

**CANADIAN  
HUMAN RIGHTS  
TRIBUNAL**



**TRIBUNAL CANADIEN  
DES DROITS  
DE LA PERSONNE**

**BETWEEN/ENTRE:**

RICHARD WARMAN

**Complainant**

**le plaignant**

**and/et**

CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

**Commission**

**la Commission**

**and/et**

TERRY TREMAINE

**Respondent**

**l'intimé**

**BEFORE/DEVANT:**

MICHEL DOUCET

CHAIRPERSON/

PRÉSIDENT

NICOLE BACON

REGISTRY OFFICER/

L'AGENTE DU GREFFE

**FILE NO./N<sup>o</sup> CAUSE:**

T1104/8505

**VOLUME:**

2

**LOCATION/ENDROIT:**

OTTAWA, ONTARIO

**DATE:**

2006/08/09

**PAGES:**

84 - 301

StenoTran

CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS TRIBUNAL/  
TRIBUNAL CANADIEN DES DROITS DE LA PERSONNE

HEARING HELD IN HEARING ROOM NO. 1 OF THE CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS  
TRIBUNAL, 11TH FLOOR, 160 ELGIN STREET, OTTAWA, ONTARIO, ON  
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 9, 2006, AT 9:35 A.M. LOCAL TIME

APPEARANCES/COMPARUTIONS

Richard Warman	on behalf of the Complainant
Ikram Warsame/ Giacoma Vigna, Esq.	Counsel for the Canadian Human Rights Commission
Terry Tremaine/ Paul Fromm	on behalf of the Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
RULING	85
MOTION	
Argument by Mr. Fromm	88
Argument by Ms Warsame	92
Argument by Mr. Warman	93
RULING	94
MOTION	
Argument by Mr. Fromm	95
Argument by Ms Warsame	99
Argument by Mr. Warman	100
Argument by Mr. Fromm	100
RULING	101
Opening Remarks by Ms Warsame	103
Opening Remarks by Mr. Warman	109
Opening Remarks by Mr. Fromm	115
AFFIRMED: RICHARD WARMAN	122
Examination by Ms Warsame	124

EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
HR-1	Richard Warman's complaint dated October 13, 2004, filed with the Canadian Human Rights Commission, found in Tab 1 of the Commission's Book of Documents	125
HR-2	Document enclosing profile of mathdokter99, printed on September 21, 2004 from the website stormfront.org, found at Tab 2 of the Commission's Book of Documents	130
HR-3	Internet posting on the Mandrake Linux website dated 7 August 2002, printed on April 11, 2005, found at Tab 3 of the Commission's Book of Documents	132
HR-4	Résumé of Terry Tremaine printed on July 6, 2005 from the PHPBuilder.com website, found at Tab 4 of the Commission's Book of Documents	134
HR-5	Document entitled "What inspired your screen name?" from the stormfront.org website, printed September 21, 2004 found at Tab 5 of the Commission's Book of Documents	137
HR-6	Document entitled "Olympic Medals per Capita (per country)" downloaded on September 21, 2004 from the stormfront.org website, found at Tab 6 of the Commission's Book of Documents	140
HR-7	Document entitled "Your Awakening?" printed September 21, 2004 from the stormfront.org website found at Tab 7 of the Commission's Book of Documents	143
HR-8	Posting entitled "The Greatness of Adolf Hitler" posted September 4, 2005 on the stormfront.org website and printed April 11, 2005, found at Tab 8 of the Commission's Book of Documents	146

EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
HR-9	Stormfront.org thread entitled "mathdokter99 update" posted May 2, 2006, and printed February 11, 2006, found at Tab 9 of the Commission's Book of Documents	153
HR-10	Letter from Jim Germida, Vice-Provost, University of Saskatchewan to Richard Warman, dated August 4, 2005, found at Tab 10 of the Commission's Book of Documents	166
HR-11	Handwritten letter from Terry Tremaine to Ms Kosak and Members of the Commission, dated September 30, 2005, found a Tab 11 of the Commission's Book of Documents	167
HR-12	Thread entitled "A Real Holocaust Coming?" posted on February 14 on the stormfront.org website, printed September 21, 2004, found at Tab 12 of the Commission's Book of Documents	171
HR-13	Stormfront.org thread entitled "HIV/AIDS and Indians in Saskatchewan", posted May 2, 2004, printed September 21, 2004, found at Tab 13 of the Commission's Book of Documents	175
HR-14	Stormfront.org thread entitled "The Protocols of Zion: Real or Fraudulent?" posted May 2, 2004, printed September 21, 2004, found at Tab 14 of the Commission's Book of Documents	177
HR-15	Stormfront.org thread entitled "A view from the inside (for all anti's), posted February 17, 2004, printed September 21, 2004, found at Tab 15 of the Commission's Book of Documents	179
HR-16	Stormfront.org thread entitled "Politicki Testament - A. Hitler" posted March 22, 2004, printed September 21, 2004, found at Tab 16 of the Commission's Book of Documents	181
HR-17	Stormfront.org thread entitled "questions from a black man", posted July 5, 2004, printed September 21, 2004, found at Tab 17 of the Commission's Book of Documents	183

EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
HR-18	Stormfront.org thread entitled "My feelings on Jews" posted September 11, 2004, printed on September 21, 2004, found at Tab 18 of the Commission's Book of Documents	186
HR-19	Stormfront.org thread entitled "Did you know that one million Germans were murdered...after the war", posted September 12, 2004, printed September 21, 2004, found at Tab 19 of the Commission's Book of Documents	188
HR-20	Stormfront.org thread entitled "The `Sacred' Parasite", posted September 18, 2004, printed September 21, 2004, found at Tab 20 in the Commission's Book of Documents	205
HR-21	Stormfront.org thread entitled "Hanadi Jaradat" posted September 18, 2004, printed September 21, 2004 found at Tab 21 of the Commission's Book of Documents	207
HR-22	Stormfront.org thread entitled "Response by State Department to Lantos's antisemitism bill", posted August 10, 2004, printed September 21, 2004, found at Tab 22 of the Commission's Book of Documents	210
HR-23	Stormfront.org thread entitled "IF it took a police state", posted September 20, 2004, printed October 12, 2004, found at Tab 23 of the Commission's Book of Documents	212
HR-24	Stormfront.org thread entitled "Advice for Patriots" printed September 24, 2004, found at Tab 24 of the Commission's Book of Documents	221
HR-25	Stormfront.org thread entitled "Warman files complaint against WCFU", posted September 11, 2004, printed September 21, 2004, found at Tab 25 of the Commission's Book of Documents	222
HR-26	Stormfront.org thread entitled "Gambling at the Public Library", posted on March 23, 2005, printed April 4, 2005, found at Tab 26 of the Commission's Book of Documents	225

EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
HR-27	Stormfront.org thread entitled "Lazar Kaganovich -- a Reminder that Communism was (and is) Jewish", posted February 27, 2006, printed March 11, 2006, found at Tab 27 of the Commission's Book of Documents	228
HR-28	Stormfront.org thread entitled "mathdokter99 update", posted February 9, 2006, printed March 11, 2006, found at Tab 28 of the Commission's Book of Documents	231
HR-29	Stormfront.org thread entitled "Let's Stop Believing That Blaming the Jews Alone Is The Solution", posted March 3, 2006, printed March 11, 2006, found at Tab 29 of the Commission's Book of Documents	239
HR-30	Stormfront.org thread entitled "How to Ruin the Bush Inauguration", posted January 17, 2005, printed April 4, 2005, found at Tab 30 of the Commission's Book of Documents	241
HR-31	Stormfront.org thread entitled "Sympatico/MSN smears pro-Whites", printed March 16, 2006, found at Tab 31 of the Commission's Book of Documents	243
HR-32	Stormfront.org thread entitled "Pan Counter-Semitism?", posted May 6, 2006, printed May 15, 2006, found at Tab 32 of the Commission's Book of Documents	245
HR-33	Stormfront.org thread entitled "A Proposed National-Socialist Constitution for Canada", printed June 27, 2006, found at Tab 33 of the Commission's Book of Documents	252
HR-34	Stormfront.org thread entitled "Video: Warman speech at Law Society", posted July 8, 2006, printed July 15, 2006, found at Tab 34 of the Commission's Book of Documents	258

EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
HR-35	Stormfront.org thread entitled "Evidence Summary (Discovery) in My Case Before the CHRC", printed April 16, 2006; the document entitled "The Canadian Human Rights Commission (CHRC) Case against Terry Tremaine", a 7-page document printed April 23, 2006; a 6-page document entitled "The Canadian Human Rights Commission (CHRC) Case against Terry Tremaine", printed April 24, 2006; and the letter from Nicole Bacon to Richard Warman, Daniel Pagowski and cc'd to Terry Tremaine dated May 16, 2006, found at Tab 35 Tabs A, B, C and D of the Commission's Book of Documents	266
HR-36	Documents comprising "The National-Socialist Party of Canada", found at the nspscanada.org website, printed July 25, 2006, found at Tab 36 of the Commission's Book of Documents	273
HR-37	Stormfront.org thread entitled "My Story -- by Terry Tremaine", printed August 2, 2006, including a document entitled "My Story"; the stormfront.org thread titled "Breaking News -- Canada Thought Police Strike Again", printed July 28, 2006, found at Tabs 37A and B of the Commission's Book of Documents	288

1 Ottawa, Ontario

2 --- Upon resuming on Wednesday, August 9, 2006

3 at 9:35 a.m.

4 THE REGISTRAR: All rise, please.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning.

6 THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Just before we deal  
8 with the ruling on the motion by the Commission I just  
9 wanted to remind the parties that starting from today,  
10 at this point, I would expect everybody to be here at  
11 the time set by the Tribunal for the start of the  
12 hearing. If not, we will start at the time that has  
13 been set and the parties will join us where we are at  
14 the point where we are at that time, just make sure  
15 that we use all the time that we set aside for this  
16 hearing this week and make sure that were able to get  
17 through it.

18 I will deal first of all with the  
19 motion of the Canadian Human Rights Commission. After  
20 that I will give some preliminary remarks concerning  
21 the conduct of the hearing itself.

22 The Reasons for the Ruling will be  
23 given in the decision on the merits of the complaint  
24 at the end of the complaint itself. I will not be  
25 writing Reasons. Today I will just be giving you the

1 Order of the Tribunal, the Ruling of the Tribunal on  
2 the motion.

3 RULING

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Having taken into  
5 consideration the motion of the Canadian Human Rights  
6 Commission, and also having considered the affidavit of  
7 Richard Warman in support of the motion, and having  
8 heard the arguments of the parties on the motion and  
9 having read the documents and jurisprudence submitted  
10 in support of the motion, this is my ruling:

11 The motion of the Canadian Human  
12 Rights Commission for an order prohibiting Mr. Paul  
13 Fromm from appearing before the Tribunal as an agent  
14 for at least a period of three years is dismissed.

15 The motion of the Canadian Human  
16 Rights Commission for an order prohibiting Mr. Paul  
17 Fromm from appearing before the Tribunal as an agent in  
18 the Warman versus Tremaine hearing is also dismissed.

19 Mr. Paul Fromm will be allowed to act  
20 as agent for Mr. Terry Tremaine in these proceedings,  
21 as requested by Mr. Tremaine. Mr. Fromm will be  
22 allowed to sit at the counsel table with Mr. Tremaine  
23 and give him advice on the proceedings.

24 Mr. Tremaine will be responsible for  
25 the examination and cross-examination of the witnesses

1 and for the presentation of factual and legal arguments  
2 during the hearing. That is also following the request  
3 of Mr. Tremaine.

4 In the case that Mr. Fromm would be  
5 asked by Mr. Tremaine to proceed with the examination  
6 or cross-examination of the witness, I want this to be  
7 clear that he will be solely responsible at that time  
8 for the examination and cross-examination of that  
9 witness under the advice of Mr. Tremaine. In this  
10 case, Mr. Tremaine will not be allowed to examine or  
11 cross-examine that witness in particular.

12 It is the same rule that applies  
13 also to the Commission. If Mr. Vigna is to  
14 cross-examine a witness, Ms Warsame will not be able to  
15 join in the process. So it is the same role that  
16 applies to the respondent in this case.

17 At this point, this deals with the  
18 matter of the Ruling. Again, the Reasons for this  
19 Ruling will be given in writing in the Tribunal's  
20 decision on the merits.

21 Before we go into any other matters  
22 that the parties would want to address at this point on  
23 the issue of the complaint itself, I just want to make  
24 some general remarks concerning this hearing itself.

25 I expect the proceedings to proceed

1 in an orderly fashion. I understand that there is  
2 hostility, as parties have addressed yesterday, between  
3 the parties, but I will not tolerate any outbursts, any  
4 interruption, or any personal attacks during the course  
5 of this hearing. The parties will stick to the facts  
6 of this complaint and keep for themselves their opinion  
7 concerning the judiciary, the Tribunal, its Members or  
8 any other participant in this process. We will deal  
9 with the facts of the complaint itself.

10 I just want to advise the parties  
11 that I intend to hold a very tight ship during this  
12 proceeding. I will be the one and the only one who  
13 will decide what is relevant and what is not. The  
14 parties can put their objections, but the decision on  
15 what is relevant will be up to me and I will make  
16 that decision.

17 It is in the parties' best interests  
18 that we are able to complete this hearing in the three  
19 days that are now set aside for the hearing, but should  
20 there be any situation or any outburst which would  
21 require me to do so, I will not hesitate to adjourned  
22 the hearing for a couple of hours, but then we will  
23 need to find time to make up for this lost time. So I  
24 suggest that the parties at this point cooperate with  
25 the Tribunal so that we can get this matter done in the

1 days that we have set aside.

2 I also just want to indicate to the  
3 parties about the time allocation. The hearing will  
4 start every morning at 9:30. The parties are expected  
5 to be here at 9:30. I will start at 9:30. If anybody  
6 is not here, well, they will join us in the course of  
7 the proceedings.

8 We will take a break of 15 minutes  
9 around 10:45 in the morning until 11:00. We will  
10 adjourned for dinner from 12:15 to 1:30.

11 In the afternoon we will also take a  
12 break at 2:45 to 3:00 and adjourned for the day around  
13 4:30. We will see at that time. If we need a little  
14 more time, then we will take that time to complete  
15 where we are at this point.

16 If there are no other motions,  
17 preliminary motions at this point, if there are no  
18 other issues -- yes, Mr. Fromm.

19 MR. FROMM: I will wait until you  
20 are finished.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, if you have a  
22 preliminary motion or anything at this point you can  
23 address that to answer.

24 ARGUMENT

25 MR. FROMM: Mr. Chairman,

1 section 41(d) of the Canadian Human Rights Act  
2 reads that:

3 "... the Commission shall deal  
4 with any complaint filed with it  
5 unless in respect of that  
6 complaint it appears to the  
7 Commission that  
8 ...  
9 (d) the complaint is ...  
10 frivolous, vexatious or made in  
11 bad faith..."

12 You heard submissions yesterday, and  
13 I won't go over them again, that there is quite a  
14 history of animosity between Mr. Warman and  
15 Mr. Tremaine.

16 In the transcript of the Winnicki  
17 case you will read evidence that was led by the defence  
18 of a speech given by --

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr. Warman...?

20 MR. WARMAN: Mr. Chair, Mr. Fromm  
21 is attempting to review the action of the Commission  
22 and referring --

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I was going  
24 to get there. Again, I understand.

25 I will let Mr. Fromm complete what he

1 has to say, but I was going to get there.

2 This issue usually should be raised  
3 before the Federal Court if you are asking a review of  
4 the decision of the Commission to --

5 MR. FROMM: No, I'm actually not  
6 asking that question.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.  
8 Go ahead.

9 MR. FROMM: In the transcript of the  
10 Winnicki case you will see evidence led by the defence  
11 of a speech given by Mr. Warman to a group called the  
12 Anti-Racist Action in August of last year. The speech  
13 was entitled "Maximum Disruption: Stopping Neo-Nazis  
14 by (Almost) Any Means Necessary". In the transcript  
15 you will read further what was quoted yesterday.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: I will give you an  
17 opportunity to --

18 Go ahead.

19 MR. FROMM: And that Mr. Warman  
20 believes that as a tactic he would file complaints --  
21 make as many Human Rights complaints as possible  
22 against the people he calls neo-Nazis and use the  
23 Criminal Code as well. I will be submitting this in  
24 a moment.

25 In the 2005 audit of anti-Semitic

1 incidents published by the League For Human Rights of  
2 B'nai Brith which came out earlier this year,  
3 Mr. Warman wrote a significant section of a round-up of  
4 activities in Canada and which he talked about  
5 Mr. Tremaine and talked about his firing.

6 On that basis I am moving, sir, and I  
7 ask you that you exercise your option to dismiss this  
8 complaint now without any further hearing and without  
9 taking up further taxpayers' money and Mr. Tremaine's  
10 time and everybody else's time. I invite you to  
11 dismiss this as a vexatious complaint.

12 This is not the standard human rights  
13 complaint where some person sees himself as the victim  
14 of a discriminatory act, perhaps in employment, perhaps  
15 in the provision of services. This complaint, and  
16 others made by Mr. Warman, are part of a pattern, by  
17 his own admission, of "Maximum Disruption: Stopping  
18 Neo-Nazis by (Almost) Any Means Necessary".

19 This is not a good faith complaint.  
20 This is, as was mentioned yesterday in a different  
21 context, carrying on a political agenda and really  
22 abusing the time of this Tribunal.

23 So my motion is that you forthwith  
24 dismiss the complaint as vexatious and of no merit.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms Warsame, would

1           you want to reply?

2                           THE CHAIRPERSON:  You don't have to  
3           go very far.  I will explain to Mr. Fromm also that  
4           any motion under section 41(1)(d) of the Act should be  
5           made before the Federal Court according to the  
6           jurisprudence in this case.  It is a judicial review of  
7           the decision of the Commission to transfer the matter  
8           to the Tribunal and that is reviewable in front of the  
9           Federal Court.

10                           Once it is before the Tribunal, the  
11           Tribunal has to hold a full hearing on the matter.

12                           You can put those arguments in your  
13           summation at the end of the hearing, but I just wanted  
14           to address that issue at this point.

15                           Yes, Ms Warsame...?

16                           MS WARSAME:  That is correct and I  
17           won't repeat that, Mr. Chair.

18                           Thank you.

19           ARGUMENT

20                           MS WARSAME:  I want to just make one  
21           quick point.

22                           There is no evidence before you,  
23           Mr. Chair, to even support the argument put forward by  
24           the respondent.

25                           THE CHAIRPERSON:  Even if there was,

1           again, I would have to set aside the motion and suggest  
2           that if a party wants to review the decision of the  
3           Commission to transfer the matter to the Tribunal it  
4           has to be done in front of the Trial Division of the  
5           Federal Court.

6                           MS WARSAME: That is correct. That  
7           question is even moot now because the parties, as you  
8           know, have only 30 days to do a judicial review of the  
9           Commission's decision.

10                          THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

11                                 I will hear the matter and certainly  
12           Mr. Fromm or Mr. Tremaine can put those arguments and  
13           the facts in at that point and I will deal with that in  
14           my decision, but at this point I need to hear the  
15           matter. I cannot dismiss it on the basis of  
16           section 41(1).

17                                 Thank you.

18                                 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

19                                 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Warman?

20           ARGUMENT

21                                 MR. WARMAN: Just for the record,  
22           Mr. Fromm is well aware that is not a possible remedy  
23           to be granted by the Tribunal and that that is the  
24           exact reason why the objection was taken to Mr. Fromm  
25           appearing as an agent.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: That issue has been  
2 decided. I have dealt with that already.

3 I also will deal with this issue.

4 RULING

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Again, I will not  
6 set aside the decision of the Commission, and I don't  
7 have the authority to set aside the decision of the  
8 Commission to transfer the matter to the Tribunal. I  
9 need, under the Act, to hear the matter, hear the  
10 evidence of both parties and decide on the evidence  
11 which is before me. Parties would have had the avenue  
12 of going before judicial review in front of the Federal  
13 Court of the decision of the Commission to send the  
14 matter up to the Tribunal.

15 Are there any other matters at  
16 this point?

17 --- Pause

18 MR. WARMAN: Mr. Chair...?

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes?

20 MR. WARMAN: This is exactly the type  
21 of abuse of process behaviour.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Again,  
23 Mr. Warman --

24 MR. WARMAN: Mr. Fromm has already  
25 brought this motion in a previous hearing, has already

1           been denied by the Tribunal. He realizes the Tribunal  
2           has no authority to make such a motion as he is about  
3           to demand.

4                           I have seen these exact same  
5           materials in a previous case. It has already been  
6           denied. It is just --

7                           THE CHAIRPERSON: I wasn't there.  
8           You will be able to make those arguments and certainly  
9           refer me to that decision. I cannot stop somebody from  
10          making a motion at this point now.

11                          If there is an abuse of process at  
12          one point with the number of motions that are put  
13          forward, I will deal with that at that point. At this  
14          time I have dealt with one.

15                          I know that there will be a request  
16          under the Okanagan Indian Band decision by Mr. Fromm.  
17          I know that decision very well also. I know what he  
18          will be asking and I will deal with that matter at  
19          that point.

20                          Mr. Fromm...?

21                          MR. FROMM: I'm sorry, sir, since you  
22          already know where I will be going on this --

23                          THE CHAIRPERSON: Because I know that  
24          decision very well.

25          ARGUMENT

1 MR. FROMM: -- I will keep it brief.

2 Mr. Warman, in objecting before I  
3 even really indicated what I'm doing with this  
4 indicated that a decision had been made in another  
5 Tribunal. Sir, as you well know, the decision of one  
6 Tribunal in an interlocutory motion is not necessarily  
7 binding on another Tribunal.

8 Yesterday in his submissions  
9 Mr. Tremaine indicated that because of the events that  
10 had happened of having been fired that he did not have  
11 money for a lawyer. In my own submissions yesterday I  
12 indicated that I did not feel that I really am the  
13 person to represent him. He ought to have a lawyer.  
14 That would be in his best interest.

15 What I am doing now, sir, is seeking  
16 a three-month adjournment of this hearing and seeking  
17 from you an order, as I believe you are given the  
18 authority, perhaps even the mandate by this decision of  
19 the Supreme Court of Canada, British Columbia (Minister  
20 of Forests) v. Okanagan Indian Band.

21 Mr. Tremaine, the bottom line is,  
22 really ought to have legal counsel. I am not in a  
23 position to guess exactly what counsel would cost, but  
24 let's say for three or four days of hearings, plus the  
25 considerable preparation needed, I don't think a figure

1 of \$10,000 to \$15,000 would be out of the question.

2 Now, in the case of the British  
3 Columbia (Minister of Forests) v. Okanagan Indian Band,  
4 as you will recall, sir, the Okanagan Indian Band, and  
5 indeed several Indian Bands, were brought before  
6 Provincial Court for logging without authorization on  
7 Crown land.

8 In the Court of first instance the  
9 Indian Bands said that they were impecunious and didn't  
10 have money for legal representation and they were found  
11 in violation of the law.

12 This was appealed to the British  
13 Columbia Court of Appeal and the British Columbia Court  
14 of Appeal ruled that in a Charter case, in a case where  
15 the -- in this situation where the Indian Band was  
16 advancing its claim of aboriginal rights, that it was  
17 up to the Court to issue an order for interim costs, in  
18 other words, to issue an order that would permit the  
19 Band to have proper legal representation in order to  
20 argue for or defend their Charter rights.

21 This is a very similar case.  
22 Although it is not aboriginal rights, certainly the  
23 whole section 13(1) case revolves around the issue of  
24 individual rights, the right of Mr. Tremaine in this  
25 instance to freedom of speech, freedom of expression,

1           and perhaps even freedom of the press, freedom to  
2           publish his views on whatever matters.

3                           He cannot -- and I think we all know  
4           this -- adequately exercise his rights. He cannot  
5           adequately defend himself without counsel.

6                           Sir, I invite you to rely on this  
7           decision which, incidentally, was appealed all the way  
8           to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of  
9           Canada indicated clearly that the Court does have the  
10          power. It had been argued in the course of this that  
11          the Courts did not have the power, but the Supreme  
12          Court of Canada said that it matters where you are  
13          arguing for your basic Charter rights that poverty  
14          should not be an impediment.

15                           That is essentially what this is.  
16          I am a stand-in and I would be very happy to go  
17          fishing and let a proper counsel do the job that  
18          Mr. Tremaine needs.

19                           He needs proper legal representation.  
20          He doesn't have the money. I am a stand-in.

21                           The motion is for a three-month  
22          adjournment so that he can find counsel and properly  
23          instruct counsel, and then an amount of \$15,000 so that  
24          he can properly retain counsel and have the  
25          representation he deserves.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes...?

2 ARGUMENT

3 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, again I would  
4 like to highlight for the record that this is the  
5 second motion without notice. The respondent had  
6 plenty of time to raise this question, this argument,  
7 even before this hearing.

8 Again, let me just answer. Mr. Bahr  
9 raised a similar motion in the case of Warman v. Bahr  
10 and Western Canada for Us and the Tribunal dismissed.  
11 The reason the Tribunal dismissed, Mr. Chair, is  
12 because there is nothing in the Act which authorizes  
13 and allows the Tribunal to make such an order against  
14 the Commission.

15 Second, if Mr. Tremaine is now asking  
16 in his motion for an adjournment, again he had plenty  
17 of time before this hearing to make that request and he  
18 failed to do so.

19 I understand also that Mr. Fromm is  
20 arguing on behalf of Mr. Tremaine that he is trying to  
21 defend the freedom of speech of Mr. Tremaine. Again,  
22 there was no constitutional notice that was given to  
23 the Commission or the Attorney General.

24 Again we are asking the Tribunal to  
25 put an end to this flow of motions without notice.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Before we go there,  
2 I will wait to see if there will be a flow of motions.  
3 I will deal with these motions one at a time at this  
4 point. If we have anything where I should put an end  
5 to it, I will do so.

6 Mr. Warman?

7 ARGUMENT

8 MR. WARMAN: I will simply adopt the  
9 submissions of counsel for the Commission and indicate  
10 as well that Mr. Tremaine has had two years to retain  
11 legal counsel.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm..."

13 ARGUMENT

14 MR. FROMM: First of all, this is  
15 not a flow of motions, but even if it were it is  
16 Mr. Tremaine's right to defend himself.

17 We are not challenging in this  
18 hearing the constitutionality of section 13(1). That  
19 is not Mr. Tremaine's submission. He is arguing that  
20 his Charter rights are being violated by this process  
21 and that he is trying to assert his right to freedom of  
22 speech in his submissions.

23 It is my argument that he really does  
24 need the assistance of a lawyer.

25 Mr. Warman says that this matter has

1           been going on for two years and Mr. Tremaine has had  
2           the opportunity to retain a lawyer. That is insulting.  
3           Mr. Tremaine has no money, thanks largely to the  
4           actions of the complainant.

5                         You can't retain a lawyer, not in  
6           this country, not unless you are able to enter the  
7           lawyer's office with a fairly substantial retainer.

8                         I was not involved, as was pointed  
9           out yesterday, in the preliminaries of this case. When  
10          I became involved a couple of days ago this was the  
11          first opportunity to raise these motions. There was  
12          not a possibility, at least from my perspective, of  
13          raising them any earlier. That is why they are before  
14          you this morning.

15          RULING

16                         THE CHAIRPERSON: On the issue of the  
17          request for an adjournment in this case, this request  
18          will be denied at this point.

19                         Mr. Tremaine had the occasion before  
20          the Member who was doing the management of this case of  
21          raising the issue of legal representation and asking  
22          for an adjournment before him. I believe it is a  
23          little bit late in the process to raise that issue.

24                         I am looking at the notes taken of  
25          that case management. He did indicate that he was

1 going to represent himself and at one point he also  
2 indicated that he would be asking you, Mr. Fromm --  
3 requesting that you be allowed to act as counsel for  
4 him in this matter. He could at that point have  
5 requested from the case management Member an  
6 adjournment if he so needed to find legal advice.

7 So I will reject the request for an  
8 adjournment at this point.

9 On the issue of cost and the request  
10 on the Okanagan decision, again the power of the  
11 Tribunal is the power that the Tribunal has under the  
12 Canadian Human Rights Act. As you well know, under the  
13 Act the Tribunal does not have power to award costs.

14 The decision in Okanagan was a  
15 cost-related decision so this is not a matter that can  
16 be dealt with, an order that can be rendered or dealt  
17 with by the Tribunal. Again, it is a matter that could  
18 be raised in front of a Court, the Federal Court or any  
19 other Court, but the Tribunal does not have the power  
20 to award costs, and certainly not to award an order as  
21 the one that was rendered in the Okanagan decision.

22 Again, I would like to just remind  
23 the parties that the decision in Okanagan was very  
24 limited in the factual basis of that decision. I would  
25 need to have more than what we have had this morning to

1 be able to render -- if I had the power to render an  
2 Okanagan order, I would need more evidence than what I  
3 had this morning and certainly there is no evidence  
4 before me which even reaches the guidelines that the  
5 Supreme Court of Canada established in Okanagan.

6 I just remind the parties that there  
7 haven't been that many decisions, and I don't believe  
8 there are any other decisions, maybe one, where an  
9 Okanagan order has been given by a Court.

10 But in this case, the Tribunal does  
11 not have the power under the Act to award costs and  
12 that being a cost-related motion the Tribunal will not  
13 be able to make an order of that nature.

14 Thank you.

15 Are there any other matters at  
16 this point?

17 If not, we will proceed with the  
18 hearing of the complaint.

19 Do the parties have any  
20 opening remarks?

21 Ms Warsame...?

22 --- Pause

23 OPENING REMARKS

24 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, the matter  
25 before the Tribunal Today involves a complaint filed by

1 Mr. Warman pursuant to section 13 of the Canadian Human  
2 Rights Act.

3 The complaint alleges that Terry  
4 Tremaine discriminated against persons or a group of  
5 persons on the basis of religion, race, colour and  
6 national or ethnic origin. Mr. Chair, Mr. Tremaine did  
7 so by repeatedly communicating messages over the  
8 internet which would likely expose blacks, Asians,  
9 aboriginals, other non-whites and Jews, to hatred  
10 and/or contempt contrary to subsection 13(1) of the  
11 Canadian Human Rights Act.

12 The Commission is confident that the  
13 evidence that will be presented to the Tribunal will be  
14 sufficient to establish that the material communicated  
15 by the respondent is likely to expose members of these  
16 groups to hatred and/or contempt.

17 During the course of the hearing, the  
18 evidence will show that the respondent, Terry Tremaine,  
19 has posted discriminatory material in the following  
20 websites: Stormfront a U.S. neo-Nazi website; the  
21 National-Socialist Party of Canada website --

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: This is not  
23 evidence at this point, Mr. Fromm. I just want to make  
24 sure that you understand it is not evidence. It is  
25 opening remarks and I don't take that as evidence.

1                   Any comments that are being made by  
2                   Ms Warsame, or by yourself, sir, will have to be  
3                   supported by evidence during the process. Opening  
4                   remarks are general remarks about the case.

5                   MS WARSAME: Thank you.

6                   During the course of the hearing,  
7                   Mr. Chair, the evidence will show that the respondent  
8                   has posted discriminatory material on the following  
9                   websites: Stormfront, a U.S. neo-Nazi website; the  
10                  National-Socialist Party of Canada website;  
11                  mathdoktor99.htmlplanet.com and its mirror websites;  
12                  mathdoktor99tripod.com and mathdoktor99.50megs.com.

13                  The evidence will show that the  
14                  respondent Terry Tremaine posted discriminatory  
15                  material on this website using the pseudonym  
16                  "mathdoktor" and/or his own name "Terry Tremaine".

17                  The Commission will be calling only  
18                  one witness in this case, the complainant, Mr. Richard  
19                  Warman. He will be providing evidence regarding the  
20                  material posted by the respondent in different  
21                  websites, and particularly in the U.S. neo-Nazi website  
22                  Stormfront and the National-Socialist Party of Canada.  
23                  He will do so by going through the documents which he  
24                  downloaded and observed on these websites.

25                  Mr. Chair, in cases involving

1 section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act there are  
2 three issues which the Tribunal will determine at the  
3 end of the hearing.

4 The first issue is: Did the  
5 respondent, Terry Tremaine, communicate or cause to be  
6 communicated the messages found on the websites?

7 The second issue is: Were these  
8 messages communicated telephonically by way of the  
9 internet?

10 The third issue is: Is the subject  
11 matter of the messages likely to expose a person or  
12 group of persons to hatred or contempt by reason of the  
13 fact that they are identifiable on the basis of a  
14 prohibited ground of discrimination.

15 In this case, Mr. Chair, the  
16 respondent, Terry Tremaine, is not denying posting the  
17 material in issue. Indeed, in response to the joint  
18 letter of particulars of the Commission and the  
19 Complainant, he stated:

20 "The Canadian Human Rights  
21 Commission has alleged that I  
22 have exposed Jews and other  
23 non-Whites to hatred and  
24 contempt. My response: I have  
25 a God-given right to say and

1                                   write what I think if I chose to  
2                                   do so." (As read)

3                                   There is no doubt, Mr. Chair,  
4                                   that the respondent communicated, or caused to be  
5                                   communicated in this case, the messages found on  
6                                   the website under the pseudonym mathdokter99 and/or  
7                                   Terry Tremaine.

8                                   Therefore, the only issue the  
9                                   Tribunal will be determining is whether the messages in  
10                                  issue in the complaint of Mr. Warman are likely to  
11                                  expose persons to hatred and/or contempt contrary to  
12                                  section 13(1) of the Canadian Human Rights Act.

13                                  I will submit to you, Mr. Chair, that  
14                                  the material you will be seeing fully meets the  
15                                  criteria of hate as defined by the case law and the  
16                                  legislation and at the end of the proceeding you will  
17                                  have ample evidence before you to conclude that the  
18                                  material communicated over the internet by Terry  
19                                  Tremaine contravenes section 13(1) of the Canadian  
20                                  Human Rights Act.

21                                  Thank you.

22                                  THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

23                                  Mr. Warman...?

24                                  --- Pause

25                                  THE CHAIRPERSON: That is a copy of

1 your opening remarks?

2 MR. WARMAN: I'm sorry...?

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: That is a copy of  
4 your opening remarks?

5 MR. WARMAN: It is, yes.

6 --- Pause

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr. Fromm...?

8 MR. FROMM: Is it not customary in a  
9 case like this that if copies were provided to you that  
10 the rest of us receive a copy?

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Since it is copies  
12 of opening remarks I will not even consider them. I  
13 will listen to Mr. Warman at this point.

14 So this is not evidence and I will  
15 not treat it as such.

16 Parties, when they make their closing  
17 remarks, can, if they want to, give a written copy to  
18 the opposing parties, but I have seen in many cases  
19 where that has not been done, but that is not part of  
20 the evidence. If it was evidence copies would need to  
21 be given to the respondent.

22 So I will not be dealing with this  
23 document, I will be listening to Mr. Warman.

24 MR. FROMM: Thank you.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Go ahead,

1 Mr. Warman.

2 OPENING REMARKS

3 MR. WARMAN: Mr. Chair, section 13(1)  
4 of the Canadian Human Rights Act states as follows:

5 "(1) It is a discriminatory  
6 practice for a person or a group  
7 of persons acting in concert to  
8 communicate telephonically or to  
9 cause to be so communicated,  
10 repeatedly, in whole or in part  
11 by means of the facilities of a  
12 telecommunication undertaking  
13 within the legislative authority  
14 of Parliament, any matter that  
15 is likely to expose a person or  
16 persons to hatred or contempt by  
17 reason of the fact that that  
18 person or those persons are  
19 identifiable on the basis of a  
20 prohibited ground of  
21 discrimination.

22 (2) For greater certainty,  
23 subsection (1) applies in  
24 respect of a matter that is  
25 communicated by means of a

1 computer or a group of  
2 interconnected or related  
3 computers, including the  
4 Internet..."

5 At its core, section 13 of the  
6 Canadian Human Rights Act is simply a modern-day  
7 extension of the Golden Rule: Thou shalt not use the  
8 telephone or the internet to spread hate propaganda  
9 against thy neighbour.

10 The Supreme Court in Taylor has  
11 defined the terms "hatred" and "contempt" as:  
12 "Hatred", meaning the expression of extreme ill will;  
13 that the targets of the messages have no redeeming  
14 qualities; and that the messages evoke feelings of  
15 detestation, enmity and malevolence.

16 For "contempt" the Supreme Court said  
17 that this was similar to the condition of being  
18 condemned or despised; dishonour or disgrace; and of  
19 looking down upon or treating as inferior the object of  
20 one's feelings.

21 I believe that by the end of this  
22 hearing, you will have ample evidence before you to  
23 conclude that the material put forward on the  
24 internet by Mr. Tremaine amply meets this test and,  
25 further, that Mr. Tremaine chose to target me

1 personally within it.

2 This long weekend I spent some time  
3 wandering around a festival. Part of that festival  
4 included antique vendors. When I wandered into one of  
5 their stalls I came across an unidentified woman's  
6 scrap book. While leafing through it by hazard I  
7 stumbled upon this section of the Hamilton Spectator  
8 newspaper dated 19 November 1979. My eye was caught by  
9 an article with the bold headline "Laws can't end  
10 racism McMurtry says."

11 The article was an account of a  
12 sermon given by then Attorney-General of Ontario, and  
13 now Chief Justice of Ontario, Roy McMurtry, who was an  
14 invited guest at Hamilton's Westdale United Church.

15 The reporter mentioned that McMurtry  
16 admonished society in general for taking a bystanders  
17 stance on the issues of human rights, especially  
18 racism, and said individuals must realize their  
19 responsibility to ensure human rights for their fellow  
20 citizens.

21 McMurtry told the parishioners:

22 "The enactment of a human rights  
23 code in Ontario does not mean  
24 we, as individuals, can sit back  
25 on our laurels and believe that

1                   these principles are  
2                   self-enforcing. No statute or  
3                   bill of rights can legislate to  
4                   what degree a man must love his  
5                   neighbour." (As read)

6                   McMurtry continued, saying that  
7                   education was needed to destroy the attitudes which  
8                   fester into racist incidents and others which result in  
9                   the loss of human rights. He noted:

10                   "What is most required is a  
11                   commitment to speak out loudly  
12                   and clearly against racism or  
13                   any other violation of human  
14                   rights. Each of these acts  
15                   diminishes the fabric of  
16                   society." (As read)

17                   These were sage words when uttered in  
18                   1979 and they are sage words now. I am only too aware  
19                   of the truth of those words, and because no human  
20                   rights legislation is self-enforcing I brought a  
21                   complaint under section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights  
22                   Act against Mr. Tremaine on October 13, 2004.

23                   Mr. Tremaine had put his obsessive  
24                   and irrational hatred of Jews, blacks, Asians, and  
25                   aboriginals out onto the internet, thus seeking to

1           poison this communal well of communication.

2                                 In September of 2005 Mr. Tremaine  
3 wrote a letter to the Canadian Human Rights Commission  
4 in which he issued an unreserved apology for his  
5 bigoted words. He explained that he had "lost his  
6 moral compass" and that his postings imagining a Jewish  
7 world conspiracy were:

8   "...delusional thinking for  
9   which I am profoundly ashamed  
10   and guilt ridden."

11                                 He continued with an assurance that:

12   "...[t]he Commission need have  
13   no concern about any future  
14   activity on my part on  
15   Stormfront or anywhere else."

16   (As read)

17                                 THE CHAIRPERSON: Again, just to  
18 remind you, Mr. Warman, this is introductory remarks.

19   MR. WARMAN: It is.

20                                 THE CHAIRPERSON: Usually  
21 introductory remarks are used just to indicate to the  
22 Tribunal what evidence you will be introducing before  
23 the Tribunal and what you will be doing. Certainly I  
24 cannot consider that as evidence at this point unless  
25 you have somebody that will be able to --

1 MR. WARMAN: This is of course  
2 evidence that will be presented before you.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

4 MR. WARMAN: Would that  
5 Mr. Tremaine's assertions had been true.

6 Unfortunately, by December of 2005  
7 Mr. Tremaine's pseudonym profile on Stormfront showed  
8 that he had again begun visiting the website and would  
9 ultimately resume posting his online invective by early  
10 February of 2006.

11 Mr. Tremaine indicated that his  
12 heartfelt apology was simply a lie, told in the feeble  
13 hope that the complaint would "go away".

14 If anything, his subsequent posts  
15 have become more vicious than ever, and Mr. Tremaine  
16 has recently opened his own "Nazi Party of Canada"  
17 website, replete with anti-semitic and anti-black  
18 screeds and dedicated to the resurrection of an idea  
19 that died 60 years ago in a bunker in Berlin.

20 I come before you today to speak out  
21 loudly and clearly against the racism and other human  
22 rights violations described in my complaint, in the  
23 fervent hope that the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal  
24 will bring an end to these acts which diminish the  
25 fabric of our society.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you,  
2 Mr. Warman.

3 Mr. Fromm and Mr. Tremaine, you can  
4 either decide to make your opening remarks now on the  
5 presentation of your case or wait until the opening of  
6 your case, after the witnesses of the Commission and of  
7 Mr. Warman have made their presentation. It's up to  
8 you to decide if you want to do it now.

9 MR. TREMAINE: Can I respond to some  
10 of his comments now?

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: If you are going to  
12 be making opening remarks --

13 MR. TREMAINE: It is not opening  
14 remarks.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, no. You will  
16 do that when you will do your --  
17 --- Pause

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Will you be making  
19 opening remarks now?

20 MR. FROMM: Yes.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

22 OPENING REMARKS

23 MR. FROMM: Because I think you have  
24 heard an outline of the Commission's case and you have  
25 heard Mr. Warman on the matter, so I would like to

1 present a brief outline of Mr. Tremaine's case.

2 First of all, I must really  
3 vehemently object to the characterization by Ms Warsame  
4 of Stormfront as being an American neo-Nazi website.  
5 That is half right; it's American.

6 I'm going to invite you, sir, when  
7 the evidence is before you, to look at almost any sheet  
8 that will be submitted to you from Stormfront and you  
9 will see across the masthead that it is a website for  
10 the white nationalist community. There is no mention  
11 at all of neo-Nazi.

12 We have already heard some  
13 submissions that Mr. Tremaine has, according to  
14 Mr. Warman, presented views that died 60 years ago in a  
15 bunker in Berlin.

16 I invite you again to wonder: Why  
17 is this before you? If these ideas died 60 years ago  
18 in a bunker in Berlin, all we have before you today,  
19 sir, is an antiquarian. Why are we wasting our time  
20 on this?

21 Mr. Tremaine wrote to the Commission:

22 "I have a God-given right to  
23 communicate my belief".

24 (As read)

25 I think that goes to the very core of

1           what is before you. You have already heard accusations  
2           of hate, irrational opinions, and so on.

3                           I'm going to invite you, as you see  
4           the evidence and hear it, to ask yourself if it's not  
5           possible to hold strong views, even critical views, of  
6           people, of groups that are covered by the Canadian  
7           Human Rights Act, without those views being hate.

8                           Is it not possible to be highly  
9           critical of maybe certain historical actions by groups  
10          or some members of a group?

11                          I think you will find in the  
12          evidence before you, in the passages, a very  
13          intelligent mind looking at difficult historical  
14          problems and critical conclusions -- like we already  
15          heard critical conclusions about Germany and the  
16          1940s from Mr. Warman. Perhaps it is possible to have  
17          very critical conclusions about groups without there  
18          being hatred.

19                          So I invite you, sir, to listen  
20          carefully and to see if there is any guidance to  
21          you in deciding whether strong views are in fact  
22          hate propaganda.

23                          Mr. Warman said, and perhaps we will  
24          hear more about that, that Mr. Tremaine targeted him  
25          personally. It might be pointed out that Mr. Tremaine,

1           you will find, responded after Mr. Tremaine's postings  
2           became the subject of a complaint. Mr. Tremaine was  
3           quite happily posting his views out there in cyberspace  
4           and along came an individual in far off Ottawa who made  
5           a complaint which was going to cost Mr. Tremaine a good  
6           deal of time and anguish and money, and so on.

7                           I am going to invite you to wonder  
8           whether it's fair if you walk by a person's front  
9           window and toss tomatoes and stones at it, that when  
10          that person charges out of the house yelling at you  
11          that you then charge him for causing a disturbance.  
12          You know, you poke the hornet's nest with a stick,  
13          don't be surprised if the hornet comes out angry  
14          after you.

15                           You are being told that you will hear  
16          of an obsessive and irrational hatred of Jews and  
17          blacks. I'm going to invite you to look at those  
18          passages and wonder if they are not perhaps merely  
19          strong criticism.

20                           It has been presented to you that you  
21          will hear as a revelation that Mr. Tremaine has  
22          allegedly started a Nazi website.

23                           I'm going to invite you to look at  
24          the fact that 30, 40 years ago in this country we  
25          decided, even in the height of the Cold War, that it

1 was the right of a Canadian to be a member of a  
2 communist party. In fact, at one point we have  
3 actually had two communist parties, one pro-Peking one  
4 pro-Moscow, that the support of what was then  
5 considered a foreign ideology, a totalitarian ideology  
6 maybe, was the right of the Canadian. Provided you did  
7 not engage in violence, you could be a follower of  
8 Mao Tse Tung or Karl Marx or Che Guevara, indeed even  
9 Adolf Hitler.

10 So although it might in today's  
11 politically correct time seem shocking that somebody  
12 identifies themselves as a National-Socialist, I think  
13 the Canadian tradition is that you have the right to  
14 those political views. You have the right even to be a  
15 follower of foreign dictators without coming afoul of  
16 the law. That was a battle that was a long and hard  
17 fought one.

18 We are going to argue this at some  
19 considerable length so I'm just going to simply give  
20 you a heads-up on this.

21 No matter what the quality is a those  
22 postings, I am going to invite you to come to the  
23 conclusion that they were not repeated under the  
24 Canadian Human Rights Act. We will be relying on the  
25 Supreme Court decision in Taylor. These were not

1 repeated communications. These were not the equivalent  
2 of taking a pamphlet and doing a thousand copies and  
3 handing them out on the street. We will be coming back  
4 to that.

5 But in the end I will be inviting you  
6 to look very carefully at the evidence and at what  
7 expert evidence, if any is brought before you, to  
8 assist you in analyzing these posts as to whether  
9 indeed they are hatred.

10 I must say, sir, I wince every time I  
11 hear the word "hate", a tag to an expression of an  
12 opinion. "Hate" is all too often being used as a way  
13 to silence people. Views you don't like are hate.

14 I'm going to invite you to look very  
15 carefully at to see what expert evidence, if any, is  
16 before you to assist you in determining whether the  
17 passages are indeed hate or whether they are simply  
18 strong, and in many cases opinions argued with  
19 considerable facts and references to history.

20 Incidentally, Mr. Tremaine wants it  
21 to be known that that letter that was sent to the  
22 Commission in September of last year is one that he now  
23 repudiates and has repudiated.

24 I again invite you to consider the  
25 bad faith of the Commission having received a letter in

1           September of 2005 in which Mr. Tremaine repudiated what  
2           he said, apologized for what he said, and so on, why at  
3           that point the complaint wasn't dropped.

4                         If indeed subsequently they felt it  
5           was an insincere apology, I could see it being  
6           reinstated. But the fact it was not dropped in the  
7           case of what we are about today is punishment, not the  
8           ending of a discriminatory practice. We are out to  
9           hurt and to punish.

10                        It is my submission that there before  
11           you you have evidence of the bad faith of the  
12           complainant and of the Commission, that after receiving  
13           this abject apology of September 2005 they didn't drop  
14           the complaint.

15                        THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you,  
16           Mr. Fromm.

17                        At this point the opening remarks of  
18           the parties have been made. We would usually start  
19           with the first witness.

20                        I said we would take a break at  
21           10:45. It is now 10:25. Instead of hearing the  
22           witness for 15 minutes, we will take a break until  
23           quarter to 11:00 and start with the witness at a  
24           quarter to 11:00.

25                        Thank you.

1 --- Upon recessing at 10:25 a.m.

2 --- Upon resuming at 10:45 a.m.

3 THE REGISTRAR: Order, please.

4 Please be seated.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Is the  
6 Commission ready to go forward with its case?

7 MS WARSAME: Yes, we are ready. The  
8 Commission is ready to call the witness.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there a book of  
10 exhibits here?

11 MS WARSAME: I provided one.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: What I would  
13 suggest is that we proceed one document at a time, that  
14 we have every document put in one document at a time.

15 MS WARSAME: Yes, thank you. I was  
16 advised actually by Ms Bacon to do that.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

18 Thank you.

19 AFFIRMED: RICHARD WARMAN

20 THE REGISTRAR: Please state your  
21 full name for the record.

22 MR. WARMAN: My name is  
23 Richard Warman.

24 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. Please  
25 be seated.

1 MR. WARMAN: Thank you.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Just before we  
3 go ahead, Madam Bacon, do you know where the  
4 respondent is?

5 THE REGISTRAR: No, I don't. Would  
6 you like me to go and look?

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Just give a quick  
8 look outside. If they are there, have them come in.  
9 If not, we will proceed with the examination of the  
10 witness. We will start the examination of the witness.

11 --- Pause

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: They are coming in?

13 THE REGISTRAR: Yes.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: We will wait a  
15 few minutes.

16 --- Pause

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: The witness has  
18 been sworn.

19 Ms Warsame, you can start the  
20 examination of Mr. Warman.

21 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, I assume you  
22 have a copy of the Book of Documents?

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: It's the black  
24 binder?

25 MS WARSAME: That's correct, yes.

1 One binder.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: And a copy has been  
3 provided to the respondent?

4 MS WARSAME: Yes. A copy was  
5 provided to the respondent, another copy was provided  
6 to the witness and the stenographer.

7 EXAMINATION

8 MS WARSAME: Good morning, Mr.  
9 Warman.

10 MR. WARMAN: Good morning.

11 MS WARSAME: Before we begin I will  
12 ask you to turn to Tab 1 of the Book of Documents  
13 before you.

14 --- Pause

15 MS WARSAME: Can you please identify  
16 the document a Tab 1, please?

17 MR. WARMAN: Yes. What this is, the  
18 first page is a Commission document "Summary of  
19 Complaint". The second and third pages are a copy of  
20 the actual complaint that I filed with the Commission  
21 dated 13 October 2004.

22 MS WARSAME: Can you go to the second  
23 page and identify the document?

24 MR. WARMAN: At the bottom of the  
25 third page is my signature, above my name.

1 MS WARSAME: Is this the complaint  
2 you filed with the Canadian Human Rights Commission?

3 MR. WARMAN: It is.

4 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, I would like  
5 to file the document a Tab 1 of the Canadian Human  
6 Rights Book of Documents.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Just as I explained  
8 a while ago, every document will be identified  
9 separately, not as a whole. So whatever documents are  
10 left in the binder at the end of the day will not be  
11 considered as evidence.

12 MS WARSAME: That is correct.

13 THE REGISTRAR: Richard Warman's  
14 complaint dated October 13, 2004 found at Tab 1 of the  
15 Commission's Book of Documents will be filed as  
16 Commission Exhibit No. HR-1.

17 Thank you

18 EXHIBIT NO. HR-1: Richard  
19 Warman's complaint dated  
20 October 13, 2004, filed with the  
21 Canadian Human Rights  
22 Commission, found at Tab 1 of  
23 the Commission's Book of  
24 Documents

25 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you go to

1 Tab 1, second page under "Allegation" --

2 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

3 MS WARSAME: I understand under  
4 "Allegation" the second paragraph refers to  
5 sections 14.1 and 59.

6 MR. WARMAN: It does.

7 MS WARSAME: Can you please  
8 explain that in connection with the first page of  
9 the complaint?

10 MR. WARMAN: That portion of the  
11 complaint was not pursued before the Commission and  
12 therefore it has, in essence, been amalgamated into the  
13 personally named portion of the section 13 complaint.

14 MS WARSAME: As I understand it,  
15 there is only a section 13 complaint.

16 MR. WARMAN: That is correct.

17 MS WARSAME: Before we move to the  
18 second document, is there anything else you want to  
19 highlight from the first document?

20 MR. WARMAN: No, thank you.

21 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you  
22 describe to the Tribunal what led to the filing of the  
23 complaint against this particular respondent?

24 MR. WARMAN: Yes. I have been  
25 monitoring the U.S. neo-Nazi website called

1 "stormfront.org" and essentially through monitoring  
2 that, and particularly through monitoring the Canadian  
3 postings section of that website, essentially what it  
4 is, the website, if you look at it in a pyramid  
5 fashion, there is a forum where you have the individual  
6 categories of subjects, and then if you click on those  
7 subjects there will be individual threads of particular  
8 subtopics that people want to discuss within that  
9 individual forum broader topic. And then, within each  
10 one of those sub-threads there will be more individual  
11 postings by persons who wish to make comments on that  
12 particular subcategory of the broader issue.

13 So if, say, the broadest issue was  
14 "dogs" in a normal website and then the sub-issue would  
15 be "schnauzers" and then individual members of the dog  
16 website would make comments about their favourite  
17 things about schnauzer websites, or so on and so forth.

18 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

19 MR. WARMAN: So what had happened  
20 was, I had noticed postings that began to concern me by  
21 the individual using the pseudonym "mathdokter99" and  
22 thus I began to do a small amount of further research  
23 into the nature of that person's postings.

24 Having viewed further postings by  
25 that person, it confirmed my concerns that those

1           postings were likely to violate section 13 of the  
2           Canadian Human Rights Act and thus I essentially began  
3           compiling information with a view to identifying that  
4           person and likely ultimately filing a complaint with  
5           the Canadian Human Rights Commission.

6                           MS WARSAME: Thank you.

7                           We are going to go into detail later  
8           on, but let me ask you this: I understand you have an  
9           interest in human rights. Can you describe to the  
10          Tribunal how you became interested and how you pursued  
11          that interest?

12                          MR. WARMAN: I can.

13                          Approximately 15 or 20 years ago now  
14          I began monitoring activities of White Supremacist and  
15          a neo-Nazi groups in Canada and internationally. When  
16          I use the term "White Supremacist", what I mean by that  
17          is people who presume that there is some inferiority  
18          within the races that are traditionally referred to as  
19          non-white or non-Aryan.

20                          And when I use that term "neo-Nazi",  
21          in addition to the White Supremacist beliefs, there is  
22          usually conjoined to that the beliefs similar to those  
23          of the National-Socialist Regime in Germany during the  
24          World War II era, essentially being an irrational and  
25          obsessive hatred of Jews, homosexuals, Roma, in

1 addition to the White Supremacist beliefs.

2 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

3 I will now ask you to turn to  
4 Tab 2 of the Canadian Human Rights Commission Book  
5 of Documents.

6 Are you familiar with this document?

7 MR. WARMAN: I am.

8 What this is, is that on Stormfront  
9 for every person who uses a pseudonym to post, each of  
10 them will have what is called a profile and by  
11 clicking on their identity you can be taken -- one of  
12 the options that is presented to you is to go to  
13 their profile. It is essentially information that  
14 they put forward that they purport to be a description  
15 of themselves.

16 In this case, what this was, this was  
17 a document that I printed off on the 21st of September  
18 2004 and provided to the Commission pursuant to my  
19 complaint.

20 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

21 Mr. Chair, I would like to file the  
22 document at Tab 2.

23 THE REGISTRAR: The document  
24 enclosing profile of a mathdokter99, printed on  
25 September 21, 2004, found at Tab 2 of the Commission's

1 Book of Documents will be filed as Commission  
2 Exhibit HR-2.

3 EXHIBIT NO. HR-2: Document  
4 enclosing profile of  
5 mathdokter99, printed on  
6 September 21, 2004 from the  
7 website stormfront.org, found at  
8 Tab 2 of the Commission's Book  
9 of Documents

10 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

11 Mr. Warman, if you go to the document  
12 identified as HR-2, the first page, I understand there  
13 is a sign underneath the name mathdokter99.

14 Can you explain that?

15 MR. WARMAN: Yes, there is. It is a  
16 swastika, the traditional emblem of the Nazi Party  
17 during World War II.

18 MS WARSAME: And again on the bottom  
19 of the page there is "Contact Info".

20 MR. WARMAN: Yes. What this  
21 indicates is that there is a variety of different  
22 contact information, so it gives you the options down  
23 at the bottom right-hand corner, it says "E-mail",  
24 "Private Message". And then on the second page at the  
25 top right it states "Instant Messaging", and it gives

1           you a little symbol there, and underneath that is an  
2           e-mail address. The e-mail address is  
3           "mathdoktor@hotmail.com". Beneath that there is  
4           further information. It gives "Birthday", it gives the  
5           "Location" as being "Saskatoon, Canada" and the  
6           "Occupation" as being a "self-employed  
7           programmer/analyst".

8                               MS WARSAME: Thank you.

9                               I would ask you now to turn to Tab 3  
10           of the Book of Documents and again I will ask you to  
11           identify the document.

12                              MR. WARMAN: This is a page that I  
13           printed off after having done a Google search on the  
14           e-mail address that was included in Exhibit HR-2.

15                              This is a document that was printed  
16           off by me on the 11th of April 2005 and provided to the  
17           Commission pursuant to my complaint.

18                              MS WARSAME: I would like to file the  
19           document at Tab 3, please.

20                              THE REGISTRAR: Ms Warsame, how would  
21           you like this document to be identified?

22                              MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you  
23           assist us in identifying this document?

24                              MR. WARMAN: Yes. Perhaps the  
25           easiest way to describe it would be: Posting from

1 Mandrake Linux Archives printed off on 11/04/05 -- or  
2 a posting on the Mandrake Linux website dated  
3 7 August 2002.

4 THE REGISTRAR: The document as  
5 described found in the Commission's Book of Documents  
6 at Tab 3 will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-3.

7 EXHIBIT NO. HR-3: Internet  
8 posting on the Mandrake Linux  
9 website dated 7 August 2002,  
10 printed on April 11, 2005, found  
11 at Tab 3 of the Commission's  
12 Book of Documents

13 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

14 Now, if you go to HR-3 at the  
15 beginning you see "From" and "Subject".

16 Can you explain that? What you see  
17 when you see "From"?

18 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It states:

19 "From: Terry Tremaine  
20 Subject: [expert] how to stop  
21 graphical login  
22 Date: Wed, 7 Aug 2002"

23 It is just, to the best of my  
24 knowledge, a standard identification of the person  
25 who is doing the posting, along with the topic and

1 the time.

2 MS WARSAME: After that there is an  
3 e-mail, starting by:

4 "Hello  
5 Here is my problem."

6 There is a signature underneath the  
7 e-mail. Can you read that for us?

8 MR. WARMAN: Yes. The signature is  
9 "Tremaine/Terry". It indicates "OFB Technologies",  
10 which I understand to be "Open For Business  
11 Technologies". It gives a phone and a fax number and  
12 then gives an e-mail address that is the same as that  
13 given in Exhibit HR-2 for the person using the  
14 pseudonym "mathdokter99".

15 MS WARSAME: Unless you have anything  
16 else to add to HR-3, we are going to move to Tab 4.

17 MR. WARMAN: No. The purpose of this  
18 exhibit was simply to show that the Google search on  
19 that e-mail address affiliated with an individual named  
20 Terry Tremaine.

21 MS WARSAME: So if I understand well,  
22 you did a Google search and this is the information  
23 that you came out with?

24 MR. WARMAN: This is one of the  
25 results that came up. Then by clicking on that

1 particular result, it brought up that page, which was a  
2 posting on a computer website forum.

3 MS WARSAME: I will ask you now to  
4 turn to Tab 4.

5 I will ask you to identify the  
6 document at Tab 4, please.

7 MR. WARMAN: This is a document that  
8 was printed off by me on the 6th of July 2005 and  
9 provided to the Commission pursuant to my complaint.  
10 It is a page that contains a résumé of Mr. Tremaine.

11 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

12 I would like to file the document at  
13 Tab 4 of the Commission Book of Documents

14 THE REGISTRAR: The résumé of Terry  
15 Tremaine, printed on July 6, 2005 from the  
16 PHPbuilder.com website, found at Tab 4 of the  
17 Commission's Book of Documents, will be filed as  
18 Commission Exhibit HR-4.

19 EXHIBIT NO. HR-4: Résumé of  
20 Terry Tremaine printed on  
21 July 6, 2005 from the  
22 PHPBuilder.com website, found at  
23 Tab 4 of the Commission's Book  
24 of Documents

25 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

1                   Mr. Warman, I will ask you to explain  
2                   to us at what caught your attention with respect to the  
3                   document at Tab 4, which is now HR-4.

4                   MR. WARMAN: Yes. The first thing  
5                   that caught my attention was the fact that --  
6                   essentially the way I came upon this page was after  
7                   affiliating the e-mail address mathdokter@hotmail.com  
8                   with the name Terry Tremaine, I then did a subsequent  
9                   Google search on the name "Terry Tremaine" in quotation  
10                  marks. This was one of the results that came up and  
11                  then when I clicked on that it brought me to this page.

12                  It indicates that the individual's  
13                  name is "Terry Tremaine"; it provides a picture that is  
14                  the same as that of Mr. Tremaine here in this room; it  
15                  provides a "Home Page", being "open-for-biz.com". It  
16                  then provides Mr. Tremaine's location as being  
17                  "Saskatoon, Saskatchewan", which is the same as that  
18                  listed on the mathdokter99 pseudonym on Stormfront.

19                  If you turn the page to page 3 of 5,  
20                  if you go approximately halfway down the page under the  
21                  heading "Current Duties" it states that Mr. Tremaine is  
22                  "Self-employed in IT (doing) contract work".

23                  In terms of his education, it lists  
24                  it as being "Computer Programmer Analyst diploma", as  
25                  well as a Master of Science degree in Mathematics from

1 Concordia".

2 Continuing down to "Work History",  
3 point No. 2, it states:

4 "Taught College level courses in  
5 Algebra, Trigonometry, Calculus,  
6 Probability and Statistics,  
7 Differential Equations, and  
8 Linear Algebra, College of the  
9 Bahamas (Nassau, Bahamas).  
10 1993-1997."

11 All of those points I believe  
12 will become relevant over the course of the next  
13 few exhibits.

14 MS WARSAME: I will ask you,  
15 Mr. Warman, to compare the page 3 of HR-4 to the second  
16 page of HR-2 and explain to us your understanding.

17 MR. WARMAN: Yes. The location is  
18 the same as that given in a résumé for Mr. Tremaine  
19 and the occupation is the same as that listed for  
20 "Current Duties".

21 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

22 I will now ask you --

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I'm not  
24 following you here.

25 You are asking him to compare HR-4 to

1 the second page of HR-2?

2 MS WARSAME: Yes. HR-4, the third  
3 page, where he states --

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

5 Thank you.

6 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I will ask  
7 you to turn to Tab 5.

8 I will ask you again to identify the  
9 document at Tab 5.

10 MR. WARMAN: This is a document that  
11 was printed off from the Stormfront website. The  
12 heading is "What inspired your screen name?" I printed  
13 it off on the 21st of September 2004 and submitted it  
14 to the Commission pursuant to my complaint.

15 MS WARSAME: I would like to file the  
16 document had Tab 5, please.

17 THE REGISTRAR: The document entitled  
18 "What inspired your screen name?" found at the  
19 stormfront.org website, printed September 21, 2004  
20 found at Tab 5 of the Commission's Book of Documents  
21 will be filed as Exhibit HR-5.

22 EXHIBIT NO. HR-5: Document  
23 entitled "What inspired your  
24 screen name?" from the  
25 stormfront.org website, printed

1                                   September 21, 2004 found at  
2                                   Tab 5 of the Commission's Book  
3                                   of Documents

4                   MS WARSAME:   Thank you.

5                   Mr. Warman, now I refer you to HR-5,  
6           the second page, what can you tell us about the posting  
7           by mathdokter99?

8                   MR. WARMAN:   Mathdokter99  
9           indicates -- in the second full paragraph states:

10                                   "... I think the writer of  
11                                   Revelations was trying to warn  
12                                   the world about the long-term  
13                                   plan of those who in his day  
14                                   called themselves Jews (whom he  
15                                   called the synagogue of Satan).  
16                                   In his day the Star of David  
17                                   would have been viewed as a  
18                                   symbol of cabalistic magic but  
19                                   is now a masonic symbol as well  
20                                   as the symbol of International  
21                                   Zionism and Jewry."

22                                   The next paragraph simply  
23           explains the mathdokter part of it and the fourth full  
24           paragraph states:

25                                   "Furthermore, I used to be a

1                    university instructor in  
2                    Mathematics and have used the  
3                    e-mail address  
4                    mathdokter@hotmail.com for many  
5                    years."

6                    He then goes on to quote from the  
7                    Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion and also from  
8                    Adolf Hitler.

9                    MS WARSAME: Unless you have anything  
10                   else to add to HR-5 I am going to move to Tab 6.

11                   MR. WARMAN: No, thank you.

12                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Just coming back,  
13                   before you move on, HR-5 has five pages.

14                   Is that it?

15                   MS WARSAME: That is correct, yes.

16                   --- Pause

17                   MS WARSAME: We will now move to  
18                   Tab 6.

19                   MR. WARMAN: This is a document  
20                   thread entitled "Olympic Medals per Capita (by  
21                   country)" that I downloaded from the Stormfront website  
22                   on the 21st of September 2004 and provided to the  
23                   Commission pursuant to my complaint.

24                   MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
25                   to file this document, the document at Tab 6.

1 THE REGISTRAR: The document entitled  
2 "Olympic Medals per Capita (per country)" downloaded on  
3 September 21, 2004 from the stormfront.org website  
4 found at Tab 6 of the Commission's Book of Documents  
5 will be filed as Commission Exhibit Hr-6.

6 EXHIBIT NO. HR-6: Document  
7 entitled "Olympic Medals per  
8 Capita (per country)" downloaded  
9 on September 21, 2004 from the  
10 stormfront.org website, found at  
11 Tab 6 of the Commission's Book  
12 of Documents

13 MS WARSAME: Again, I will ask you to  
14 go to the second page of HR-6 and the posting of  
15 mathdokter99 and explain in your understanding of  
16 the posting.

17 MR. WARMAN: The posting  
18 mathdokter99 states:

19 "Having lived in the Bahamas for  
20 four years..."

21 That I believe is relevant to the  
22 identification of mathdokter99 as a Mr. Tremaine.

23 MS WARSAME: I will actually refer  
24 you to HR-4, page 3, under "Work History".

25 MR. WARMAN: The second listing on

1 "Work History" indicates that Mr. Tremaine taught at  
2 the College of the Bahamas for a four-year period  
3 between 1993 and 1997.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr. Fromm...?

5 MR. FROMM: Mr. Chairman, if it would  
6 speed up the presentation of the evidence, Mr. Tremaine  
7 does not dispute that he is mathdokter. He has never  
8 disputed it. He is quite content that that résumé is  
9 his and that these are his postings.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: I understood that  
11 from this morning, even from the presentation of the  
12 Commission. Certainly if all these postings are going  
13 to the issue of the identity of who mathdokter99 is, I  
14 guess that has been clearly established and admitted  
15 also by Mr. Tremaine. He does not contest that.

16 MS WARSAME: That's fine with the  
17 Commission. If they concede that mathdokter is Terry  
18 Tremaine, we can move on.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

20 MR. WARMAN: Excuse me, Mr. Chair.

21 Simply as a separate party, I would  
22 simply note that some of the identification posting  
23 such as HR-5, the second page, make references that  
24 I believe are in fact discriminatory and violate  
25 section 13.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. If we  
2 could go through those to that issue.

3 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: I guess the first  
5 issue of whether Mr. Tremaine is the person who posted  
6 the messages under mathdokter99 has been admitted.

7 So if we could address the issue  
8 of those messages which you allege are discriminatory,  
9 it probably would speed up the matter in a certain  
10 way because the issue of identity is certainly not  
11 in dispute.

12 MS WARSAME: Sure. I would like to  
13 at least highlight some of the messages.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh yes, certainly.

15 MS WARSAME: There is a question  
16 identity, there is also --

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: It is your case,  
18 but again, if we are only dealing with issues of trying  
19 to identify who he is, it has been admitted.

20 MS WARSAME: With that we have  
21 no problem.

22 --- Pause

23 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, we can now  
24 move on to Tab 7, and I will ask you again to identify  
25 the document.

1 MR. WARMAN: This is a thread  
2 entitled "Your Awakening?" from the stormfront.org  
3 website that I printed off on the 21st of September  
4 2004 and provided to the Commission.

5 MS WARSAME: Before I ask you a  
6 question, I will ask Ms Bacon to file the document as a  
7 Commission exhibit.

8 THE REGISTRAR: The document entitled  
9 "Your Awakening?" printed September 21, 2004 from the  
10 stormfront.org website found at Tab 7 of the  
11 Commission's Book of Documents will be filed as  
12 Commission Exhibit HR-7.

13 EXHIBIT NO. HR-7: Document  
14 entitled "Your Awakening?"  
15 printed September 21, 2004 from  
16 the stormfront.org website found  
17 at Tab 7 of the Commission's  
18 Book of Documents

19 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like  
20 to refer you to HR-7, the second page, the posting by  
21 mathdokter99.

22 MR. WARMAN: This is a posting dated  
23 the 19th of February 2004. In the second full  
24 paragraph at states:

25 "Firstly, I discovered that

1 blacks are intellectually  
2 inferior to Whites. This was  
3 evident everywhere and in all  
4 walks of life. I do not mean  
5 they were less educated (which  
6 they were), I mean they were  
7 intellectually inferior at a  
8 more fundamental level. In my  
9 job I encountered blacks who  
10 were well educated on paper but  
11 who seemed to lack a certain  
12 intellectual spark which most,  
13 even relatively uneducated,  
14 whites possess. I also came to  
15 see black culture as  
16 fundamentally depraved and  
17 disgusting. It is only fit for  
18 blacks and is certainly not  
19 anything a self-respecting white  
20 person should associate with or  
21 emulate."

22 Skipping over, the next  
23 paragraph states:

24 "I must also give my father some  
25 credit for my awakening. While

1 still a child I overheard talks  
2 among the grown-ups about  
3 various things to do with  
4 politics. I overheard my father  
5 say on one of these occasions  
6 that Hitler was right about the  
7 Jews. That honest expression of  
8 his, certainly not intended for  
9 my ears, stayed with me over the  
10 years and I often wondered why  
11 he felt that way. He has been  
12 deceased for a number of years  
13 now but I would love to ask him  
14 what led him to that conclusion.  
15 Whatever it was, it is now a  
16 conclusion that I share. Hitler  
17 certainly was right about the  
18 Jews."

19 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I will ask  
20 you now to turn to Tab 8.

21 Before we move to Tab 8, if you go  
22 back to HR-7, at the end of the posting my mathdokter99  
23 there is a sentence that says "Nein zu MultiKulti!!"

24 Do you have any understanding of the  
25 meaning of that term?

1                   MR. WARMAN: I did take some German  
2                   in university and the expression "Nein zu MultiKulti"  
3                   would simply be a translation of the English "no to  
4                   multiculturalism" to the best of my knowledge.

5                   MS WARSAME: Thank you.

6                   I will ask you now to turn to Tab 8.

7                   I will ask you to identify the  
8                   document at Tab 8, which is, I believe, a 5-page  
9                   document.

10                  MR. WARMAN: This is a thread  
11                  entitled "The Greatness of Adolf Hitler". It was  
12                  printed off by me on the 11th of April 2005 from the  
13                  stormfront website and provided to the Commission.

14                  MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
15                  to file this as a Commission exhibit.

16                  THE REGISTRAR: The posting entitled  
17                  "The Greatness of Adolf Hitler" posted September 4,  
18                  2005 on the stormfront.org website and printed  
19                  April 11, 2005, found at Tab 8 of the Commission's Book  
20                  of Documents will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-8.

21                  EXHIBIT NO. HR-8: Posting  
22                  entitled "The Greatness of Adolf  
23                  Hitler" posted September 4, 2005  
24                  on the stormfront.org website  
25                  and printed April 11, 2005,

1 found at Tab 8 of the  
2 Commission's Book of Documents

3 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

4 Mr. Warman, before I ask you to  
5 explain your understanding of the posting, there is a  
6 "#1" at the top right-hand beginning of the posting.

7 Can you explain your understanding of  
8 that number?

9 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Just below the  
10 "Display Modes" words there is a "#1" posting. Having  
11 observed the stormfront website for a number of years,  
12 to me that indicates that it was mathdokter99 who had  
13 started this thread, this discussion thread and was the  
14 first poster. So the subsequent postings will be  
15 numbered sequentially 2, 3, 4, and so on.

16 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

17 Underneath the name of the pseudonym  
18 mathdokter99 there is also a sign.

19 Can you explain the meaning, if you  
20 understand the meaning, of that sign?

21 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It relates to what  
22 is contained in the signature of the mathdokter  
23 postings, or at least this one in particular.

24 If you go to page 5 of 10 there is  
25 a box and one of the quotes is from Nietzsche and

1           it says:

2   "Fundamental Principle of  
3   Justice:  Do not make equal what  
4   is unequal!"

5   To my understanding of mathematics  
6           that is the symbol for "not equal to".

7   MS WARSAME:  Thank you.

8   I know the posting by mathdokter in  
9           HR-8 is pretty long.  Is there some part of the posting  
10          you would like to highlight for the Tribunal's benefit?

11    MR. WARMAN:  Yes.  The posting is  
12          essentially a laudatory one towards Adolf Hitler.  The  
13          second page of 10, at the bottom, states:

14    "Hitler stood in opposition to  
15    international Jewry and Marxism.  
16    He regarded Marxism as being the  
17    most recent vehicle to secure  
18    the long term goal of  
19    international Jewry -- the  
20    destruction of independent  
21    nation states.  It represented  
22    the obliteration of all national  
23    and ethnic distinctions and the  
24    formation of a worldwide  
25    mongrelized proletariat without

1 any ethnic identity or loyalty."

2 It then contains a quote from  
3 Mein Kampf, Adolf Hitler's work describing Marxism  
4 as Jewish.

5 Then if you go to sort of the  
6 midsection of the paragraph below that on page 3, it  
7 refers to the deaths of Jews during the Holocaust.  
8 It states:

9 "The exact number may never be  
10 determined but that number would  
11 almost certainly fall short of  
12 the six million figure which has  
13 been part of post-war anti  
14 German propaganda for over sixty  
15 years."

16 It then goes on to describe  
17 Auschwitz, the death camp, as a labour camp. It  
18 contains the spurious claim from the zundelsite website  
19 that the: "Gas chambers were used to delouse  
20 clothing..." and were not in fact I used to gassed Jews  
21 and other enemies of the Nazi state.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr. Fromm...?

23 MR. FROMM: Sir, with respect,  
24 Mr. Warman is not being qualified as a historian. I  
25 don't think he is in a position to testify that the

1 claim on the zundelsite was spurious or not.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I am very  
3 careful with opinion evidence. In this aspect,  
4 certainly Mr. Warman has not been qualified as an  
5 expert witness. I guess there are no expert witnesses  
6 called by either party.

7 So I will deal with opinion evidence  
8 on that basis, that opinions will be left to me to  
9 decide what it should be and I will deal with what is  
10 written and what you are putting into evidence.

11 Now, the question of deciding what  
12 constitutes a violation of section 13, the Tribunal  
13 has, on many occasions, stated that there was no need  
14 for expert witnesses on that.

15 But in this case I will just ask  
16 the witnesses to remain a factual on what they are  
17 bringing forward.

18 MR. WARMAN: If you turn to the next  
19 page, page 4 of 10, approximately halfway down the  
20 first paragraph there it states:

21 "We still do not have objective  
22 evidence that six million Jews  
23 died. The best available  
24 evidence is from the Red Cross  
25 which indicates that slightly

1 over 400,000 Jews died in the  
2 internment in labour camps from  
3 all causes including old age,  
4 ill health (including typhus) as  
5 well as starvation..."

6 Skipping to the next following  
7 paragraph it states:

8 "Hitler was a man and like all  
9 other man was not perfect. He  
10 made mistakes."

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Where are you? I'm  
12 sorry, I'm trying to...

13 MR. WARMAN: It's the third paragraph  
14 down on page 4 of 10.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.  
16 I'm sorry.

17 MR. WARMAN: It states:

18 "We should take from the past  
19 what we can use effectively to  
20 secure the existence of our  
21 people (and a future for White  
22 children) but we do not need to  
23 adhere slavishly to the  
24 25 points of the NSDAP. At the  
25 same time we can promote the

1                   distilled essence of National  
2                   Socialism - preservation of a  
3                   solvent, national, and  
4                   independent economy on the one  
5                   hand, and the assurance of the  
6                   social rights of the workers on  
7                   the other. And, we must always  
8                   remember to stand against the  
9                   common enemy of all humanity,  
10                  International Jewry."

11                  It then closes with the quote towards  
12                  the end from the signature line of mathdoktor99.

13                  MS WARSAME: At page 4 there is a  
14                  link to a different website, including the  
15                  zundelsite.org.

16                  MR. WARMAN: Yes.

17                  MS WARSAME: What is your  
18                  understanding of the zundelsite.org?

19                  MR. WARMAN: My understanding is that  
20                  the Tribunal has already found that the material  
21                  contained on the zundelsite was in fact in violation of  
22                  section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act.

23                  MS WARSAME: Thank you.

24                  I will ask you now to move to Tab 9.

25                  Can you identify the document at

1 Tab 9?

2 MR. WARMAN: This is a thread  
3 entitled "mathdokter99 update" from the stormfront  
4 website printed off by me on the 11th of February 2006  
5 and submitted to the Commission.

6 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
7 to file the document at Tab 9.

8 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
9 thread entitled "mathdokter99 update" posted May 2,  
10 2006 and printed February 11, 2006 found at Tab 9 of  
11 the Commission's Book of Documents will be filed as  
12 Exhibit HR-9.

13 EXHIBIT NO. HR-9:  
14 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
15 "mathdokter99 update" posted  
16 May 2, 2006, and printed  
17 February 11, 2006, found at  
18 Tab 9 of the Commission's Book  
19 of Documents

20 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, before we  
21 start, there is again a "#1" on the top right-hand side  
22 of page 1 of HR-9?

23 MR. WARMAN: Yes. To me that  
24 indicates that it was in fact mathdokter99 who started  
25 this thread.

1 MS WARSAME: Starting with page 1 of  
2 HR-9, can you tell us what caught your attention with  
3 respect to this posting?

4 --- Pause

5 MR. WARMAN: I believe that this  
6 posting went mainly to the question of identity and  
7 that in essence it describes the poster mathdokter99's  
8 perceptions of events that have transpired since the  
9 filing of the Human Rights complaint against him.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: So basically it  
11 goes to the issue of identity? There is no --

12 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

13 --- Pause

14 MS WARSAME: I think I would like  
15 to refer you to page 3 of that posting. If you look at  
16 the third paragraph, the one starting with "One word of  
17 advice":

18 "One word of advice from one who  
19 has been through the mill and  
20 broke under the pressure..."

21 MR. Warman: Yes.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: You are on, I'm  
23 sorry, page 3?

24 MS WARSAME: Yes, page 3 of HR-9,  
25 paragraph 3.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

2 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It contains  
3 the perspective that one should not apologize for  
4 things such as the posting that the previous apology  
5 had been issued for.

6 It states:

7 "It gives our enemy comfort and  
8 a sense of victory and will not  
9 do you any good ever."

10 --- Pause

11 MS WARSAME: And again, the fourth  
12 paragraph of the same page, page 3 of 9 of HR-9.

13 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

14 MS WARSAME: Can you tell us your  
15 understanding, too, of the paragraph 4, almost at the  
16 bottom of paragraph 4?

17 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It states that  
18 mathdokter99 is careful with his counsellor, never  
19 telling them "the full dose of WN" -- my understanding  
20 of that is White Nationalist:

21 "...beliefs but I allude to  
22 certain salient facts without  
23 exposing myself to further  
24 action by our politicized  
25 judicial system."

1 MS WARSAME: I will ask you now to  
2 turn to Tab -- before we move on to Tab 10, can you  
3 please go back to HR-9, the first page?

4 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

5 MS WARSAME: There is a date on top  
6 of "mathdokter99".

7 MR. WARMAN: Yes. The date of the  
8 posting was a February 5, 2006.

9 MS WARSAME: All right.

10 Can we now move to Tab 10?

11 MR. WARMAN: Sorry. With regard to  
12 Tab HR-9, I should just remark that part of the  
13 material that begins on page 1 of 9 and continues over  
14 to the top of page 2 of 9, refers to a letter that was  
15 received -- or a meeting that was held between  
16 mathdokter99 and administrative staff, the legal  
17 department at the University of Saskatchewan.

18 I set that just as the ground for the  
19 next exhibit which is to follow.

20 MS WARSAME: Now, Tab 10.

21 Can you identify the document at  
22 Tab 10?

23 MR. WARMAN: Yes. This is a response  
24 to a letter that I had written to the University of  
25 Saskatchewan President and the Head of the Mathematics

1 Department where I understood Mr. Tremaine to be  
2 teaching. It is signed by the Vice-Provost of the  
3 University of Saskatchewan and it states:

4 "...that Mr. Terry Tremaine is  
5 not currently associated with  
6 the University of Saskatchewan."

7 It states:

8 "This matter had been  
9 investigated by the Human  
10 Resources Division at the  
11 University of Saskatchewan and I  
12 have been advised that the  
13 position this person held was  
14 terminated on April 30, 2005. I  
15 thank you for your interest and  
16 assistance in this matter."

17 MS WARSAME: I would like to file the  
18 document at Tab 10.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr. Fromm...?

20 MR. FROMM: Mr. Chairman, I have to  
21 object as this seems to be an incomplete document. We  
22 seem to have a black something or other there blacking  
23 out part of the document.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Can you explain  
25 what the blocking out with the black is?

1 I presume it is the address of  
2 Mr. Warman.

3 MR. WARMAN: It is.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Still your  
5 objection?

6 MR. FROMM: Well, my objection is  
7 that it is an incomplete document. Mr. Tremaine's  
8 address and residence are on the public record.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to address  
10 that issue. I know it has been addressed by the Case  
11 Management Member who raised this. I guess  
12 Mr. Tremaine and Mr. Warman raised that, if I'm right,  
13 that the home address of the respondent and that of the  
14 complainant should not appear on the documents.

15 Is that correct? I think that  
16 was raised.

17 MS WARSAME: Yes.

18 MR. WARMAN: That wasn't my  
19 understanding of what was put forward, it was the  
20 accidental release --

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: It was not your  
22 understanding or it was?

23 MR. WARMAN: Not the exact  
24 understanding. It was that the accidental release of  
25 the Tribunal of those two home addresses should be

1 expunged from the record and should not be used to any  
2 nefarious purposes.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, we can do the  
4 same thing here.

5 Since the issue of the identification  
6 of the respondent in this case has been admitted, I see  
7 no reason if both parties don't want their home address  
8 to appear on any documents that they would be there.  
9 So neither Mr. Tremaine's home address or Mr. Warman's  
10 home address would appear on the documents. They will  
11 be expunged from the documents.

12 MR. WARMAN: Mr. Chair, if it is of  
13 any assistance, I don't believe that Mr. Tremaine's  
14 home address is included in any of the documents.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, if it is,  
16 I would want it to be clear you will be able to  
17 raise that.

18 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair --

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: But besides that I  
20 don't think there is any issue of, for what it's worth,  
21 not excepting the document as evidence. We are dealing  
22 here with an issue under section 13 and certainly I am  
23 looking at the evidence under section 13. Whatever  
24 happened and whatever course the University of  
25 Saskatchewan took, unless we have the Vice-Provost here

1 as a witness I guess we won't be going into that.

2 The only thing we have here is a  
3 letter to Mr. Warman indicating that the respondent's  
4 employment has been terminated.

5 MS WARSAME: That is correct.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: For whatever  
7 purposes it is, I will leave it as that.

8 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, just for the  
9 record let me clarify that it wasn't Mr. Warman, but  
10 the Commission who blackened the address of Mr. Warman  
11 in this letter.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I didn't  
13 get that.

14 MS WARSAME: It wasn't Mr. Warman who  
15 removed the address in this letter.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: It wasn't  
17 Mr. Warman who removed the address?

18 MS WARSAME: It was the Commission.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: The Commission,  
20 all right.

21 MS WARSAME: Yes.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm...?

23 MR. FROMM: Well, it's not that we  
24 object to having this as an exhibit, we simply wanted  
25 to pursue whether it is a complete copy. But I guess

1 we will accept that.

2 I would like to at this point,  
3 though, object, to the fact that in Mr. Warman's  
4 disclosure Mr. Tremaine indicates to me he did not  
5 receive a copy of the letter sent by Mr. Warman to the  
6 University of Saskatchewan to which this letter refers.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes...?

8 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, I can assure  
9 you, as you can see on the bottom of the document, you  
10 will see it starts with "SUPP-001" --

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Well,  
12 Mr. Fromm is not referring to this letter. He is  
13 referring to the letter that Mr. Warman sent to  
14 the University which Mr. Germida is referring to in  
15 this letter.

16 That is what you are referring to?

17 MR. FROMM: That's correct, sir.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Certainly if you  
19 are putting this document -- is there any reason why  
20 that document was not disclosed?

21 MR. WARMAN: Yes, because the  
22 issue -- it only went to the question of identity and  
23 in terms of the postings which subsequently said "I was  
24 dismissed from my position".

25 This has only been entered now on

1 the basis -- at least for my perspective, on the basis  
2 that it may -- the circumstances surrounding it may be  
3 subject to cross-examination that Mr. Fromm or  
4 Mr. Tremaine has appeared to raise this morning  
5 or yesterday.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, certainly if  
7 you are putting this document into evidence I can  
8 understand the objection being raised by Mr. Fromm  
9 referring to the letter of April 11, which becomes  
10 arguably relevant. And if this is going to be  
11 disclosed the letter should also be disclosed, unless  
12 there is a privilege or something.

13 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, if I might  
14 add, the reason why the Commission would like to enter  
15 Tab 10, which is the letter from the University, is to  
16 give and explain the context of the posting by  
17 mathdokter at HR-9.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I understand  
19 that clearly.

20 MS WARSAME: Yes. He has explained  
21 that he was advised and he had a meeting with the Legal  
22 Department of the University of Saskatchewan with  
23 respect to the posting he made.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: I understand  
25 clearly what you are saying, Ms Warsame.

1                   The issue is, Mr. Fromm is  
2 asking why wasn't the letter of April the 11th -- is  
3 that the one -- April 11, 2005, why wasn't that letter  
4 disclosed?

5                   This issue becomes arguably --  
6 the threshold for disclosure is not very high, you  
7 know very well, it is a question of if it is  
8 arguably relevant.

9                   Now, if this letter goes in as being  
10 evidence, and the you have raised that it is arguably  
11 relevant, I can't see why the other letter was not  
12 disclosed unless there is a privilege somewhere.

13                  MR. WARMAN: I have no objections  
14 to withdrawing the exhibit since the only purpose of  
15 it was to go to the question of identity and that has  
16 been conceded.

17                  MR. FROMM: We don't agree that this  
18 should be withdrawn.

19                  We would ask that you direct  
20 Mr. Warman to provide us with a copy of the letter of  
21 April 11th to the University of Saskatchewan.

22                  THE CHAIRPERSON: Again, I'm coming  
23 back to that.

24                  It is not an issue of just  
25 withdrawing the document. I have to agree that there

1 is a question of is it arguably relevant.

2 Is there a reason why it should  
3 not be disclosed on a privilege basis? Is there  
4 any reason?

5 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, if I may  
6 answer, the document itself, the letter you highlight  
7 here is not in a position of the Commission.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: No, it is not in  
9 your possession, but I believe it should be in the  
10 position of the complainant.

11 MS WARSAME: That is correct.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: The complainant  
13 also has the obligation to disclose any documents which  
14 might be arguably relevant.

15 Now, I understand it you are  
16 withdrawing this document, but certainly you  
17 disclosed it and you raised the issue that this was  
18 arguably relevant.

19 MS WARSAME: That's correct.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: I am ready to hear  
21 the parties later on during the day on why that  
22 document should not be disclosed at this point. If  
23 there is an issue of privilege, and I can't see what  
24 privilege, the Commission and the complainant raised  
25 this issue by disclosing this letter. Certainly if you

1 disclose the letter of August 4th I fail to see why the  
2 previous letter should not be disclosed.

3 MR. WARMAN: There is no objection.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Pardon?

5 MR. WARMAN: I have no objection.

6 There is no privilege over it.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: No objection to  
8 disclosing the letter?

9 MR. WARMAN: If it can be brought in  
10 at lunch of course.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, after lunch it  
12 will be disclosed to the respondent.

13 MR. WARMAN: I'm sorry, I should make  
14 clear as well that because there was a letter and  
15 copies of printings of postings by a mathdokter99, I  
16 would ask that only the letter itself and that the  
17 postings that it refers to are self-evident.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I haven't  
19 seen the document. Okay, if it refers to postings  
20 that are in evidence, you will be able to explain  
21 that or whatever, but the document will be disclosed  
22 after lunch.

23 Thank you.

24 The other issue, are you withdrawing  
25 this document or leaving it in?

1 MS WARSAME: We are going to leave  
2 it in.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Warman, are you  
4 going to leave it in?

5 MR. WARMAN: That's fine.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON:

7 All right. So it is in evidence.

8 THE REGISTRAR: The letter from Jim  
9 Germida, Vice-Provost, University of Saskatchewan to  
10 Richard Warman, dated August 4, 2005, found at Tab 10  
11 of the Commission's Book of Documents will be filed as  
12 Commission Exhibit HR-10.

13 EXHIBIT NO. HR-10: Letter from  
14 Jim Germida, Vice-Provost,  
15 University of Saskatchewan to  
16 Richard Warman, dated August 4,  
17 2005, found at Tab 10 of the  
18 Commission's Book of Documents

19 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, I haven't  
20 seen the letter that Mr. Warman promised to provide to  
21 the parties, but I wanted just to put it on the record  
22 that if there is an address, the complainant address on  
23 that document, it will obviously be removed.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I have raised  
25 that issue also and I have said that all the addresses

1 of all the parties, following what the case management  
2 had decided at one point, will be expunged.

3 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

4 Mr. Warman, Unless you have anything  
5 else to add to HR-10 I am going to move to Tab 11.

6 Again, can you identify the document  
7 at Tab 11?

8 MR. WARMAN: This is a copy of a  
9 letter that was disclosed to me by the Commission as  
10 having been received by them from Mr. Tremaine in  
11 response to the complaint that had been filed  
12 against him. The letter is dated the 30th of  
13 September 2005 and is a 4-page document.

14 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
15 to file the document at Tab 11.

16 THE REGISTRAR: The handwritten  
17 letter from Terry Tremaine to Ms Kosak and Members of  
18 the Commission, dated September 30, 2005, found a  
19 Tab 11 of the Commission's Book of Documents, will be  
20 filed as Commission Exhibit HR-11.

21 EXHIBIT NO. HR-11: Handwritten  
22 letter from Terry Tremaine to  
23 Ms Kosak and Members of the  
24 Commission, dated September 30,  
25 2005, found a Tab 11 of the

1 Commission's Book of Documents

2 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you go to  
3 the second page of that letter, can you briefly first  
4 explain the context?

5 What is the context, in your  
6 understanding, of that letter?

7 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Mr. Tremaine, when  
8 served with the complaint initially denied any and all  
9 knowledge of Stormfront and the pseudonym mathdokter.  
10 He subsequently submitted this letter stating that in  
11 fact he was posting on stormfront.org, that this was  
12 attributable to mental health issues that he was  
13 undergoing. It states that he:

14 "...became delusional and began  
15 imagining grand conspiracies  
16 behind world events. Part of my  
17 delusional thinking was the  
18 notion of a 'Jewish world  
19 conspiracy'."

20 It states on the second page:

21 "I emphasize that this was  
22 delusional thinking for which I  
23 am profoundly ashamed and guilt  
24 ridden. Words cannot describe  
25 the depth of my shame. I am

1                   tormented by it daily. I  
2                   despise and detest everything I  
3                   wrote on Stormfront and wish I  
4                   had never heard of Stormfront.  
5                   The commission need have no  
6                   concerns about any future  
7                   activity on my part on  
8                   Stormfront or anywhere else."

9                   In the next paragraph it states:

10                   "Prior to Mar 2001, I was a  
11                   normal decent person without any  
12                   racist or intolerant attitudes.  
13                   In 2001 I underwent a  
14                   destructive personality change  
15                   that I can now only describe as  
16                   sick and deranged. That  
17                   eventually led to my posting on  
18                   Stormfront."

19                   He states that:

20                   "In the months since I was  
21                   confronted with the Human Rights  
22                   complaint against me the shame  
23                   and remorse has grown within me  
24                   to the point where it has become  
25                   unbearable and resulted in a

1 further mental breakdown."

2 He continues expressing his remorse  
3 and his hope that he will regain his "true moral and  
4 decent self" and concludes with his:

5 "... profoundest regret, shame,  
6 and remorse to all members of  
7 the Jewish community against  
8 whom many of my posts were  
9 directed."

10 It states:

11 "I truly regret to the utmost  
12 all that I wrote on Stormfront,  
13 especially as it pertains to the  
14 Jewish community."

15 It is then signed by Mr. Tremaine.

16 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

17 I would ask you now to turn to  
18 Tab 12. Again I will ask you to identify the document  
19 at Tab 12.

20 MR. WARMAN: This is a Stormfront  
21 thread titled "A Real Holocaust Coming?" that I  
22 printed off on the 21st of September 2004 and provided  
23 to the Commission.

24 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
25 to file the document at Tab 12.

1 THE REGISTRAR: The thread entitled  
2 "A Real Holocaust Coming?" posted on February 14 on the  
3 stormfront.org website and printed September 21, 2004  
4 found at Tab 12 of the Commission's Book of Documents  
5 will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-12.

6 EXHIBIT NO. HR-12: Thread  
7 entitled "A Real Holocaust  
8 Coming?" posted on February 14  
9 on the stormfront.org website,  
10 printed September 21, 2004,  
11 found at Tab 12 of the  
12 Commission's Book of Documents

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr. Fromm...?

14 MR. FROMM: While we don't object to  
15 the part that is contained here in the tab, I think in  
16 terms of Mr. Tremaine's submissions, we would like to  
17 have the entire thread there. This appears to be items  
18 that are postings 31, 32 and 33 of the thread and in  
19 terms of Mr. Tremaine submissions it would be very  
20 helpful to have the entire thread.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, in your  
22 cross-examination, if you can get hold of those further  
23 threads and if you believe that they are relevant to  
24 putting this into context, we can certainly put them  
25 into evidence by disclosing them to the Commission and

1 to Mr. Warman beforehand. But you could certainly put  
2 that into context if you believe it is relevant to  
3 your case.

4 MR. FROMM: No, it is not other  
5 threads, it is the other postings in this thread.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know how  
7 many postings there might be to that thread.

8 MR. FROMM: There would be at  
9 least -- well, mathdoktor99's is number 33, so there  
10 would be at least 32 previous ones.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm not ready at  
12 this point to order that, but I will be ready to listen  
13 on your cross-examination or on your examination of  
14 your witness on this matter, why it would be relevant  
15 to have all those other threads brought into evidence.  
16 We certainly don't want to have documents that are not  
17 necessarily relevant in evidence, but if they are,  
18 well, you certainly can put them into evidence at that  
19 point, if they put this into context.

20 So I will leave it at this at  
21 this point and hear you on your cross-examination on  
22 that issue.

23 Yes, go ahead.

24 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, just to  
25 assist the Tribunal, I would like to clarify that the

1 complaint filed by Mr. Warman is not with respect to  
2 the website Stormfront, it is with respect to the  
3 posting by Terry Tremaine.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I  
5 understand that.

6 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I will ask  
7 you to go to the second page of HR-12, but before you  
8 explain the posting, your understanding of the posting  
9 by mathdokter, can you explain the context or how you  
10 see the context of this posting?

11 MR. WARMAN: Yes. This is a posting  
12 that was made by mathdokter99 on February 14, 2004 and  
13 it is in the discussion of the Holocaust and whether  
14 there is a real Holocaust coming.

15 Mr. Tremaine states:

16 "The Jew cannot help being a Jew  
17 any more than a rat can help  
18 being a rat. The Jews have been  
19 a parasitic race for 5000 years  
20 and will remain so. No healthy  
21 host population can tolerate a  
22 parasite feeding on it without  
23 eventually rejecting it. Jewish  
24 media propaganda attempts to  
25 undermine aryan resistance to

1 the parasitic practises of the  
2 Jew but it will not succeed.  
3 Europeans have demonstrated a  
4 healthy resistance in the past  
5 and will continue to do so. No  
6 conferences on `anti-semitism'  
7 will help the Jew avoid his  
8 long-overdue fate."

9 In the signature he quotes Adolf  
10 Hitler saying:

11 "Workers of all classes and of  
12 all nations, recognize your  
13 common enemy!  
14 Resist mercilessly the poisoner  
15 of all nations, international  
16 Jewry!"

17 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

18 I will ask you now to turn to Tab 13.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I just  
20 want to make sure. Was that put into evidence?

21 MS WARSAME: Yes. It is HR-12,  
22 Mr. Chair.

23 Mr. Warman, can you identify the  
24 document at Tab 13?

25 MR. WARMAN: This is a stormfront

1 thread in titled "HIV/AIDS and Indians in  
2 Saskatchewan". It was printed off by me on the 21st of  
3 September 2004 and provided to the Commission.

4 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

5 Ms Bacon, I would like to file the  
6 document at Tab 13.

7 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
8 thread entitled "HIV/AIDS and Indians in Saskatchewan",  
9 posted May 2, 2004, printed September 21, 2004, found  
10 at Tab 13 of the Commission's Book of Documents, will  
11 be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-13.

12 EXHIBIT NO. HR-13:

13 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
14 "HIV/AIDS and Indians in  
15 Saskatchewan", posted May 2,  
16 2004, printed September 21,  
17 2004, found at Tab 13 of the  
18 Commission's Book of Documents

19 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, before  
20 we start I see again in the "#1" on the top  
21 right-hand side.

22 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Again, consistent  
23 with my other testimony, that is Mr. Tremaine in fact  
24 started this thread, this discussion.

25 MS WARSAME: Can you tell us what

1 caught your attention with respect to that posting?

2 MR. WARMAN: Yes. The posting begins  
3 with the words:

4 "I was told by a well placed and  
5 reliable source and that  
6 HIV/AIDS is rampant among the  
7 'First Nations' here in Sask.  
8 Their rates are 15 to 20 times  
9 the general population. That  
10 comes as no surprise."

11 It then continues at the bottom:

12 "Now, I don't really care if  
13 AIDS wipes out the whole lot of  
14 them. It would make our job  
15 easier. But I do care that such  
16 a publicity campaign might have  
17 warned Whites about having sex  
18 with natives."

19 --- Pause

20 MS WARSAME: Can you now turn to  
21 Tab 14?

22 Can you identify the document at  
23 Tab 14?

24 MR. WARMAN: This is a Stormfront  
25 thread entitled "The Protocols of Zion: Real or

1           Fraudulent?" printed off by me on the 21st of September  
2           2004 and provided to the Commission.

3                           MS WARSAME: Thank you.

4                           I would like to file the document  
5           at Tab 14.

6                           THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
7           thread entitled "The Protocols of Zion: Real or  
8           Fraudulent?" posted May 2, 2004, printed September 21,  
9           2004, found at Tab 14 of the Commission's Book of  
10          Documents, will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-14.

11                           EXHIBIT NO. HR-14:

12                           Stormfront.org thread entitled  
13           "The Protocols of Zion: Real or  
14           Fraudulent?" posted May 2, 2004,  
15           printed September 21, 2004,  
16           found at Tab 14 of the  
17           Commission's Book of Documents

18                           MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I will ask  
19          you to go to page 3 of HR-14.

20                           Before you go into detail about the  
21          posting, can you explain the context or your  
22          understanding of "The Protocols of Zion"?

23                           MR. WARMAN: It is discussing the  
24          "Protocols of the Elders of Zion". I won't talk about  
25          my understanding of them, but it is simply a discussion

1 of that piece, that work.

2 It then continues on to what I  
3 believe is -- there is a post by Mr. Tremaine on  
4 page 3 of 9, but I believe the more relevant posting is  
5 on page 7 of 9.

6 It is a posting dated the 4th of  
7 May 2004 in its states:

8 "When I look at photos of Ariel  
9 Sharon I feel like I am looking  
10 at the very face of pure evil.  
11 It makes me think that perhaps  
12 the Protocols give too rosey a  
13 picture of what the juden have  
14 planned for the world. The  
15 truth may be much worse. It is  
16 time for the world to ACT  
17 against these hideous  
18 parasites!"

19 Simply from my personal knowledge,  
20 the term "Juden" is a slur for the Jewish community.

21 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

22 Unless you have anything else to add  
23 to HR-14, we are going to move to Tab 15.

24 MR. WARMAN: No, thank you.

25 MS WARSAME: I will ask you to

1 identify the document at Tab 15.

2 MR. WARMAN: This is a Stormfront  
3 thread entitled "A view from the inside" printed off  
4 by me on the 21st of September 2004 and given to  
5 the Commission.

6 MS WARSAME: Thank you. I would like  
7 to file the document, Tab 15.

8 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
9 thread entitled "A view from the inside (for all  
10 anti's), posted February 17, 2004, printed  
11 September 21, 2004, found at Tab 15 of the Commission's  
12 Book of Documents, will be filed as Commission  
13 Exhibit HR-15.

14 EXHIBIT NO. HR-15:  
15 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
16 "A view from the inside (for all  
17 anti's), posted February 17,  
18 2004, printed September 21,  
19 2004, found at Tab 15 of the  
20 Commission's Book of Documents

21 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you go to  
22 the first page what can you tell us about the posting  
23 by mathdokter99?

24 MR. WARMAN: It is a posting dated  
25 the 17th of February 2004 and its states:

1 "The Jews have a long history of  
2 reliance on host populations  
3 where their survival depended on  
4 word weaving, crafty financial  
5 dealings, and a total lack of  
6 ethics toward non-Jews. They  
7 have been a parasitic race for  
8 5000 years and their parasitism  
9 is bred in the genes. They can  
10 only behave like Jews because  
11 that is their nature. Their  
12 religion is an expression of  
13 that parasitism."

14 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

15 Can you now move to Tab 16 and  
16 identify the document?

17 MR. WARMAN: It is a stormfront  
18 thread entitled "Politicki Testament - A. Hitler",  
19 printed off by me on September 21, 2004 and provided to  
20 the Commission.

21 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
22 to file the document at Tab 16.

23 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
24 thread entitled "Politicki Testament - A. Hitler"  
25 posted March 22, 2004, printed September 21, 2004,

1 found at Tab 16 of the Commission's Book of Documents  
2 will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-16.

3 EXHIBIT NO. HR-16:  
4 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
5 "Politicki Testament -  
6 A. Hitler" posted March 22,  
7 2004, printed September 21,  
8 2004, found at Tab 16 of the  
9 Commission's Book of Documents

10 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you look  
11 at the third page of HR-16, what can you tell us about  
12 the posting by mathdoktor99?

13 Start at the bottom of page 3.

14 MR. WARMAN: The original post  
15 indicates that it was written by Adolf Hitler, and then  
16 in responding to that on the 23rd of March 2004  
17 Mr. Tremaine states -- essentially he reposts part of  
18 the original posting that states:

19 "Nor have I ever wished that,  
20 after the appalling First World  
21 War, there would ever be a  
22 second against either England or  
23 America. Centuries will go by,  
24 but from the ruins of our towns  
25 and monuments the hatred of

1                   those ultimately responsible  
2                   will always grow anew against  
3                   the people whom we have to thank  
4                   for all this: international  
5                   Jewry and its henchmen."

6                   The next sentence is a statement  
7                   posted by Mr. Tremaine. It states:

8                   "The hatred for that race of  
9                   parasitic vermin is growing  
10                  everywhere."

11                 --- Pause

12                  MS WARSAME: After that there is  
13                  another posting. In the same posting there is another  
14                  sentence by Mr. Tremaine that starts with "But instead  
15                  of Germany alone..."

16                  MR. WARMAN: Yes.

17                  MS WARSAME: What can you tell us  
18                  about that?

19                  MR. WARMAN: It is referring to the  
20                  previous quote just above it that:

21                  "...the glorious rebirth of the  
22                  National Socialist movement in a  
23                  truly united nation."

24                  will be not just Germany but:

25                  "...the entire Aryan world

1 including Europe (from Ireland  
2 to the Urals), North America,  
3 Australia, New Zealand,  
4 Argentina, South Africa  
5 (reconquered), and Rhodesia  
6 (reconquered)."

7 MS WARSAME: What is your  
8 understanding of that posting?

9 MR. WARMAN: I believe it speaks for  
10 itself, simply that the National Socialist movement  
11 will one day occupy all of that territory.

12 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

13 I will ask you now to move to Tab 17.  
14 Again I will ask you to identify a  
15 13-page document at Tab 17.

16 MR. WARMAN: This is a Stormfront  
17 thread entitled "questions of from a black man", printed  
18 off by me on the 21st of September 2004 and given to  
19 the Commission.

20 MS WARSAME: I would like to file the  
21 document at Tab 17.

22 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
23 thread entitled "questions from a black man", posted  
24 July 5, 2004, printed September 21, 2004, found at  
25 Tab 17 of the Commission's Book of Documents will be

1 filed as Commission Exhibit HR-17.

2 EXHIBIT NO. HR-17:

3 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
4 "questions from a black man",  
5 posted July 5, 2004, printed  
6 September 21, 2004, found at  
7 Tab 17 of the Commission's Book  
8 of Documents

9 MS WARSAME: Can you go to page 7 of  
10 HR-17, the posting by mathdokter99?

11 MR. WARMAN: Yes. This is a posting  
12 dated the 5th of July 2004. Mr. Tremaine indicates:

13 "Speaking for myself only I  
14 expect this white nation to  
15 comprise most of what is now  
16 Canada with most of what is now  
17 the US. Non-white immigration  
18 would be banned. Non-whites  
19 living within our borders would  
20 be encouraged to leave or submit  
21 to voluntary sterilization.  
22 Under such a plan our nation  
23 would be 100% White within one  
24 generation\*. It could be  
25 accomplished without significant

1 bloodshed if non-whites were  
2 willing to co-operate in the  
3 endeavour. Blacks could be  
4 repatriated to Africa. The  
5 foreign aid now being doled out  
6 to Israel would instead go  
7 towards this repatriation plan.  
8 Educated Blacks from America  
9 could..."

10 There is a hole there where the word is:

11 "... Africa get back on its feet  
12 (if that is possible). Asians  
13 would be sent back to their  
14 country of origin. If that were  
15 impossible then the  
16 sterilization plan would kick  
17 in. In no case would a Jew be  
18 allowed to remain on our  
19 territory. They would be  
20 allowed to leave peacefully with  
21 whatever goods they could put in  
22 a suitcase."

23 --- Pause

24 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

25 I would ask you now to turn to Tab 18

1 and identify the 4-page document at Tab 18.

2 MR. WARMAN: This is a thread  
3 entitled "My feelings on Jews" from the stormfront  
4 website given to the Commission by me after being  
5 printed on the 21st of September 2004.

6 MS WARSAME: I would like to file the  
7 document. It is a 4-page document at Tab 18.

8 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
9 thread entitled "My feelings on Jews" posted  
10 September 11, 2004, printed on September 21, 2004,  
11 found at Tab 18 of the Commission's Book of Documents  
12 will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-18.

13 EXHIBIT NO. HR-18:  
14 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
15 "My feelings on Jews" posted  
16 September 11, 2004, printed on  
17 September 21, 2004, found at  
18 Tab 18 of the Commission's Book  
19 of Documents

20 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would  
21 like to refer you to page 3 of HR-18, a posting  
22 by mathdokter99.

23 MR. WARMAN: In responding to the  
24 post "My feelings on Jews" Mr. Tremaine states:

25 "1) You did not mention their

1 compulsive deceitfulness.  
2 Hitler called them the Masters  
3 of the Lie and he was right on  
4 that.  
5 2) You did not mention their  
6 parasitic nature. They despise  
7 real work and live only to get  
8 money and do not really care  
9 how.  
10 3) You did not mention that they  
11 gravitate towards all that is  
12 disgusting and depraved. You  
13 can see this from their art.  
14 4) You did not mention their  
15 involvement in organized crime,  
16 drugs, and white slavery.  
17 5) You did not mention their  
18 subversion of all our  
19 institutions (mass media,  
20 academic, financial, political,  
21 judicial, law enforcement) and  
22 their subsequent redirection  
23 towards Jewish goals.  
24 6) You did not mention the  
25 cancer of Zionism.

1 Etc. Etc. ..."

2 MS WARSAME: I will ask you now to  
3 turn to Tab 19 and again I will ask you to identify the  
4 document. It is a 2-page document at Tab 19.

5 MR. WARMAN: It is a stormfront  
6 thread entitled "Did you know that one million Germans  
7 were murdered..." printed off on the 21st of September  
8 2004 and given to the Commission.

9 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
10 to file the document at Tab 19.

11 THE REGISTRAR: Stormfront.org thread  
12 entitled "Did you know that one million Germans were  
13 murdered...after the war", posted September 12, 2004,  
14 printed September 21, 2004, found at Tab 19 of the  
15 Commission's Book of Documents will be filed as  
16 Commission Exhibit HR-19.

17 EXHIBIT NO. HR-19:  
18 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
19 "Did you know that one million  
20 Germans were murdered...after  
21 the war", posted September 12,  
22 2004, printed September 21,  
23 2004, found at Tab 19 of the  
24 Commission's Book of Documents

25 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you

1 tell us about the posting by mathdokter99 and HR-19?

2 MR. WARMAN: It is a posting by  
3 Mr. Tremaine on the 12th of September 2004. It states"

4 "The more a person researches  
5 the events surrounding WWII  
6 (before, during, and after) the  
7 more one is led to the  
8 conclusion that the real..."

9 Bolded:

10 "...holocaust was against the  
11 German people and instigated by  
12 the Jews. The holohoax story  
13 attempts to invert that and  
14 portray the juden as victims of  
15 the `evil Nazis'. As time  
16 passes we are learning more and  
17 more the real truth."

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you have any  
19 more questions on that document?

20 MS WARSAME: No.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: We could take the  
22 dinner break at this point and be back for 1:30.

23 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

24 --- Upon recessing at 12:05 p.m.

25 --- Upon resuming at 1:30 p.m.

1 THE REGISTRAR: Order, please. All  
2 rise, please.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon.  
4 Please be seated.

5 We will be resuming the examination  
6 of Mr. Warman.

7 Will Mr. Fromm be -- yes, he is here.

8 MR. FROMM: Excuse me, sir. Before  
9 we continue with Mr. Warman's examination in chief  
10 there is a very serious matter I would like to raise  
11 for your attention.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Certainly.

13 MR. FROMM: I think it is a trite  
14 understanding that there is to equality before the  
15 law and in these proceedings all parties stand  
16 before you as equal. Mr. Warman brought a complaint  
17 before you, the Human Rights Commission prosecutes it.  
18 Mr. Tremaine is the respondent and responds to it  
19 and it is my role to assist him in the defence, but  
20 we appear before you on a level playing field, all  
21 as equal.

22 As I understand it as well, the Human  
23 Rights Act, under which these proceedings are governed,  
24 mandate -- outlaws discrimination on the number of  
25 grounds in certain areas, one of those grounds being

1           mental incapacity or mental illness.

2                                 Now, in the exhibits before you, you  
3           have Mr. Tremaine's letter that was posted on  
4           Stormfront where he indicates that he had been through  
5           a period of personal problems after he lost his job and  
6           was in a psychiatric institution. That would be a  
7           Tab 11. You learned about this this morning  
8           presumably.

9                                 I would also imagine, at least we  
10          can hope you didn't know a good deal about any of  
11          the key parties in this action, Mr. Warman, or  
12          certainly Mr. Tremaine. So we have all appeared before  
13          you as equals.

14                                I am not, sir, suggesting that you  
15          have been unfair, but what I am suggesting is that the  
16          optics of what has been going on in this room -- and  
17          you, sir, have nothing to do with it -- are most unfair  
18          and prejudicial to Mr. Tremaine.

19                                I am a veteran of the number of Human  
20          Rights Tribunals, probably the most controversial was  
21          the Zundel case. In that there was security, but this  
22          was security provided by security companies, they  
23          wanded people at the doors. Zundel I think all would  
24          agree was a very well-known and controversial  
25          personality and at times even wore a bulletproof vest.

1                   That was the level of security there  
2                   and that was the level of security at most other Human  
3                   Rights Tribunals. Usually there are several gentlemen  
4                   at the door, or ladies, who wand you down and make sure  
5                   nobody comes to hearings with a weapon. I think that  
6                   is understandable. Perhaps the one exception was the  
7                   Micka case and I don't think, if memory serves, there  
8                   was any security at all.

9                   This has been very different.  
10                  Yesterday morning I noticed and armed officer from the  
11                  Ottawa police on the door. That is the first time I  
12                  have ever seen people with guns. There seemed to be  
13                  some sort of private security at the door and again I  
14                  have no problem with that. The courts ought to be --  
15                  or these hearings ought to be free of people with  
16                  weapons or dangerous objects.

17                  But yesterday and again today we have  
18                  people in the audience with little earpieces, and so  
19                  on, and what happens is it before and after the  
20                  hearings these gentlemen surround Mr. Warman and the  
21                  two lawyers from the Canadian Human Rights Commission.

22                  I have not been able to learn who  
23                  they were. I did ask staff yesterday and I was  
24                  informed and they were assistants. Well, maybe I --

25                  THE CHAIRPERSON: Did the Tribunal

1 staff say that?

2 MR. FROMM: Yes.

3 Maybe I'm from Missouri and I take a  
4 sceptical attitude, I don't know who they are, sir.  
5 But this is the optic, sir.

6 You are only human and I presume you  
7 don't know either of the parties here, but what do you  
8 see when you look out? Sir, you see Mr. Warman, the  
9 two lawyers from the Human Rights Commission,  
10 surrounded by security people. You see Mr. Tremaine  
11 and myself obviously not surrounded by security people.

12 The optics, sir, are that they need  
13 protection. From whom, a couple of people in the  
14 audience? Well, presumably not. Either from me or for  
15 Mr. Tremaine. Mr. Tremaine, regrettably, is under the  
16 stigma of having been through psychiatric care.

17 The record on this case is that I  
18 would like to think Mr. Tremaine has behaved as a  
19 gentleman and responsibly. I think you have had a  
20 chance to look through the record. The correspondence  
21 I suppose what spirited, but I think he has behaved  
22 honourably, respectfully, and as a gentleman. I think  
23 you probably would agree that our conduct here, I hope,  
24 has been respectable.

25 Yesterday harsh things were said in

1 the motion, but I don't think there were any  
2 disruptions from our side or outbursts. Mr. Tremaine  
3 certainly I think behaved himself as you would expect  
4 and I think as you directed. You didn't want  
5 disruptions or riotous behaviour --

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: From any party.

7 MR. FROMM: From anybody. And I  
8 would like to think certainly from this side we have  
9 lived up to your expectations.

10 However, sir, you are only human and  
11 you look out into the audience and you see one side and  
12 needing protection presumably. From whom, a math  
13 instructor and a baseball cap?

14 Being only human you are in a  
15 position where the message being sent to you is this is  
16 a dangerous character. With great respect, I think  
17 these proceedings have been poisoned.

18 I'm not suggesting you, sir, have  
19 been in any way prejudicial and I don't suspect you  
20 even control this. This may well be beyond your  
21 control, but the optics are horrible. No longer are we  
22 on a level playing field with a complainant, a  
23 respondent and prosecutors. We have the good guys  
24 surrounded by security, presumably because the bad guys  
25 threatened them.

1                   That takes away Mr. Tremaine's right  
2                   to presumption of innocence and to equality before the  
3                   law. I suggest you, sir, that these proceedings have  
4                   been poisoned.

5                   I don't have a problem with security  
6                   outside the door where everybody is equal. This side,  
7                   that side, audience, all have to come in and get  
8                   wanded. Everybody is on a level playing field. But  
9                   the optics here before you are of people who need  
10                  protection and, well, presumably, a dangerous sort.

11                  I suggest now that the issue of  
12                  mental illness has been raised we are into a situation  
13                  of a discriminatory practice. Mr. Tremaine has been  
14                  stigmatized, I presume because he had a bout of mental  
15                  illness, sir, and certainly not because of any  
16                  behaviour in his written or oral correspondence with  
17                  the Commissions or of his behaviour today.

18                  If he had been riotous and tried to  
19                  rush the front or brawled with Mr. Warman or something  
20                  like that I could certainly understand the reason for  
21                  security inside of the hearing here, but there has been  
22                  nothing like that. I suggest, sir, that the atmosphere  
23                  is poisoned.

24                  THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for  
25                  bringing that up.

1                   I will be honest with you, the  
2 security provided by the Tribunal is limited to the  
3 security guards at the door. That is for the benefit  
4 of everybody.

5                   Madam Bacon will instruct me if I am  
6 saying something wrong, but I was informed for the  
7 Tribunal itself it is a security at the door, which is  
8 a common practice in a lot of the Tribunal's hearings,  
9 and somebody from the police department outside the  
10 door, on the outside. I haven't even seen him except  
11 for yesterday morning when I arrived here -- no, I  
12 guess on Tuesday morning I saw the officer from the  
13 Ottawa Police Department, which is outside the door, I  
14 believe, if I am informed right. He is outside the  
15 door. So there is security for the Tribunal, for all  
16 parties inside here.

17                   Now, the issue of the other security  
18 personnel here, that is not the Tribunal's doing or  
19 they Tribunal who has asked for that. I believe it is  
20 the -- I'm not sure who they are.

21                   You were saying that when I leave  
22 here what I see -- when I leave here I don't see  
23 anything, because when I leave here only the counsel  
24 and yourself are standing up. What you have just  
25 alluded to is the first thing that I have heard

1 about that.

2 Now, I'm not sure, Mr. Warman and  
3 the Commission --

4 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, let me first  
5 express the difficulties I have to understand the  
6 different concerns raised by the respondent.

7 There was concern with respect to  
8 mental illness. I don't know if --

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: With respect  
10 to what?

11 MS WARSAME: The concern with respect  
12 to mental illness.

13 So I don't know if the respondent --

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, that is  
15 another issue all together. I don't think that has  
16 been put in as a fact in itself. There is a letter in  
17 which Mr. Tremaine himself might allude to something  
18 like that. Again, I haven't read the evidence, I don't  
19 know what the evidence is.

20 MS WARSAME: Exactly. That is the  
21 reason why I would like, just for the record, to  
22 clarify that that issue was never put forward so I  
23 don't even know if now the respondent decided to put  
24 that forward as an argument.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure

1           that it is relevant or I don't know what the  
2           respondents would want to do with that, but I  
3           haven't read anything yet on that issue.

4                         But that is not the issue, I believe,  
5           right now. I'm not sure that that is important.

6                         MS WARSAME: No, but there was a  
7           different element, so that is the reason why I  
8           would like to --

9                         THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Sure.

10                        MS WARSAME: -- just for the record,  
11           clarify that that is not an issue that we need to deal  
12           with now, unless the respondent would like to raise yet  
13           in the future.

14                        THE CHAIRPERSON: There is no expert  
15           evidence on that either.

16                        MS WARSAME: Yes.

17                        Mr. Chair, as you know, the matter of  
18           security is not the matter before you and I don't know  
19           what security he is referring to, Mr. Fromm. The  
20           matter before you is the complaint that was put forward  
21           and referred by the Commission --

22                        THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I  
23           understand that.

24                        MS WARSAME: -- to the Tribunal.

25                        The fact, for example, of whatever

1 happens in the Tribunal room after you leave, I don't  
2 know how that is relevant or will have any impact on  
3 the decision you are going to make at the end of the  
4 day. So unless the respondent puts forward some  
5 submissions and later on we will be able to respond to  
6 that but now it is very difficult for us to respond to  
7 just some concern raised by the respondent.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: The only thing I  
9 can answer to that issue is that it is not -- the  
10 Tribunal's security personnel are at the door and  
11 outside. Any other security matter that has been done  
12 by the parties I have no control over, except to tell  
13 the parties that certainly if at one point somebody  
14 feels intimidated by the security and a motion is put  
15 forward or evidence is brought before me that there and  
16 intimidating factor, I will have to deal with that  
17 inside the hearing room.

18 MS WARSAME: I understand, but I  
19 don't believe that was the argument put forward by the  
20 respondent. Then again, if the respondent at the end  
21 of the day feels that is the case we will be able to  
22 respond to any motion.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, respond to  
24 any motion or come to an agreement between you on  
25 whatever measures have been taken by the Commission and

1 Mr. Warman. I'm not privy to those arrangements so I'm  
2 not sure what they are referring to.

3 But at this point what I'm saying is  
4 that if anybody feels at one point intimidated by  
5 reason that there is security offered by the Tribunal  
6 inside the hearing room, certainly then -- it is a  
7 public hearing also and as a Tribunal I cannot exclude  
8 anybody from a public hearing.

9 But again, I do not want to be in a  
10 situation where somebody would feel intimidated, but I  
11 haven't seen anything at this point from the bench,  
12 from here. I haven't seen anything that would point to  
13 that situation.

14 MS WARSAME: It is my understanding  
15 that is not the argument put forward by the respondent.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Again, if there is  
17 an issue raised on that -- and the issue of mental  
18 illness, I'm not sure that is before me here at the  
19 Tribunal, unless the respondent decides to make it an  
20 issue, but I don't know what your position will be.

21 MR. FROMM: Well, the matter of  
22 mental illness was in fact put before you by the  
23 Commission in HR-9. This was a lengthy posting by  
24 Mr. Tremaine on the internet explaining what had  
25 happened to him, his being fired from the University

1 of Saskatchewan after Mr. Warman's complaint there,  
2 his life spiralling out of control, poverty,  
3 drinking and ending up in a psychiatric institution.  
4 We are trying to find some explanation for the  
5 extraordinary security.

6 Again, I understand the Tribunal lays  
7 on the security at the door and I don't have a problem  
8 with that because everybody is treated equally. The  
9 problem is the security here in the room and the  
10 security that accompanies Mr. Warman and the two  
11 lawyers from the Human Rights Commission, that gathers  
12 around them and accompanies them out of the building.

13 It is discriminatory against  
14 Mr. Tremaine. I guess we can only speculate as to why  
15 that is, but I don't think there is any behaviour in  
16 front of you, either prior to this Tribunal or during  
17 the conduct of the Tribunal, that would justify this.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: No. The conduct of  
19 all the parties up to now has been excellent. I have  
20 had no problems with it.

21 MR. FROMM: I suggest to you, sir,  
22 that it poisons the environment. It suggests visually  
23 to you, sir, good guys and bad guys. That prejudices  
24 Mr. Tremaine's right to equality before this Tribunal.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: I can assure you

1           that it doesn't portray that because I haven't seen it,  
2           except for you describing what you are describing now.

3                               What that Commission -- what the  
4           lawyers and Mr. Warman do outside of the Tribunal, and  
5           what you do yourself, and Mr. Tremaine, is not part of  
6           what I have to do here today.

7                               What you have just described, I will  
8           be totally frank with you, I haven't seen that and I  
9           haven't noticed it and it is not important to me what  
10          has happened there. What is important is what is going  
11          on inside here, in the Tribunal. If inside the  
12          Tribunal at one point I feel, or anybody feels, that  
13          there is a situation which might be intimidating, I  
14          will address the issue then, inside the hearing room.  
15          What happens on the street outside, unfortunately I  
16          don't have any --

17                              MR. FROMM: Well, we are not talking  
18          about what happens on the street, we are talking about  
19          the optics right here in the hearing room.

20                              THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I will be  
21          honest with you, I haven't seen anything in the hearing  
22          room except what you have described to me just a few  
23          minutes ago. When I watch --

24                              MR. FROMM: Perhaps I will revisit  
25          the issue later.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Pardon?

2 MR. FROMM: I may revisit the  
3 issue later.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, certainly if  
5 you want to revisit the issue and bring up more  
6 information on that it is free to you to do so. But I  
7 can tell you, the only thing I see when I walk out of  
8 here is lawyers and yourself and Mr. Warman standing up  
9 and I don't see anything else.

10 What I see in the background are  
11 people that I don't know and I don't know what they are  
12 doing here are why they are here. Certainly it is a  
13 public hearing and I can't ask anybody to leave.

14 But if there is interference with any  
15 of the parties, or if there are any intimidating  
16 situations over which I would have any control inside  
17 the hearing room, certainly I would like to hear about  
18 it and I will deal with the matter at that point.

19 But for the security of the Tribunal  
20 at the door, that I take full responsibility and if  
21 there is any problem there also for the parties, please  
22 do raise that with me and I will see what I can do.

23 Is that all, Mr. Fromm, at  
24 this point?

25 MR. FROMM: Yes.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Thank you.

2 So we are going to continue with the  
3 examination of the witness.

4 MS WARSAME: That is correct,  
5 Mr. Chair.

6 Mr. Warman, before we start, can you  
7 advise the Tribunal if you provided the letter  
8 requested by the respondent?

9 MR. WARMAN: I did, yes.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, what  
11 was that?

12 MS WARSAME: He provided to the  
13 respondent the letter requested.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: He provided to the  
15 respondent the letter requested.

16 MS WARSAME: Yes.

17 MR. WARMAN: I did.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you,  
19 Mr. Warman.

20 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I will ask  
21 you now to turn to Tab 20.

22 There is a 3-page document at Tab 20.  
23 Can you please identify it for the record?

24 MR. WARMAN: This is a Stormfront  
25 thread entitled "The `Sacred' Parasite", printed

1 off by me on the 21st of September 2004 and given to  
2 the Commission.

3 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
4 to file the 3-page document at Tab 20.

5 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
6 thread entitled "The `Sacred' Parasite", posted  
7 September 18, 2004, printed September 21, 2004, found  
8 in the Commission's Book of Documents at Tab 20 will be  
9 filed as Commission Exhibit HR-20.

10 EXHIBIT NO. HR-20:  
11 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
12 "The `Sacred' Parasite", posted  
13 September 18, 2004, printed  
14 September 21, 2004, found at  
15 Tab 20 in the Commission's Book  
16 of Documents

17 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you  
18 tell us about HR-20 and the posting by mathdokter99?

19 MR. WARMAN: This is a posting by  
20 Mr. Tremaine on the 18th of September 2004.  
21 Mr. Tremaine initiates the thread using the title "The  
22 `Sacred Parasite'", and he states:

23 "Throughout the Hebrew Bible  
24 (Old Testament) we see proof  
25 that the Jew is a parasite and

1 the Hebrew Bible was written to  
2 defend and reinforce that  
3 parasitism."

4 He then indicates what he states are  
5 quotes from the Old Testament.

6 At the bottom of the page he  
7 continues his posting, stating:

8 "This latter passage shows that  
9 the Jew sees value in a host  
10 nation only in whether it is  
11 favorable to their parasitism.  
12 Unfortunately, the opposite is  
13 true of the last clause. As  
14 Julius Striecher always  
15 reminded his readers in the  
16 *Stürmer*: 'The Jews are our  
17 Misfortune!'. All those  
18 nations who have 'blessed' the  
19 Jews and have ended in ruin or  
20 near ruin, unless they expelled  
21 the Jews first."

22 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, in your  
23 testimony you stated that the thread was initiated by  
24 the respondent, Terry Tremaine. Is it because you were  
25 referring to the "#1" that is just next to the thread

1 on the right-hand side?

2 MR. WARMAN: It is, yes.

3 MS WARSAME: I will ask you to turn  
4 to Tab 21 now.

5 Again there is a 3-page document. I  
6 will ask you to identify the document.

7 MR. WARMAN: This is a thread  
8 from Stormfront entitled "Hanadi Jaradat" printed  
9 off by me on the 21st of September 2004 and given to  
10 the Commission.

11 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
12 to file the document.

13 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
14 thread entitled "Hanadi Jaradat" posted September 18,  
15 2004, printed September 21, 2004 found at Tab 21 of the  
16 Commission's Book of Documents will be filed as  
17 Commission Exhibit HR-21.

18 EXHIBIT NO. HR-21:  
19 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
20 "Hanadi Jaradat" posted  
21 September 18, 2004, printed  
22 September 21, 2004 found at Tab  
23 21 of the Commission's Book of  
24 Documents

25 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what

1           can you tell us about the only posting in this  
2           document which is made by Terry Tremaine under the  
3           pseudonym mathdoktor99?

4                       MR. WARMAN: This is posting by  
5           Mr. Tremaine on the 18th of September 2004. As you can  
6           see from the "#1" directly across from his pseudonym,  
7           he initiated this thread. He states that this person:

8                               "...was a Palestinian woman who  
9                               blew herself up at the Maxim  
10                              restaurant in Haifa, Israel on  
11                              October 4, 2003."

12                             He indicates that:

13                                "She was ... a member of is  
14                                Islamic Jihad. She managed to  
15                                destroy the restaurant and kill  
16                                21 people, including both Arabs  
17                                and Jews, and injuring  
18                                51 others."

19                             The second paragraph  
20           continues, saying:

21                                "Her country is occupied by the  
22                                same parasitic race that  
23                                controls our formerly White  
24                                countries. As Dr. Pierce used  
25                                to say: `SHE HAD HAD ENOUGH!!!'.

1                   So, she decided to do something  
2                   about it."

3                   He states in the third paragraph:

4                   "Nor do I suggest we all strap  
5                   bombs to ourselves and start  
6                   blowing up Jews. My only  
7                   intention is to draw attention  
8                   to her courage and  
9                   self-sacrifice (literally) and  
10                  hope for the day when we have  
11                  even a thousand WNs..."

12                  Meaning White Nationalists:

13                  "... equally dedicated to  
14                  destroying our enemy."

15                  MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you go  
16                  to the same posting, the third paragraph, there is a  
17                  term "ZOG".

18                  Do you know the meaning of that term?

19                  MR. WARMAN: It is my understanding  
20                  that it stands for "Zionist Occupational Government".  
21                  I say that simply from having observed the websites of  
22                  this nature for the past 15 or 20 years -- sorry, the  
23                  movement that uses this term in websites for the  
24                  duration that the internet has been in existence.

25                  MS WARSAME: I will ask you to move

1 on to Tab 22.

2 Can you identify the 7-page document  
3 at Tab 22?

4 MR. WARMAN: This is a Stormfront  
5 website thread entitled "Response by State Department  
6 to Lantos's antisemitism bill", printed off by me on  
7 the 21st of September 2004 and given to the Commission.

8 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
9 to file the document at Tab 22.

10 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
11 thread entitled "Response by State Department to  
12 Lantos's antisemitism bill", posted August 10, 2004,  
13 printed September 21, 2004, found at Tab 22 of the  
14 Commission's Book of Documents, will be filed as  
15 Commission Exhibit HR-22.

16 EXHIBIT NO. HR-22:  
17 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
18 "Response by State Department to  
19 Lantos's antisemitism bill",  
20 posted August 10, 2004, printed  
21 September 21, 2004, found at Tab  
22 22 of the Commission's Book of  
23 Documents

24 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would  
25 like to direct your attention to page 4 of 7, the

1 posting by mathdokter99.

2 MR. WARMAN: This is a posting by  
3 Mr. Tremaine dated the 19th of September 2004. In it  
4 he comments:

5 "The more the Jews try to stamp  
6 out anti-semitism the more it  
7 will increase. That is  
8 guaranteed. It will increase  
9 until the Jews themselves  
10 realize they are the cause of  
11 anti-semitism (which will never  
12 happen). Just as a healthy body  
13 attempts to identify and repel  
14 foreign destructive organisms so  
15 too any healthy society will  
16 react to the Jew with revulsion  
17 and disgust and will desire to  
18 have them expelled. The Jews  
19 attempt to undermine this healthy  
20 response the same way the AIDS  
21 virus interferes with the body's  
22 rejection of foreign organisms.  
23 But for the Jews that will not  
24 work. There are too many people  
25 aware. We are like the White

1                   blood cells in certain people  
2                   that are immune to the effects  
3                   of the AIDS virus."

4                   MS WARSAME: Unless you have anything  
5 else to add we are going to move to Tab 23.

6                   MR. WARMAN: No, thank you.

7                   MS WARSAME: I will ask you to  
8 identify the document at Tab 23.

9                   MR. WARMAN: This is a Stormfront  
10 thread entitled "IF it took a police state". It was  
11 printed off by me on the 12th of October 2004 and  
12 provided to the Commission.

13                   MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
14 to file the document at Tab 23.

15                   THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
16 thread entitled "IF it took a police state", posted  
17 September 20, 2004, printed October 12, 2004, found at  
18 Tab 23 of the Commission's Book of Documents, will be  
19 filed as Commission Exhibit HR-23.

20                   EXHIBIT NO. HR-23:  
21                   Stormfront.org thread entitled  
22 "IF it took a police state",  
23 posted September 20, 2004,  
24 printed October 12, 2004, found  
25 at Tab 23 of the Commission's

1 Book of Documents

2 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

3 Mr. Warman, the first page of HR-23  
4 under "mathdokter99", can you tell us what you see?

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It is sort of  
6 a little washed out here, but when you see it on  
7 the computer screen it is a drawing of a picture of  
8 Adolf Hitler.

9 MS WARSAME: What can you tell us  
10 about the first posting by mathdokter which is at  
11 page 1 of HR-23?

12 MR. WARMAN: Mr. Tremaine states --  
13 he is talking about in general a thread saying would  
14 it be worth establishing a white state if it took a  
15 police state to obtain this kind of ethnic cleansing.

16 He states:

17 "The problem is, though, how do  
18 we get from here to there? Our  
19 democratic institutions have  
20 been completely subverted and  
21 are now being used against us.  
22 The deck is more stacked against  
23 us with each passing day. The  
24 Jews want to import enough  
25 non-whites to prevent us from

1                   ever voting our way out of this  
2                   mess, even if we could convince  
3                   a majority of brain-washed  
4                   Whites to go along with us."

5                   MS WARSAME: I would like to direct  
6                   your attention to page 4. Again there is another  
7                   posting by mathdokter99.

8                   MR. WARMAN: Mr. Tremaine states:  
9                    "Soviet communism was for the  
10                   most part Jewish. Of the  
11                   several hundred original,  
12                   commissars, only five or six  
13                   were ethnic Russians, one was an  
14                   American Black and the rest were  
15                   Jews. The Red Terror was  
16                   entirely Jewish. There are many  
17                   really good sources of  
18                   information about this."

19                   And then he lists David Duke's book  
20                   "Jewish Supremacism" as an example.

21                   The post continues on the next page.  
22                   If you go down to the second last paragraph, part of  
23                   that includes the statement that "NS", meaning  
24                   "National Socialist":

25                   "... policy toward Jews was

1 quite restrained. Hitler was a  
2 lot nicer to the Jews than they  
3 deserved."

4 On page 7 of 8, in a posting that  
5 begins on the previous page dated the 21st of September  
6 2004, Mr. Tremaine states, with regard to the police,  
7 that it would take:

8 "It would require a method to  
9 purge the non-whites out of the  
10 system (police state apparatus)  
11 very quickly (within hours, days  
12 at most). That is the difficult  
13 part."

14 He then continues:

15 "They could hold it if they  
16 seized it, at least long enough  
17 to establish a White provisional  
18 government. Once a white  
19 provisional government were  
20 established it could establish  
21 order. Non-whites know we are  
22 natural rulers. They might not  
23 like it but they would accept  
24 it. As Dr. Pierce said one  
25 time: `The Blacks would riot



1 and then it gives "1 2 3 > Last" and the number "1" is  
2 bolded there. That indicates that that is the first  
3 page of that thread.

4 You can tell that as well by the  
5 fact that the first posting by an individual who uses  
6 the pseudonym "Hyperion" has the "#1" beside it.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: What we identified  
8 as HR-23, posting #11 -- and with posting #10, so that  
9 should have been -- all right.  
10 --- Pause

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Go ahead.

12 MR. WARMAN: If you turn to page 2  
13 of 7 Mr. Tremaine writes, in response to the question:  
14 "If the price was a totalitarian  
15 police state for an all white  
16 nation, would you pay it?"

17 He responds:

18 "Yes, the patient is in critical  
19 condition and needs to be put  
20 on life support for a while. My  
21 `yes' is qualified, however.  
22 There must be the right people  
23 in charge and no Jews. In fact,  
24 I would not support it unless  
25 it were actively engaged in

1 the expulsion of all Jews from  
2 our territory. It would have to  
3 be also engaged in the  
4 repatriation of all non-whites  
5 to their country of origin.  
6 If it did not meet these  
7 minimal requirements I would  
8 oppose it. In fact, I would  
9 fight against it."

10 If you continue at page 4 of 7 --

11 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, before  
12 you continue I would just like to, Mr. Chair, clarify  
13 for the record that the date printed -- because there  
14 are two sets of documents at HR-23.

15 There was one set of documents  
16 printed on October 12, 2004, that is 1 to 8 --

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

18 MS WARSAME: -- and there is another  
19 set of documents printed September 21.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: That is what  
21 we were saying, that these documents printed on  
22 September 21 should have been before.

23 MS WARSAME: Yes, exactly.

24 You can continue now, Mr. Warman.

25 MR. WARMAN: At the bottom of

1 page 4 of 7 Mr. Tremaine writes:

2 "A multi-racial multi-cultural  
3 state is inherently unstable and  
4 will require a police state to  
5 maintain. We see all our  
6 formerly White countries tending  
7 in that direction. The police  
8 state of the New World Order ...  
9 will not be able to maintain  
10 social stability indefinitely.  
11 Society will, and inevitably  
12 must, fracture along racial  
13 lines. We have seen plenty of  
14 historical examples of that.

15 When our multi-racial  
16 countries fracture during the  
17 next severe economic crisis they  
18 will face a significant chance  
19 of collapsing into complete  
20 chaos. It is at this point we  
21 must be ready to seize power by  
22 whatever means available and  
23 take over the apparatus of the  
24 pre-existing police state and  
25 turn it to our goals. Our

1 people will then be in charge of  
2 the existing police state  
3 apparatus and will begin the  
4 process of cleaning up the mess  
5 (expelling the Jews,  
6 repatriating the other  
7 non-whites, etc.)."

8 --- Pause

9 MR. WARMAN: Those are the only  
10 comments that I have on that document.

11 MS WARSAME: I will ask you now to  
12 turn to Tab 24.

13 There is a 2-page document. Can you  
14 identify for the record of the document?

15 MR. WARMAN: This is a Stormfront  
16 thread entitled "Advice For Patriots" printed off  
17 by me on the 24th of September 2004 and given to  
18 the Commission.

19 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

20 Ms Bacon, I would like to file the  
21 document at Tab 24.

22 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
23 thread entitled "Advice for Patriots" printed  
24 September 24, 2004, found at Tab 24 of the Commission's  
25 Book of Documents, will be filed as Commission

1 Exhibit HR-24.

2 EXHIBIT NO. HR-24:  
3 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
4 "Advice for Patriots" printed  
5 September 24, 2004, found at  
6 Tab 24 of the Commission's Book  
7 of Documents

8 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

9 Mr. Warman, what can you tell us  
10 about that posting by mathdokter99?

11 MR. WARMAN: Mr. Tremaine initiated  
12 this thread. He refers to a radio broadcast by  
13 Dr. Pierce and says that:

14 "... Pierce says that the single  
15 most important consequence of  
16 recent events (9-11-2001) is the  
17 heightened sense of reality  
18 among non-lemmings, the  
19 lessening of their sense of  
20 detachment, the stronger  
21 realization that they cannot  
22 survive by continuing to sit on  
23 their hands and watch the Jews  
24 destroy the world around them."

25 --- Pause

1 MS WARSAME: I understand at the  
2 bottom there is a link available to people who get  
3 access to the posting?

4 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It is my  
5 understanding that those would be links to the  
6 broadcast in question.

7 MS WARSAME: All right.

8 Can you now turn to Tab 25 and  
9 identify the 7-page document?

10 MR. WARMAN: This is a stormfront  
11 thread and titled "Warman files complaint against  
12 WCFU", printed off by me on the 21st of September 2004  
13 and given to the Commission.

14 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
15 to file the document.

16 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
17 thread entitled "Warman files complaint against WCFU",  
18 posted September 11, 2004, printed September 21, 2004,  
19 found at Tab 25 of the Commission's Book of Documents,  
20 will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-25.

21 EXHIBIT NO. HR-25:

22 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
23 "Warman files complaint against  
24 WCFU", posted September 11,  
25 2004, printed September 21,



1                   MR. WARMAN: Yes. The post itself  
2 states "... hate group Western Canada For Us".

3                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. "Commission  
4 against hate group...".

5                   Yes, it is in the posting, just in  
6 the quote, Mr. Fromm.

7                   MR. WARMAN: Then it continues with  
8 discussion back and forth between other people,  
9 including Mr. Fromm.

10                   And then on page 5 of 7, on the  
11 19th of September 2004 Mr. Tremaine quotes another  
12 person who says:

13                   "I was under the impression that  
14 WCFU went down, and now Warmen  
15 is up to his usual witchhunting  
16 activities??"

17                   Mr. Tremaine responds:

18                   "It is not enough that the site  
19 was shut down. They want to go  
20 after those who created it in  
21 the first place. How very  
22 Jewish! They never forget and  
23 never forgive. Well, their time  
24 will come. As someone (I cannot  
25 remember who) once said, when

1 the end of the Jews finally  
2 comes they will wish they had  
3 the SS there to protect them."

4 MS WARSAME: What is your  
5 understanding of the two letters "SS" in a posting?

6 MR. WARMAN: That it refers to the  
7 German SS during the Nazi period, the Schutzstaffel.

8 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

9 I would like to refer you to Tab 26  
10 now and ask you again to identify the document at  
11 Tab 26.

12 MR. WARMAN: This is a thread at  
13 Stormfront entitled "Gambling at the Public Library"  
14 printed off by me on the 4th of April 2005 and given to  
15 the Commission.

16 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
17 to file the document at Tab 26.

18 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
19 thread entitled "Gambling at the Public Library",  
20 posted on March 23, 2005, printed April 4, 2005, found  
21 at Tab 26 of the Commission's Book of Documents, will  
22 be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-26.

23 EXHIBIT NO. HR-26:

24 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
25 "Gambling at the Public

1 Library", posted on March 23,  
2 2005, printed April 4, 2005,  
3 found at Tab 26 of the  
4 Commission's Book of Documents

5 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what caught  
6 your attention with respect to the posting by  
7 mathdokter99 and HR-26?

8 MR. WARMAN: This is a posting by  
9 Mr. Tremaine on the 23rd of March 2005. He  
10 initiates this thread as can be seen from the "#1"  
11 on the posting.

12 He states in the second paragraph,  
13 he begins:

14 "I was in the library the other  
15 day and saw an Indian (one of  
16 our native aboriginal persons)  
17 gambling at some internet site  
18 on one of the library computers.  
19 She was in full view of the  
20 staff and obviously had no  
21 concern that they would prohibit  
22 her from doing so."

23 He continues:

24 "Several things struck me about  
25 this situation. 1) Like most

1 natives she is almost certainly  
2 on welfare and instead of being  
3 out looking for useful  
4 employment she was gambling her  
5 welfare money away. 2) If a  
6 White person were to use the  
7 library computers to gamble  
8 he/she would be shut down before  
9 he/she could say '4 lemons'."

10 If you continue down to point 4

11 it states:

12 "To gamble at an internet site  
13 requires a credit card. So,  
14 what the hell is a welfare  
15 Indian doing with a credit card?  
16 You might say she was one of the  
17 few who works, has a credit  
18 card, and was just having a  
19 little recreation during her  
20 coffee break from one of the  
21 offices nearby. Hmmm. Judging  
22 from her appearance, not very  
23 damn likely!"

24 MS WARSAME: We are going to move on

25 now to Tab 27.

1                   Again, Mr. Warman, I will ask you to  
2                   identify the document at Tab 27.

3                   MR. WARMAN: This is a Stormfront  
4                   posting entitled "Lazar Kaganovich" printed off my me  
5                   on the 11th of March 2006 and given to the Commission.

6                   MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
7                   to file the document at Tab 27.

8                   THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
9                   thread entitled "Lazar Kaganovich -- a Reminder that  
10                  Communism was (and is) Jewish", posted February 27,  
11                  2006, printed March 11, 2006, found at Tab 27 of the  
12                  Commission's Book of Documents, will be filed as  
13                  Commission Exhibit HR-27.

14                  EXHIBIT NO. HR-27:  
15                  Stormfront.org thread entitled  
16                  "Lazar Kaganovich -- a Reminder  
17                  that Communism was (and is)  
18                  Jewish", posted February 27,  
19                  2006, printed March 11, 2006,  
20                  found at Tab 27 of the  
21                  Commission's Book of Documents

22                  MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, the first  
23                  page of HR 27 there is a posting by mathdokter99 again.

24                  What can you tell us about  
25                  that posting?

1 MR. WARMAN: On the 27th of February  
2 2006 Mr. Tremaine made this posting. He initiated the  
3 thread as can be seen from the "#1".

4 He states:

5 "We must never..."

6 In bold letters:

7 "... forget that Communism was,  
8 is, and always will be Jewish.  
9 It is the best example of what  
10 would happen to our people if  
11 and when The Jews attain the  
12 kind of absolute control of  
13 our countries that they so much  
14 lust after."

15 MR. FROMM: Mr. Chair, Mr. Tremaine  
16 would just like to assist the Commission.

17 On page 3 of 11 of that posting the  
18 headline is "The Jew Lazar Moiseyevich Kaganovich  
19 Supervised the..." -- and he advises me the word  
20 beginning with "M" would be "Murder" -- "the Murder of  
21 5,000,000 Ukrainians in 1932-33."

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any  
23 objection to -- I believe that is what is written  
24 there, Mr. Warman?

25 MS WARSAME: The document was

1 provided by the complainant.

2 Mr. Warman?

3 MR. WARMAN: I'm sorry, I don't  
4 have the original in front of me, but if they wish to  
5 enter that as evidence when it comes to their turn I  
6 have no objection to it.

7 --- Pause

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes...?

9 MR. FROMM: Again, not to be  
10 difficult, but if Mr. Warman is not prepared to agree  
11 that that word is "murder" perhaps we can leave those  
12 last few pages out of this exhibit and end it with  
13 page 2, which was mathdokter's posting. This other  
14 posting by a fellow named "Stegura" wasn't  
15 Mr. Tremaine's in the first place.

16 Again, not to be difficult about it,  
17 but if Mr. Warman wants us to introduce it we don't  
18 have any interest one way or the other in introducing  
19 it, but the word there is "murder".

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Maybe if I could  
21 just suggest in your cross-examination you can raise  
22 the issue with the witness. Again, I don't see  
23 anything there. It certainly seems that is what the  
24 word is, but unless I ask for the original -- I don't  
25 see what the issue is here on that point.

1 Yes, go ahead. Ms Warsame, you  
2 can proceed.

3 MS WARSAME: Yes.

4 --- Pause

5 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I know you  
6 explained the first page of HR-27. Was there anything  
7 else in that posting that you would like to highlight  
8 for the benefit of the Tribunal?

9 MR. WARMAN: No, I think that's fine.

10 MS WARSAME: I will ask you now to  
11 turn to Tab 28. There is a 5-page document and again I  
12 will ask you to identify.

13 MR. WARMAN: This is a  
14 Stormfront thread entitled "mathdokter99 update",  
15 printed off on the 11th of March 2006 and given by  
16 me to the Commission.

17 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
18 to file the document at Tab 28.

19 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
20 thread entitled "mathdokter99 update", posted  
21 February 9, 2006, printed March 11, 2006, found at  
22 Tab 28 of the Commission's Book of Documents, will be  
23 filed as Commission Exhibit HR-28.

24 EXHIBIT NO. HR-28:

25 Stormfront.org thread entitled

1 "mathdoktor99 update", posted  
2 February 9, 2006, printed  
3 March 11, 2006, found at Tab 28  
4 of the Commission's Book of  
5 Documents

6 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like  
7 to direct your attention to page 3 of 5 of HR-28, a  
8 posting by mathdoktor99.

9 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Mr. Tremaine  
10 posts -- if I refer to the third paragraph down,  
11 it states:

12 "BTW..."

13 Which I understand to mean  
14 "by the way":

15 "... hearing Warman's voice on  
16 the phone was an interesting  
17 experience. To paraphrase  
18 Julius Streicher, when you  
19 battle Jews you are battling  
20 demons."

21 On the next page there is a further  
22 posting and at the bottom it states:

23 "My attendance is not mandatory. If  
24 I fail to show they simply find in  
25 favor of the complainant which is

1                   what will happen anyway. But I  
2                   think, at least in my case, that it  
3                   would be good to show up and stand  
4                   tall and proud about everything I've  
5                   written on SF."

6                   Meaning Stormfront.

7                   MS WARSAME: Can we now move on to  
8                   Tab 29. Again I will ask you to identify the 1-page  
9                   document at Tab 29.

10                  THE CHAIRPERSON: Just before we go  
11                  any further on that, I am going back to HR-1.

12                  Your complaint was filed on  
13                  October 13, 2004 and there has been no amendment to  
14                  your pleading. So the postings beyond that, like this  
15                  one which is in 2006, the purpose of putting them into  
16                  evidence, can I just --

17                  MR. WARMAN: Certainly.

18                  Mr. Chair, if I may, the issue was  
19                  raised in the case of Warman v. Winnicki. It was found  
20                  that subsequent postings were admissible on the basis  
21                  that if you look at the complaint, the date of the  
22                  alleged conduct on the first page of the actual  
23                  complaint is listed as "February 2004 and ongoing."

24                  THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, "and ongoing".  
25                  All right.

1 Yes...?

2 MR. FROMM: Mr. Chair, we are  
3 agreeing to have these entered as evidence because  
4 Mr. Tremaine does -- at least everything we have  
5 seen up to HR-29 he certainly admits to posting.  
6 He has no problem with admitting that and he stands by  
7 his views.

8 But our understanding was that in  
9 terms of the postings that must be defended, they  
10 are the ones outlined in the complaint or in Tab 1 of  
11 the -- if the question is: Did he post these other  
12 things? Up to now the answer is yes, he did. We don't  
13 object to them being entered as evidence.

14 But in terms of argumentation of what  
15 this complaint is all about, we understand that we are  
16 required to defend what is in the complaint on pages 2  
17 and 3, not the whole kit and caboodle.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: I will leave those  
19 questions, like you were saying, to the argumentation.  
20 I guess they will be introduced into evidence and the  
21 parties will be able to address that issue in their  
22 final closing arguments.

23 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, just for  
24 the record I would like to clarify that in HR-1 the  
25 summary of the complaint refers to the date of

1 "February 2004 and ongoing."

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

3 MS WARSAME: But also, inside the  
4 complaint itself it says "February 2004 and ongoing."  
5 That is HR-1.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: HR-1, yes. Yes, I  
7 have seen that.

8 --- Pause

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Like I was saying,  
10 I will leave that issue to be addressed. I just wanted  
11 to raise it at this point. I would like the parties to  
12 address that in their closing arguments about the issue  
13 of beyond February 24, 2004 -- or February 26, 2004 --  
14 or February 2004.

15 --- Pause

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you can  
17 continue.

18 Yes, Mr. Fromm...?

19 MR. FROMM: Mr. Chair, in your  
20 comment there, did you say postings after February 2004  
21 or after October 2004?

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: February.

23 MR. FROMM: February.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: If you are looking  
25 at the date of alleged conduct on HR-1, it is

1 "February 2004 and ongoing."

2 MR. FROMM: Mr. Chairman, would that  
3 not be up to the time of the complaint of October 13th?

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, that is the  
5 issue I would want the parties to address I guess. We  
6 are getting into legal arguments at that point and that  
7 is why I wanted to raise that now so that parties have  
8 forenotice that I certainly would want you to address  
9 that issue: What am I supposed to do with a posting  
10 after the date?

11 MR. FROMM: Well, here I need  
12 your guidance, sir. We are accepting these posts  
13 as evidence and not arguing about each one because up  
14 to now Mr. Tremaine is satisfied that those are what  
15 he posted, but we are not accepting them as part of  
16 this complaint. I will object to every single  
17 subsequent one if it means that we are accepting them  
18 as part of the complaint. We are simply accepting that  
19 he posted them.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm not  
21 saying that. I'm asking the parties to address that  
22 issue in their closing arguments.

23 MR. FROMM: Okay. I understand, sir.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: That is what I'm  
25 asking you to do, to guide me -- not me to guide the

1 parties, but the parties to guide me when I will have  
2 to address the evidence. Do I stop at 2004?

3 And if I can look beyond 2004, on  
4 what basis, or if I should not look at them on what  
5 basis should I address that.

6 So I would want the parties to  
7 address that issue for the Tribunal.

8 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, I believe  
9 Mr. Fromm was raising another issue. I think he is  
10 referring to, if I understood well, that the complaint  
11 is limited only to the document that came with the  
12 complaint. I believe that was his --

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: That is  
14 his position.

15 MS WARSAME: Yes. Yes.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: I understand that  
17 is his position and I understand probably that your  
18 position is that everything that has been posted beyond  
19 that should be considered. I certainly will hear the  
20 parties on that issue.

21 Now, if Mr. Fromm would decide to  
22 object to every one of the postings he can do so. They  
23 will be put into evidence but I will deal with that  
24 with the closing arguments of the parties.

25 If I understand you well, sir, you

1           were saying that you are not objecting to the fact that  
2           these were posted by Mr. Tremaine.  What you are  
3           objecting to is that they should not -- what is beyond  
4           February 2004 should not be part of this hearing.

5                         MR. FROMM:  Yes, those that are  
6           beyond October 13, 2004.

7                         But thus far, up to Tabs 30, we have  
8           not -- in terms of the postings from Stormfront, we  
9           thus far acknowledge that he posted them.

10                        THE CHAIRPERSON:  All right.

11           --- Pause

12                        MS WARSAME:  Mr. Warman, I believe we  
13           were at Tab 28, which is HR-28.

14                        MR. WARMAN:  Yes, and those were all  
15           my comments on it.

16                        MS WARSAME:  I will now move on to  
17           the next tab, Tab 29.  Again, I will ask you to  
18           identify the 1-page document.

19                        MR. WARMAN:  This is a Stormfront  
20           thread entitled "Let's Stop Believing That Blaming the  
21           Jews Alone Is The Solution", printed off by me on the  
22           11th of March 2006 and given to the Commission.

23                        MS WARSAME:  Ms Bacon, I would like  
24           to file the document at Tab 29.

25                        THE REGISTRAR:  The stormfront.org

1 thread entitled "Let's Stop Believing That Blaming the  
2 Jews Alone Is The Solution", posted March 3, 2006,  
3 printed March 11, 2006, found at Tab 29 of the  
4 Commission's Book of Documents, will be filed as  
5 Commission Exhibit HR-29.

6 EXHIBIT NO. HR-29:  
7 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
8 "Let's Stop Believing That  
9 Blaming the Jews Alone Is The  
10 Solution", posted March 3, 2006,  
11 printed March 11, 2006, found at  
12 Tab 29 of the Commission's Book  
13 of Documents

14 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

15 Mr. Warman, what can you tell us  
16 about this posting by mathdokter99?

17 MR. WARMAN: This is a posting dating  
18 from the 3rd of March 2006 by Mr. Tremaine.

19 It states:

20 "The Jews rely on their shabbos  
21 goy helpers to implement their  
22 plans. The Jews are simply  
23 following their instinct as a  
24 parasitic race but their White  
25 helpers are making a choice to

1                   betray their race. The Jews set  
2                   up innumerable front  
3                   organizations to recruit their  
4                   shabbos goy helpers who, at  
5                   best, think they are working for  
6                   some noble cause to help this or  
7                   that. At worst, they know they  
8                   have been recruited to the  
9                   Judaic cause but believe it is  
10                  their path to riches and/or  
11                  power. An example of the latter  
12                  would be G.W. Bush.

13                   I agree that we should name  
14                   the Jews but we should also name  
15                   their shabbos goy as well and  
16                   make sure that begins to stick  
17                   as a term denoting shame and  
18                   betrayal of their race."

19                   MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, do you know  
20                  what the meaning of "shabbos" is?

21                   MR. WARMAN: I believe that the  
22                  posting actually defines the term "shabbos goy" in  
23                  that it refers to them as persons of the -- what is  
24                  described as the white race who assist the Jews who  
25                  are described as a parasitic race in attempting to

1           fulfil their goals.

2                           MS WARSAME: I will ask you to move  
3 now to Tab 30.

4                           Can you identify the 2-page document  
5 at Tab 30?

6                           MR. WARMAN: This is a Stormfront  
7 thread entitled "How to Ruin the Bush Inauguration",  
8 printed off by me on the 4th of April 2005 and given to  
9 the Commission.

10                          MS WARSAME: I would like to file the  
11 document at Tab 30.

12                          THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
13 thread entitled "How to Ruin the Bush Inauguration",  
14 posted January 17, 2005, printed April 4, 2005, found  
15 at Tab 30 of the Commission's Book of Documents, will  
16 be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-30.

17                          EXHIBIT NO. HR-30:  
18                           Stormfront.org thread entitled  
19 "How to Ruin the Bush  
20 Inauguration", posted  
21 January 17, 2005, printed  
22 April 4, 2005, found at Tab 30  
23 of the Commission's Book of  
24 Documents

25                          MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like

1 to direct your attention to the second page of the  
2 posting at HR-30.

3 MR. WARMAN: At the bottom of the  
4 first page you can see that this is a posting from the  
5 17th of January 2005. Then on the next page  
6 Mr. Tremaine responds to a quote that says:

7 "The Arabs are the new Juden."

8 And he states:

9 "The Arabs are NOT the new  
10 juden. The juden are the  
11 juden!! As Dr. Pierce used to  
12 say, once we deal with the Jews  
13 everything else will just be  
14 mopping up."

15 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you  
16 now turn to Tab 31 and again I will ask you to  
17 identify the document.

18 MR. WARMAN: This is a thread  
19 entitled "Sympatico/MSN smears pro-Whites" from the  
20 Stormfront website, printed off by me on the 16th of  
21 March 2006 and given to the Commission.

22 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
23 to file the document at Tab 31.

24 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
25 thread entitled "Sympatico/MSN smears pro-Whites",

1 printed March 16, 2006, found at Tab 31 of the  
2 Commission's Book of Documents, will be filed as  
3 Commission Exhibit HR-31.

4 EXHIBIT NO. HR-31:  
5 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
6 "Sympatico/MSN smears  
7 pro-Whites", printed March 16,  
8 2006, found at Tab 31 of the  
9 Commission's Book of Documents

10 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what  
11 caught your attention with respect to this posting, the  
12 first page.

13 MR. WARMAN: It is referring to the  
14 Tribunal's decision rendered in the case of Warman v.  
15 Kulbashian et al.

16 Mr. Tremaine states that --  
17 he quotes:

18 "Tribunal decision-maker  
19 Athanasios Hadjis also ordered  
20 Kulbashian and Richardson each  
21 to pay \$1,000 in penalties, and  
22 fined Canadian Ethnic Cleansing  
23 Team and Affordable Space.com  
24 \$3,000 each."

25 He then immediately states:

1 "Notice that we don't even have  
2 White `tribunal decision makers'  
3 but someone who crawled out of  
4 some third-world hell hole."

5 Turning to page 3 of 6 --

6 MS WARSAME: Before we move to  
7 page 3, would you go back to page 1.

8 MR. WARMAN: I'm sorry.

9 MS WARSAME: There is a "#1" on the  
10 top right-hand side of page 1 of HR-31.

11 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It indicates that  
12 Mr. Tremaine initiated this thread.

13 MS WARSAME: Now move to page 3.

14 MR. WARMAN: I'm sorry, I should just  
15 add that at the bottom, the last paragraph, he states:

16 "I will be in for the same  
17 treatment if I lose my case  
18 before the CHRC. I am not  
19 concerned for myself really.  
20 Let them do their worst. I am  
21 more concerned for young people  
22 like the above named in this  
23 case. This just shows how the  
24 disgusting Jews operate. We  
25 must defeat them and soon. We

1 must also expose ALL their  
2 Shabbos Goy."

3 At the top of page 3 of 6

4 Mr. Tremaine posts:

5 "As WNs..."

6 Meaning "White Nationalists":

7 "...whatever happens to one or  
8 two of us happens to all of us.  
9 We are a family spread around  
10 the world whether we live in  
11 "insignificant" countries, like  
12 Canada, or the USA."

13 --- Pause

14 MS WARSAME: I would ask you now to  
15 go to Tab 32.

16 Can you identify the 10-page  
17 document at Tab 32?

18 MR. WARMAN: This is a Stormfront  
19 thread entitled "Pan Counter-Semitism?", printed off by  
20 me on the 15th of May 2006 and given to the Commission.

21 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
22 thread entitled "Pan Counter-Semitism?", posted May 6,  
23 2006, printed May 15, 2006, found at Tab 32 of the  
24 Commission's Book of Documents, will be filed as  
25 Commission Exhibit HR-32.

1 EXHIBIT NO. HR-32:  
2 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
3 "Pan Counter-Semitism?", posted  
4 May 6, 2006, printed May 15,  
5 2006, found at Tab 32 of the  
6 Commission's Book of Documents  
7 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like  
8 to direct your attention to page 6 of 10. There is a  
9 posting by "mathdokter99 (Terry Tremaine)".  
10 MR. WARMAN: Yes. This is a posting  
11 by Mr. Tremaine on the 8th of May 2006.  
12 He states, quote:  
13 "The Jews are the poisoners of  
14 all nations."  
15 "Poisoners of all nations" being  
16 all in bold.  
17 "One reason they promote  
18 multi-culturalism (which is  
19 really multi-racialism) is to  
20 create a country of mulatto's,  
21 whom the Jews find easy to  
22 control and a bunch of  
23 squabbling ethnic groups whom  
24 they can divide and conquer. In  
25 a multi-racial country the

1 racial group with the strongest  
2 ethnic solidarity will rule.  
3 The Jews believe, in all  
4 likelihood truly, that they have  
5 sufficient internal cohesion  
6 amongst themselves to rule,  
7 especially considering their  
8 current grip on the financial,  
9 political, educational, and  
10 cultural (media) institutions."

11 It then continues towards the bottom  
12 of the next paragraph:

13 "Rather than trying to create  
14 some little White-only national  
15 territory, we should have a  
16 grander view. Kick the Jews out  
17 and have no more to do with them  
18 regardless where they end up.  
19 Then help the other ethnic  
20 groups towards repatriation.  
21 They have come to our countries  
22 to attain a 'better life', in  
23 other words 'mo money'. They do  
24 not share our values, which is  
25 demonstrated by their tendency

1 to gang activities and crime in  
2 general. And even if they did  
3 share our values we do not want  
4 them here because we want our  
5 territory for the advancement of  
6 our own race to achieve our  
7 racial advancement."

8 It states, continuing:

9 "We can establish an agreement  
10 among other national groups to  
11 ensure the Jews have no safe  
12 haven to re-establish another  
13 base of operations, such as  
14 America and Britain."

15 In the last paragraph he states:

16 "Fundamentally we (and all other  
17 ethnic groups and races) must  
18 see the Jews as alien poisoners  
19 who always try to get others to  
20 do their dirty work."

21 At the bottom he states:

22 "We must turn that around and  
23 say, better that the Jews and  
24 Israel be destroyed than have  
25 the world destroyed."

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: We will take a  
2 short break at this point. If you want to continue  
3 with that document when we come back..."

4 MS WARSAME: Perfect. Yes.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: So we will take a  
6 break until 2:55, five minutes to 3:00.

7 I just remind you, Mr. Warman, that  
8 you are still under oath.

9 --- Upon recessing at 2:35 p.m.

10 --- Upon resuming at 3:02 p.m.

11 THE REGISTRAR: All rise, please.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Please be seated.

13 I take notice of the fact that we had  
14 said we were going to reconvene at 2:55. It is now  
15 3:02 and a respondent is not here. I have asked the  
16 Registry personnel to check if they were outside.

17 Madam Bacon, they were not outside?  
18 You didn't see them?

19 THE REGISTRAR: Outside the  
20 doors, no.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: So we will  
22 proceed with the examination of Mr. Warman. As I  
23 indicated to the parties, they will join us where we  
24 are at that point.

25 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

1                   Mr. Warman, before the break I  
2 believe we left off at HR-32, page 6 of 10.

3                   You already provided your testimony  
4 with respect to the posting by mathdokter99, page 6  
5 of 10?

6                   MR. WARMAN: And page 7 of 10, yes.  
7 Those were all the comments that I have.

8                   MS WARSAME: Sure.

9                   I will ask you now to go to Tab 33.

10                  MR. WARMAN: This is a Stormfront  
11 thread entitled "A Proposed National-Socialist  
12 Constitution for Canada", printed off by me on the  
13 27th of June 2006 and given to the Commission.

14                  MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
15 to file the document at Tab 33.

16                  THE REGISTRAR: The Stormfront.org  
17 thread entitled "A Proposed National-Socialist  
18 Constitution for Canada" --

19                  THE CHAIRPERSON: Just one moment,  
20 I believe they might be -- they are coming in. All  
21 right.

22                  We were just getting to Tab 33.

23                  MR. FROMM: Thank you very much,  
24 Mr. Chair. The rule is we are not allowed to have  
25 coffee in here and the place where we want to go for

1 coffee is some blocks away.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that is --

3 MR. FROMM: We are patronizing  
4 Canada's national institution Tim Horton's.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: I understand that.  
6 We haven't gone very far. We were getting to --

7 MR. FROMM: Tab 33.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is at Tab 33 or  
9 Tab 34?

10 MS WARSAME: It is Tab 33.

11 MR. FROMM: Do we object to that?

12 No.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Why do I have two  
14 of Tab 33?

15 MS WARSAME: It could be a mistake.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: It should be  
17 Tab 32, I'm sorry. Yes, this one should be Tab 32.

18 We are at Tab 33 now, yes.

19 MS WARSAME: Yes, we are at Tab 33.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is Mr. Tremaine  
21 coming along too?

22 MR. FROMM: Yes, he is just in the  
23 little boys room.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

25 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org

1 thread entitled "A Proposed National-Socialist  
2 Constitution for Canada", printed June 27, 2006, found  
3 at Tab 33 of the Commission's Book of Documents, will  
4 be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-33.

5 EXHIBIT NO. HR-33:  
6 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
7 "A Proposed National-Socialist  
8 Constitution for Canada",  
9 printed June 27, 2006, found at  
10 Tab 33 of the Commission's Book  
11 of Documents

12 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if I could  
13 draw your attention to HR-33, page 1, there is a  
14 posting by mathdokter99.

15 What can you tell us about  
16 that posting?

17 MR. WARMAN: The posting by  
18 Mr. Tremaine initiates the thread. That can be seen by  
19 the "#1". It states:

20 "This proposed constitution is  
21 merely a first draft to get some  
22 ideas into the formative stage.  
23 It's purpose is to begin  
24 implementing a political program  
25 that is do-able, reasonable, and

1                    progressive. It is a  
2                    constitution that would  
3                    hopefully circumvent a period of  
4                    chaos and social anarchy. It  
5                    would also minimize the economic  
6                    chaos on the road to a White  
7                    racist state. Having said  
8                    that, it is clear that  
9                    revolutionary struggle will be  
10                   necessary to see it  
11                   implemented."

12                   He continues:

13                   "We would all like a 100% White  
14                   country tomorrow, if not sooner.  
15                   The fact is, we are stuck with  
16                   this multi-racial situation and  
17                   must deal with it through  
18                   effective political means. I am  
19                   supporting the equation 75%  
20                   White = White. Some may not  
21                   like that. Keep in mind,  
22                   however, that it was the  
23                   position of NS Germany."

24                   Meaning National Socialist Germany.

25                   "See Nuremberg Race Law. It is

1 practical and do-able."

2 On page 2 --

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Just before you go  
4 there, date-wise, I see it is marked "Today". You  
5 printed that off on the 27th.

6 Do you know what date it is?

7 MR. WARMAN: My understanding is that  
8 the posting was in fact made the 27th of June 2006.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: I see.

10 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you go  
11 back to the first page of HR-33 --

12 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

13 MS WARSAME: -- the last sentence of  
14 the posting mentions "Nuremberg Race Law".

15 Are you familiar with that?

16 MR. WARMAN: It is my  
17 understanding that the Nuremberg Race Law was the  
18 sort of racial classification system used by the Nazi  
19 Party of Germany.

20 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

21 You can go on now.

22 MR. WARMAN: VII on the second page  
23 as part of the Constitution states that quote:

24 "Adolf Hitler was the gift of an  
25 inscrutable Providence to a

1 world on the brink of  
2 Jewish-Bolshevik catastrophe,  
3 and that only the blazing spirit  
4 of this heroic man can give us  
5 the strength and inspiration to  
6 bring the world a new birth of  
7 ..."

8 And it continues on.

9 Page 4 of 7, under the heading  
10 "CITIZENSHIP" states at I:

11 "Citizens must be of at least  
12 75% White European extraction.  
13 They cannot be Jews or of the  
14 Jewish religion. Citizenship  
15 courts it will be established  
16 under the judicial branch of  
17 government to judge all cases of  
18 unambiguous ancestry based on  
19 the best available science.  
20 Only citizens shall have the  
21 right to vote in elections at  
22 the national, provincial, and  
23 local levels."

24 At point IV it states that:

25 "... aboriginal people do not

1                                   have the right to vote."

2                                   At page 5 of 7, at the bottom,  
3                                   it indicates what is proposed to be the new flag  
4                                   for a Canada that had been taken over by the  
5                                   National Socialists.

6                                   Those are all my comments on that.

7                                   MR. FROMM: Mr. Chairman, at the risk  
8                                   of being argumentative, you know, in testifying as to  
9                                   the contents of this proposed constitution it might  
10                                   have been more helpful to you, sir, if Mr. Warman had  
11                                   read the entirety of "CITIZENSHIP" IV where it did  
12                                   indicate that there was some provision for the  
13                                   aboriginal people to have a role in their  
14                                   self-government.

15                                   I think you were left with the  
16                                   mistaken impression.

17                                   THE CHAIRPERSON: You will be able  
18                                   to put that in your cross-examination to the witness.  
19                                   If you believe that is relevant, you will be able to  
20                                   raise that.

21                                   MR. FROMM: All right.

22                                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes...?

23                                   --- Pause

24                                   MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you go to  
25                                   page 5 of HR-33 --

1 MR. WARMAN: Yes...?

2 MS WARSAME: -- there is a quote that  
3 starts with "Fundamental Principle of Justice" --

4 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

5 MS WARSAME: -- that I believe is  
6 attached to the posting by mathdokter.

7 MR. WARMAN: It states:

8 "Do not make equal what is unequal!  
9 -- Frederick Nietzsche"

10 The second quote immediately  
11 following that is:

12 "He who fights the Jews battles  
13 the Devil."

14 That is from Julius Streicher, the  
15 official within the World War II Nazi regime.

16 MS WARSAME: Is that part of the  
17 posting by mathdokter99?

18 MR. FROMM: I don't think Mr. Warman  
19 has been qualified as a historian.

20 I know I can't testify, but that was  
21 not the role of Julius Streicher incidentally.

22 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, again, I'm  
23 not asking the witness to explain, I'm just asking  
24 him to read --

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: No, I wouldn't want

1 the witness to explain.

2 I guess the only issue is that that  
3 is what is written there. The only evidence that I can  
4 take is what is written there as what is there.

5 MS WARSAME: That is our  
6 purpose, too.

7 Mr. Warman, can you please now go to  
8 Tab 34 and identify the document?

9 MR. WARMAN: This is a stormfront  
10 thread entitled "Video: Warman speech at Law Society",  
11 printed off by me on the 15th of July 2006 and  
12 submitted to the Commission.

13 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
14 thread entitled "Video: Warman speech at Law Society",  
15 posted July 8, 2006, printed July 15, 2006, found at  
16 Tab 34 of the Commission's Book of Documents, will be  
17 filed as Commission Exhibit HR-34.

18 EXHIBIT NO. HR-34:  
19 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
20 "Video: Warman speech at Law  
21 Society", posted July 8, 2006,  
22 printed July 15, 2006, found at  
23 Tab 34 of the Commission's Book  
24 of Documents

25 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like

1 to direct you attention to page 4 of 7. There is a  
2 posting by mathdokter99 dated July 11, 2006.

3 Can you give the context of this  
4 video which is "Video: Warman speech at Law Society"  
5 and then explain the posting.

6 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

7 MS WARSAME: Just highlight the part  
8 that you believe would be of interest to the Tribunal.

9 MR. WARMAN: Certainly. I was  
10 invited by the Law Society of Upper Canada to take part  
11 in a panel on racism, anti-Semitism and other forms of  
12 human rights violations in Toronto.

13 What I presume this is, this is a  
14 video of that event that took place. There are a  
15 number of individuals who make comments following the  
16 person having posted the link to it.

17 Mr. Tremaine, at page 4 of 7,  
18 reposts a number of -- a comment by another  
19 individual in its entirety, the person using the  
20 pseudonym "athmannvedanta".

21 Towards the end of that quote box at  
22 page 5 of 7 the post that has been remade or reposted  
23 by Mr. Tremaine states:

24 "He also mentioned something in  
25 regard to his being a Jew. The

1 statement was ambiguous to say  
2 the least. I personally think  
3 he is a Jew judging from his  
4 physiognomy (lip movement +  
5 cold, suppressed worry and  
6 shifty eyed glances while lying  
7 + slight hook nose and frontal  
8 lobe shape + possibly his odd  
9 name) and his capacity for lying  
10 with such sincerity. But if he  
11 is not, he certainly seems to be  
12 sitting amongst a pack of them  
13 as an equal.

14 Thus, he seems quite  
15 familiar with Jews..."

16 **Bolded:**

17 "...and if he is indeed not..."

18 **Bolded and underlined:**

19 "...a Jew, then he is  
20 doubtlessly familiar with the  
21 general content that Jews hold  
22 for non-Jews. This must make  
23 him very squeamish (as  
24 evidences from facial  
25 expressions while reciting some

1 of his more egregious lies) to  
2 say the least.

3 For a non-Jew who knows Jews  
4 well, he must also realize that  
5 he will be cut loose to fend for  
6 himself at the earliest signs of  
7 trouble. Look at the AIPAC  
8 scandal and how that body cut  
9 loose their top Jews when the  
10 heat became unbearable.

11 I think his speech is a  
12 Jewish way of saying he wants a  
13 new job!"

14 Mr. Tremaine then continues:

15 "I have to admit I didn't watch  
16 the video. Given my current  
17 legal situation I..."

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr. Fromm...?

19 MR. FROMM: I don't want to record to  
20 show that "Mr. Tremaine then continues".

21 All of what was read up to now was  
22 posted by some other person on Stormfront. At this  
23 point Mr. Tremaine begins.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

25 MR. WARMAN: That is in fact what my

1 testimony has just said.

2 Mr. Tremaine continues --

3 MR. FROMM: "Continues" is not  
4 "begins".

5 MR. WARMAN:

6 "Warman's actions are starting  
7 to be counter productive and are  
8 drawing increasing attention to  
9 how the Jews have robbed Canada  
10 of its rights to free speech.  
11 Simply put, I think Warman is in  
12 trouble with his handlers and  
13 your comments would seem to  
14 support that to a degree."

15 He continues in the second paragraph:

16 "Warman is not speaking on his  
17 own initiative but is a mouth  
18 piece for B'nai Brith, his  
19 handlers. It is just like the  
20 Jews to always overstep. That  
21 is their fatal flaw that ALWAYS  
22 brings them down. They wore out  
23 their welcome in countless  
24 countries over the centuries  
25 with their chutspah and it will

1                   happen here.

2                   I think Warman is a Jew  
3                   simply because of his  
4                   unrestrained capacity for  
5                   malevolence. He does not appear  
6                   to have a White man's  
7                   conscience."

8                   And then the signature contains the  
9                   quote as well:

10                   "He who fights the Jews battles  
11                   the Devil."

12                   --- Pause

13                   MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you  
14                   now turn to Tab 35 and identify sub-Tabs A, B and  
15                   C, please.

16                   MR. WARMAN: Tab A is a Stormfront  
17                   thread entitled "Evidence Summary (Discovery) in My  
18                   Case Before the CHRC", printed out by me on the 16th of  
19                   April 2006 and given to the Commission.

20                   --- Pause

21                   THE REGISTRAR: Ms Warsame, did  
22                   you want me to enter them individually or once you  
23                   are finished?

24                   MS WARSAME: When we are done. The  
25                   whole tab will be entered as one exhibit.

1 MR. WARMAN: There is then pages 1  
2 of 5 to 5 of 5 is a website that Mr. Tremaine indicates  
3 gives his defense or his disclosure in this case.

4 Tab B is simply the same material  
5 contained on another website, a mirror of the first.

6 Tab C is the same.

7 Tab D is a letter from the  
8 Canadian Human Rights Tribunal that I received  
9 indicating that it:

10 "...enclosed a copy of the  
11 Respondent's written  
12 particulars, along with two CDs  
13 containing (his) documentary  
14 disclosure."

15 The subsequent pages are written  
16 material that was contained within that.

17 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

18 I would like to enter Tab 35, Tabs A,  
19 B, C and D.

20 THE REGISTRAR: I'm sorry, did you  
21 want them entered individually or all as Exhibit HR-35?

22 MS WARSAME: As one.

23 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
24 thread entitled "Evidence Summary (Discovery) in My  
25 Case Before the CHRC", printed April 16, 2006; the

1 document --

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Just one moment.

3 Yes, Mr. Fromm...?

4 MR. FROMM: Will they be recognized  
5 as tabs? Will we be able to refer to 35A, 35B, or is  
6 it all just one?

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: It is going to be  
8 one document, as I understand it.

9 MS WARSAME: Yes, one document.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: We will put it in  
11 as one document. Just say the number of pages.

12 THE REGISTRAR: I was going to  
13 identify them as HR Tab 35A, B, C, D.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.  
15 Go ahead.

16 THE REGISTRAR: The document  
17 entitled "The Canadian Human Rights Commission  
18 (CHRC) Case against Terry Tremaine", a 7-page  
19 document printed April 23, 2006; a 6-page document  
20 entitled "The Canadian Human Rights Commission (CHRC)  
21 Case against Terry Tremaine", printed April 24, 2006;  
22 and the letter from Nicole Bacon to Richard Warman,  
23 Daniel Pagowski and cc'd to Terry Tremaine dated May  
24 16, 2006, found at Tab 35 Tabs A, B, C and D of the  
25 Commission's Book of Documents, will be filed as the

1 Commission Exhibit HR-35.

2 EXHIBIT NO. HR-35:  
3 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
4 "Evidence Summary (Discovery) in  
5 My Case Before the CHRC",  
6 printed April 16, 2006; the  
7 document entitled "The Canadian  
8 Human Rights Commission  
9 (CHRC) Case against Terry  
10 Tremaine", a 7-page  
11 document printed April 23, 2006;  
12 a 6-page document entitled "The  
13 Canadian Human Rights Commission  
14 (CHRC) Case against Terry  
15 Tremaine", printed April 24,  
16 2006; and the letter from Nicole  
17 Bacon to Richard Warman, Daniel  
18 Pagowski and cc'd to Terry  
19 Tremaine dated May 16, 2006,  
20 found at Tab 35 Tabs A, B, C  
21 and D of the Commission's Book  
22 of Documents

23 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

24 Mr. Warman, I would like to draw your  
25 attention to HR-35, the first tab, A.

1                   MR. WARMAN: This is a posting by  
2           Mr. Tremaine. In it he states:  
3                   "... I wanted to make my  
4           'discovery' available on the NET  
5           so that the public at large  
6           might examine it to determine  
7           whether I have exposed Jews and  
8           other non-Whites to hatred  
9           and/or contempt, as Warman in  
10          the CHRC allege, or whether I  
11          have performed a public service  
12          to alert the Canadian public to  
13          the dangers of the presence of  
14          Jews in our land. Hitler said  
15          that Jews are the bacillus of  
16          social disintegration. Today we  
17          might consider them like the  
18          AIDS virus and the influx of  
19          other non-White populations in  
20          our country as opportunistic  
21          infections. The disease is  
22          multiculturalism and Jews are  
23          the cause."

24                   MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, the first  
25          page, what is your understanding again of the "#1" on

1 the right-hand side?

2 MR. WARMAN: That it is in fact  
3 Mr. Tremaine who commenced that thread.

4 If you look at the next pages 1 to 5,  
5 if you first go back to HR-34 page 6 of 7, you will see  
6 at the end of Mr. Tremaine's posting it states:

7 "The Canadian Human Rights  
8 Commission (CHRC) Case against  
9 Terry Tremaine (mathdokter99 at  
10 Stormfront)"

11 And then underneath it says "Mirror"  
12 and then underneath it says "Mirror".

13 Those are all hyperlinks and what  
14 happens is, if you click on one of those, the first one  
15 will take you to pages 1 to 5. The second one will  
16 take you to Tab B and the third one to Tab C.

17 It is all the same material. It  
18 simply regurgitates the anti-Semitic material that is  
19 contained in many of the previous postings that have  
20 already been identified and entered as exhibits.

21 For example, page 1 of 5  
22 approximately halfway down the states:

23 "Jews have acted as a poisonous  
24 influence in America."

25 --- Pause

1 MR. WARMAN: It continues, talking in  
2 page 2 of 5:

3 "...the schemes of the  
4 International Jews. The  
5 adherents of this sinister  
6 confederacy are mostly men  
7 reared up among the unhappy  
8 populations of countries where  
9 Jews are persecuted on account  
10 of their race."

11 It then goes on to allege various  
12 people throughout history that are part of:

13 "...this world-wide conspiracy  
14 for the overthrow of  
15 civilisation and for the  
16 reconstitution of society on the  
17 basis of arrested development,  
18 of envious malevolence, and  
19 impossible equality..."

20 Under the heading "Terrorist Jews" it  
21 alleges that Jews were responsible:

22 "There is no need to exaggerate  
23 the part (Jews) played in the  
24 creation of Bolshevism and the  
25 ... Russian Revolution..."

1                   It continues with a discussion of  
2           "What is National Socialism?"

3                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr. Fromm...?

4                   MR. FROMM: Up until "What is  
5           National Socialism?", the material that Mr. Warman has  
6           just been referring to "International Jews" and  
7           "Terrorist Jews", was he saying that was Mr. Tremaine's  
8           writing?

9                   THE CHAIRPERSON: If I look at the  
10           document here -- and you will be able to cross-examine  
11           him on that -- but I believe that it is referring to  
12           what is suggested to be, Mr. Warman, an article in the  
13           London Sunday Herald of 1920 written by Winston  
14           Churchill, but I haven't seen the article. I would  
15           need to see the article to know exactly if that is  
16           what it is.

17                   Is that your understanding too,  
18           Mr. Warman?

19                   MR. WARMAN: Ultimately the only  
20           argument that I will be making in this regard is that  
21           Mr. Tremaine communicated or caused to be communicated  
22           this material.

23                   THE CHAIRPERSON: But you do agree  
24           that what is cited there is alleged to be taken from an  
25           article, but I haven't seen the article for myself.

1 MR. WARMAN: That is what the  
2 material indicates.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

4 --- Pause

5 MR. WARMAN: It then indicates that  
6 the Jews were responsible for starting the Second World  
7 War; it describes the Holocaust as a legend; The Myth  
8 of the Six Million; it refers people to the Zundelsite.

9 That same material is reprinted at  
10 B and C. In fact, there are minor changes  
11 back-and-forth between them.

12 One of them, Tab B, page 2 of 7  
13 includes what are described as "Napoleon's comments  
14 About the Jews". In bold there is the quote:

15 "The evils of the Jews do not  
16 stem from individuals but from  
17 the fundamental nature of this  
18 people."

19 Tab C, page 1 of 6, there is a  
20 further comment added that:

21 "Contrary to popular belief, it  
22 was jews and not Whites, who  
23 were responsible for the bulk of  
24 the financing, trafficking and  
25 sales of black slaves."

1                   Tab D contains the same kind of  
2 material. I would note at page 1 of 2 it states:

3                   "Alexander Solzhenitsyn declares  
4 the Jew Bolsheviks to be the  
5 stranglers of Russia and the  
6 genocide against millions of  
7 Russians was planned by Jews in  
8 1919".

9                   Apart from that I believe the  
10 material speaks for itself.

11                   MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you can  
12 go to Tab C, the last page, there is a book by --

13                   THE CHAIRPERSON: That would  
14 be page...?

15                   MS WARSAME: HR-35C, page 6 of 6.  
16 There is a book entitled  
17 "Apocalypse 1945".

18                   Are you familiar with that book?

19                   MR. WARMAN: I understand it to be a  
20 book by the British individual named David Irving, but  
21 I have not in fact read it.

22 --- Pause

23                   MS WARSAME: Can you now turn  
24 to Tab 36?

25                   I will ask you again to identify. I

1 understand Tab 36 contains a lot of pages and I will  
2 ask you to identify for the record.

3 MR. WARMAN: This is further to  
4 Mr. Tremaine's advertisement on Stormfront of the  
5 National-Socialist Constitution that was being  
6 developed. What this is, is this is a new website that  
7 I believe Mr. Tremaine is responsible for located at  
8 the URL nspcanada.org.

9 This is all material that was  
10 printed off by me on the 25th of July 2006 and given  
11 to the commission from that website.

12 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
13 to file the document.

14 THE REGISTRAR: The documents  
15 comprising "The National-Socialist Party of Canada",  
16 found at the nspcanada.org website, printed July 25,  
17 2006, found at Tab 36 of the Commission's Book of  
18 Documents, will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-36.

19 EXHIBIT NO. HR-36: Documents  
20 comprising "The  
21 National-Socialist Party of  
22 Canada", found at the  
23 nspcanada.org website, printed  
24 July 25, 2006, found at Tab 36  
25 of the Commission's Book of

1 Documents

2 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, before we  
3 start with the document, is this a printout of the  
4 entire site? This document, that is the entire site or  
5 is it only some part of the documents?

6 MR. WARMAN: No, this is not the  
7 entire website in its totality. What it is, is I went  
8 through what I would describe as the majority of the  
9 links, printed off the majority of the material that I  
10 found, and then submitted that.

11 I would quite readily admit that I  
12 may not have hit all the links on the website.

13 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

14 I will ask you now to go to the  
15 first page and quickly explain to the Tribunal what the  
16 first page is of HR-36.

17 MR. WARMAN: What this is, is this is  
18 the home page, the first page that you encounter when  
19 going to this website.

20 Along the left-hand border -- I guess  
21 from the start, at the top you see the flag that  
22 Mr. Tremaine was advertising as what he proposed to be  
23 the flag for the new party. It contains the title "The  
24 National-Socialist Party of Canada".

25 Along the left-hand side there is

1           what is generally described as a menu, a listing of  
2           links that if you click on it will take you to that  
3           particular section of the website.

4                           The beginning says "Welcome To the  
5           National-Socialist Party of Canada"

6                           It says:

7                                   "We are dedicated to the  
8                                   following:"

9                           One of the points is:

10                                   "Stopping in reversing the  
11                                   effects of multiculturalism  
12                                   . Resisting the Jewish/Zionist  
13                                   takeover of the most important  
14                                   social institutions of Canada  
15                                   . Restoring White sovereignty in  
16                                   Canada"

17                           And at the bottom:

18                                   "Building up the White birth  
19                                   rate to replacement levels so  
20                                   that non-White immigrants are  
21                                   not required for our labor  
22                                   force."

23                           I think it is important to note the  
24           "Jewish/Zionist" label to indicate that those are being  
25           used interchangeably.

1                   The other issues that I think are  
2           important on this page are the fact that just below the  
3           black border that says "Mein Kampf: NOW is the Time of  
4           Struggle!" it indicates that the site is "maintained by  
5           Terry Tremaine" and the material is copyright 2006.

6                   If you look at the links, just below  
7           "Home", the next link is "About the NSPC". Clicking on  
8           that takes you to the next page.

9                   The second paragraph of this page  
10          states:

11                                "We support Canada as an  
12                                independent and indelible  
13                                nation of White citizens  
14                                sovereign in its own living  
15                                space."

16                   It again repeats that the site is  
17          maintained by Terry Tremaine.

18                   The third link is "Goals of the  
19          NSPC". That takes you to the next page.

20                   It states that the goals include:

21                                "We regard the current regime as  
22                                being the servant of those who  
23                                would displace and marginalize  
24                                the White race and ultimately  
25                                bring about its destruction.

1 The NSPC is dedicated to the  
2 creation of a White racialist  
3 state in Canada. Such a state  
4 would restore the White  
5 sovereignty within Canada which  
6 has been lost through  
7 multiculturalism and Marxist  
8 Political Correctness.

9 To this and that we foresee  
10 the following steps:"

11 The first one is:

12 "Challenge by any and all legal  
13 means the Jewish influence on  
14 our news and entertainment mass  
15 media through developing our own  
16 media and utilizing the media of  
17 cooperating White  
18 organizations."

19 The second is:

20 "Establish local units  
21 throughout Canada which can be  
22 rapidly transformed into  
23 resistance cells as the future  
24 need arises."

25 The next link takes you to "The Seven

1       Points of National Socialism", which has already been  
2       seen in previous postings.

3                       The next page takes you to what is  
4       described as "The 14 Words".

5                       The next page takes you to  
6       "Policies". This is page 1 of 4.

7                       Under "Immigration" it states:

8                               "All non-White immigration  
9                               should be stopped entirely  
10                              including `refugees'. Only  
11                              Whites will be allowed to  
12                              immigrate to a  
13                              National-Socialist Canada."

14                      Under "Citizenship" it states:

15                              "Only those persons of at least  
16                              75% White European ancestry will  
17                              be citizens in a  
18                              National-Socialist Canada. No  
19                              racial Jew or anyone practicing  
20                              the Jewish religion will qualify  
21                              for citizenship. Those  
22                              permanent residents who do not  
23                              qualify for citizenship will  
24                              have the status of subjects.  
25                              Only citizens will have the

1 right to vote or be elected to  
2 public office."

3 The next page, page 2 of 4, under the  
4 heading "Homosexual `marriage'" it states:

5 "Marriage is between a man and a  
6 woman! Homosexuality may or may  
7 not be genetically determined.  
8 According to the best available  
9 science on the subject,  
10 something goes wrong in the  
11 wiring of the brain during fetal  
12 development. In any case, it is  
13 clearly a pathology whether  
14 recognized by the Psychiatric  
15 Association or not. It is also  
16 increasingly a chosen lifestyle  
17 among many young people who  
18 think it is `cool'. It is the  
19 position of the NSPC that  
20 homosexuals not be allowed to  
21 work in an environment where  
22 children or young adolescents  
23 will be present. They will not  
24 be allowed to adopt or care for  
25 foster children. They will not

1                                   be allowed to serve in the  
2                                   military."

3                                   The next page takes you to "The  
4                                   Constitution of National-Socialist Canada" and it  
5                                   repeats the same material that has been seen before.

6                                   The next page takes you to  
7                                   "Resistance" and the second paragraph states:

8                                   "However, it must be remembered  
9                                   that Canada is not currently a  
10                                  free country but is under  
11                                  Jewish/Zionist control. We are  
12                                  suffering under Judeo-Liberalism  
13                                  and drifting toward  
14                                  Judeo-Fascist tyranny."

15                                  The next section deals with  
16                                  "Electoral Politics"; the one after that "The Term  
17                                  `Nazi'; then "The Flag".

18                                  After that we come to the link to the  
19                                  page on "Membership". It states in bold:

20                                  "... to become a member he must  
21                                  be of 100% White racial  
22                                  ancestry!"

23                                  The next page provides links to a  
24                                  number of other material, including one that states  
25                                  "Proof from the Bible That Jews are Parasites".

1                   The next page links to a wide variety  
2 of White Supremacist and neo-Nazi websites.

3                   The following page advocates a  
4 boycott of Israel, including companies with products  
5 made in Israel. It then lists a wide variety of  
6 companies that are alleged to be in that position.

7                   The next page includes books that are  
8 available for sale on the website through amazon.com.

9                   The next section is Leaflets from the  
10 National-Socialist Party of Canada. As you go through  
11 them you can see the outline without necessarily the  
12 words clearly. Once you click on those it takes you to  
13 the actual flyers that are available on the website.  
14 Those are found after the end of that section.

15                   The first one states "Why  
16 Multiculturalism? Who is Responsible?" It states  
17 that Jews are in fact the people that are  
18 responsible for multiculturalism, the promotion of  
19 multiracial societies.

20                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr. Fromm...?

21                   MR. FROMM: Well, as far as I can  
22 see here, Mr. Chairman, we don't have the text. We are  
23 having Mr. Warman's testimony as to what is in the  
24 text, but I don't think we have the text in a readable  
25 form. It is extremely faint and I object to this going

1 in because you have --

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are you referring  
3 to the "Why Multiculturalism?"

4 MR. FROMM: Yes, the leaflet.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, if you go a  
6 little further in the photocopies the text is there.

7 MR. FROMM: I'm sorry.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: I guess that is  
9 what Mr. Warman was explaining, that you get those  
10 pictures and you click on them and you go to the text.

11 Is that what you were saying,  
12 Mr. Warman?

13 MR. WARMAN: Yes, it is.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

15 You can keep going, Mr. Warman.

16 MR. WARMAN: That says that the  
17 Jews solution to the problem of having "been despised  
18 and rejected in every country they have lived in for  
19 centuries past." is to introduce "`visible  
20 minorities' whom they can manipulate in opposition  
21 to the host population."

22 It states:

23 "Their solution makes our  
24 country more comfortable to them  
25 but not to us. BUT, they don't

1 give a damn about us or even the  
2 hordes of non-Whites they import  
3 to drown us in a brown swamp of  
4 third world biomass. They only  
5 care about themselves. They are  
6 a nation of wreckers and have  
7 been for centuries!"

8 Then in bold, a bigger font, it says:  
9 "Multiculturalism in is the  
10 displacement, marginalization,  
11 and eventual destruction of  
12 the host population -- The  
13 White Race!!!"

14 The next flyer that I would like to  
15 bring the Tribunal's attention to is one entitled  
16 "REMOVING NEGROES BACK TO AFRICA? YES!!!!"

17 I do this not because the material  
18 on the other flyers is not, in my opinion,  
19 discriminatory and in violation of the Act, but  
20 simply because to go through each and every one of  
21 them would delay the process.

22 This states that:

23 "Ever since pre-Revolutionary  
24 times, Americans had discussed  
25 sending freed slaves back to

1 Africa. The notion became a  
2 formal movement in December  
3 1816, when delegates from  
4 several states met in  
5 Washington, D.C., and organized  
6 the American Colonization  
7 Society. They voted to  
8 immediately begin seeking  
9 voluntary removal of U.S.  
10 Blacks to Africa or whatever  
11 place Congress thought fit."

12 It then states:

13 "It is time to refocus on  
14 this goal!!"

15 The next flyer is the following  
16 page and titled "JEW TUBE". For the record, it shows  
17 a Star of David within the screen of a television set  
18 and states:

19 "There is no greater power in  
20 the world today than that  
21 wielded by the manipulators of  
22 public opinion in America. No  
23 king or pope of old, no  
24 conquering general or high  
25 priest ever disposed of a power

1 even remotely approaching that  
2 of the few dozen men who control  
3 America's mass news and  
4 entertainment media.

5 Their power is not distant  
6 and impersonal; it reaches into  
7 every home in America, and it  
8 works its will during nearly  
9 every waking hour. It is the  
10 power that shapes and molds the  
11 mind of virtually every citizen,  
12 young or old, rich or poor,  
13 simple or sophisticated."

14 And in larger font in bold states:

15 "That power is mostly in the  
16 hands of Jews!!!!"

17 The next page is "NEGRO CRIME"

18 and states:

19 "Are Negroes more likely to  
20 commit crimes than Whites?  
21 According to crime statistics,  
22 Yes! In the United States they  
23 comprise 12% of the population  
24 but commit 64% of all violent  
25 crime and 71% of all robberies.

1                    Negro criminals choose White  
2                    victims in more than half of  
3                    their violent crimes. The  
4                    average Negro criminal is over  
5                    12 times more likely to kill a  
6                    White than vice versa."

7                    It states, in bolder font:

8                    "No amount of special programs  
9                    or incentives can change the  
10                   basic genetic nature of a race.  
11                   The only solution to the plague  
12                   of Negro crime is repatriation  
13                   back to Africa!!"

14                   The next flyer is entitled "THE NEW  
15                   WORLD ORDER" and states:

16                   "The New World Order could just  
17                   as well be called The Jew World  
18                   Order -- a world owned and  
19                   operated by Jews for the benefit  
20                   of Jews."

21                   The next section after the flyers is  
22                   the "Media" and that contains much the same  
23                   information as provided in the website pages on the  
24                   disclosure of Mr. Tremaine.

25                   The final page in this section, this

1 exhibit, is the "Contact" information. When you click  
2 on the "Contact" link it brings you to this page and  
3 it states:

4 "Contact the NSPC  
5 Mailing Address:  
6 NSPC  
7 c/o Terry Tremaine  
8 P.O. Box ..."

9 It gives a P.O. Box in Regina,  
10 Saskatchewan. I believe that is relevant because it is  
11 my understanding that Mr. Tremaine in fact does live in  
12 Regina and of course it is his name.

13 --- Pause

14 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you  
15 please now turn to Tab 37. I understand there is a  
16 sub-tab A and B.

17 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

18 MS WARSAME: Can you identify the  
19 document for the record?

20 MR. WARMAN: Tab A is a Stormfront  
21 thread entitled "My Story -- by Terry Tremaine",  
22 printed off by me on the 2nd of August 2006 and  
23 submitted to the Commission.

24 It then, within that posting, links  
25 to what is described as Mr. Tremaine's story, which is

1 then provided until you come to Tab 37B.

2 Tab 37B is a Stormfront thread  
3 entitled "Breaking News -- Canada Thought Police Strike  
4 Again", printed off by me on the 28th of July 2006 and  
5 provided to the Commission.

6 MS WARSAME: Ms Bacon, I would like  
7 to file Tabs 37A and B.

8 THE REGISTRAR: As one exhibit again?

9 MS WARSAME: Yes, please.

10 THE REGISTRAR: The stormfront.org  
11 thread entitled "My Story -- by Terry Tremaine",  
12 printed August 2, 2006, including a document entitled  
13 "My Story"; the stormfront.org thread titled "Breaking  
14 News -- Canada Thought Police Strike Again", printed  
15 July 28, 2006, found at Tabs 37A and B of the  
16 Commission's Book of Documents, will be filed as  
17 Commission Exhibit HR-37.

18 EXHIBIT NO. HR-37:  
19 Stormfront.org thread entitled  
20 "My Story -- by Terry Tremaine",  
21 printed August 2, 2006,  
22 including a document entitled  
23 "My Story"; the stormfront.org  
24 thread titled "Breaking News --  
25 Canada Thought Police Strike



1 is replete with anti-Semitic and anti-black material,  
2 but if you turn to what is indicated to be -- I'm not  
3 sure if everyone's copy is the same, it has page 10 at  
4 the top of the fax.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Could you show me  
6 what you are referring to?

7 MR. WARMAN: Yes. The first words  
8 are "through to today. Hitler did not call it  
9 Political Correctness."

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is that in the text  
11 "My Story"?

12 MR. WARMAN: Yes, it is.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: There are no page  
14 numbers unfortunately.

15 MR. WARMAN: All right. I just  
16 have a copy that has the fax pages on it. So if you  
17 go ahead --

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: What do you say  
19 it states?

20 MR. WARMAN: It states "through to  
21 today" at the very top. Those are the first words. It  
22 is the fifth page in.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Let's do this --  
24 could you read that again, what you are referring to?

25 MR. WARMAN: Yes. At the very top it

1 says "through to today" are the initial words.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, all right. It  
3 is page 5.

4 MS WARSAME: Mr. Chair, maybe for the  
5 record we can number the document. It will be easier  
6 to refer to.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Starting with  
8 "My Story", that will be page 1, then on the reverse  
9 side would be page 2 through to page 14.

10 MS WARSAME: Yes, that is correct.

11 --- Pause

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: So we will be  
13 at page 5.

14 Mr. Warman...?

15 MR. WARMAN: Yes, sorry. I'm  
16 just marking.

17 So at page 5 it states first  
18 Mr. Tremaine's teaching period in the Bahamas.

19 It states:

20 "... by the time I was there a  
21 year I had come to the  
22 conclusion that most of the  
23 Negroes in the Bahamas were  
24 innately stupid."

25 He continues on with regard to his

1 opinion about their IQs. He states:

2 "I kept thinking that the  
3 average truck driver in Canada  
4 has more brains than 99% of  
5 the Negroes in that country. So  
6 my views on race began to  
7 change. I did not think the 80  
8 IQ could be explained  
9 culturally, though no doubt some  
10 would like to make that case.  
11 The Bahamians do not have the  
12 same excuse for being stupid as  
13 the American Negroes. They are  
14 an overwhelming majority in that  
15 country and have run their own  
16 show since 1973. Slavery was  
17 abolished in The Bahamas in  
18 1837. But they are still  
19 stupid. Not all but most."

20 At page 6, the second paragraph  
21 alleges that blacks:

22 "...have a natural tendency to  
23 violence as a first resort and  
24 to be natural born thieves."

25 --- Pause

1                   MR. WARMAN:  If you turn to page 8 it  
2           states in the first paragraph:

3                   "From the little I know about  
4           `Jewish thought', it does not  
5           put much store in the idea of  
6           progress.  That was an Aryan  
7           idea, that things can be made  
8           better through human effort and  
9           will.  Jews think in terms of  
10          `return' and the restoration of  
11          a past glory (that never really  
12          existed except in the Bible),  
13          rebuilding the Jewish temple for  
14          example and having their old  
15          bloody sacrifices again.  I got  
16          to the point where I could smell  
17          a Jewish stink in the very words  
18          `post-modern', `critique', and  
19          `deconstruction'."

20                   It continues:

21                   "I now think that Jews want to  
22           deconstruct civilization in its  
23           entirety because civilization to  
24           them is a White `construct' and  
25           not a Jewish one.  I began to

1 see multiculturalism as part of  
2 that deliberate attempt to  
3 deconstruct White civilization.

4 He continues:

5 "Feminism, multiculturalism, and  
6 homosexual rights are the three  
7 ugly sisters the Jews are using  
8 to carry out that  
9 deconstruction. Feminism  
10 destroys the traditional family.  
11 If you read feminist literature  
12 (mostly by Jewesses) you will  
13 see that such an outcome was  
14 their intention.  
15 Multiculturalism destroys a  
16 sense of collective identity  
17 among Whites and makes a truly  
18 national community impossible.  
19 Homosexual rights gives an  
20 assist to the destruction of the  
21 traditional family structure  
22 through the redefinition of  
23 marriage and also destroys the  
24 traditional concept of  
25 manliness."

**StenoTran**

1 --- Pause

2 MR. WARMAN: On page 9 of talks  
3 again, it repeats the same allegations that Jews are  
4 responsible for communism.

5 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if I can  
6 direct your attention to page 8 at the bottom, the  
7 last paragraph, there is a reference to a Mein Kampf by  
8 Hitler.

9 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

10 Mr. Tremaine states:

11 "As these realizations unfolded  
12 I began to read more intensely  
13 in the area of White  
14 Nationalism."

15 He then indicates that he purchased a  
16 copy of Hitler's Mein Kampf and that to him this was,  
17 continuing on the next page:

18 "...like discovering the Rosetta  
19 Stone."

20 He then goes on to describe it "as  
21 the survival manual for the White race" and that he  
22 still regards it as such.

23 He then goes on to talk about  
24 his beliefs with regards to Jews and communism  
25 and capitalism.

1 --- Pause

2 MR. WARMAN: Page 10, the second  
3 paragraph states:

4 "Hitler divided races into three  
5 categories: Those who can  
6 create and maintain  
7 civilization; those who can  
8 maintain but not create  
9 civilization; and those who can  
10 do neither but are destroyers of  
11 civilization. The Aryan  
12 (= White) peoples created  
13 civilization. Asians and some  
14 Semites are able to maintain  
15 civilization. Jews and Negroes  
16 are destroyers of civilization.  
17 The Jews are especially  
18 dangerous to any civilization  
19 because not only are they  
20 destroyers but of the worst  
21 kind, parasites."

22 --- Pause

23 MR. WARMAN: At page 12 he lists what  
24 Hitler said about Jews in Mein Kampf.

25 At page 13 he states:

1 "If you want to read what is  
2 perhaps the oldest account of  
3 the life cycle of the Jew  
4 parasite it is found in the  
5 Jews' own book, the Old  
6 Testament of the Bible."

7 At the end, on page 14 he states:

8 "At one point I had to ask  
9 myself, do I want to live in a  
10 world owned and operated by Jews  
11 for the benefit of Jews? Do I  
12 want to live in a world  
13 controlled by the world  
14 destroying parasites or do I  
15 want to do something about it?  
16 Do I wanted to sit and watch  
17 while Whites are destroyed as a  
18 people with no alternative but  
19 to merge with -- and eventually  
20 disappear into -- the great  
21 brown swamp of Third World  
22 biomass? I decided to do  
23 something about it."

24 MS WARSAME: Can you now go to Tab B

25 of HR-37.

1                   MR. WARMAN: This is a thread on  
2                   Stormfront started by a person using the pseudonym  
3                   "Exterminance", indicating that two individuals had  
4                   been the subject of the execution of a search warrant  
5                   by the British Columbia RCMP.

6                   As you continue through there, the  
7                   fourth page at the bottom, there is a posting by  
8                   Mr. Tremaine. He posts or reposts material from an  
9                   earlier poster stating:

10                   "We are indeed! The nightmare  
11                   of our neighbor to the north is  
12                   beyond the experience of those  
13                   of us who live in the US.  
14                   Canada has devolved from a  
15                   progressive democracy, to a  
16                   Soviet-style dictatorship. With  
17                   regard to this creature, Warman.  
18                   How can one individual take away  
19                   the rights and lives of so many  
20                   patriotic Canadians? Who does  
21                   he own in the Canadian  
22                   government? It seems he has  
23                   more juice than Jesse Jackson,  
24                   Fat Al, or almost any single jew  
25                   in America."

1                   After that Mr. Tremaine posts:  
2                   "Warman is just the front man,  
3                   the performing monkey, for the  
4                   B'nai Brith and the Canadian  
5                   Jewish Congress. They run this  
6                   country and it is sinking into  
7                   the bottomless pit of Jew Hell."

8           --- Pause

9                   MS WARSAME: Can you specify for the  
10                  record the date of that posting by Terry Tremaine?

11                  MR. WARMAN: It was printed off on  
12                  the 28th of July 2006. The posting indicates that  
13                  it was "Yesterday" from that date, thus the 27th of  
14                  July 2006.

15                  MS WARSAME: Thank you.

16           --- Pause

17                  MS WARSAME: Just for the record, can  
18                  you go back to HR-37A?

19                  Can you again identify the date for  
20                  the posting by Terry Tremaine?

21                  MR. WARMAN: It indicates that it was  
22                  posted "Today" and the print-off by me was on the 2nd  
23                  of August 2006.

24                  MS WARSAME: Thank you.

25                  Mr. Warman, the Commission does not

1           have any more questions, but as a separate party you  
2           can provide evidence if you feel like it.

3                         THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you have  
4           anything you would want to add at this point,  
5           Mr. Warman?

6                         MR. WARMAN: No, thank you.

7                         THE CHAIRPERSON: Nothing else.

8                         So that concludes the examination  
9           of Mr. Warman.

10                        I said we would go on until 4:30. We  
11           could start the cross-examination of Mr. Warman, but I  
12           believe it would probably be better to postpone it  
13           until tomorrow morning at 9:30.

14                        So we will adjourn until tomorrow  
15           morning at 9:30, when we will start with the  
16           cross-examination of Mr. Warman at that time.

17                        You are still under oath, Mr. Warman.

18                        Thank you very much. We will see you  
19           tomorrow morning.

20           --- Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 4:05 p.m.,  
21                        to resume on Thursday, August 10, 2006  
22                        at 9:30 a.m.


23

24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE, to  
the best of my skill and  
ability, accurately taken down  
and transcribed the foregoing.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jean Desaulniers  
Stenomask Reporter