court was based on his
L9	biased and untrue assumptions.
20	Det. Wilson is a police officer that
21	is trained to gather factual information which he has
22	not done. He has not contacted the sites or the
23	server, does not have documents to support his claims.

1	There are very few documents that he
2	did produced to this court the very few documents
3	that he produced to this court are incomplete,
4	illegible and to his own acceptance, not acceptable to
5	any court of law.
6	He cannot be considered as an
7	independent witness Det. Wilson is a party brought
8	forward by the complainants. After knowing his true
9	character after him showing his true character, I
10	don't believe that the court could think it was out of
11	the realm of possibilities for him to tamper with
12	documents that he produced to this Tribunal. For
13	example, chat documents, Execulink documents and CDs of
14	James Richardson's hard drive.
15	Coming back to the e-mail, since Det.
16	Wilson claimed that the e-mails were direct
17	correspondence with Mr. Richardson and he was trying to
18	identify Mr. Richardson through the chats as well, and
19	what I don't understand is why obscure chat logs and IF
20	addresses from those obscure chat logs were the only
21	information requested in the warrant for Execulink
22	rather than the IP addresses from the e-mails of direct
23	correspondences with the person that he claimed to be

Τ	was James Richardson.
2	I believe the evidence he provided
3	was patchy at best, and I believe that the evidence he
4	provided by his own admission would not be, I guess,
5	acceptable in a court of law and I don't believe that a
6	Federal Court should accept that too, or a Tribunal.
7	While Det. Wilson tried to show that
8	I had control over documents posted on Vinland Voice,
9	C.E.C.T. and Tri-City sites, he did not produce any
10	document to prove it, so if you want the Tribunal to
11	take his word, the same applies for AffordableSpace.
12	Det. Wilson based all his testimony on biased
13	assumptions which are contradictory and full of many
14	flaws and lies.
15	Also, he did not produce any
16	documents that I knowingly, willingly produced or
17	facilitated any articles on the Internet contrary to s.
18	13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act.
19	I believe that to help, I guess, a
20	colleague, somebody he's worked with, Mr. Warman in his
21	personal compensation lawsuit, Det. Wilson also tried
22	to demonstrate to the court that I was in fact
23	Totenkopf, but under my lengthy questions he gradually

1	retrieved his testimony. He did not have any factual
2	evidence, he was full of contradictions. Sometimes
3	going as far as refuting his own evidence, his own
4	evidence a very short while later.
5	That's the end of the section on Det.
6	Wilson.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, right. Sorry?
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Just checking. I
9	at least got that over.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: It's 3:30, if you
11	could proceed directly to the next portion of your
12	submissions.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. Now,
14	talking about now, the third witness that appeared
15	before the court is Dr. Francis Henry. She had a list
16	of, I guess, basically a CV that she showed the courts
17	with all her experience.
18	I believe she had a biased approach
19	to the way she analyzed information, a bias towards I
20	guess Jewish people in essence and, moreover, I think
21	she has limited knowledge in her field.
22	Although, basically, like the way she
23	made comments some of the stuff that she commented

1	on were not even in her field of expertise.
2	An example, for example, I guess is
3	genocides and Holocaust, et cetera. And even though
4	she admits it's due to extreme racism, she hasn't made
5	an effort to study it.
6	The question I asked her was:
7	"you know a lot about
8	Holocaust?
9	DR. HENRY: I know a fair amount
10	about it, yes.
11	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Would it
12	be safe to say that you studied
13	in more detail about the
14	Holocaust than about any other
15	genocide?
16	DR. HENRY: That's correct.
17	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did you
18	make any other efforts to study
19	any other genocides?
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Did you make
21	any other efforts
22	DR. HENRY: Any other.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: to study

1	other genocides?
2	DR. HENRY: No, not in specific.
3	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Would
4	you happen to know anything
5	about the Armenian genocide and
6	what year it was, roughly?
7	DR. HENRY: Not in any detail,
8	no.
9	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Would
10	you happen to know how many
11	people died during that
12	genocide?
13	DR. HENRY: No.
14	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
15	Would you know a lot about the
16	Holocaust?
17	DR. HENRY: I know a fair mount
18	about it, yes.
19	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Would
20	you consider genocide to be one
21	of the key issues of racism at
22	its extreme?
23	DR. HENRY: Yes.

1	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Would
2	you consider somebody who was
3	against, I guess, the Jewish
4	occupation of Palestine to be
5	racist?
6	DR. HENRY: Not necessarily.
7	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Would
8	you consider that to be a key
9	issue of politics?
10	DR. HENRY: It is at the moment,
11	yes.
12	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay,
13	I'll go to a different
14	question."
15	Because I was cut off at that point. And Dr. Francis
16	finds it hard to accept the notion of Palestine.
17	Again, she shows her biased opinion in the
18	Palestinian/Israeli conflict.
19	And when I asked:
20	"Have you ever been to
21	Palestine?
22	DR. HENRY: I've been to Israel,
23	yes.

1	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: My
2	question was, have you ever been
3	to Palestine?
4	DR. HENRY: Yes."
5	Now, if anything it shows her
6	reluctance to answer questions straight forward either
7	because of her own principles or her own politics. My
8	question was never whether or not she went to Israel, I
9	said, Have you been to Palestine. She says, I've been
LO	to Israel, yes, in her first answer.
L1	And if that was a way to try to
L2	contradict my question with her own answer, it's kind
L3	of off to a bad start.
L4	So, the following is a long list of
L5	straight forward questions that require straight
L6	forward answers that Dr. Francis Henry was evading.
L7	Sorry, I keep saying Francis instead of Henry.
L8	Her statement shows that she does not
L9	have the qualifications to differentiate between
20	politics and racism.
21	I say:
22	"Would you believe politics
23	plays a big role in racism?

1	DR. HENRY: Everything plays a
2	role in racism.
3	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Well,
4	would you be able to
5	differentiate politics from
6	racism?
7	DR. HENRY: I'm not sure how you
8	use the term politics, so I'm
9	afraid I can't answer the
10	question.
11	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So,
12	would you possibly, in reading
13	an article that would be
14	political, lean towards
15	interpreting it as racism as
16	opposed to political?
17	DR. HENRY: I'm afraid I can't
18	answer that question because I
19	don't know what it means.
20	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
21	Do you think you would have
22	do you think you would have a
23	bias that would make you lean

1	towards interpreting an article
2	as racism, even if it was purely
3	political? That's a straight
4	forward question.
5	DR. HENRY: Again, I"
6	Then the Chairperson asks:
7	"Perhaps if I possibly could
8	interpret the question. Have
9	you ever noted in some way in
10	your experience when reviewing
11	an expression of opinion that
12	you find yourself more likely to
13	interpret it as being of a
14	racial nature as opposed to an
15	expression of a political
16	opinion?
17	DR. HENRY: Well, I suppose so,
18	but, I mean, it's an area that I
19	find very difficult to
20	differentiate."
21	By her own admission. In the
22	following Dr. Henry I've got to read DF
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: You got it right

1	there, Dr. Henry.
2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah. Dr. Henry
3	admits that she doesn't have the qualifications to
4	testify about articles regarding the Palestinian
5	conflict. I say:
6	"Have you formed an opinion
7	about the Palestinian situation?
8	DR. HENRY: I think that
9	situation is so extraordinarily
LO	complex that, I mean, obviously
L1	have opinions, but they are
L2	merely opinions, not based on
L3	any degree of expertise."
L4	In the following Dr. Henry states
L5	that she has not concentrated on the Jewish people
L6	saying "not at all", but I name a whole list of books
L7	related to Jewish descent that she has authored.
L8	Dr. Henry says:
L9	"I have tended to concentrate on
20	racism as it is directed towards
21	people of colour.
22	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And
23	people of Jewish descent?

1	DR. HENRY: No, not necessarily
2	at all."
3	And I continue:
4	"And would you still state that
5	you haven't done any form of
6	research or writing in the field
7	of racism towards Jews?
8	DR. HENRY: I didn't say that."
9	Mr. Warman says:
10	"Objection, that's not what she
11	said."
12	I said:
13	"Would you still state",
14	this is after reading the list of books by the way, I
15	just didn't want to go into the list there.
16	"Would you still state that you
17	haven't concentrated so much on
18	racism towards Jews?
19	DR. HENRY: No, I haven't. The
20	majority of my writings, despite
21	the ones you have picked up, if
22	you do a numerical count, there
23	are more on the area of colour

1	than there are on religion."
2	The above clearly shows that she is
3	trying to hide her biased approach. Also she is
4	contradicting herself. When she used the phrase "not
5	necessarily, at all "her message is clear, she has not
6	done any. Then she denies what she said previously, "I
7	did not say that".
8	Dr. Henry tells that the majority of
9	articles are not related to Jewish people but the issue
10	here is that some of the rest of the articles seem to
11	be more of a national and interaction related as
12	opposed to specifically anything against blacks, as far
13	as her books go at least.
14	Although Dr. Henry previously told
15	that she is not an expert in politics, in the following
16	she tells that she is qualified to give an expert
17	opinion on politics. Moreover, she had said that
18	racism and politics is an area very hard to
19	differentiate and now she gives a clear list of all the
20	factors involved in this case. This is another example
21	of her contradictions and inconsistencies.
22	I ask:
23	"Would you consider this case to

1	involve politics and
2	race-related relations?
3	DR. HENRY: Oh, I'm sure it
4	involves politics, race, racism,
5	religion, ethnicity,
6	nationality. You mean it, it's
7	involved.
8	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Would
9	you consider yourself to be
10	qualified to give any expert
11	evidence on politics?
12	DR. HENRY: Yes."
13	Because the list is too long we will
14	be dealing only with a few samples of the following
15	articles and Dr. Henry's observations about them.
16	Here I would like to mention that
17	later on during her testimony she admitted that most of
18	these articles were racist by implication, not
19	necessarily outright racist.
20	The following is an explicit
21	statement showing Dr. Henry's very biased approach and
22	her lack of political qualification in analyzing the
23	articles and casts serious doubts about her I guess

1	position as an expert witness.
2	She admits these are reprintings from
3	newspapers with no comments, then she admits that the
4	article is purely against Israel, but she does not
5	explain why this article can be considered racist.
6	Because an article is against Israel
7	does it necessarily mean it is anti-Semitic? Could it
8	be political?
9	Regarding the arrest of the Arab
10	terrorist again she comes to her own conclusions
11	without explaining why that article is criticizing
12	Canada for his arrest.
13	If she would have gone through the
14	whole site and read all the articles she would have
15	found out that usually the Canadian government is
16	blamed for letting these unchecked terrorists in.
17	Her following statement she is in
18	contradiction of her previous statement about the
19	arrest. She says:
20	"And essentially the message
21	here seems to be that war should
22	be declared on Jews and Arabs as
23	a form of retaliation. He also

1	suggests, or advocates that they
2	should not be allowed into the
3	country.
4	Later during cross-examination she
5	admits that those two articles are racist by
6	implication.
7	Dr. Henry does not state that these
8	articles in their own context are contrary to s. 13.
9	"DR. HENRY: Yes, it seems
10	to be reprinting news reports in
11	the first instance about
12	Canada's role in supporting the
13	view that there is anti-Israeli
14	language expressed at a UN
15	conference. Then it also talks
16	about the arrest of a Toronto
17	teacher who is believed to be an
18	Islamic terrorist. The first
19	two articles in the newsletter
20	then call attention to Canada's
21	role in fighting terrorism and
22	in defending Israel. Although
23	there is no particular

1	commentary involved here, it
2	seems obvious that the reprint
3	of these items are designed to
4	criticize Canada for its defence
5	of Israel and its arrest of
6	suspected terrorists."
7	I think that was an example of how
8	she was trying to create an issue where maybe there was
9	no issue. Trying to expert witness I believe should
10	be testifying on actual evidence and try to stay away
11	from interpreting things and saying, oh, this implies
12	this, this implies that, especially when these are just
13	reprints of news articles.
14	Because in the following article
15	there are the words "Aryan" and "white" again she comes
16	to all sort of conclusions. Again, this shows her
17	state of mind and her biased approach. She does not
18	state that the article is contrary to s. 13. Later she
19	admits that this article is racist by implication.
20	The next one is, I guess, on page 2,
21	which is headed WAU Canada, and that is a letter or
22	message from Women for Aryan Unity and the announcement
23	is made that a Canadian chapter of this group is being

1	formed and it tells us what this particular
2	organization is about. It works to "unite, educate and
3	protect our white race."
4	It identifies itself as being made of
5	a group of "proud mothers who believe in raising our
6	Aryan children to strong and to fight for our cause."
7	The organization then, Women for
8	Aryan Unity, clearly again is a white supremacist
9	organization based on the biological belief on the
10	superiority of the white or what is referred to here as
11	the aryan race.
12	Again, Dr. Henry has not explained
13	why the following article is contrary to s. 13.
14	"DR. HENRY: In the middle of
15	page 3 and it's headed, "In
16	Response, ARA Report, August
17	24th", and it relates to the
18	activities of the Anti-Racist
19	Action Group who were protesting
20	outside a Montreal courthouse
21	where one of the warriors,
22	presumably one of the members of
23	a white supremacist

1	organization, were up on certain
2	charges. The charges I think
3	were unspecified in the report."
4	But she read the information anyways,
5	she didn't state that the article itself was racist,
6	she just read the information, either to try to create
7	some kind of prejudice at the Tribunal, even though
8	later on she admits she didn't read every article and
9	that she only read articles that people directed her to
LO	read. For some reason, this was one article she read.
L1	Again, Dr. Henry does not explain or
L2	state why that article is contrary to s. 13. Ms
L3	Maillet says:
L 4	"That would be on page 3 of tab
L5	19.
L6	DR. HENRY: In the middle of
L7	page 3 and it's headed "In
L8	Response, ARA Report, August
L9	24th", and it relates to the
20	activities of the Anti-Racist
21	Action Group who were protesting
22	outside a Montreal
23	courthouse", et cetera.

1	"The charges I think were
2	unspecified in the report. It
3	appears that the intent of these
4	notices is to poke fun and mock
5	the activities of the ARA, of
6	the Anti-Racist Organization."
7	Even though the article had no
8	commentary to poke fun and mock at ARA, again, she felt
9	herself, I guess, qualified to make a decision based on
10	what she didn't know was a mind set.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Let's be clear
12	here. I didn't qualify her to make decisions that the
13	Tribunal will be making.
14	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand
15	that.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: And I'm saying I
17	see your point. Let's be clear on this. The decision
18	on what qualifies under s. 13 as a violation of that
19	provision is the Tribunal's, not Dr. Henry's.
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand
21	that, but what I'm trying to show is that as an expert
22	witness she was here to provide expert testimony, not
2.3	to try to throw out as much information as possible and

1	hope one of them sticks.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: I forgot exactly
3	what she was qualified under. She was to provide any
4	specific information the Tribunal may not have had
5	regarding, I forgot
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I remember she
7	was qualified as an expert in racism and hate
8	propaganda, later which she admitted she was not an
9	expert in hate propaganda during her interview.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: But there was more
11	to that. I specify in my ruling exactly what sort of
12	help she may provide.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: I did not include
15	her telling me how s. 13 is going to be interpreted.
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: I see your point
18	exactly.
19	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: But using s. 13
20	is kind of like it's more like I guess a metaphor
21	because the idea is, like, she did state it was racist
22	or it was in violation of s. 13 is like more our
23	words rather than her words that we are evolatining

1	Like, her saying it would cause violent effect in
2	society, et cetera.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: The decision on
4	whether a person will be exposed to hatred or contempt
5	by reason of the fact that those persons are
6	identifiable - and now I'm paraphrasing s. 13 - that's
7	the Tribunal's mandate.
8	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand
9	that.
LO	THE CHAIRPERSON: Rest assured of
L1	that.
L2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I understand that
L3	but the reason why I used s. 13 is meaning she did not
L4	state that these would actually fall under that
L5	specification.
L6	THE CHAIRPERSON: I think it's
L7	possible that this witness may have gone further than
L8	is necessary. The Tribunal will exercise its own
L9	mandate and not give it up to someone else.
20	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay, thank you.
21	The following statement of Dr. Henry
22	is very noticeable. Although in her previous testimony
23	she tried to show a neutral approach, this shows that

1	she is very biased against the Palestinians. Why did
2	she name specifically the Palestinians between so many
3	people.
4	Also, she used the word Palestinians
5	instead of using Palestinian extremist or naming any
6	organization.
7	This is a generalization of the
8	entire Palestinian population which is discriminatory.
9	Dr. Henry in her writing says:
10	"The attack on the Twin Towers
11	in New York is the work of",
12	that's what she says:
13	"is the work of Jews and the
14	Israeli Government rather than
15	Palestinians",
16	which is in her own words, this is in her, I guess
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: She must be
18	referring here to a text that says that.
19	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: No, no, no, this
20	is her test when she was reading the
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: She said this?
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: the brief.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: She must have been

1	reading something.
2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: It was in her
3	brief. As it was in the paper that she submitted when
4	she was doing the commentary.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: In her brief she
7	said, they are blaming the attack on the Twin Towers in
8	New York and saying it's the work of Jews and the
9	Israeli Government rather than Palestinians.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
11	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Or even Osama
12	BinLaden.
13	"And essentially the message
14	here seems to be that war should
15	be declared on Jews and Arabs as
16	a form of retaliation. He also
17	suggests, or advocates that they
18	should not be allowed into the
19	country and those that are
20	already here should be deported,
21	if not killed."
22	Dr. Henry also says:
23	"Because I suspect that by the

StenoTran

1	time of September 14th there had
2	been speculation that these two
3	communities might have had
4	something to do with the attack.
5	But, again, I'm on very shaky
6	grounds because I don't really
7	know if that was the case."
8	And this is the answer she gave when
9	she was asked as to why she claimed the Palestinians,
10	why she suggested it would have been somebody other
11	than Jews and she suggested that that would be the
12	Palestinians.
13	Again, she does not explain how the
14	following can be discriminatory or contrary to s. 13,
15	and again used in the context that she didn't state
16	say things.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, I know.
18	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Which is
19	purely which purely states that we should not get
20	trapped in other conflicts, as opposed to stating, you
21	know
22	Regarding the comments about Israel,
23	that's the author's opinion about the policy of the

1	country. She does not explain again how this can be
2	discriminatory.
3	Dr. Henry says:
4	"What he's urging in that letter
5	is that we must observe a Canada
6	or America first policy in order
7	not to get trapped by other
8	people's conflicts.
9	And he notes that both
10	countries, that is, the United
11	States and Canada, should cease
12	letting the Israeli tail wag the
13	dog of foreign politics."
14	Again, does not explain why it's
15	discriminatory. If anything, that's a political, if
16	anything but she still does feel a need to comment
17	on it and does feel a need to say there's an implied
18	reason to the article.
19	Again, in the following she does
20	explain why Mr. Paul Fromm's article is contrary to s.
21	13. Is it discriminatory stating that Israel's
22	influence is so strong or just a political statement,
23	or facts. Moreover, in her report she calls Paul Fromm

1	a white supremacist with no explanation.
2	"This suggests"
3	This is her words:
4	"This suggests I think this
5	is a reference to a film of that
6	name, and it suggests to me
7	that, again, the influence of
8	Israel is so strong that foreign
9	policies of countries like the
10	United States and Canada are
11	actually being influenced by
12	it."
13	And I think is isolationism
14	contrary to s. 13, that's a question that I posed. Dr
15	Henry also says:
16	"And it's also in reference to
17	September 11th. And David Duke
18	is fairly"
19	This is talking about the David Duke
20	article.
21	"David Duke is fairly well-
22	known, I think for his
23	isolationist views, and this

1	letter also advocates an
2	isolationist foreign policy for
3	the United States "break
4	the grip of this Zionist power
5	in our midst." Again, there
6	appears to be the suggestion
7	that behind the tragedy of
8	September 11th is Zionist
9	control and power."
10	That's what she states. Dr. Henry
11	does not consider the article "You Have Made Me a Human
12	Bomb" as a racist one. On the contrary, she
13	acknowledges that it comes from a human being who has
14	suffered too much.
15	Mr. Richardson asks her:
16	"Do you consider this article
17	racist?
18	DR. HENRY: I don't think so. I
19	think this is the lament of a
20	very, very sad human being who
21	has suffered a great deal."
22	Although she had stated she found no
23	difference between Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. sites

1	Dr. Henry contradicts herself again and admits that
2	C.E.C.T. is a more civilized site with no commentaries
3	Mr. Richardson asks:
4	"And my very last question, once
5	again, just like the old
6	question, in your opinion, do
7	you find this would be the kind
8	of article that you would see on
9	the Tri-City Skins website?
LO	DR. HENRY: I don't recall
L1	seeing any articles such as
L2	that.
L3	MR. RICHARDSON: I'm sorry, I
L4	meant I wasn't asking if you
L5	did see it, I'm asking, in your
L6	opinion, is this the kind of
L7	article you would see.
L8	DR. HENRY: No, I think they
L9	tend to deal in more blatant
20	kind of material."
21	Dr. Henry says:
22	"Or at least if they printed an
23	article like this, they would

1	make a commentary perhaps on
2	it."
3	Dr. Henry lacks the political
4	expertise as well as the psychological expertise to
5	judge the article.
6	She also has a narrow range of
7	general knowledge and is biased towards Israel and the
8	Jewish population. She may know the consequences of
9	discrimination against people or groups, but definitely
10	on her own acceptance, she does not have the expertise
11	to judge the messages of those articles. To her own
12	acknowledgement, she does not have the expertise to
13	differentiate between politics and racism, especially
14	in the case of the Palestinian/Israeli conflict.
15	Her reasoning shows a clear bias
16	towards Jews and Israel, and because of he bias Dr.
17	Henry has difficulty differentiating between the words
18	Israel and anti-Semitism.
19	The following statement regarding the
20	numbers of Palestinians during Mr. Arafat's funeral is
21	very sarcastic. This again shows Dr. Henry's bias
22	against Palestinians, but more it shows a pathetic kind
23	of logic.

1	Mr. Richardson asks:
2	"Do you consider what's
3	happening to Palestinian people
4	today genocide, in your opinion?
5	DR. HENRY: I think it's very
6	difficult to use a general term
7	to apply to all specific
8	situations. Genocide almost
9	always refers to, if not the
10	total destruction of a people,
11	the almost total destruction of
12	a people, and I'm really not
13	I do not know how many
14	Palestinians have been killed
15	and I do not know how many
16	Palestinians remain alive, so
17	therefore, just as an aside,
18	judging by the funeral of Yasser
19	Arafat this morning, there are
20	many, many Palestinians still
21	alive, so I have a difficulty in
22	applying the term genocide in
2.3	that situation."

1	So, and Mr. Richardson continues:
2	"So, if Prime Minister Sharon
3	passed away tomorrow, is it fair
4	to say that there would be as
5	many, if not probably more,
6	people at his funeral?
7	DR. HENRY: I suspect so, yes."
8	Mr. Richardson continues:
9	"So, if that would be under the
10	same following at all, then the
11	Jewish people did not get wiped
12	out, they did not come close to
13	getting wiped out, so it would
14	not be a Holocaust?"
15	I believe that the follow-up question
16	by James tries to expose to her her own logic where she
17	blames people of using the numbers games, saying a lot
18	of Jews are still alive, et cetera, for blaming the
19	Holocaust, however herself, as an expert on racism, she
20	does not have the sensitivity to, I guess, stay away
21	from that kind of game when trying to determine the
22	answer to a question that Mr. Richardson asked her
23	before.

1	Stunned by the unexpected answer by
2	the above remarks of Mr. Richardson, Dr. Henry tries to
3	correct her mistake in an evasive way. She states:
4	"I think we're all on very, very
5	murky ground here and it's
6	extremely difficult, you know,
7	to make answers to that make
8	any sense "
9	Now, also coupled with a news article
10	that she read, the fact that she had previously
11	referred to a genocide at Nan-king as Asian Affairs, I
12	believe that Dr. Henry does not have the sensitivity,
13	even if she is an expert on racism, I don't believe she
14	has the sensitivity to testify in front of a Tribunal
15	and give such contradicting, I guess, testimony to what
16	her original intention is original purpose is.
17	The following clearly shows that Dr.
18	Henry read only partial I guess portions of the sites,
19	those portions that the Commission and the complainant
20	thought would be beneficial for their case.
21	The Chairpersons asks:
22	"You never actually witnesses
23	the site electronically then?

1	DR. HENRY: No, I did not.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Only
3	photocopies or print-outs?
4	DR. HENRY: Only what was
5	supplied to me by the
б	Commission, which was text
7	material and the CD Rom.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh. So, did
9	you look at the CD Rom itself?
10	DR. HENRY: Yes.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, in that
12	format at least you were able to
13	see the site. So, for instance,
14	if this site contained any at
15	tab 2, contained any colour in
16	it, you would have seen it on
17	your screen?
18	DR. HENRY: I don't think so.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Right, we had
20	some evidence from you, if I
21	recall, that some of the
22	print-outs where the letters
23	were cut off at the end and you

1	indicated that was in the
2	printing process that you
3	utilized.
4	DR. HENRY: Yes, yes."
5	She also admitted to being given
6	documents and being pointed to specific articles in the
7	documents to read and analyze. And I believe that she
8	didn't get the entire context when she made a general
9	comment about the sites.
LO	The following is related to her
L1	knowledge about the sites and their interconnection.
L2	Mr. Richardson asks:
L3	"Now, when you went over the
L4	literature, especially anything
L5	related to the Tri-City Skins
L6	website, did you see anything
L7	linking the Tri-City Skins to
L8	the C.E.C.T. or the Vinland
L9	Voice?"
20	DR. HENRY: I didn't pay
21	attention to the links, I only
22	paid attention to the material
23	that was given to me."

1	Okay. The following is
2	self-explanatory. Mr. Richardson asks:
3	"Okay, thank you. Do you
4	believe that not every member of
5	a group, let's say we'll use
6	Tri-City Skins because it's the
7	best example we can use. Not
8	every member of Tri-City Skins
9	shares every ideology with all
10	the other members?
11	DR. HENRY: Yes, I think so."
12	Dr. Henry has only read limited
13	portions of the websites. She has concentrated only on
14	a few articles and not on a general picture, but she
15	then comes to general conclusions regarding the
16	messages on the sites.
17	When Mr. Richardson asks her:
18	"You read the C.E.C.T. website,
19	or at least the information that
20	was given to you, and you read
21	the Tri-City Skins and you read
22	the Vinland Voice. Would you
23	say there was a difference"

1	She asks:
2	Between the C.E.C.T. and the
3	Vinland Voice compared to the
4	Tri-City Skins?
5	Sorry, that's what he asks, continuation.
6	Dr. Henry states:
7	"I can't answer that because I'm
8	not aware of any difference.
9	MR. RICHARDSON: Would it be
10	fair to say that the C.E.C.T.
11	site was more political, none of
12	the pictures and all the bad
13	stuff, where the Tri-City Skins
14	was more militaristic and
15	combative?
16	DR. HENRY: I did not make that
17	observation. I was looking I
18	was following a mandate of
19	reviewing these materials in
20	terms of the way I do analysis
21	of racism. I was not looking
22	for such differences between the
23	two."

1	The problem with the questions
2	with the way she answers those questions, I believe
3	that Dr. Henry was controlling her answers and, in
4	fact, trying to stray away from giving answers that
5	were, I guess, blatantly beneficial to respondents'
б	case, in fact, she would go as far as completely
7	straying from the question itself to make that kind of,
8	I guess, effort.
9	To the question of the difference
10	between TCS and the C.E.C.T. sites, contradicting
11	herself again, Dr. Henry admits that the C.E.C.T.
12	provides only basic test.
13	The Chairperson asks:
14	"The suggestion that's being put
15	to you by Mr. Richardson is that
16	there's a distinction between
17	the two, there's a difference, a
18	dissimilarity between the two
19	that is of some significance.
20	Do you see any significance in
21	the different nature of the two
22	groups of documents?
23	DR. HENRY: Okay, as you

1	clarified, obviously there is a
2	difference in that one is more
3	graphic, one shows pictures, one
4	tells jokes, the other one
5	perhaps only provides basic
6	text."
7	For some reason Dr. Henry did not
8	understand the very blatant question that Mr.
9	Richardson asks, saying would it be fair to say that
10	C.E.C.T. site was more political, none of the pictures
11	and all the bad stuff, where Tri-City Skins is more
12	militaristic and more combative and she states, I did
13	not make that observation.
14	If she claims she did not make that
15	observation, how can she provide an answer to the
16	Chairperson's question which was very similar and would
17	have obviously have had to made that observation.
18	I believe that her testimony was
19	controlled and evasive as I stated before.
20	Although previously she said there
21	was no difference, the following is a contradiction of
22	her statement. Again, the Chairperson says:
23	"Before we looked at two

1	websites, now he's suggesting a
2	movement that promotes violence
3	and one that espouses the same
4	ideas without violence,
5	explicitly so; does that make a
6	difference?
7	DR. HENRY: Yes, I would have to
8	agree that that makes a
9	difference."
10	This is again commenting on
11	information between Tri-City Skins and C.E.C.T. and if
12	she felt if there was a difference.
13	Again, the idea of militaristic and
14	combative statements on the Tri-City Skins site and the
15	other which she apparently did not look at in that
16	perspective when she was asked by Mr. Richardson, but
17	when confronted by the Chairperson she decided to give,
18	I guess, more of a correct answer.
19	Ms Maillet acknowledges that Dr.
20	Henry was only supplied with partial documents and that
21	she was given instruction to read only certain
22	documents, or articles. But Dr. Henry with a limited
23	knowledge without being able to see the whole picture

1	came to a general conclusion about the sites.
2	By consequence she could not make any
3	distinction between the Tri-City Skins and the
4	C.E.C.T., especially that the latter did not promote
5	any violence.
6	There was ample evidence given
7	regarding that fact, and especially by her afterwards
8	when asked again by the Chairperson.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: We'll try to push
10	it as far as we can. Maybe we can finish by the end of
11	the day your section here.
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I don't think I
13	can. The problem is, I will be done soon enough I can
14	say, but
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: You'd like to think
16	about
17	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Well, because
18	there's some issues, there's some things I need to
19	bring in. I will be done, but
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Actually my
21	proposition was going to be to see if we can finish
22	your written portion
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And bring more

1	tomorrow.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: and tomorrow you
3	can complete your thoughts, because you must give an
4	opportunity for Mr. Richardson.
5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I want to bring
6	the Vetrovec case and I want to make sure that I have
7	it all.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: I want a good
9	buffer there for Mr. Richardson to make his arguments
10	tomorrow as well.
11	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
12	For sure, thank you.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: And of course the
14	reply after that. I'm assuming it wouldn't be as long
15	as Mr. Richardson.
16	MR. RICHARDSON: I won't be more than
17	an hour.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's fine. I
19	want to make sure there's enough time for all of you,
20	okay.
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay, thank you.
22	If I can finish this today, that will be great. I will
23	keep the rest for tomorrow, because I don't want to,

1	like, break it apart.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, I will give you
3	a chance to review your notes.
4	Ms Maillet says:
5	"I believe so. I believe so.
6	But she was not specifically
7	asked to go through that
8	disclosure, there were certain
9	documents on the websites that
10	she was asked to review. I
11	didn't have her review every
12	single piece of paper that the
13	Commission did."
14	The Chairperson says:
15	"Did you review closely anything
16	else other than the material
17	that you have referred to in
18	your report?
19	DR. HENRY: No.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: So, that
21	narrows down the documents that
22	she's looked at."
23	Regardless of that fact, Dr. Henry

felt that she was I guess in the position to offer a
general statement about the site. And I believe an
expert is an expert because they have little more, I
guess, self control, little more knowledge and more, I
guess, discipline in the field that they're reporting
on, and I don't believe that commenting in general when
she only read a few articles, or commenting on articles
by saying that they imply things when they're direct
replications of newspapers or trying to, I guess,
analyze somebody's thought process when the article is
posted, I don't believe that that's discipline in her
field.
And I believe that by going further
she shows that not only that by her own admission
that she stated, she wasn't an expert in hate
propaganda, I believe that that doesn't make her an
expert witness because I believe that she lacks
discipline to become an expert witness.
I mean, a lot of people can know a
lot about a lot of I guess fields, like, I know a lot
about computers but that doesn't make me an expert

own personal biases and ${\tt my}$ own person opinions when ${\tt I'm}$

witness unless I have discipline to stay away from my

1	testifying on what I'm supposed to be testifying on.
2	Dr. Henry says that she has a
3	scientific approach analyzing the articles, but she
4	states that she believes that an article is posted on
5	that site for a specific reason, which is her own
6	perception, not a science.
7	I ask her:
8	"I lost myself there for a
9	second there too. That
10	situation where you would be
11	commenting on, I guess, the
12	availability of an article on a
13	specific site and where you
14	state that you believed that it
15	would be there for a specific
16	reason, would that be your
17	perception of why it would be
18	there, your opinion of why it
19	would be there, or the real fact
20	as to why it would be there?"
21	And that was the question I asked her
22	and apparently I think it got cut off, sorry about
23	that. This is something I'll complete tomorrow.

Τ	In the following, Det. (sic) Henry
2	has admitted that she has only reviewed a portion of
3	the articles that were brought to her attention on
4	Vinland Voice and Tri-City Skins, but Dr. Henry did not
5	study all the materials on the site. She has read
6	nothing but the C.E.C.T. website. Also she has twice
7	admitted that without reading all the materials she
8	cannot give a general opinion about the intentions of
9	the website; namely, Vinland Voice, Tri-City Skins and
10	C.E.C.T.
11	The people that control the site
12	cannot be held liable unless the site's main intention
13	is proven to be racist propaganda. Dr. Henry's
14	testimony was only a small section of the content of
15	the websites, therefore only the individual who posted
16	the article can be held liable, if those articles are
17	really in violation of s. 13.
18	I asked:
19	"Do you believe that you
20	reviewed all the pages on the
21	sites that were given to you?
22	DR. HENRY: I reviewed no, I
23	didn't review all the pages, I

1	reviewed the ones that were
2	brought specifically to my
3	attention to review.
4	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: And
5	doesn't context refer to the
6	general picture"
7	This is after she makes a comment
8	about the content of the site. I say:
9	"And doesn't context refer to a
10	general picture as opposed to a
11	specific picture?
12	DR. HENRY: I believe so.
13	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, do
14	you believe that the entire
15	context needs to be evaluated in
16	order to form a valid or an
17	educated decision on whether or
18	not something implies racism or
19	something implies doesn't
20	imply racism?
21	DR. HENRY: Yes.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: I thought it
23	was all. Well, did you read

1	anything on the C.E.C.T.
2	website?
3	DR. HENRY: No.
4	The Chairperson asks:
5	"Let me rephrase your questions.
6	We have to move this along. Do
7	you think the ability to conduct
8	a neutral assessment of a series
9	of documents, for instance, an
10	entire website can be made when
11	only presented with excerpts of
12	that website?
13	DR. HENRY: Yes, I do.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: You feel you
15	can?
16	DR. HENRY: Yes."
17	This is in contradiction to her
18	earlier on thing talking about context, her context.
19	Dr. Henry admits that there are
20	thousands of pages of documents and she did not want to
21	waste her energy reading them all.
22	But again contradiction of her
23	previous testimony, she says that a few articles are

1	sufficient to come to a conclusion.
2	Dr. Henry says:
3	"My mandate was to examine
4	certain materials to see if they
5	contained elements of racism and
6	hate, and I read the articles
7	and analyzed the materials I
8	should say that were presented
9	to me in that light. I think
LO	you should realize that it is
L1	virtually impossible to take
L2	time and energy out to rad
L3	thousands of pages of
L4	documentation"
L5	Of which the court is aware there
L6	were thousands of pages of documentation.
L7	"What was provided to me was
L8	sufficient evidence that the
L9	material contained anti-Semitic,
20	anti-black and other" anti-
21	"racist kind of ideology."
22	Again
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Other racist, not

1	anti. You said anti.
2	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Sorry.
3	"other racist kind of
4	ideology"
5	Sorry. Again, Dr. Henry
6	re-contradicts herself and says that the conclusion of
7	one paragraph at the end made her change her perception
8	about a text.
9	I ask:
10	"In reading the last
11	article",
12	And this is talking about the article
13	about "You Have Made Me a Human Bomb", when she had
14	said it wasn't racist:
15	"In reading the last article
16	that you briefly I guess went
17	over, I guess the Palestinian
18	article, would you consider that
19	one line at the very end made a
20	difference in how you perceived
21	the article?
22	DR. HENRY: I think it was not
23	only the one line, I think it

1	was the last two paragraphs."
2	The article itself, as the court is
3	aware the Tribunal is aware, is a long article. In
4	the beginning she had come to the conclusion that the
5	article as not racist and just by reading the last two
6	paragraphs, which were like small paragraphs, she
7	stated
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: That was the one
9	about the individual victim.
10	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: That's right.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: The Palestinian
12	victim.
13	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: And showing that
14	by her own admission even little bits of information
15	can change context.
16	Dr. Henry admitted that she has only
17	read a very limited portion of the articles in those
18	sites and she comes to the conclusion on her assumption
19	that the rest of the articles were also racist.
20	I ask:
21	"So, would you believe that
22	getting three reviewing three
23	or four pages from a site, would

1	give you a general picture of
2	what the site is about or what
3	kind of theme it encompassed?
4	DR. HENRY: When those three,
5	four, five or however many
6	articles contain racist
7	ideological statements, then
8	yes."
9	She admits again that Mr. Fromm's and
10	Mr. Duke's commentaries aren't racist in the general
11	context of the newsletter they are, but she has
12	admitted that she has only read a tiny portion of the
13	sites again.
14	Dr. Henry says:
15	"I didn't say, if you read the
16	paragraph, that either the
17	whatever commentary of Paul
18	Fromm or even the commentary
19	from David Duke is racism. It
20	is all in the context of the
21	general context of the
22	newsletter in which a tragic act
23	is immediately blamed on a

1	particular group of people."
2	Again, contradicting herself when it
3	comes down to context. I believe she's also evasive
4	and has poor memory or claims to have poor memory when
5	I ask:
6	"So, how many of those would you
7	suggest are not racist on their
8	own, however the theme of the
9	site would indicate they were
10	placed there for racist reasons?
11	DR. HENRY: I'm afraid I don't
12	follow that question.
13	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: How many
14	articles would you say you
15	roughly commented on?
16	DR. HENRY: Really, I don't
17	know.
18	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: My
19	question was in and of itself,
20	how many articles I'm not
21	talking about implications or,
22	you know, a perception that
23	someone would get - how many

1	articles in and of themselves
2	had racist speech in them?"
3	Dr. Henry admitted that a large
4	portion of the articles that she read from Vinland
5	Voice are racist by implication.
6	Dr. Henry - there's a DH - Dr.
7	Henry
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: At one point you
9	figured it out. Well, better late than never, I guess.
LO	Is it the only occasion?
L1	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I'm about to find
L2	out. No, no, there's more DH. Oh wait it goes back.
L3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'll know
L4	that DF or DH means one thing.
L5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Dr. Henry always
L6	associates the word Aryan with the events of Germany
L7	which we believe is a very biased and discriminatory
L8	approach against the Aryan people, as she might have
L9	put it, I mean basically using her own words to
20	describe what she did.
21	Moreover, her double standards are
22	apparent in her parallel between Aryan Unity
23	organizations and B'Nai Brith. We have demonstrated

1	that both have almost the same manifesto. The
2	following is a biased approach based on generalization
3	and assumptions and also we have shown that B'Nai Brith
4	has a meaning that states, 'chosen people', in which
5	case Women for Aryan Unity would also have, like, a
6	parallel meaning in its name. And for some reason she
7	could find a difference without knowing anything about
8	WAU, Women for Aryan Unity group, somehow she was able
9	to state a difference between one group and the other,
10	even though their manifestos were practically identical
11	by her own admission and that the names were very
12	similar by meaning.
13	"Aryan Unity in a title, it
14	reads white supremacy and it
15	reads Arianism from the
16	Hitlerian period and it,
17	therefore,, reads racism to me."
18	That's her comment.
19	Another example of Dr. Henry's
20	irresponsible opinions with no facts to back them up
21	and her poor selective memory. Before expressing her
22	opinion, she should at least refresh her memory.
23	I ask I state:

1	"Okay. What kind of has he
2	(Paul Fromm) ever referred to
3	other races in derogatory terms?
4	DR. HENRY: I'm not sure. I
5	don't
6	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
7	know do you remember what you
8	read in other people's analysis
9	at all?"
10	Because she stated that it was other
11	people's analysis that led her to believe that he was.
12	She said:
13	"I have already said I don't.
14	It's been quite a while since I
15	had to review those materials
16	and they are not exactly bedtime
17	leisure readings."
18	Further down I ask:
19	"Now, coming back to the fact
20	that you said the chosen people
21	would be in Jewish scriptures,
22	would that be, I guess, similar
23	to the Ku Klux Klan stating that

1	in our Bible it says that whites
2	are superior?
3	DR. HENRY: I'm not familiar
4	with the Ku Klux Klan's Bible.
5	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Okay.
6	Have you ever read I hear you
7	testified at Ku Klux Klan Human
8	Rights trial?
9	DR. HENRY: Many years ago, yes.
10	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Was
11	there I guess, did you brush
12	by any religious bigotry that
13	they might hold?
14	DR. HENRY: I don't really
15	remember, it was a very long
16	time ago, but I would assume so,
17	yes."
18 F	Further down I say:
19	"Okay. Do you consider yourself
20	to have a good memory?
21	DR. HENRY: For certain things I
22	do and for other things I
23	don't."

1	The questions that I asked her were
2	all relating to her field, and I believe that expert
3	evidence should also rely on history and experience,
4	and if her memory is not good enough for her own
5	history and for, like, you know for her own experience,
6	then I believe she's not an expert witness.
7	Another thing is, I did not as
8	suggested by the complainant or Commission bring up the
9	scriptures issue when questioning her in order to
10	challenge her, I brought it up to see what distinction
11	she made in her analysis of racism between the idea of
12	scriptures stating that, you know, Jews are superior
13	and the Ku Klux Klan Bible saying that white race is
14	superior, and in no way did I imply that one you
15	know, anything, but I just wanted to see how she
16	differentiated things in her mind.
17	Dr. Henry not only has selective
18	memory, but she is biased and completely insensitive to
19	other people's problems. I believe she is only
20	sensitive to the Jewish cause.
21	I ask:
22	"Would you consider if somebody
23	called the Holocaust a Jewish

1	affair, would that be a form of
2	racism? Would that be a form of
3	mitigating the memory of the
4	Holocaust?"
5	And Mr. Warman obviously objected:
6	"Mitigating is quite an
7	imprecise term.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I
9	did not quite get that."
10	And I ask:
11	"Would that be mitigating the
12	I guess the concept of the
13	Holocaust, in the way, like,
14	belittling it?
15	DR. HENRY: Belittling it, yes,
16	I would agree with that.
17	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, and
18	would belittling the Holocaust
19	be a form of Holocaust denial or
20	racism?
21	DR. HENRY: Yes.
22	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Did you
23	refer to genocide at Nan-king

1	а	and all the transgressions
2	r	related to it as Asian Affairs
3	i	in a trial previously?
4	D	OR. HENRY: Not that I am
5	f	Eamiliar with, no.
6	M	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
7	r	remember testifying in front of
8	а	a panel for Doug Collins, a
9	j	journalist?
10	D	OR. HENRY: I remember it, but I
11	đ	don't remember the specifics,
12	n	no.
13	IV.	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
14	r	remember filing a report where
15	У	you referred to the genocide of
16	N	Nan-king as Asian Affairs?"
17	And th	nose are the pages that it was
18	on. And she said:	
19	"	'No, I don't.
20	IV.	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
21	r	remember what year you testified
22	f	for the Doug Collins case?
23	D	OR. HENRY: No, I don't.

1	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
2	have a good memory?
3	DR. HENRY: Mr. Kulbashian, I
4	do. I lead a very, very busy,
5	complex, academic and research
6	life of which testifying is one
7	small part of what I do.
8	I do not remember the specifics
9	of what I said or wrote in a
10	trial that took place 12 years
11	ago or whenever.
12	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: 12 years
13	ago, you said?"
14	Now, the funny thing is she said she
15	had no idea what happened. So, I think her memory
16	comes out when she gets angry or when she gets tested.
17	I ask:
18	"Do you consider yourself to
19	have a selective memory?"
20	Mr. Warman objects:
21	"MR. WARMAN: Objection. The
22	question is solely objective.
23	MS MAILLET: Yes, I object. I

1	agree absolutely. No need to
2	insult the witness.
3	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: No, it's
4	not insults needs to"
5	Obviously I didn't get that question
6	answered. Now, the thing is that during this part, if
7	you remember we showed an article that refreshed her
8	memory as far as what she said.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: During the
10	following part?
11	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: No, during this
12	article about the massacre at Nan-king, an article from
13	a newspaper report on her trial where she referred to
14	the massacre at Nan-king and the transgressions as
15	Asian Affairs, actually genocide at Nan-king in fact,
16	and even though she claimed in her own early on in
17	her cross-examination that that's a form of Holocaust
18	and racism and that was her acting in the capacity as
19	an expert at a thing a Tribunal hearing for Doug
20	Collins.
21	Okay. I say:
22	"Do you think that Jews are
23	psychologically demoralized by

1	the Holocaust?
2	DR. HENRY: I don't think I
3	understand the terms that you're
4	using. I would prefer to say
5	that Jews were strongly affected
6	by the Holocaust.
7	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I guess
8	that's the way to put it. Do
9	you know what effects that would
10	have on them?
11	DR. HENRY: Yes, they are
12	bitter, angry, sad, tragic
13	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Up to
14	this date?
15	DR. HENRY: I think the older
16	generation probably is."
17	The following is another example of
18	Dr. Henry's double standard approach, with regards to
19	the statue after 9/11. Without checking the facts she
20	comes to a conclusion.
21	I ask:
22	"Do you know if the statue"
23	This is talking about a statue of

1	three firemen that was changed from
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I'm aware of
3	those facts.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay.
5	"Do you know if the statue was
6	removed as a result of people
7	complaining?
8	DR. HENRY: No, I don't.
9	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So,
10	would you be commenting on Dr.
11	William Pierce's reference to
12	this, I guess, statue purely
13	based on what he said, without
14	looking into what events
15	occurred around the statue, or
16	what opinions were expressed
17	around the statue?
18	DR. HENRY: That's correct.
19	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So, it
20	wouldn't be in context would
21	you agree it would be out of
22	context, the actual statue?
23	DR. HENRY: I commented on his

1	comment in the context of his
2	whole article which I reviewed,
3	I did not check the validity of
4	his facts in regard to that
5	statue.
6	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: So,
7	would it be fair to say that by
8	not checking the validity of the
9	facts, you made a decision that
10	would not have been scientific?
11	DR. HENRY: No, it would not."
12	Although the Canadian Parliament
13	voted to recognize the Armenian genocide, Dr. Henry
14	still considers it a conflict, and at the same time
15	comes to the conclusion about subjects that she has
16	admitted of having very little knowledge of.
17	Dr. Henry says:
18	"I'm not an expert on the
19	Armenian genocide, but I believe
20	it was a conflict between the
21	Turks and the Armenians."
22	And using the term conflict in the, I
23	guess, you know, instead of genocide, I think it's

1	pretty serious in itself.
2	I said:
3	"Did you just refer to the
4	genocide as a conflict between
5	the Turks and Armenians as
6	opposed to perpetrated by Turks
7	and Armenians"
8	I think there's a typo there, or
9	maybe not.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: You said 'and' and
11	•••
12	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Sorry, about
13	that, I'm just like
14	"by the Turks on Armenians?DR.
15	HENRY: No, I believe that's
16	exactly what history tells us
17	happened.
18	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: It's a
19	mitigating term, it's like a
20	trivializing term. A lot of
21	people use conflict between
22	Germans and Jews in order to
23	refer to the Holocaust. It's a

1	trivializing term."
2	And I believe that using the word
3	conflict, I believe that anybody of Jewish descent if
4	they were told that the Holocaust was a conflict
5	between Germans and Jews would consider it offensive or
6	maybe even a form of Holocaust denial, in you know its
7	extreme sense.
8	So, Dr. Henry agrees that Israelis
9	and Jews can be taken in the same context. And I ask:
10	"Is it possible that in that
11	case that when the same person
12	doesn't recognize Israel as a
13	country, just refers to Israelis
14	as Jews then?
15	DR. HENRY: That's possible,
16	yes."
17	And this is talking about it's
18	possible to say that people write articles saying Jews
19	they don't necessarily mean Jewish people and they
20	might mean what some people would refer to as Israelis
21	or, you know, Israeli government or just actually use
22	the word Palestinians instead of Palestinian extremists
23	when she was commenting on why they weren't blamed for

1	the September 11th att	cack.
2	The	following is undisputable
3	evidence that Dr. Henr	ry was guided by the Commission.
4	She was pointed to rea	ad a few articles from numerous in
5	the sites. Was this i	intentional or by mistake, but
6	this prevents definite	ely Dr. Henry from expressing her
7	opinion about the inte	ention or main intention of those
8	sites.	
9	I as	k:
LO		"But did they tell you what to
L1		read?
L2		DR. HENRY: Certainly the
L3		ones there were some articles
L4		that were pointed, were flagged,
L5		yes.
L6		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Those
L7		were the ones you concentrated
L8		on?
L9		DR. HENRY: Yes, those were the
20		ones that I concentrated on.
21		MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Would
22		you say you were guided?
23		DR. HENRY: Well, if you insist

1	on using the term, I can agree
2	with you there was some sort of
3	framework provided, but I
4	wouldn't"
5	I say:
6	When you I'm going to skip
7	this area. That's pretty much
8	the last one I had. When you
9	refer to straightforward
10	journalism, you didn't happen to
11	refer to any of the articles
12	that had no commentary that were
13	taken from newspapers as
14	straightforward journalism; did
15	you?
16	DR. HENRY: No, I didn't'."
17	Even though the articles were as Det.
18	Wilson testified to and I think Mr. Warman did, stated
19	that the articles were taken straight from newspapers.
20	It is clear that Dr. Henry has not
21	used any, I guess, science. statistics in her analysis
22	and mostly relies on her own assumptions when she give:
23	her opinion.

1	I say:
2	"does she do you have any"
3	Sorry, statistics.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Statistics, yes.
5	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: My eyes are
6	blurring for some reason. I say:
7	"does she do you have any
8	statistics that you is not
9	necessarily included in here in
LO	the report, but did she rely on
L1	statistics to base a lot of her
L2	arguments?
L3	THE CHAIRPERSON: I think you
L4	asked that question, but I don't
L5	mind hearing it again. Did you
L6	rely on statistics in order to
L7	come to your findings?
L8	DR. HENRY: No."
L9	Dr. Henry was asked specifically why
20	she included in her report Kallen's research about the
21	impact of hate trials on people. She could not come
22	with a reasonable answer.
23	Although many times the question was

1	asked but she comes with an evasive question or an
2	answer. Dr. Henry says:
3	"That was one of the ideas that
4	was talked about in that
5	particular article that I have
6	referenced, yes.
7	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
8	believe they shouldn't go to
9	trial and go straight to jail?"
LO	Talking about racists. I'm just
L1	trying to wonder why she basically since she kept
L2	commenting on why that specific articles were put
L3	there with no commentary but they had an intention, so
L4	my question to her was what her intentions were by
L5	placing, I guess, Kallen's decision on there or
L6	Judge Kallen's article and I stated do you believe
L7	you know, was the purpose that she believed that
L8	"racists" should not go to trial and should go straight
L9	to jail. She states:
20	"Do I believe?
21	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: Do you
22	believe go ahead.
23	MR. WARMAN: Objection. That

1	question is abusive, as many
2	others, and irrelevant.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well no, and
4	it is abusive. Do you honestly
5	expect the witness to say yes to
6	your question?
7	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I don't
8	see the relevance of being
9	included in her report then.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry.
11	MR. ALEXAN KULBASHIAN: I don't
12	see the relevance of it being
13	included in her report.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's a good
15	argument. Bring it up at the
16	end."
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: There you go.
18	Okay, we'll take a quick break.
19	It's critical for us for you to
20	complete your written statement, you submissions and
21	then allow you to get to
22	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Actually, there's
23	two more pages left on Dr. Henry before my, I guess,

1	final remarks. There's just three pages. Should I
2	just finish them off today and then do the conclusion
3	with the rest of the conclusion tomorrow?
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: That might be more
5	logical.
6	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: So, we can go to
7	break and then come back and
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, we'll take a
9	break. Let's not take it too long.
10	REGISTRY OFFICER: All rise.
11	Upon recessing at 4:30 p.m.
12	On resuming at 4:45 p.m.
13	REGISTRY OFFICER: Order, please.
14	All rise.
15	Please be seated.
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. The
17	following statement should I continue?
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
19	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. The
20	following statements about Dr. Henry are
21	self-explanatory. This is kind of detailed description
22	of her knowledge regarding this case, her biased
23	approach to the articles.

1	I ask:
2	"Okay, I believe that she does
3	not have that she, of her own
4	admission, dabbled in certain
5	things and concentrated mainly
6	on a specific vein in the field
7	of racism, as opposed to getting
8	a stronger general view of
9	racism. And I believe that from
10	the testimony we've got in this
11	case so far and evidence
12	presented, that there is a much
13	broader aspect issue in front
14	of the Tribunal today than she
15	can testify to.
16	She admitted first of all,
17	actually I will get into that
18	first.
19	She admitted that racism also
20	included politics, also includes
21	a whole bunch of economic as
22	well as national issues, et
23	cetera. Second of all, I

1	believe her knowledge of racism,
2	her expertise of racism is too
3	narrow to be able to testify in
4	this case."
5	And I say:
6	"Narrow in the sense that, first
7	of all, she cannot provide an
8	opinion on the
9	Israeli/Palestinian issue when
LO	there was lots of testimony
L1	brought that she admitted to
L2	concentrating particularly on
L3	issues with "people of colour".
L4	She also stated she had done
L5	some extensive studies in the
L6	Holocaust, however, as Holocaust
L7	specifically as opposed to any
L8	other genocides.
L9	she also got into an argument
20	with me as to whether or not
21	anything else applies as a
22	Holocaust, which I believe to be
23	a personal bias more than an

1	issue of technicality,	because
2	she did define the wor	rd
3	Holocaust as annihilat	ion of a
4	race.	
5	For example, the book	Holocaust
6	Denial, seems to be li	mited to
7	the Holocaust itself a	s opposed
8	to other genocides tha	ıt were
9	committed during the 2	?Oth
10	century or even before	eet
11	cetera, et cetera.	
12	I feel that she might	have gone
13	outside her field of e	expertise
14	and I feel that wold k	e in the
15	field of psychology as	opposed
16	to the field of sociol	.ogy, and I
17	believe that there was	no no
18	evidence put in front	of us that
19	she is an expert in ps	sychology."
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: I believe	that
21	these were arguments that you submitted before	re she was
22	qualified as an expert.	
23	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: I'm rea	ding from

1	my notes.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: You're
3	re-affirming.
4	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yes.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's what I
6	understand you to be doing.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay, coming back
8	to certain other things. In her testimony when she was
9	asked what kind of, I guess, hate propaganda she did
10	research on, she stated that she didn't do research on
11	hate propaganda and she was kind of evasive. In fact,
12	I can actually find that I might be able to bring it
13	in tomorrow. For some reason I copy and pasted, I
14	think it got deleted.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mm-hmm.
16	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: She was kind of
17	evasive and then she admitted that she was not an
18	expert in hate propaganda and at which point Mr. Chair,
19	you verified that she had been tendered as an expert in
20	racism and hate propaganda and her own admission that
21	she was not an expert in hate propaganda, I guess,
22	caused me to believe that she misled the court in the
23	very beginning, early stages during the debate to try

1	to get the court sorry, not the court, the
2	Tribunal try to get the Tribunal to believe she was
3	an expert in those fields.
4	I mean, whether or not she's an
5	expert in racism, I guess that's up to the Tribunal to
6	decide at this point but I don't know about as far as
7	her being an expert versus being an expert witness, the
8	decision is not mine to make, it belongs to the
9	Tribunal.
10	Another notable thing was her, I
11	guess, her tendency to judge people and events without
12	any information about them, without knowing anything
13	about them, or maybe having heard some peripheral
14	information about them from other parties, which is
15	contrary to what, I guess, people who are anti-racist
16	believe, that people should not be judged before being
17	seen.
18	And also, for example, even though
19	she stated that she didn't know very much about Mr.
20	Fromm, Paul Fromm or Dr. William Pierce or David
21	Duke she had heard a few things about Dr. William
22	Pierce and a few things about David Duke and my
23	case, strong as far as Mr. Fromm goes where she

1	stated she had no information at all, she still managed
2	to call these three individuals and I believe that if
3	the court decides that she's qualified I mean, the
4	Tribunal decides that she's qualified to take the stand
5	to talk about racism, then I don't understand why the
6	Tribunal would also not would believe her word over,
7	say, Dr. William Pierce's because Dr. William Pierce
8	seems to be just as qualified as she is in the field of
9	racism.
10	My conclusion basically the
11	previous questions and responses from Dr. Henry
12	confirms that she has done the minimum research for her
13	report. Moreover, she has come to this Tribunal
14	unprepared. She did not want to make the extra effort
15	to study the whole picture. To her own acceptance from
16	thousands of pages she has studied a very few articles
17	and through her limited scope she reaches general
18	conclusions.
19	During her whole process of

20

21

22

23

During her whole process of testimony, her biased attitude and approach is apparent towards certain people, especially Israel and the Jewish population. She has very poor memory and a selective memory. She has not been able to remember or

1	explain most of the specifics on which her report is
2	based, frequently saying that she does not remember but
3	she still defends her views.
4	Dr. Henry has many times contradicted
5	her own statements or refuted them When she is
6	cornered in a difficult situation, she is evasive.
7	Dr. Henry acknowledges that she is
8	not an expert in politics or psychology. She also
9	admits that the materials include politics, but still
10	irrelevant of her knowledge she reaches conclusions.
11	The same also applies in psychology.
12	Although her knowledge about hate propaganda is
13	limited - and by her own admission she's not an expert
14	in hate propaganda - but nevertheless this did not
15	refrain her expressing her views, opinion mostly based
16	on assumptions rather than facts.
17	In her studies, Dr. Henry has
18	concentrated only on limited and specific portion of
19	her major. She has not made an effort to widen her
20	knowledge.
21	But the following is a crucial point,
22	Dr. Henry has only a very limited knowledge about the
23	websites namely TCS/ IVI and CECT to be able to

1	assert what is their main theme. But when she analyses
2	each article her opinion is based on implications vis a
3	vis the site, rather than analyzing each article in its
4	own context.
5	Therefore, we ask the Tribunal I
6	guess not take the view that Dr. Henry is actually an
7	expert in her field and take her for what she is, more
8	of a civilian commenting on her opinions on racism as
9	opposed to science and also disregard her remarks
10	regarding the Tri-City Skins, Vinland Voice and
11	C.E.C.T. sites. She is not qualified and, moreover,
12	she does not have enough knowledge about those sites to
13	be able to come to a fair opinion.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I did qualify
15	her as an expert, but I think you're saying that I
16	should re-visit her evidence.
17	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah re-visit her
18	evidence.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: And discount it
20	for
21	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Well, by her own
22	admission she's not an expert in hate propaganda and
23	that's by her own admission on the stand.

1	I even remember exactly where that
2	was and I remember the questioning that led to it.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: I have a
4	recollection of that too. I believe I can find that
5	on the transcript. Well, you can bring it to my
6	attention tomorrow, if you wish.
7	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Okay. So, that
8	would be my submissions. There isn't much left, so
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: There's a reference
10	to Mr. Kierluk.
11	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Yeah, we're going
12	to go into that part.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: Tomorrow, okay.
14	MR. A. KULBASHIAN: Well, I guess
15	some of the reference to Kierluk rely on evidence that
16	really didn't make it into the court, so I guess it's a
17	better idea to just ignore that.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. So, we
19	will start with you tomorrow, hopefully it won't be too
20	long so as not to cut into the time of Mr. Richardson,
21	then we'll hear from Mr. Richardson, and then we will
22	hear reply.
23	Okay, great.

Okay, great.

1	REGISTRY OFFICER: All rise.
2	Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 4:50 p.m.,
3	to resume on Friday, February 25, 2005
4	at 9:30 a.m.
5	
6	
7	I HEREBY CERTIFY, to the best of
8	my skill and ability, that the
9	foregoing is a true and accurate
10	transcript of the proceedings
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

Sexully Dickshings