

**CANADIAN  
HUMAN RIGHTS  
TRIBUNAL**



**TRIBUNAL CANADIEN  
DES DROITS  
DE LA PERSONNE**

**BETWEEN/ENTRE:**

**RICHARD WARMAN**

**Complainant**

**le plaignant**

**and/et**

**CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION**

**Commission**

**la Commission**

**and/et**

**WESTERN CANADA FOR US AND GLENN BAHR**

**Respondents**

**les l'intimés**

**BEFORE/DEVANT:**

**JULIE LLOYD**

**THE CHAIRPERSON/  
LA PRÉSIDENTE**

**LINE JOYAL**

**REGISTRY OFFICER/  
L'AGENTE DU GREFFE**

**FILE NO./N<sup>o</sup> CAUSE:**

**T1087/6805**

**VOLUME:**

**4**

**LOCATION/ENDROIT:**

**EDMONTON, ALBERTA**

**DATE:**

**2006-05-26**

**PAGES:**

**670 - 877**

**StenoTran**

CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS TRIBUNAL/  
TRIBUNAL CANADIEN DES DROITS DE LA PERSONNE

HEARING HELD IN THE QUEEN ELIZABETH ROOM, DELTA EDMONTON CENTRE,  
10222 102 STREET, EDMONTON, ALBERTA ON FRIDAY, MAY 26, 2006,  
AT 9:30 A.M. LOCAL TIME

IN THE MATTER OF a complaint filed by Richard Warman dated June 8, 2004, pursuant to Section 13(1) of the Canadian Human Rights Act against Western Canada For Us and Glenn Bahr. The complainant alleges that the respondents have engaged in a discriminatory practice on the grounds of religion, sexual orientation, race, colour, national and/or ethnic origin and disability in the matter related to the usage of telecommunication undertaking.

APPEARANCES/COMPARUTIONS

Richard Warman	on his own behalf
Giacomo Vigna Ikrame Warsame	on behalf of the Commission
Paul Fromm	on behalf of Glenn Bahr

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Previously sworn: Stephen Camp	694
Examination (con't) by Mr. Fromm	694
Affirmed: Richard Warman	745
Examination by Ms Warsame	746

LIST OF EXHIBITS

NO.		PAGE
HR-21	Complaint filed by Richard Warman and the Canadian Human Rights Commission dated June 8, 2004	746
HR-22	The summary of complaint signed by Richard Warman dated June 8, 2004	750
HR-23	Thread titled "Stormfront forum new here, anyone in Surrey BC"	753
HR-24	Copy of a thread found on the stormfront.org website titled "Eagle Tattoo"	756
HR-25	A copy of the thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Tatoos"	761
HR-26	Copy of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Should SF remove its National Socialist (NS) symbology page?"	763
HR-27	The copy of a thread found on the Stormfront printout or website entitled "Happy Birthday Paul Fromm"	778
HR-28	A copy of a thread found in the stormfront.org website entitled "Warman files complaint against WCFU"	781
HR-29	Five-page document of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Warman files complaint against WCFU"	785
HR-30	A copy of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "video of the raid on my place last May"	787
HR-31	An article found on the CBC website entitled "Edmonton Police investigate hate crime seizure"	790

LIST OF EXHIBITS

NO.		PAGE
HR-32	A nine-page document of the thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "video of the raid on my place last May"	792
HR-33	A copy of the thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Glenn Bahr charged with 'Hate'"	799
HR-34	An article found under CBC website entitled "Edmonton rally shows support for Zundel"	802
HR-35	A copy of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "pics of us proud white folks?" printed on January 24, 2004	805
HR-36	A copy of Glenn Bahr's British Columbia driver's license	809
HR-37	Copy of Glenn Bahr's picture	810
HR-38	Copy of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Pic thread!"	811
HR-39	A picture of Glenn Bahr printed by Mr. Warman on February 5, 2005	812
HR-40	Document printed by Mr. Warman dated February 22, 2004 from the Western Canada for Us website	813
HR-41	Copy of the thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "W.C.F.U. needs web designer"	816
HR-42	Four-page document of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "W.C.F.U. needs web designer"	821
HR-43	A copy of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "WCFU Online!"	822

LIST OF EXHIBITS

NO.		PAGE
HR-44	Copy of the thread found on Western Canada For Us website entitled "Homosexuals"	824
HR-45	Copy of the thread found at Western Canada For Us website entitled "Letters to the Winnipeg Sun Printed Today"	829
HR-46	Copy of the CD-ROM entitled "Warman v. Bahr & Western Canada For Us 8 June, 2004. 1) Complaint; 2) Evidence downloaded texts & forum (WCFU) materials"	837
HR-47	A copy of a thread found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled "Application"	845
HR-48	Article found on the Winnipeg CBC website entitled "Group attacks police, protestors - but not members"	852
HR-49	A copy of a thread found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled "My resignation"	856
HR-50	A copy of the thread found in the Western Canada For Us website entitled "Question for racists"	863
HR-51	Copy of the thread found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled "Battle lines drawn over gay marriage"	867
HR-52	Copy of the thread found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled "WhiteEuroCanadian is no longer here"	870

1 Edmonton, Alberta

2 --- Upon resuming on Friday, May 26, 2006

3 at 9:30 a.m.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning,  
5 everyone. Be seated please.

6 MR. VIGNA: Madam Chair.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

8 MR. VIGNA: I would like to make a  
9 few submissions additional to where we left off  
10 yesterday.

11 I had a chance to speak on the  
12 specific objection that you were to rule on this  
13 morning.

14 And before you rule on the issue, I  
15 was made aware of the serious concerns that are  
16 involved with answering the question that was asked in  
17 relation to the identity; and as a compromise we could  
18 even perhaps agree, the complainant and the Commission,  
19 to have the part of the thread which deals with Estates  
20 disregarded from the evidence so that we don't have  
21 to -- so that there is no questions that are need to be  
22 asked in relation to that.

23 If that is not a feasible option,  
24 Madam Chair, another -- we would like to stress again  
25 that there is a public interest issue that would be

1 seriously affecting jeopardy of the investigation: The  
2 safety of the officers.

3 I believe it is Section 38 of the  
4 Canada Evidence Act. I haven't had a chance to verify  
5 it, but the officer is familiar with the section and  
6 often invokes it before the criminal codes -- criminal  
7 courts, and I believe in the preliminary inquiry he had  
8 invoked the same section on the same issue, if I am not  
9 mistaken.

10 Is that the case, Mr. Camp?

11 MR. CAMP: That is correct.

12 MR. VIGNA: And finally, if the  
13 question needs to be asked, perhaps another option  
14 would be that to have an in-camera hearing to discuss  
15 the actual security issues because I can't reveal more  
16 than that because it would be defeating the purpose  
17 and...

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

19 I spent some time yesterday evening  
20 considering the question --

21 MR. FROMM: Could I --

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure. Can I  
23 just -- can I do this, and then I am expecting  
24 representations after that.

25 I thought about this last night, and

1 I went through the complaint and the statements of  
2 particulars, and, you know, we are trying to determine  
3 relevance. We need to go back to first principles, and  
4 so that is what I have done.

5 One of the -- and so I am just going  
6 to sort of outline and just remind us all what the  
7 complaint is and what the respondent is telling us.

8 The issue that we have here is we  
9 have got a couple of questions that were asked of  
10 Sergeant Camp by the respondent. One of them was to  
11 identify a moniker, a particular pseudonym, I guess,  
12 that may or may not have been used on one or both of  
13 the websites that are in question here; and the other  
14 is to identify whether a particular post was -- or  
15 posts were made by Sergeant Camp.

16 The Commission, of course, is taking  
17 the position that it is not relevant.

18 The sergeant is refusing to answer by  
19 reason that -- the impact on an ongoing investigation,  
20 and the respondent says it is relevant.

21 So a starting point to determine here  
22 whether it is relevant is the complaint itself, all  
23 right. We have got a couple different allegations.

24 The first is that Mr. Bahr and WCFU  
25 violated 13(1) by communicating messages through the

1 internet site; and the second is that Mr. Bahr and WCFU  
2 published a notice pursuant -- in a violation of  
3 Section 12(a).

4 And then we are looking at the  
5 particulars, and this is where my concern arises  
6 because the particulars, in my view, have some  
7 vagueness to them, and perhaps if we can get some  
8 clarity on that it will help resolve the relevance  
9 issues.

10 In the particulars, first the  
11 allegation is that Mr. Bahr posted, himself posted  
12 material on Stormfront using pseudonym SS-88 and Glenn  
13 Bahr posted the discriminatory material on the WCFU  
14 website using names SS-88 and Glenn. So there is some  
15 part of the allegation that is very specific to  
16 Mr. Bahr.

17 There is also in the complaint a note  
18 that there is a broad range of discriminatory materials  
19 disclosed in the evidence accompanying the complaint,  
20 and then some examples are provided below, and there  
21 are examples of specific posts by SS-88 and Glenn.

22 There is also an allegation that  
23 Glenn Bahr offered literature downloads off the WCFU  
24 site, and examples are listed there.

25 The 12(a) violation, the allegation

1 is that Glenn Bahr designed and created the WCFU  
2 website, and the 12(a) complaint goes to the 10  
3 Commandments post that we reviewed a couple of days  
4 ago.

5 Now it is not clear to me from the  
6 particulars if the content, if the content of the  
7 discussion forum is argued to contribute to the Section  
8 13(1) violation; and in particular, the role of the  
9 WCFU in this complaint isn't drawn really clearly.

10 So it seems to me that if the  
11 complaint, if the complainant and the Commission are  
12 alleging that the content of the discussion forums,  
13 other than the impugned posts that are alleged to be  
14 made by SS-88 and Glenn, contribute to the Section 13  
15 violation, then questions regarding what, if any, posts  
16 are made by Sergeant Camp during the relevant period  
17 are relevant.

18 If the complainant is not alleging  
19 that the content of the discussion forums, other than  
20 those specific posts by SS-88 and Glenn, then the  
21 questions aren't relevant.

22 Now the respondent has suggested that  
23 posts might have been made with an intent to entrap and  
24 provoke and those aren't defences under the Act, and so  
25 that does not -- so those questions do not become

1 relevant for that reason.

2 But that is the question that I need  
3 to have some answers on.

4 Now I hear counsel saying that they  
5 might agree to stay away from some particular threads.  
6 I don't know that that helps us here particularly.

7 So I think question number 1 is to  
8 decide whether this question is relevant, and, in my  
9 view, this is how we need to approach that question.

10 Then the second part of the question  
11 is I am happy to hear arguments under the Canada  
12 Evidence Act or any arguments that somebody wants to  
13 put forward to suggest that there are some reasons why  
14 we can decline an answer or a question can be declined.

15 Finally, the jurisdiction of the  
16 Commission does not include a jurisdiction with respect  
17 to contempt, so I can allow a question to be asked; I  
18 can't compel an answer. I can and will, if I have  
19 decided it is relevant, draw a negative inference from  
20 a refusal to answer a question.

21 So that is the way I am seeing this  
22 right now.

23 So if somebody could talk to me -- I  
24 am sorry, you have got your hand up?

25 MR. BAHR: Yeah. I would just like

1 to make a statement why I think it is important.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

3 MR. BAHR: Okay. The person with the  
4 user name Estate was --

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: I beg your pardon?

6 MR. BAHR: The person with the user  
7 name Estate under question that was on the forum of  
8 Stormfront and WCFU, he was an integral part of the  
9 WCFU. He came to our protests. He came to our meeting  
10 and --

11 MR. WARMAN: I am sorry, but that  
12 sounds awfully like giving evidence rather than making  
13 argument.

14 MR. BAHR: Well, it has something to  
15 do with the whole thing.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Go ahead.

17 MR. BAHR: I mean, he helped build  
18 the organization. He put forward ideas. He took part  
19 in discussions.

20 I mean, if this tribunal wants a fair  
21 trial, I mean, I can't even get to any evidence because  
22 it is objected to because they say there is  
23 investigations and stuff? I don't think that is fair.  
24 I mean, Estate --

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, we are not

1 quite to that point yet.

2 MR. BAHR: Right.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: At this point I am  
4 trying to understand where we are at from a relevance  
5 perspective --

6 MR. BAHR: But I mean --

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- and I understand  
8 your point that even if, that if it is relevant you  
9 feel that the evidence should be disclosed whether or  
10 not there is an ongoing criminal investigation.

11 But let us start with the first part  
12 of the formula too because depending on the answer  
13 there we might not need to get to the other --

14 MR. BAHR: Okay.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- to the other,  
16 okay?

17 MR. BAHR: Thanks.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

19 So can I hear perhaps from Mr. Warman  
20 on the question of relevance?

21 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, I am sorry,  
22 but given the sort of areas that you have asked to be  
23 addressed, I am just wondering if I could have 5  
24 minutes to speak with my clients please.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

1 MR. VIGNA: I was going to ask for  
2 same...

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

4 --- Recessed

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Hello everyone.  
6 Please be seated.

7 MR. FROMM: Madam Chairman, before we  
8 proceed on this, could I just clarify something I said  
9 yesterday.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure.

11 MR. FROMM: I think I asked you to  
12 get your colleagues off my back when I was being what I  
13 thought was interrupted.

14 What I -- I may have left the  
15 impression that I was considering you to be working  
16 with Mr. Vigna and Mr. Warman.

17 What I really meant is that your  
18 fellow lawyers. I did not mean to imply anything more  
19 sinister - just a couple of lawyers.

20 I don't know if -- I was thinking  
21 about this driving away yesterday that I may have left  
22 an impression I didn't intend to leave.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that,  
24 Mr. Fromm, and, no, I didn't get that from your  
25 comment.

1                   And, you know, as a lawyer, I know  
2                   the frustration of trying to ask questions and not  
3                   being able to do it. So I know what that feels like,  
4                   so sympathies.

5                   So where are we at here? Somebody is  
6                   missing.

7                   MR. VIGNA: Well, my colleague is  
8                   coming, but we can continue.

9                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

10                  MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, there is  
11                  just one or two points I would like to clarify by  
12                  virtue of your previous statements just before the  
13                  pause, if I could please.

14                  During the teleconference call with  
15                  Member Jensen just before the start of the hearing, it  
16                  may have, in fact, been the last teleconference call,  
17                  it was clarified that there was confusion as to whether  
18                  it was the Section 13 complaint had been referred by  
19                  the Commission or whether it was the Section 13 and the  
20                  Section 12 complaint.

21                  I clarified for Madam Jensen that  
22                  if -- even if the Section 12 complaint had been  
23                  referred to the tribunal by the Commission that I was  
24                  content simply to let that fall by the wayside just in  
25                  the interests of a more expeditious hearing.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That is  
2 helpful. That tidies things up a little bit here.  
3 Okay. Good.

4 MR. WARMAN: I would like to clarify  
5 as well that there are, in fact, or just emphasize that  
6 there are, in fact, two complaints that are here before  
7 the tribunal. There is the complaint against an  
8 individual respondent Glenn Bahr, and then there is a  
9 complaint against what we allege is a group of  
10 individuals acting in concert against under the name  
11 Western Canada For Us.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

13 MR. WARMAN: In terms of the  
14 complaint against the individual respondent Mr. Bahr  
15 and the forum postings, I would like to emphasize that  
16 all of Mr. Bahr's postings, whether they are on  
17 Stormfront or whether they are on WCFU, are the only  
18 postings -- are the only forum postings that we  
19 consider to be relevant or that we will be advancing as  
20 the basis of his liability under the Act.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

22 And what about -- I am sorry.

23 MR. WARMAN: Just getting there.

24 In terms of the broader forum  
25 postings that are not alleged to be by Mr. Bahr on --

1           that stem from the WCFU website, I would like to  
2           emphasize that those are in relation to the complaint  
3           against the group respondent WCFU only.

4                         THE CHAIRPERSON:   Okay.

5                         MR. WARMAN:   By virtue of that, I  
6           would also like to make the submission that Mr. Fromm  
7           is not eligible to ask questions in relation to those  
8           broader forum postings because they do not relate to  
9           the person that he is currently acting as agent on  
10          behalf of.

11                        He has indicated quite clearly that  
12          he does not represent WCFU, that he does not have  
13          instructions to represent them, and that, therefore,  
14          questions regarding the broader forum postings to the  
15          extent that they go to the question of liability for  
16          the group respondent are not open.

17                        THE CHAIRPERSON:   I do recall reading  
18          that position expressed by Mr. Fromm several times.  It  
19          would -- okay.

20                        So at the end of the day you are  
21          relying on the broader postings, you are relying on  
22          them with respect to WCFU only, and the respondent's  
23          position that has been stated fairly consistently is  
24          that WCFU is not a proper respondent, and that is their  
25          defence with respect to the status of WCFU as a

1           respondent; and so, therefore, questions in that  
2           context are not relevant for that reason.

3                         MR. WARMAN: Not only that, but  
4           Mr. Fromm has stated repeatedly in the past, including  
5           at this hearing, that he does not represent WCFU and  
6           therefore --

7                         THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I think maybe  
8           that is too fine a point for me but -- because clearly  
9           Mr. Bahr could ask those questions; but I think perhaps  
10          I am more persuaded, I guess, by the suggestion that  
11          the defence with respect to WCFU is not that the posts  
12          were not made, but rather that that entity is not a  
13          proper entity before the tribunal.

14                        Mr. Fromm?

15                        MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, sorry.

16                        THE CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry.

17                        MR. WARMAN: If I may. There is one  
18          other --

19                        THE CHAIRPERSON: No, please go.

20                        MR. WARMAN: So the other -- that  
21          your point, of course, leads to if Mr. Bahr were to ask  
22          those questions we would ask him to establish how it is  
23          that he represents WCFU.

24                        And --

25                        THE CHAIRPERSON: Carry on.

1                   MR. WARMAN: -- the last point I  
2 would make is that Sergeant Camp over the break has had  
3 an opportunity to speak with his colleagues and  
4 superiors at the Edmonton Police Service as well as  
5 other -- I won't go into -- he has had a chance to  
6 confirm that there are no further ongoing issues in  
7 relation to -- we won't be continuing with the Section  
8 37 Canada Evidence Act objection.

9                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

10                   Mr. Fromm?

11                   MR. VIGNA: I got the same comments,  
12 Madam Chair, for the Commission --

13                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, sorry,  
14 Mr. Vigna.

15                   MR. VIGNA: -- but we had conferred  
16 together so I have got the same comments.

17                   MR. BAHR: I would just like to speak  
18 on my behalf about WCFU and me representing it.

19                   I think that -- I don't think I  
20 should have to convict myself in any way in trying to  
21 cross-examine about the argument that I controlled WCFU  
22 like Richard Warman asked. He asked that if I am to  
23 continue asking Mr. Camp about WCFU and Estate that I  
24 have to give reasonable grounds what my role was before  
25 asking those questions, and I think I have to object to

1           that.

2                                 THE CHAIRPERSON:   Okay.

3                                 The point that Mr. Warman and  
4           Mr. Vigna are making is that when we, again, go back to  
5           first principles and look at the complaint and look at  
6           the response to the complaint, the response with  
7           respect to the WCFU part of the complaint from the  
8           respondent is that they are not a proper party, that  
9           they shouldn't be -- and, you know, for that reason any  
10          allegations made against them are moot from the start.

11                                And I find that argument fairly  
12          compelling actually because questions with respect to  
13          whether, you know, what the contributions were or what  
14          the content was or who contributed those contents don't  
15          seem to relate at all to the defence that has been put  
16          forward with respect to WCFU.

17                                And furthermore, I don't have anyone  
18          here that is either a representative of WCFU or on  
19          their behalf of WCFU.

20                                So I see that as a fairly significant  
21          issue.

22                                Anyway, Mr. Fromm, do you have  
23          anything?

24                                MR. FROMM:   It would streamline the  
25          hearings, I think, if, Madam Chairman, you did make a

1 ruling on, and I think maybe you have gone some  
2 distance in that direction as to the status of the part  
3 of the complaint that deals with WCFU, and I continue  
4 to rely on the Commission's own report which identified  
5 WCFU.com and the Western Canada For Us as two websites.  
6 Our submission has been consistently that -- that those  
7 are the equivalent of 12202 -- whatever the street is  
8 out here. It's a street --

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I read your  
10 submissions on 644 Slater Street I think it was.

11 MR. FROMM: Right.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: So, yes, I  
13 understand your position with respect to that.

14 MR. FROMM: So if that were then  
15 moved aside, and we are talking about the initial  
16 complaint, and if I understand your I guess it was a  
17 suggestion that that -- that the complaint be -- or  
18 your request for clarification is the complaint limited  
19 to the posts that were cited in Mr. Warman's complaint,  
20 which I think were five in number by Mr. Bahr, if -- if  
21 the complaint is limited to that, then that is  
22 certainly something much -- much more compact that I  
23 think we can deal with.

24 If it is expanded to all of  
25 Mr. Bahr's posts on Stormfront or WCFU that makes it --

1 still makes it very difficult for us to deal with  
2 because does that mean we must go through every one of  
3 the posts and discuss it? I continue to ask for  
4 clarification.

5 If it is all the threads that either  
6 Mr. Bahr started or Mr. Bahr contributed to then I  
7 would still be interested to know if there -- an answer  
8 to my question as to whether Officer Camp was posting  
9 there or under what name to see if in those threads as  
10 they developed there was incitement or agitation.

11 As we went through some of the  
12 threads the other day we would see that Mr. Bahr would  
13 post a newspaper story without comment, and somebody  
14 would come on with a comment, somebody else would have  
15 another comment. There might be -- maybe there would  
16 be some comments that might be inflammatory; other  
17 comments were, you know, just more or less people's  
18 opinion and so on. This might be helpful in determining  
19 what exactly Mr. Bahr had done and what the  
20 responsibilities were.

21 I am also glad, if I understood  
22 Mr. Warman correctly, to learn that there is not -- we  
23 are not going to be told that none of this can be  
24 discussed because of an ongoing police investigation  
25 because it would have been my submission that in the

1 case of Mr. Bahr and Western Canada For Us and the 319  
2 charges, the investigation has already been complete,  
3 we have the fruits of the investigation before us, that  
4 would not seem like a very credible excuse.

5 MR. VIGNA: Just to clarify, Madam  
6 Chair, so there is no confusion.

7 Western Canada For Us we still  
8 maintain is a party to the...

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh yes. I  
10 understand.

11 MR. VIGNA: Because from the  
12 respondent's perspective I want to make that clear.

13 It is just that we are not going to  
14 have Mr. Bahr be associated to Western Canada For Us in  
15 terms of liability, but we still continue to maintain  
16 that it is a party to this proceeding.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I am clear on  
18 that.

19 Thank you.

20 MR. VIGNA: Okay.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

22 I am concerned, Mr. Fromm and  
23 Mr. Bahr, the suggestion has been, I think what I am  
24 hearing from you, is that even though the broader  
25 postings are going to be analyzed with respect to

1 WCFU's liability only, there has been a suggestion that  
2 somebody, perhaps Sergeant Camp, didn't just post but  
3 played a more integral role in the formulation or the  
4 development of the site; and, in particular, what we  
5 reviewed on the website was two different elements that  
6 Mr. Bahr is being -- is being suggested that he did.

7 The first is posts certainly, and  
8 that is just, you know, commentary that goes along; but  
9 the other thing that I heard in the last couple of days  
10 is that Mr. Bahr also selected topics, took news  
11 articles or, you know, different things to start, to  
12 initiate the discussion.

13 So he did -- the suggestion is he did  
14 two things: He initiated discussions by posting  
15 articles, and then he contributed to discussions; and  
16 what I am hearing here is that perhaps the themes,  
17 perhaps some of these themes were, in fact, encouraged  
18 or suggested by a third party, for example, Mr. Camp or  
19 Sergeant Camp rather.

20 And so I am saying that that is a  
21 relevant inquiry, and I realize, and we have made some  
22 inquiries here, and Sergeant Camp may or may not have a  
23 statutory excuse or exclusion from asking a particular  
24 question, be it under the Canada Evidence Act or some  
25 other piece of legislation; however, in the

1           circumstances, I can no more compel Sergeant Camp to  
2           answer questions than I can compel Mr. Bahr to testify.

3                           What I can do and what I feel the  
4           respondents ought to be entitled to is in the event  
5           that a question is not answered, a negative inference,  
6           and if the respondent is going to in their evidence  
7           lead some evidence that would suggest that Sergeant  
8           Camp or some person played an integral role in the  
9           development of the site, then I think they are entitled  
10          to the benefit of that negative inference, in fairness.

11                          So that is what I am thinking at this  
12          point.

13                          Do you have any other comments?

14                          MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, for what it  
15          is worth, personally as a party I would be concerned  
16          with the position that the tribunal does not have the  
17          power, does not have a contempt power. I believe that  
18          has been exercised in the past by Human Rights  
19          tribunals. So just --

20                          THE CHAIRPERSON: M-hmm. I had a  
21          discussion with some colleagues at the tribunal last  
22          night about that very issue, and it is my position at  
23          this point that the power to compel the answers to  
24          questions and the jurisdiction in contempt is something  
25          that arises out of the equitable jurisdiction of

1 superior courts and their construction; and unless in  
2 the statute you can point to me a provision that gives  
3 me that power, I don't -- it is my position that I  
4 don't have that jurisdiction.

5 MR. WARMAN: Certainly not something  
6 I am going to follow up at this point. Thank you.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Thank you.

8 So, my goodness, everybody is so  
9 quiet. I am used to you all bouncing up and down out  
10 of your chairs.

11 All right then. Let us continue. I  
12 think you have my direction. The area of relevance is  
13 fairly narrow. We have a time period here of October  
14 '03 to May '04. The question of the contributions to  
15 the content of the WCFU website I rule are relevant  
16 questions.

17 So having said that, and that is  
18 issue number 1.

19 Issue number 2 is what are we doing  
20 at noon? Now I know, Mr. Fromm, you have to leave at  
21 noon. I really don't want to stop the proceedings and  
22 lose that half day. I am directed under the rules to  
23 conclude these hearings as expeditiously as possible.

24 You had suggested yesterday,  
25 Mr. Fromm, that Mr. Bahr might be able to conduct some

1 of the cross-examination in your absence. That is one  
2 option.

3 The second option, it seems to me, is  
4 to suspend the cross-examination of Sergeant Camp and  
5 to have the next witness be brought forward and start  
6 on that for the afternoon, at least get some work done  
7 there, and then return to the cross-examination of  
8 Sergeant Camp on Monday morning.

9 MR. FROMM: Could I have one moment  
10 to confer with somebody in the audience?

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Of course.

12 MR. FROMM: I would prefer, because  
13 of what I consider the controversy and really delicate  
14 nature of the cross-examination, if you must continue  
15 this afternoon it would be Mr. Warman's testimony.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Would that be -- is  
17 that the next in the order, Mr. Warman?

18 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

20 MR. FROMM: And I have been assured  
21 that I will have -- one of my colleagues can probably  
22 give me a fairly good summary of the evidence.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

24 So are you content with that,

25 Mr. Warman?

1 MR. WARMAN: For myself, yes.

2 MR. FROMM: And --

3 MR. WARMAN: Perhaps the  
4 Commission --

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

6 MR. FROMM: -- through you to  
7 Mr. Vigna, how long does he anticipate the evidence  
8 lasting?

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Vigna?

10 MR. VIGNA: For Mr. Warman?

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: M-hmm.

12 MR. VIGNA: At least a day. At least  
13 a day for our side.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: At least a day.  
15 Okay. So we might be able to get that half done this  
16 afternoon.

17 MR. VIGNA: The thing is from my  
18 understanding then Mr. Camp would have to return on  
19 Monday. That is not really the best scenario.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: I agree, but I am  
21 concerned, I am concerned with fairness. I mean, I  
22 don't know why Mr. Fromm has to leave, but he has told  
23 me he had to.

24 In the circumstances I am really  
25 sorry, Sergeant, to have to inconvenience you, but I

1 think that is the best way to suit the ends of fairness  
2 and expedition really.

3 MR. FROMM: If I might add.

4 I did clear this with the previous  
5 member. This was not sprung on the Thursday afternoon.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: I did speak to  
7 Member Jensen actually last night, and she advised me  
8 that she did not make a ruling and that she would not  
9 make a ruling and that she would have advised that that  
10 would properly be dealt with during the course of this  
11 hearing.

12 So memories are a little bit  
13 inconsistent, but I did -- I did canvass the question  
14 expressly with Member Jensen, and her memory doesn't  
15 conform with yours.

16 MR. FROMM: But the point was that  
17 this was something that I had anticipated and did not  
18 try to, you know, ambush at the last minute.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Of course. I am  
20 not suggesting that.

21 So the only person I haven't  
22 canvassed is Sergeant Camp.

23 You aren't going to Aruba on Monday  
24 are you?

25 MR. CAMP: No, Madam Chair, I should

1 be available.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: You would be able?

3 Okay.

4 All right. So that has hopefully  
5 cleared up some preliminary matters.

6 So perhaps we can get underway with  
7 the hearing.

8 MR. FROMM: So we are going to -- is  
9 it your ruling we are going to start with Mr. Warman's  
10 evidence now?

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: No. I would  
12 like -- we may as well -- we have got Mr. Camp here  
13 now. Let us continue the cross-examination until noon  
14 when you have to leave, and then we will have our lunch  
15 break and come back and hear from Mr. Warman.

16 PREVIOUSLY SWORN: STEPHEN CAMP

17 EXAMINATION (Cont'd) BY MR. FROMM

18 MR. FROMM: Before I take you back to  
19 the postings from Stormfront that were contained in  
20 HR-3, I was wondering if I could go back to the  
21 activities of the Edmonton Police force's hate squad  
22 that you headed for several years.

23 Would you have for us the statistics  
24 of the -- over the last several years of the number of  
25 hate crimes that you record, would you not? You said

1           you recorded them?

2                           MR. CAMP:   Do I have them with me?

3                           MR. FROMM:  Would you know off the  
4           top of your head?

5                           MR. CAMP:  No.  I can kind of  
6           generalize for you if you like.

7                           MR. FROMM:  Well, rather than that,  
8           would you be able to on Monday bring us the -- you  
9           would have filed a report, would you not, with your  
10          superiors or with the --

11                          THE CHAIRPERSON:  Mr. Fromm, I am not  
12          seeing the relevance of that question.  Your question  
13          is how many hate crimes has the Edmonton Hate Crimes  
14          Unit processed since its inception?

15                          MR. FROMM:  Well, police forces  
16          across the country that have hate squads generally put  
17          out a report each year.

18                          THE CHAIRPERSON:  Yes, I expect they  
19          do.  I don't see how that is relevant though to this  
20          inquiry and this complaint.  If they did one or 600  
21          what would that evidence -- how would it relate --

22                          MR. FROMM:  The information might be  
23          helpful in submissions I would be making about the --  
24          about the credibility of the witness.

25                          THE CHAIRPERSON:  And I am --

1                   MR. FROMM: It is a -- I don't mean  
2 to pursue it at any great length. I just would like to  
3 know what the statistics were.

4                   THE CHAIRPERSON: I am not going to  
5 allow the -- I am not going to request or require that  
6 Sergeant Camp bring statistical documents.

7                   If you have some general questions of  
8 the kind of activity that the Hate Crime Unit is  
9 engaged in maybe we can explore that for a little bit,  
10 but I really just don't see the relevance, Mr. Fromm,  
11 and I don't see how it goes to credibility.

12                  MR. FROMM: All right. For the year  
13 2005 how many prosecutions for hate crimes were there  
14 in Edmonton?

15                  MR. CAMP: For crimes motivated by  
16 hate? 2005? Again, I would have to check with the  
17 Hate Crimes Unit and check their statistical database.

18                  MR. FROMM: Which you wouldn't know  
19 for 2004 or 2003?

20                  MR. CAMP: I can give you a general  
21 idea.

22                  I remember the first year I think  
23 there was 140, and this is very general, files that  
24 came through our unit. I think there was 70 -- like  
25 half of them are classified as either a hate crime or a

1 hate incident.

2 A lot of files come through that a  
3 number of our officers may feel is hate motivated or it  
4 is an incident of hate because they have a racial slur  
5 in it, and those would be files that would be just  
6 filed away and not put in our database.

7 So I do remember 2003 half the files  
8 were not classified, and it was around 70 to 80 files  
9 that came through from -- maybe 60, 70, 80, somewhere  
10 in there.

11 MR. FROMM: Could you recall of those  
12 70 how many were prosecuted?

13 MR. CAMP: No. Very limited. When  
14 it comes to hate crimes, because it is a crime that is  
15 very random, in other words, a lot -- most crimes out  
16 there you will have some kind of connection between the  
17 victim and the accused.

18 With hate crimes the perpetrator is  
19 usually trying to send a message out to the community,  
20 so it will be a random act of violence or a random act  
21 of vandalism; and as far as a connection to the  
22 individual, there usually is none except that the  
23 person committing the crime has a bias, prejudice or  
24 hatred toward the identifying group that they are  
25 targeting.

1 MR. FROMM: So are you saying there  
2 would be relatively few of these crimes?

3 MR. CAMP: Yeah. When it comes to  
4 hate crimes right across -- internationally there is  
5 relatively few prosecutions let alone convictions.  
6 Very low percentage.

7 MR. FROMM: And particularly in  
8 Edmonton?

9 MR. CAMP: Right. Same applies.

10 MR. FROMM: Thank you.

11 But going back to the -- to HR-3. If  
12 you can in a sense remember where we were yesterday. I  
13 am now on a page that is 1 of 8, and it is a posting  
14 by --

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm, can I  
16 stop you for a minute. I think I have left that  
17 exhibit in the room. So if I could just have one  
18 moment to grab that.

19 --- Pause

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thanks for your  
21 patience.

22 Okay. Mr. Fromm, carry on.

23 MR. FROMM: As these are not  
24 numbered, I don't know if you can recall where we left  
25 off yesterday, but I am looking at page 1 of 8 and the

1 posting is by Xenologist, X-E-N-O-L.

2 MR. CAMP: If you could show me the  
3 front page you are looking at it might be easier --

4 MR. FROMM: Yeah. It looks like it  
5 is about 20 pages into it.

6 MR. CAMP: Okay.

7 MR. FROMM: And it is Xenologist.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: I have got it.

9 Thank you.

10 MR. CAMP: Okay.

11 MR. FROMM: This was included --  
12 included in the Commission's evidence, was it not?

13 MR. CAMP: Yes.

14 MR. FROMM: Okay. Did you see a  
15 problem in this particular posting? I mean, what would  
16 you like us to note about it?

17 MR. CAMP: The issue that I had was  
18 more of the thread and the post on the thread on 5 of 8  
19 by SS-88.

20 MR. FROMM: This is the first item in  
21 the thread?

22 MR. CAMP: Right.

23 Again, the reason that is included in  
24 the Commission's copy, and, again, I will note that on  
25 page 5 of 8 there is a comment by SS-88 that, again,

1 leads me to believe that he is involved in the  
2 development of the website.

3 MR. FROMM: I know it is fairly --  
4 there is a lot of reading, but I assume you are  
5 fairly -- you are knowledgeable about it. What is  
6 Xenologist's contribution to this? What is the point  
7 of Xenologist's posting here?

8 MR. CAMP: You know, when I read  
9 through it it looks to be the ideology or philosophy or  
10 the mission of Western Canada For Us that, I guess, an  
11 idea or to go on to the site, I guess.

12 Basically in the threads during this  
13 time there were a lot of discussions from numerous  
14 persons on the Canadian discussion board about what to  
15 put on the website WCFU, and this is obviously one of  
16 them.

17 MR. FROMM: So it would be your  
18 testimony that a number of people are having input into  
19 the material on the WCFU site?

20 MR. CAMP: Yeah, that's correct.

21 MR. FROMM: And the date of that  
22 posting would be what? The Xenologist?

23 MR. CAMP: It looks like March 2,  
24 2004.

25 MR. FROMM: In your testimony on page

1           3 of that thread, that is still in Xenologist's  
2           posting, you indicated that you had some problems with  
3           the 10 Commandments that seem to be appended to  
4           Xenologist's posting; is that correct?

5                       MR. CAMP: Yeah. Again, it would  
6           peak the interest of me as a hate crime investigator,  
7           that is correct.

8                       MR. FROMM: Why would that be?  
9           How --

10                      MR. CAMP: Basically it looks like  
11           Xenologist has posted this and then made a comment at  
12           the end of it. That is why it is there.

13                      But, I mean, the 10 Commandments  
14           would be very -- and, again, it is about a community  
15           they want to build on behalf of the WCFU. This, again,  
16           is a reference to Whiteville, and it would be very -- a  
17           community that is very exclusive to whites only and  
18           that would exclude homosexuals.

19                      In my experience with reviewing hate  
20           sites internationally this is the type of rhetoric that  
21           you see. Always look at it as a euphemism of -- it  
22           could be a euphemism of ideologies of white supremacy.

23                      Again, the problem would be would  
24           just peak my interest. It is interesting, something to  
25           keep in mind.

1                   Again, the reason the thread is there  
2                   is not for that; it is more for page 5 of 8 and a  
3                   posting by SS-88.

4                   MR. FROMM: Well, but not to belabour  
5                   the point, are the 10 Commandments a problem or not?

6                   MR. CAMP: There is a couple of the  
7                   commandments that would peak my interest: Number 1 and  
8                   number 3.

9                   MR. FROMM: We may all be interested  
10                  in one thing or another, but, I mean, is this a police  
11                  matter?

12                  MR. CAMP: The 10 Commandments?

13                  MR. FROMM: Yeah.

14                  MR. CAMP: I am not investigating the  
15                  10 Commandments. I am investigating the WCFU, and this  
16                  is, again, just another piece of the investigation.

17                  MR. FROMM: On page 5 of 8 of that  
18                  thread there is a posting by Proud 18, and you  
19                  identified that individual as Peter Kouba yesterday,  
20                  did you not?

21                  MR. CAMP: That is correct.

22                  MR. FROMM: And what is your  
23                  interpretation of Mr. Kouba's role as indicated in his  
24                  post there?

25                  MR. CAMP: That SS-88 knows the

1 computer better than he does, and he is asking SS-88  
2 to, I guess, take an idea that is being talked about  
3 and implement it into the website.

4 MR. FROMM: Would you agree that  
5 Proud 18 does seem to have some role though in the  
6 creation of the website?

7 MR. CAMP: By that post?

8 MR. FROMM: Yes.

9 MR. CAMP: By this post I wouldn't  
10 say so. It sounds like he is transferring the  
11 responsibility to SS-88 to me. SS-88 you take this  
12 one; you know the PC better than me. PC being personal  
13 computer.

14 MR. FROMM: Do you know what "this"  
15 refers to or "this one" refers to?

16 MR. CAMP: Again, I think it is in  
17 regards to the thread here, in proofreading the site  
18 and getting the philosophies and ideologies of the WCFU  
19 up since the thread is about proofreading the WCFU  
20 site.

21 MR. FROMM: Move ahead to page 7 of  
22 8. I believe you high -- or the Commission highlighted  
23 a post there. Seems to -- by SS-88.

24 MR. CAMP: Right.

25 MR. FROMM: And what -- why was that

1 highlighted?

2 MR. CAMP: Again, it appears to me  
3 that SS-88 is -- has responsibility in regards to the  
4 discussions of the ideas for the website.

5 So basically ideas get discussed, he  
6 makes the suggested changes to the site, and then again  
7 puts out the idea if anyone wants to proofread it to go  
8 ahead and proofread it again.

9 MR. FROMM: So would you agree that  
10 the WCFU site seems to be in some ways a collaborative  
11 effort?

12 MR. CAMP: No.

13 MR. FROMM: No?

14 MR. CAMP: Again, if you note, in the  
15 WCFU pages of the website it does mention that all  
16 final decisions will be made by the Alberta Chapter,  
17 and the Alberta Chapter founder and president is Glenn  
18 Bahr.

19 MR. FROMM: Is there any evidence  
20 that the -- that the Alberta Chapters -- that the only  
21 power in the Alberta Chapter is Glenn Bahr?

22 MR. CAMP: From my investigation it  
23 is my opinion that Glenn Bahr is the person, the sole  
24 decision-maker, as far as making the final decisions on  
25 the content of the site and the graphics, et cetera.

1                   MR. FROMM: But that post though,  
2 would you not agree, contradicts what you are saying?

3                   MR. CAMP: No. I think it is  
4 important for anyone that wants to lead an organization  
5 or -- to me it appears that he is trying to get input,  
6 which is a good thing if you are going to develop  
7 something; but once the input is there he makes the  
8 decision what finally to put on the site.

9                   That is what I am getting from all of  
10 this. Nothing more. Nothing less.

11                  MR. FROMM: You would not agree it  
12 would seem to be a collaborative effort?

13                  MR. CAMP: Again, the ideas for the  
14 website, again, there is a discussion about what ideas  
15 are out there, but I think the final -- my opinion is  
16 the final decision to what goes on the site will be  
17 from SS-88.

18                  MR. FROMM: Moving ahead to the next  
19 series of posts, page 1 of 9 starts -- the first post  
20 is Proud 18, which you said was Mr. Kouba?

21                  MR. CAMP: Right.

22                  MR. FROMM: This is a very extensive  
23 document that goes on onto page 5.

24                  MR. CAMP: Right.

25                  MR. FROMM: Why would this have been

1 included or did you see this as a problem, this long  
2 statement --

3 MR. CAMP: Again, the thread is  
4 included because on page 6 of 9 SS-88 agrees and  
5 basically says that Proud 18's post is something that  
6 he does not agree with, that it is too much like an  
7 attack and too violent; and that, again, as decisions  
8 are being made here we need to concentrate more on our  
9 goals and the good things we are doing to help our  
10 society.

11 So Proud 18 throws out an idea, and  
12 SS-88 agrees with other persons that it is not a good  
13 idea and states what should be said.

14 That is why that thread is there.

15 MR. FROMM: He says it sounds too  
16 much like an attack, it is too violent?

17 MR. CAMP: Right.

18 MR. FROMM: That is SS-88, that is his  
19 position?

20 MR. CAMP: That is correct.

21 MR. FROMM: I, again, ask you how is  
22 that a police matter?

23 MR. CAMP: Again, this thread went to  
24 the identity and the -- also went to the opinion that  
25 SS-88 is involved in the decision-making process of the

1 website. That is why that is there.

2 I like the idea that he says it is  
3 too violent, the initial philosophies.

4 And again, Madam Chair, I am going to  
5 add to that as well. My opinion is based on the  
6 completion of the investigation.

7 However, I do agree with Mr. Fromm  
8 that at this point we are still putting the pieces  
9 together, but when the investigation was done it  
10 just -- these posts lead me -- are, again, a piece of  
11 the puzzle to the final opinion as a police officer,  
12 and Glenn Bahr is the -- is the guy: The president,  
13 the founder, the decision-maker.

14 And if you continue the thread on  
15 page 8 of 9, and again it continues the point I am  
16 trying to highlight here: Good work, very well done, I  
17 am going to try to put that on our site tonight.

18 So, again, he -- if you look at the  
19 discussion SS-88 has the PC knowledge, SS-88 is saying  
20 no to ideas put out by other members, and then decides  
21 I am going to put what he decides to put on the site.  
22 That is why this thread is there. This goes to  
23 ownership of the site.

24 MR. FROMM: If we could flip ahead to  
25 the next document, to page 5 of 9. The next document

1 is the long thread headlined WCFU Needs Web Designer.

2 MR. CAMP: Right.

3 MR. FROMM: And on page 5 of that  
4 there is a post by SS-88.

5 MR. CAMP: On page 5?

6 MR. FROMM: Yes. At the bottom of  
7 the page.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm, I am not  
9 finding them. What number is that please?

10 MR. CAMP: Neither am I.

11 MR. FROMM: Actually, it seems to  
12 have jumped, but now the headline across the top of the  
13 page is ARA Thugs In Bid to Have Volksfront Meeting --  
14 and that trails off.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thanks. Yeah.  
16 Okay. Good. I am with you there. I was just looking  
17 for -- I thought you were saying about editing a  
18 website or something.

19 MR. FROMM: That is what I thought  
20 too, but that is what page 1 says, but page 5 the story  
21 is different. So page 5 seems to say across -- across  
22 the top ARA Thugs in Bid to Have Volksfront Meeting,  
23 page 5 of 9 and at the bottom of the post by SS-88.

24 MR. CAMP: Just to clarify, that is  
25 not from the same thread. The initial thread by --

1 that was referred to that ends, and this is just a page  
2 of a thread that was copied.

3 MR. FROMM: Okay. The one I am  
4 interested in though is this page 5 of 9 across the top  
5 ARA Thugs.

6 MR. CAMP: Right.

7 MR. FROMM: In the -- in the post at  
8 the bottom of the page by SS-88 could you read the --  
9 this is about nine lines down after Speakers. Could  
10 you read the -- the several sentences after that.

11 MR. CAMP: Okay.

12 Any way, these ARA have been hacking  
13 into our e-mails, computers and MSN accounts and  
14 stealing info. They posted posters of me with all my  
15 personal info and a paragraph of lies all over my  
16 community.

17 MR. FROMM: Read to the end of the  
18 post.

19 MR. CAMP:

20 I was evicted and lost my job because  
21 of it. We made all the papers up here. Any way, the  
22 R.C.M.P. are looking at whether I can file charges  
23 against them. They are taking their sweet time too.  
24 Check out the Canada section on this board for all the  
25 details. Any way, the fight goes on. WCFU.

1 MR. FROMM: This post is dated what?

2 MR. CAMP: March 5, 2004.

3 MR. FROMM: So there is a complaint  
4 in here among other things that -- by SS-88 that our  
5 e-mails, computers and MSN accounts are being hacked  
6 and stealing info and a complaint is being made to the  
7 R.C.M.P.?

8 MR. CAMP: Right.

9 MR. FROMM: Would you know where the  
10 R.C.M.P., like what detachment that would be?

11 MR. CAMP: It would probably be the  
12 one in Red Deer.

13 MR. FROMM: You said you had been in  
14 touch with I think you called them -- you mentioned his  
15 name is Sergeant Larocque or --

16 MR. CAMP: Corporal Larocque.

17 MR. FROMM: Corporal Larocque. And  
18 that is French, right? Would the spelling be  
19 L-A-R-O-C-Q-U-E for the Court Reporter?

20 MR. CAMP: Sure. I don't have his  
21 documents here so...

22 MR. FROMM: I think from memory that  
23 is the way you spelled it in your report.

24 But did you inquire, having seen this  
25 on Stormfront and following the activities of SS-88,

1 did you inquire of the R.C.M.P. in Red Deer about this  
2 computer hacking business?

3 MR. CAMP: No, sir.

4 MR. FROMM: Can you explain why?

5 MR. CAMP: I wasn't investigating a  
6 computer hacking offence in Red Deer.

7 MR. FROMM: But you -- well, you --

8 MR. CAMP: And also it says right  
9 here that the R.C.M.P. in Red Deer are going to be  
10 investigating on behalf of Glenn Bahr in their  
11 jurisdiction.

12 MR. FROMM: Is that the way -- the  
13 way you read this post what is it the R.C.M.P. are  
14 apparently investigating?

15 MR. CAMP: It appears that Glenn Bahr  
16 went to the R.C.M.P. because of this incident, and they  
17 are investigating to see whether charges can be filed  
18 against the ARA.

19 MR. FROMM: As you understand it what  
20 incident are the R.C.M.P. investigating?

21 MR. CAMP: Again, I can't speak for  
22 the R.C.M.P.

23 MR. FROMM: No, I am not asking for  
24 your interpretation --

25 MR. CAMP: I can -- right.

1                   Again, there is nothing by the  
2 R.C.M.P. in here to be interpreted. All I know is  
3 that -- or to interpret -- that Glenn Bahr is  
4 complaining about being hacked and he has gone to the  
5 R.C.M.P. with it. That is all I can see from this post  
6 here.

7                   MR. FROMM: All right. You  
8 testified -- now this is 5th of March. You have been  
9 monitoring Glenn Bahr since sometime the previous year,  
10 and WCFU begins to take shape.

11                   Here you have information from their  
12 computers, that would seem to be WCFU but certainly  
13 Bahr's computer, MSN accounts, e-mails are being hacked  
14 and stolen.

15                   Would this not, in your opinion,  
16 taint the type of postings that might be occurring? He  
17 is saying he is being hacked or whether or not that is  
18 true, you didn't inquire, you didn't ask the R.C.M.P.?

19                   MR. WARMAN: Objection, Madam Chair.  
20                   What the sentence actually says is,  
21                   Any way, these ARA have been hacking  
22 into our e-mails, computers and MSN accounts and  
23 stealing info.

24                   There is no information in there  
25 whatsoever about false postings.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Goes on to say,  
2 They posted posters of me with all my  
3 personal info and a paragraph of lies all over my  
4 community.

5 MR. WARMAN: But, Madam Chair, that  
6 doesn't really --

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: And it doesn't --  
8 yes, I agree.

9 So maybe if you could rephrase that  
10 question, Mr. Fromm.

11 The e-mail does speak for itself, so  
12 if you are going to characterize it I would ask you to  
13 do it as accurately as you can.

14 MR. FROMM: That is what I was trying  
15 to -- I mean, it is susceptible to two interpretations,  
16 Madam Chair.

17 The R.C.M.P. are looking at whether I  
18 can file charges. Does that mean they are looking to  
19 file -- whether I can file charges that my computer is  
20 being hacked or whether I can file charges that false  
21 information is being posted all over my community, and  
22 I have lost my job, and I have been evicted from my  
23 apartment.

24 I was wondering if Sergeant Camp had  
25 an interpretation of that?

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think the e-mail  
2 speaks for itself. Sergeant Camp didn't draft this  
3 e-mail, and so he doesn't have any information, and I  
4 don't know that his interpretation of this is going to  
5 be any more helpful to me than my own read of it.

6 MR. FROMM: So just to clarify, your  
7 testimony is that having read this you did not contact  
8 the R.C.M.P. in Red Deer to inquire what they knew?

9 MR. CAMP: That is right.

10 MR. FROMM: You had contacted the  
11 R.C.M.P. in Red Deer though earlier to find out what  
12 they knew about Glenn Bahr? That was your testimony?

13 MR. CAMP: Right. It was not about  
14 Glenn Bahr. It was about the Kneehill community hall  
15 rally that was upcoming for late February, and what the  
16 R.C.M.P. told me was that they had spoken to Glenn  
17 Bahr. I was concerned about the rally.

18 MR. FROMM: Why would an Edmonton  
19 Policeman be concerned about a rally in Red Deer?

20 MR. CAMP: As mentioned in previous  
21 testimony, a number of persons that were posting on  
22 Stormfront Canadian discussion appeared to be from  
23 Edmonton because there was references to Edmonton on  
24 their posts.

25 MR. FROMM: So your testimony is from

1           some of the posts it looked as though some people from  
2           Edmonton might be going to the rally in Red Deer?

3                         MR. CAMP: That is correct.

4                         And like I had previously testified  
5           as well, one of our man -- part of our mandate in the  
6           Hate Crime Unit is to network with police, law  
7           enforcement agencies, not only across Alberta but  
8           across Canada and internationally as well in regards to  
9           these issues, not Glenn Bahr issues, but, you know,  
10          hate issues, hate ideologies, extremism.

11                        So when we see members of a hate site  
12          discussing, I guess, hate on Stormfront, and that they  
13          are going to have a rally in Red Deer, we will talk to  
14          Red Deer about that rally in late February.

15                        And, again, it wasn't -- Corporal  
16          Larocque was the one that told me that he is the one  
17          that spoke to Glenn Bahr. It was just the way it was.

18                        I mean, if there is any problem with  
19          Glenn Bahr's computer in Red Deer, if he feels that he  
20          has issues, then he did the proper thing: He went to  
21          the R.C.M.P. He wouldn't come to the Edmonton City  
22          Police, he would go to the R.C.M.P. and talk to them  
23          about his personal computer being hacked or his e-mails  
24          being hacked.

25                        If he had phoned me I would direct

1           him to the R.C.M.P. in Red Deer. That would be my --  
2           it would not be my mandate to discuss the file with him  
3           in Edmonton if it was a Red Deer file.

4                       MR. FROMM: So your testimony is that  
5           when you heard that there -- when you learned that  
6           there would be a rally in Red Deer you contacted the  
7           R.C.M.P. and spoke to Corporal Larocque; but when you  
8           read further that there were issues of hacking and  
9           postings and so on this -- this was no longer of any  
10          interest --

11                      MR. WARMAN: Well, he said --

12                      THE COURT REPORTER: I can only write  
13          one at a time, I am sorry, gentlemen.

14                      THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm, that  
15          question has been asked of Sergeant Camp and answered.  
16                      Would you move on please.

17                      MR. FROMM: Would it be fair to say  
18          that this material and the report that you provided,  
19          the police report provided, I guess, in the 319 charges  
20          indicated that you were compiling postings as evidence  
21          against Glenn Bahr and WCFU and perhaps others?

22                      MR. CAMP: I don't understand the  
23          question. I was compiling evidence because there was  
24          times during the course of these months where I wasn't  
25          compiling evidence. There was no site that was up.

1                   Like the Stormfront.org ones, they  
2                   are interesting and there is talk of a site being  
3                   developed, but, I mean, there is no criminal  
4                   investigation at this time until the site is up and  
5                   running.

6                   So I found these postings as a hate  
7                   crimes investigator interesting and of concern to me  
8                   because of what I thought may be coming.

9                   MR. FROMM: Considering what you just  
10                  said there, this information about possible hacking and  
11                  tampering with -- with communications, this not concern  
12                  you that this might taint the -- the continuing chain  
13                  of evidence?

14                  MR. CAMP: Again, if there is a  
15                  criminal complaint that Bahr wants to file with the  
16                  police agency he is more than welcome to --

17                  MR. FROMM: Well, you are monitoring  
18                  people. Bahr may or may not have filed a complaint,  
19                  but you now have information that computers are  
20                  being -- Bahr's computer, perhaps other computers, are  
21                  being hacked and e-mail accounts. Were you not  
22                  concerned that perhaps further information apparently  
23                  coming from Bahr might not be his?

24                  MR. CAMP: If Glenn Bahr --

25                  MR. WARMAN: Objection. Again, it is

1 mischaracterization.

2 The e-mail in question -- the posting  
3 by SS-88 clearly states hacking into our e-mails,  
4 computers and MSN accounts and stealing info. There is  
5 nothing there about false information being posted, and  
6 Mr. Fromm is continually trying to mischaracterize what  
7 the posting states.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: I agree,  
9 Mr. Fromm. I think this e-mail speaks for itself.

10 MR. FROMM: I seek your direction on  
11 this, Madam Chairman. Sergeant Bahr continuously  
12 characterizes --

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry, who,  
14 sir?

15 MR. FROMM: Sorry. Sorry.

16 Sergeant Camp continuously  
17 characterizes postings on Stormfront and WCFU as hate,  
18 and none of that has been established. I think the  
19 testimony is -- is highly prejudicial. He said he had  
20 been monitoring hate postings, and at this point nobody  
21 had been charged with anything. I think this is...

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: That is not my  
23 understanding of Sergeant Camp's evidence.

24 MR. CAMP: That is correct. I  
25 never -- I am monitoring information. I never -- I am

1 not saying I am monitoring hate, especially on -- there  
2 is hate on Stormfront, but, again, I keep bringing you  
3 back to this.

4 On the Stormfront downloads here it  
5 is about who is controlling -- who is the controlling  
6 mind behind WCFU website and who is SS-88.

7 I mean, I am looking at the posts  
8 that we continue to go through, and most of the stuff I  
9 am looking at Stormfront is not hate. I mean, the one  
10 that you just referred to I don't see any hate on  
11 there. I don't think there has been any hate on the  
12 Stormfront download ones since you have been  
13 cross-examining me this morning.

14 MR. FROMM: Okay. If we could move  
15 ahead to the next page in that. Move ahead to the next  
16 page in that bundle. Page 1 of 7.

17 This is headlined Nation Wide Zundel  
18 Protest March 28th, and you -- or this was included in  
19 the bundle here?

20 MR. CAMP: That is correct.

21 MR. FROMM: First posting is by  
22 SS-88. I direct you to the final paragraph. I was  
23 wondering if you might read that for us, the WCFU?

24 MR. CAMP: Right.

25 WCFU will have 40 plus members

1 protesting and the media will be there as well. We are  
2 trying to contact as many people we know in other  
3 provinces and getting their support. One of our  
4 members will be videotaping our protests and it will be  
5 viewable on our website shortly after. There will be a  
6 donation box at our meet for Ernst Zundel's defence  
7 fund. There will also be one at our protest for people  
8 passing by to donate.

9 MR. FROMM: Okay. That is fine.

10 The -- why was this posting included?  
11 Did you see any problems as a police officer with the  
12 planned activity here?

13 MR. CAMP: Are you asking me if there  
14 is a problem with the posts or are you asking me the  
15 second question? Which one would you like me to  
16 answer --

17 MR. FROMM: No. Well, the post was  
18 included either by you or by the Commission. I am  
19 interested in asking you do you have any problems with  
20 the plans as outlined in the paragraph you just read?

21 MR. CAMP: No.

22 MR. FROMM: As a police officer?

23 Thank you.

24 MR. CAMP: Oh, the protest plans are  
25 not an issue. They were very peaceful actually.

1                   Again, this post is put there, again,  
2                   in regards to trying to show that Glenn Bahr is the  
3                   founder and president of the WCFU.

4                   MR. FROMM:   The next post is 1 of 3.  
5                   Edit or add to Whiteville article.

6                   MR. CAMP:   Right.

7                   MR. FROMM:   Posted by SS-88?

8                   MR. CAMP:   Right.

9                   MR. FROMM:   This deals with --  
10                  apparently with plans for Whiteville?

11                  MR. CAMP:   Right.

12                  MR. FROMM:   What interpretation do  
13                  you give to the first paragraph?  Anyone...

14                  MR. CAMP:   Again, as the other posts  
15                  that are similar to this, SS-88 is soliciting input  
16                  from the participants on this Canadian discussion forum  
17                  in regards to the ideas for Whiteville before it is  
18                  posted on the site.

19                  MR. FROMM:   It is more than just  
20                  input though, isn't it, Sergeant Camp?

21                  MR. CAMP:   No.

22                  MR. FROMM:   Does he not say edit or  
23                  add to this article?

24                  MR. CAMP:   I would put that as input.  
25                  Like if you are editing and put some ideas in, go right

1 ahead, he is asking, and the information that SS-88  
2 gets is -- from what I am seeing from this, not the  
3 other posts, is that he is looking for input and --  
4 which is a good idea from the participants. Everybody  
5 has a good idea to add to the ideas for Whiteville  
6 before it is finally posted.

7 MR. FROMM: Could you read the first  
8 sentence of the final paragraph on that page?

9 MR. CAMP:  
10 This will not be connected to  
11 Whiteville.

12 MR. FROMM: No.  
13 What one does in their own home.

14 MR. CAMP: You want me to read the  
15 first paragraph?

16 MR. FROMM: No, the first sentence of  
17 the last paragraph.

18 MR. CAMP:  
19 What one does in their own home is up  
20 to them. However, out in the community we will not  
21 support cross burnings, Nazi flags or any other white  
22 power symbols.

23 MR. FROMM: From your extensive  
24 investigation of what you call white supremacists and  
25 neo-Nazis does this look to be like a typical neo-Nazi

1 proposal?

2 MR. CAMP: Yes. A lot of neo-Nazis  
3 when they are trying to show themselves better in the  
4 public light will dress professional, for example, or  
5 they will say if we are going to go to this rally let's  
6 not wear the neo-Nazi garb like the braces, the boots  
7 and don't show your swastikas because they want to  
8 endear or obtain some kind of credibility with the  
9 public.

10 So in the -- I guess on the  
11 international websites of hate you see this kind of  
12 rhetoric a fair amount.

13 And what is also true is that there  
14 is also a lot of heated discussion between -- the  
15 neo-Nazi groups and the white supremacists become  
16 factionalized where you will have some of them  
17 believing in, look, we have national socialists white  
18 supremacy ideas, but we should dress professional and  
19 go political with it; other ones will say, no, we are  
20 neo-Nazis, we are skinheads, we are going to fight for  
21 and be soldiers for the cause, and they will want to  
22 wear the Nazi garb.

23 So this is typical rhetoric.

24 MR. FROMM: Would you allow for a  
25 third possibility that --

1 MR. CAMP: Always open --

2 MR. FROMM: -- he is not neo-Nazi? I  
3 mean, he does seem to be saying here he doesn't want  
4 cross burnings or flags or white power symbols. Is  
5 that not supposed to be their own community,  
6 Whiteville?

7 MR. CAMP: Are you asking me if I  
8 think SS-88 at this time is a neo-Nazi because if you  
9 are putting it in the context of my investigation I  
10 would have to say that SS-88 is a neo-Nazi.

11 MR. FROMM: Okay. If you could move  
12 ahead to page -- well, to the page 1 of 4, and this is  
13 logged Free Ernst Zundel Rallies Toronto and Edmonton  
14 March 28th, and this was a post by SS-88 and about  
15 the -- a rally that occurred in Edmonton.

16 MR. CAMP: Right.

17 MR. FROMM: And reading over the  
18 content of that post did that accord with your  
19 investigation, that account of the rally?

20 MR. CAMP: Could you kind of clarify  
21 the question please.

22 MR. FROMM: Okay.

23 Well, the gist of the report is that  
24 there were 30 support of all ages, and they handed out  
25 pamphlets and cards, and I guess it is a judgment call

1           whether it was a great success or not, but the report  
2           seems to be of a gathering and -- that was peaceful.

3                           Was that your --

4                           MR. CAMP:   That is correct.

5                           MR. FROMM:  You investigated?  You  
6           were present?

7                           MR. CAMP:  That is right.

8                           MR. FROMM:  All right.

9                           And there are further pictures of it.

10                          And so then if we could then flip  
11           ahead to the next posting, which is 4 of 5, and this is  
12           entitled "Is the WCFU site down", and I think you  
13           called our attention to the fact that after Mr. Bahr's  
14           residence was raided at that time he promised you he  
15           would shut down his WCFU site, and was that what he  
16           told you?

17                          MR. CAMP:  That is correct.

18                          MR. FROMM:  And I believe you  
19           testified that the next day he did shut it down; is  
20           that correct?

21                          MR. CAMP:  That is right.

22                          MR. FROMM:  And as I think you took  
23           us through the fact there were a number of people  
24           writing what is happening, et cetera?

25                          MR. CAMP:  Right.

1 MR. FROMM: Very last post on that  
2 page is from somebody named Pogue Mahone.

3 You have identified a number of the  
4 people who posted. Would you happen to know who Pogue  
5 Mahone is?

6 MR. CAMP: Okay. I have lost you  
7 here. Sorry.

8 MR. FROMM: Okay. It is page 4 of 5  
9 in the -- in the thread: Is the WCFU site down.

10 MR. CAMP: Right.

11 MR. FROMM: And there -- many people  
12 post, and they are asking questions or saying they are  
13 going to contact Glenn, but the last post there is by  
14 somebody named Pogue P-O-G-U-E Mahone M-A-H-O-N-E.

15 MR. CAMP: Oh, okay.

16 MR. FROMM: I don't know if that is  
17 considered my testifying but that's Gallic.

18 MR. CAMP: Got you.

19 MR. FROMM: It is Gallic for  
20 something rather vulgar.

21 MR. CAMP: Okay.

22 MR. FROMM: Would you know who Pogue  
23 Mahone was -- is?

24 MR. CAMP: No.

25 MR. FROMM: I was just wondering if I

1           could have a moment to shuffle through these notes.

2                           I believe it is HR-8, but that is  
3           the -- or what was that? Tab 8. That was the -- your  
4           investigation report.

5                           MR. CAMP: Okay.

6                           THE CHAIRPERSON: In my copy Tab 8 is  
7           HR-2.

8                           MR. FROMM: Okay. HR-2.

9                           This report here, could you identify  
10          what it is? It is a three-page report entitled  
11          "Investigations".

12                          MR. CAMP: This is Tab 8?

13                          MR. FROMM: Yes, it was at Tab 8, but  
14          I guess that is -- you said it is HR-2?

15                          THE CHAIRPERSON: M-hmm. So what I  
16          have at Tab 8 in the binder, which is HR-2, is Sergeant  
17          Camp's report. So I think maybe we are looking at  
18          different documents.

19                          MR. FROMM: I don't know. I think  
20          there are actually several reports.

21                          The one I have is called  
22          "Investigations", and deals with the arrest of Glenn  
23          Bahr in Langley. It is a three-page report dated 2 --  
24          December 3, 2005.

25                          MR. VIGNA: I don't think it was

1 produced. That report existed but -- but I don't think  
2 it was --

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh. We might need  
4 to enter that as an exhibit, Mr. Fromm. Perhaps if you  
5 could show Madam Registrar we will see if we recognize  
6 it.

7 Mr. Fromm, could you check, if you  
8 were to check Tabs 9 and 10 of the binder that might be  
9 what you are referring to.

10 MR. FROMM: It doesn't really matter.  
11 I can come to this later.

12 Okay. HR-2. How would you  
13 characterize this document that appears to list the  
14 accused and witnesses? What would you call that  
15 document?

16 MR. CAMP: A police report.

17 MR. FROMM: Sorry?

18 MR. CAMP: A police report.

19 MR. FROMM: A police report.

20 Why is it that the address of  
21 Mr. Bahr is there but the addresses of all the other  
22 people in this list are not?

23 MR. VIGNA: Madam Chair, I can answer  
24 that.

25 The addresses were blotched by

1           myself. When I introduced it I had explained that the  
2           dark print on the addresses, that was myself that  
3           erased it for reasons I gave at the -- at the time the  
4           document was introduced.

5                         THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I remember.

6                         Thank you, Mr. Vigna.

7                         MR. FROMM: Then in interests of  
8           fairness I would request that -- that this document  
9           have Mr. Bahr's particulars blocked out too.

10                        Everybody else is simply identified  
11           by name either as a witness or accused. It seems to me  
12           to be unfair and prejudicial that everything about  
13           Mr. Bahr is there but everybody else there is just a  
14           name.

15                        THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm, we  
16           canvassed the question of a publication ban right at  
17           the commencement of the hearing, and it is something  
18           that you weren't interested in pursuing, and so there  
19           isn't a publication ban. I can't sort of do it in bits  
20           and pieces.

21                        I would observe that going through  
22           these documents Mr. Bahr's address appears more than  
23           one time in more than one document.

24                        I am going to deny that request.

25                        MR. FROMM: Well then, can I ask it a

1 different way? Could I ask Mr. Vigna to provide us  
2 with an unmagic-markered copy of this document?

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Vigna?

4 MR. VIGNA: Madam Chair, there is no  
5 reason for us to provide the addresses of the  
6 witnesses. There is no compelling the arguments made  
7 in support of that, so I don't see why we need to  
8 disclose that kind of confidential --

9 MR. FROMM: Just equity and fairness.

10 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair --

11 MR. VIGNA: I would like to hear the  
12 arguments for such a request.

13 MR. WARMAN: The document has already  
14 been entered as an exhibit.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

16 Mr. Fromm?

17 MR. FROMM: The reasons are very  
18 clear: Equity and fairness.

19 Mr. Bahr is only - and this is the  
20 319 case - is only at this point an accused. Unless  
21 the laws of the land are being changed overnight --

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm, this is  
23 an adjudication of a Human Rights complaint.

24 MR. FROMM: Yes.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Our restrictions

1 here are many, but one of them is relevance, and if you  
2 can explain to me why you need to have the personal  
3 information of these other individuals and how it  
4 relates to the complaint I think that is the first  
5 hurdle that we need to deal with before we go any  
6 further.

7 MR. FROMM: As far as I can tell this  
8 piece of evidence has virtually nothing to do with this  
9 complaint. It has been testified that Mr. Bahr was  
10 arrested. I don't think anybody disputes that.

11 This document simply provides  
12 information about him and witnesses in another case,  
13 not witnesses in this case.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm, this  
15 document has been entered as an exhibit. If you want  
16 to address the character, I guess, of this exhibit in  
17 your submissions on close, that is fine; but the  
18 document is in evidence, and so let us carry on.

19 MR. FROMM: The next document in  
20 that -- in this exhibit is entitled "Willful Promotion  
21 of Hatred Section 319", and it is dated May 7, 2004.

22 Could you identify exactly what this  
23 document is, Sergeant Camp?

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are we still  
25 in Tab 8? I'm just trying to --

1 MR. FROMM: Yes.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: And it is the --

3 MR. FROMM: The next document there  
4 says --

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, so the fifth  
6 page in document HR-2?

7 MR. FROMM: Yes, 5 of 32.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thanks.

9 MR. CAMP: Again, it is part of the  
10 police report.

11 MR. FROMM: A report on what?

12 MR. CAMP: Well, the entire Tab 8 is  
13 a police report. This is part of it. It is page 5.

14 MR. FROMM: On page 6 of 32 at the  
15 bottom there is a section that begins Bail.

16 MR. CAMP: Right.

17 MR. FROMM: And could you just  
18 refresh our memories as to what that refers to?

19 MR. CAMP: When an accused is  
20 arrested they can speak to a Justice in regards to  
21 obtaining bail or whether they can be released pending  
22 trial, and the Justice will make a decision on whether  
23 to remand the accused in custody, release the accused  
24 on conditions, release the accused on cash bail,  
25 release the accused on recognizance, for example, with

1 conditions.

2 MR. FROMM: And in your experience on  
3 the Edmonton Police force have you been involved in a  
4 number of cases of people being charged and having --  
5 and going for bail?

6 MR. CAMP: That is correct.

7 MR. FROMM: And have you frequently  
8 been involved in making recommendations as to what the  
9 police should seek in terms -- what their position  
10 should be in terms of bail?

11 MR. CAMP: That is right.

12 MR. FROMM: And the information  
13 contained on -- well, beginning on the bottom of page 6  
14 of 32 continuing on 7, on the top of 8 of 32, were  
15 these your recommendations in terms of bail for  
16 Mr. Bahr?

17 MR. CAMP: The recommendations are  
18 starting at page 7 number 1 and moving over to  
19 number -- page number 8, and you will see number 2 and  
20 3. Three recommendations.

21 MR. FROMM: Did you appear before the  
22 Justice of the Peace in Mr. Bahr's bail hearing?

23 MR. CAMP: No.

24 MR. FROMM: Which officer did?

25 MR. CAMP: I don't know. It is not

1 protocol for the arresting officer to appear on bail.  
2 They prepare the conditions, bring them down to the  
3 arrest processing unit, and another officer will appear  
4 and read over the bail conditions to the JP that is  
5 presiding.

6 MR. FROMM: Did you communicate with  
7 the officer who would be appearing at the bail hearing?

8 MR. CAMP: I may have. I can't  
9 remember if I did or not.

10 MR. FROMM: Would he have had your  
11 recommendations or report here?

12 MR. CAMP: Yeah. He would have had  
13 the -- pages -- 1 probably to all the way up to page 10  
14 of the report.

15 MR. FROMM: Was your recommendation  
16 that Mr. Bahr be denied bail?

17 MR. CAMP: I am sorry?

18 MR. FROMM: Was your recommendation  
19 that Mr. Bahr be denied bail?

20 MR. CAMP: Yeah. The first sentence  
21 on -- underneath "Bail" on page 6 recommends that Glenn  
22 Bahr be remanded in custody for the following reasons.

23 So we did put down the primary  
24 recommendation to remand him.

25 MR. FROMM: Would you agree that a

1 charge -- that Section 319 refers to expressions of  
2 opinions, not acts of violence?

3 MR. CAMP: I'm sorry?

4 MR. FROMM: Section 319 under which  
5 Mr. Bahr is charged refers to expressions of opinions  
6 rather than acts of violence?

7 MR. VIGNA: Madam Chair, I don't see  
8 the relevance of --

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have  
10 allowed you some latitude dealing with the matter of  
11 bail in a criminal proceeding, but I am having trouble  
12 seeing the relevance of your line of questions to the  
13 complaint that we are dealing with in this forum.

14 MR. FROMM: In the long term, Madam  
15 Chairman, it will go to the credibility of this  
16 witness.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: How?

18 MR. FROMM: Could I ask that the  
19 witness be excluded?

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that is  
21 appropriate.

22 Sergeant Camp, would you?

23 --- Sergeant Camp steps down

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm?

25 MR. FROMM: It would be my submission

1           that the witness has a serious animosity to Mr. Bahr  
2           that is extraordinary in a -- on a charge that is  
3           non-violent. It is inconsistent with the practices in  
4           other jurisdictions across Canada in the 319 charges  
5           for the accused to -- for the recommendation that the  
6           accused be held without bail.

7                         THE CHAIRPERSON: And if there is  
8           animus what does that go to?

9                         MR. FROMM: Well, the animus goes to  
10          the credibility of the witness' evidence.

11                        THE CHAIRPERSON: And in what  
12          respects is his credibility at issue?

13                        MR. FROMM: Among other things, the  
14          question I was asking this morning.

15                        When there was evidence -- he has  
16          been dogging Mr. Bahr for -- from sometime in 2003,  
17          reading his posts, watching for the formation of  
18          Western Canada For Us, and then in February there is  
19          this post by SS-88 that websites are -- websites in the  
20          internet communications are being -- are being hacked  
21          and information --

22                        THE CHAIRPERSON: Said that was in  
23          March, I think, but anyway, carry on.

24                        MR. FROMM: Okay, in March, and he  
25          testifies that this was of no interest to him, it was

1 just the fact that Mr. Bahr --

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: No. Just -- what  
3 does the credibility go to? Are you suggesting that  
4 Sergeant Camp has animus toward Mr. Bahr, and so what?  
5 Like what did he do that is relevant to the complaint  
6 here?

7 MR. FROMM: Well, among other things,  
8 because of the animus, and this -- this has not yet  
9 been in evidence exactly -- when he arrested  
10 Mr. Bahr -- sorry, when he executed the warrant against  
11 Mr. Bahr in May of 2004 he threatened the woman he was  
12 living with.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, I know, I  
14 know, but what, if you are -- you are suggesting that  
15 there is a credibility issue here, okay. So that is --  
16 and that is why you want to explore these areas, and I  
17 have read them in your submissions.

18 But what is it that you are alleging  
19 that Sergeant Camp did that is relevant to this  
20 complaint? The complaint is what we described this  
21 morning, and the responses that I have heard is I  
22 didn't make these posts or all of them, and even if I  
23 did, the content doesn't violate Section 13.

24 MR. FROMM: That is true.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: So how, tell me

1 where Sergeant Camp fits in to that --

2 MR. FROMM: Well, Sergeant Camp  
3 testifies that when he executed the warrant Mr. Bahr  
4 said I take complete responsibility for the WCFU site.  
5 What I will be hoping eventually to elicit from him is  
6 that this was done under the threat -- the time  
7 sequence is very important. In his report he says  
8 Mr. Bahr was cautioned and he made these admissions --

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: So you want to be  
10 able to challenge Sergeant Camp on the question of  
11 whether or not Mr. Bahr told him that he was associated  
12 with or the president of WCFU. So that is one thing  
13 you want to --

14 MR. FROMM: No. No. He -- he --

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Because that is in  
16 your documentation.

17 MR. FROMM: He tells -- he says that  
18 Mr. Bahr said I am entirely responsible for the WCFU  
19 site. It is all my doing and -- and Mr. Bahr was  
20 responding to a threat that was made to take away  
21 his -- the lady's with whom he was living child. That  
22 was a threat made by Sergeant that he was -- Sergeant  
23 Camp. He was going to call child services with a view  
24 to removing her, and that is in his report.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, I have read

1           that.

2                           My question to you is not what is the  
3           evidence of animus, but what is the consequence? Like  
4           so what? If there is animus what is it that you are  
5           suggesting, that he is lying about what Mr. Bahr told  
6           him at the arrest? That appears to be one thing.

7                           MR. FROMM: Well, it -- it certainly  
8           taints, that if I can show animus it would certainly  
9           strengthen the argument that he essentially coerced the  
10          submission. The only way Mr. Bahr could -- felt he  
11          could extricate his --

12                          THE CHAIRPERSON: Please don't  
13          summarize that again. I am trying to get to the nature  
14          of why it is you feel it is appropriate to be  
15          challenging the credibility of the sergeant, and so far  
16          I have heard that you want to be in a position to argue  
17          that Sergeant Camp misrepresented Mr. Bahr's statements  
18          on arrest. Okay. That is one thing.

19                          What is the next thing that you want  
20          to -- that is relevant from -- and why you are going to  
21          deal with credibility? What is the other allegation  
22          that you are going to be making with respect to  
23          Sergeant Camp?

24                          MR. FROMM: Well, the allegation is  
25          that this animus has tainted the entire investigation.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: How? Like you need  
2 to be able to demonstrate for me what the relevance is  
3 of challenging Sergeant Camp's credibility, and so far  
4 you have identified one, and that is that he would be  
5 likely to misrepresent what he was told by Mr. Bahr.

6 Is there anything else?

7 MR. FROMM: Well, not necessarily  
8 just misrepresent, but because of this animosity, force  
9 Mr. Bahr into an admission that may not have been true.  
10 I think there is considerable evidence we have -- we  
11 have pointed to already that other people were involved  
12 in the WCFU site, that there was more of a  
13 collaborative effort than a one man show, but  
14 Mr. Bahr -- and the statement was -- was made by  
15 Sergeant Camp that Mr. Bahr took complete  
16 responsibility for it, and it is my submission that  
17 if -- if we can see a pattern of animosity and  
18 hostility that perhaps our submission would be more  
19 credible.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: And what parts of  
21 that submission? The fact that -- now just hang on. I  
22 am going to deal with Mr. Fromm here.

23 The fact that now perhaps that  
24 Mr. Bahr's statement was coerced when he was being  
25 arrested --

1 MR. FROMM: Yes.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: And is there  
3 another allegation that -- of misconduct that you are  
4 going to be making with respect to Sergeant Camp?

5 MR. FROMM: Well, because we don't  
6 have the answers to some of the questions we have  
7 asked, I am reluctant to, you know, to go -- to make a  
8 direct allegation, but there was -- there were  
9 postings, in our submission, that were -- were not --  
10 were apparently made by Mr. Bahr, but he did not  
11 make -- I am not saying Sergeant Camp made them, but  
12 that there was highly inflammatory material put up on  
13 the WCFU site that Mr. Bahr denies responsibility for,  
14 and the entire -- the entire prosecution --

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any  
16 evidence, because I have not read this anywhere in your  
17 submissions, that Sergeant Camp had the power to get in  
18 and pretend he is somebody else on the website? Is  
19 there -- because I am not seeing that as an  
20 allegation --

21 MR. FROMM: Oh, yes, it would be  
22 within the -- we heard the testimony of -- well, we  
23 heard the -- we heard the recording -- the -- the  
24 Warren show that was played by the Commission and a  
25 fellow by the name Helmut-Harry Loewen who is an

1 activist in Winnipeg, said he agreed with Warren that  
2 it was very easy to trick your way or to get onto the  
3 site and set up a persona --

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but then that  
5 is not an issue. I mean, clearly coming in and  
6 posting, but the allegation that you appear to be  
7 making is that Sergeant Camp by reason of animus was  
8 able to -- was able to --

9 MR. FROMM: He Certainly was able  
10 to --

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Was able to post as  
12 Glenn or SS-88. Is there any evidence of that because  
13 if you are going to be making that suggestion there has  
14 got to be something.

15 MR. FROMM: Well, I can't make that  
16 accusation that it was Sergeant Camp who did it.

17 It is our submission somebody did it.  
18 Whether it was him or somebody else or somebody with  
19 ARA or -- we are not in a position to say that.

20 We are saying that somebody was  
21 posting -- was posting highly inflammatory and  
22 mischievous and perhaps even posts that were contrary  
23 to Section 13(1).

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Warman?

25 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, perhaps

1 Mr. Fromm can simply ask Sergeant Camp did you make  
2 postings under Glenn Bahr's identity.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I am inclined  
4 to agree with you, Mr. Warman.

5 All right. I do have a concern with  
6 this line of questioning. I don't want to unfairly  
7 impede Mr. Fromm's appropriate latitude, but in the  
8 circumstances I have got your submissions now,  
9 Mr. Fromm.

10 If I understand them, you feel it is  
11 appropriate to attack the sergeant's credibility for  
12 two reasons: First that to bolster, I suppose, a  
13 suggestion that an admission made by Mr. Bahr was  
14 coerced; and secondly, that the sergeant may, in fact,  
15 have found a way to pretend he was Mr. Bahr on the  
16 internet and make postings on his behalf.

17 Is that --

18 MR. FROMM: Yes. As long as that  
19 latter is -- is understood as might. This is not  
20 our --

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to be  
22 leading any evidence that would suggest that somebody  
23 like Sergeant Camp could get that kind of power within  
24 the website?

25 MR. FROMM: Yes. The -- our expert

1 witness will be explaining how that can be done. I am  
2 sorry, I can't.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: So those are the  
4 two reasons --

5 MR. FROMM: Yes, that is correct.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

7 What I am going to do is break for  
8 lunch now. I realize that you are not going to be here  
9 this afternoon, and so we will deal with this at the  
10 commencement of the continuation of Sergeant Camp's  
11 examination.

12 MR. FROMM: Would I be -- could I  
13 have your indulgence for just a moment please.

14 Because I know we can't have the  
15 transcripts like for Monday, and I want to be sure I  
16 understand, I am aware of what was said this afternoon,  
17 I was wondering if this gentleman here would be able to  
18 sit with Mr. Bahr, and together they can take notes.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course.

20 MR. FROMM: Because I would like some  
21 pretty extensive notes if possible.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Of course.

23 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, my only  
24 request would be that the individual who is going to be  
25 sitting at counsel table be identified.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I agree, and  
2 we will know who you are, but you are welcome to come  
3 and sit with Mr. Bahr.

4 MR. FROMM: He will sit in the  
5 audience.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you  
7 everyone. We will see you at -- what time is it now?  
8 It is quarter to 12 by my watch. So, say, 1 o'clock.

9 Thank you.

10 --- Upon recessing at 11:45 a.m.

11 --- Upon resuming at 1:00 p.m.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon  
13 everyone. Be seated.

14 So this afternoon we are going to be  
15 starting with Mr. Warman's evidence?

16 MS WARSAME: Yes, that is correct.

17 And before we start, Madam Chair, the  
18 Commission will be using a second black binder to enter  
19 the evidence of Mr. Warman, and that was provided to  
20 you, I believe.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.

22 AFFIRMED: RICHARD WARMAN

23 MR. BAHR: Before we begin, Madam.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

25 MR. BAHR: May I ask that she use a

1 podium just so I can hear her better. I find that that  
2 picks up the voice.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, sure, that's  
4 fine, unless counsel has a problem with that.

5 EXAMINATION BY MS WARSAME

6 MS WARSAME: Good afternoon,  
7 Mr. Warman.

8 Before we begin can you please turn  
9 to Tab 1. Are you familiar with this document?

10 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I am. This is a  
11 copy of the complaint that I filed with the Canadian  
12 Human Rights Commission. I filed it on the 8th of  
13 June, 2004. The pages numbered 1 to 3 are the  
14 documents that I submitted to the Commission, and the  
15 front page is a Commission document titled "Summary of  
16 Complaint".

17 MS WARSAME: The last page of that  
18 document there is a signature. Is that your signature?

19 MR. WARMAN: Yes, it is.

20 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
21 like to file this document as a Commission exhibit.

22 THE REGISTRAR: The complaint filed  
23 by Richard Warman and the Canadian Human Rights  
24 Commission dated June 8, 2004 will be filed as  
25 Commission Exhibit HR-21.

1 EXHIBIT NO. HR-21: Complaint  
2 filed by Richard Warman and the  
3 Canadian Human Rights Commission  
4 dated June 8, 2004

5 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you go to  
6 page 1 of HR-21 where it say "websites involved" can  
7 you please explain that to the tribunal?

8 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It indicates that  
9 the websites -- there are three websites involved: The  
10 first one is [www.wcfu.com](http://www.wcfu.com); the second is  
11 [www.westerncanadaforum.com](http://www.westerncanadaforum.com); and the third is  
12 [www.stormfront.org](http://www.stormfront.org).

13 The reason there are two separate  
14 websites there, the first two websites, is that there  
15 were two distinct universal resource locator, URL  
16 addresses that you could go to the WCFU websites.  
17 Whenever I looked at them, to the best of my ability,  
18 they were both simply mirror images of the other, and,  
19 in fact, although I don't know, they could have simply  
20 led to the same place.

21 MS WARSAME: At the same page, if you  
22 go to the bottom part where it says "Particulars".

23 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

24 MS WARSAME: Can you please explain  
25 the meaning of SS-88 or SS -- what SS stand for?

1 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Because the  
2 allegation in the complaint is that the person behind  
3 SS-88 was, in fact, Mr. Glenn Bahr, SS is short for  
4 Schutzstaffel.

5 Schutzstaffel was the organization in  
6 Nazi Germany that had the responsibility for the  
7 protection of Adolf Hitler and the operation of the  
8 concentration camps.

9 In terms of 88, based on my  
10 experience monitoring these types of groups, the number  
11 88 is often used in neo-Nazi parlance as a numeric code  
12 for HH because 'H' is the 8th letter of the alphabet,  
13 and it is used as shorthand in the neo-Nazi milieu for  
14 Heil Hitler, 88 being 'H' times 2 being short for Heil  
15 Hitler.

16 MS WARSAME: The same document,  
17 HR-23, I would like to refer you to page 2, the bottom  
18 paragraph where it say "During the month of March,  
19 2004", and you are talking here about neo-Nazi  
20 literature?

21 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

22 MS. WARSAME: Can you please explain  
23 that?

24 MR. WARMAN: During the month March  
25 2004 from monitoring the WCFU website I became aware

1           that in the download section there were electronic  
2           versions of Nazi and neo-Nazi literature that were  
3           available for download.

4                        As it indicates on the next page,  
5           which is page 3 at the bottom, on the 17th of March,  
6           2004, I downloaded a list the files that were  
7           available. They included the works *The Silent Jew; The*  
8           *International Jew*, by Henry Ford; *The Turner Diaries*,  
9           by William Pierce, William Pierce being the former head  
10          of the U.S. neo-Nazi group the National Alliance; *White*  
11          *Power* by George Lincoln Rockwell, the former head of  
12          the American Nazi Party.

13                       From my familiarity with these  
14          documents it was my opinion that they were viciously  
15          anti-Semitic, and that the *Turner Diaries* clearly  
16          advocated the genocide of Jews, blacks and other  
17          non-whites, as well as any whites who associated with  
18          non-whites.

19                       I personally viewed all of the  
20          materials that were available that I have described in  
21          their entirety through the WCFU website on a number of  
22          occasions; and on the 17th of March, 2004, I printed  
23          off hard copies of the *Turner Diaries* and *The*  
24          *International Jew*, and on 19th of March, 2004, I  
25          downloaded pdf copies of *The Turner Diaries*, *The*

1 International Jew and White Power.

2 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

3 I will ask you now to turn to Tab 2.  
4 Are you familiar with this document?

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I am. It is  
6 virtually identical to the previous tab except that the  
7 summary of the complaint by the Canadian Human Rights  
8 Commission names the respondent as Western Canada For  
9 Us as opposed to the individual respondent Glenn Bar  
10 identified in the previous tab.

11 MS WARSAME: And the last page, page  
12 3, are you familiar with that signature?

13 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I am. It is my  
14 signature.

15 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
16 like to file Tab 2.

17 THE REGISTRAR: The Summary of the  
18 Complaint signed by Richard Warman dated June 8, 2004  
19 will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-22.

20 EXHIBIT NO. HR-22: The summary  
21 of complaint signed by Richard  
22 Warman dated June 8, 2004

23 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you  
24 please now turn to Tab 3. What can you tell us about  
25 this document?

1                   MR. WARMAN:  What this is is it is a  
2                   hard copy image of a page that was available on the  
3                   U.S. neo-Nazi website stormfront.org.  The way you can  
4                   sort of read it, if you will, is that up in the top  
5                   left corner in the -- at the very top it gives the  
6                   website's name and then it gives what are called the  
7                   thread titles.  So each individual new discussion is  
8                   usually referred to as a thread, and that is the title,  
9                   and you can see that repeated again in the box that is  
10                  just underneath the "Live Internet Radio with David  
11                  Duke" near the top.

12                  So the different kind of forums that  
13                  were available on Stormfront, the first one was the  
14                  biggest, the Stormfront White Nationalist Community,  
15                  and then if you go into the international section, and  
16                  then Stormfront Canada; and this is from the particular  
17                  thread within Stormfront Canada called "New here,  
18                  anyone in Surrey BC".

19                  MS WARSAME:  At the top of that  
20                  document under where it say "Live International Radio"  
21                  there is a -- there is a sentence start with "Welcome"?

22                  MR. WARMAN:  Yes.

23                  MS WARSAME:  Can you tell us the  
24                  meaning of that name after "Welcome"?

25                  MR. WARMAN:  That states:  "Welcome

1 pogue mahone", and the reason for that is because that  
2 was one of the pseudonyms that I used to log onto  
3 Stormfront, and in monitoring the website.

4 Basically I picked that title because  
5 it was the name of an Irish Celtic music band that went  
6 by the name The Pogue Mahones, and then eventually they  
7 shortened their name to The Pogues.

8 MS WARSAME: The same document, we  
9 are still at the same document, if you look at the  
10 posting of SS-88 what can you tell us about that  
11 posting?

12 MR. WARMAN: In the -- in the posting  
13 on the top right you will note that there is a small  
14 number 2 there, and if you go to the box, the post  
15 immediately above it, there is a very small number 1 in  
16 the top right corner. What that indicates is the  
17 number in order of the thread that that post  
18 represents.

19 So "Greek Crusade 4 Constantnople" is  
20 the first person who opened that thread, and therefore  
21 they have got the little number 1. SS-88 is the second  
22 person who is posted in that thread, and thus the  
23 little number 2.

24 This particular hard copy was printed  
25 off by me on the 5th of February, 2005 and provided to

1 the Human Rights -- Canadian Human Rights Commission  
2 pursuant to my complaint.

3 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
4 like to file that document.

5 THE REGISTRAR: I am not quite sure  
6 how to describe this, but copy of the thread found in  
7 stormfront.org website will be filed as Commission  
8 Exhibit HR-23.

9 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair and Madam  
10 Joyal, perhaps just because there are a number of  
11 threads from Stormfront, perhaps if we just listed them  
12 by the title it would differentiate them. So perhaps  
13 if we just called it "Stormfront forum New here, anyone  
14 in Surrey BC", that may assist in differentiating them  
15 if we need to refer to them in the future.

16 EXHIBIT NO. HR-23: Thread  
17 titled "Stormfront forum new  
18 here, anyone in Surrey BC"

19 MS WARSAME: Let us move now to Tab  
20 4.

21 MR. WARMAN: Sorry, I would just like  
22 to identify a couple of things, if I could --

23 MS WARSAME: Sure.

24 MR. WARMAN: -- in this specific  
25 printed-off thread.

1                   On the first page, page 1 of 5, the  
2 thread starter has essentially asked if there is anyone  
3 who is around Surrey, B.C. SS-88 posts a response  
4 indicating:

5                   "I'm in your area and so are  
6 others. PM me if your  
7 interested in meeting friday."

8                   Subsequent to that on page 3 of 5 at  
9 the very bottom SS-88 goes back and posts again:

10                   "Re: New here, anyone in Surrey  
11 BC."

12                   Helsingor, just above that posting,  
13 has indicated that they are from Langley, and SS-88  
14 responds:

15                   "I'm also in Langley."

16                   I think another thing of note is that  
17 the symbol or what are called avatars, the little sort  
18 of picture underneath the SS-88 is a picture -- the  
19 avatar that SS-88 has chosen is the picture of what  
20 appear to be -- what are traditionally associated with  
21 skinhead boots with white laces standing with open legs  
22 in front of a large Nazi banner with the swastika.

23                   MS WARSAME: Thank you.

24                   Can we now move to Tab 4.

25                   MR. WARMAN: Sorry. Perhaps if I

1           could, I would just like to refer back to HR-21 on  
2           page -- the second page or what is numbered as page 1  
3           at the bottom.

4                           Just like to note the permanent home  
5           address that was listed for Mr. Bahr and through which  
6           I understand the Commission served him with the  
7           document that was submitted by me with the complaint,  
8           and I won't give the exact address, but it indicates  
9           that Mr. Bahr was residing in Langley, British Columbia  
10          at the time.

11                           MS WARSAME: Thank you for the  
12          clarification.

13                           So we are now -- can you turn at Tab  
14          4. Did you print this document?

15                           MR. WARMAN: Yes, I did. This was  
16          printed off on the 5th of February, 2005. That is the  
17          date at the bottom right corner. The sort of extensive  
18          numbers and letters at the bottom left indicates the  
19          URL from which I downloaded it from the stormfront.org  
20          website, and I submitted this to the Commission  
21          pursuant to my complaint.

22                           MS WARSAME: When you are talking  
23          about the number on the bottom are you talking the one  
24          that say 17106 --

25                           MR. WARMAN: I am talking about that

1 whole series of letters and numbers beginning with  
2 http, what is commonly called the URL address.

3 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

4 Can I file this document -- I would  
5 like to file this document as a Commission exhibit  
6 please, Tab 4.

7 THE REGISTRAR: The copy of a thread  
8 found on the stormfront.org website titled "Eagle  
9 Tattoo" will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-24.

10 EXHIBIT NO. HR-24: Copy of a  
11 thread found on the  
12 stormfront.org website titled  
13 "Eagle Tattoo"

14 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman --

15 MR. BAHR: Sorry, Madam. I was  
16 wondering if the Crown could just clarify something for  
17 me about this Tab 4.

18 On the index it looks like it is from  
19 Stormfront but it says "the-cloak.com". I was just  
20 wondering what is the-cloak.com and how come it says  
21 "Stormfront" when it says "the-cloak.com"?

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Bahr, I don't  
23 see where it says that.

24 MR. BAHR: At the very beginning of  
25 the binder under "Index", do you see description?

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

2 MR. BAHR: And next to 4 it says --  
3 the rest say "stormfront.org" but this says  
4 "the-cloak.com", and I was just wondering...

5 MS WARSAME: Thank you. I will  
6 clarify that.

7 Madam Chair, the way we prepare the  
8 book of documents we ask our assistant to prepare an  
9 index so it will be -- it will help the party and the  
10 tribunal to follow, and I believe that was a typo  
11 because I don't see anywhere where --

12 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, actually,  
13 if I could perhaps be of assistance.

14 When you get to Tab 4 -- sorry.  
15 Perhaps it would be easiest if I could explain that  
16 when we reach Tab 5. It will -- because Tab 5 actually  
17 makes -- indicates -- some information on that specific  
18 page that will assist in explaining what it means.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: So these entries 4  
20 and 5 might be just out of order rather than --

21 MR. WARMAN: That goes back to the  
22 Commission's preparation.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We are going  
24 to have to be both of us in suspense for a little bit  
25 then until we hear --

1 MR. BAHR: Okay. As long as they  
2 explain it to me I'm fine.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Carry on. So where  
4 are we at now, Tab 5?

5 MS WARSAME: Tab 4. We are now at  
6 Tab 4 HR-24.

7 Mr. Warman, I would like to direct  
8 your attention to page 4 of 5. There is a posting by  
9 SS-88. What can you tell us about that posting please?

10 MR. WARMAN: What it is is it is a  
11 response to a posting by CyrosImmortal, and it shows  
12 one of the traditional Nazi emblems that is a page  
13 of -- an image of an eagle in flight. Within its claws  
14 is sort of a ring within which is the World War II era  
15 Nazi swastika emblem.

16 SS-88 posts:

17 "I have that on my back from  
18 shoulder to shoulder."

19 I believe that is important because  
20 it ties in with the descriptions that were given to  
21 Mr. Camp and that Sergeant Camp has testified with  
22 regard to the actual tattoos that Mr. Bahr has.

23 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

24 MR. BAHR: Madam, I think I need to  
25 object to what Mr. Warman has said because I believe

1           there was no -- nothing Mr. Camp said about an eagle  
2           tattoo on my back, and I think we should leave that for  
3           Mr. Camp to decide, not Mr. Warman, because it is  
4           Mr. Camp's testimony.

5                         MR. WARMAN:  In fact, all I am doing  
6           is explaining why I believe that it is important.

7                         THE CHAIRPERSON:  Okay.

8                         MR. WARMAN:  And, in fact, Sergeant  
9           Camp had testified to the presence of an eagle tattoo  
10          similar to that, whether it is specifically on the back  
11          or whatever location it may be on Mr. Bahr's body.

12                        THE CHAIRPERSON:  I think the e-mail  
13          or the post, I guess, might speak for itself on that  
14          point, so we will carry on.

15                        MS WARSAME:  Madam Chair, if we turn  
16          at Tab 5, on the bottom it say "www.the-cloak.com".

17                        MR. WARMAN:  I would be happy to  
18          explain that.

19                        MS WARSAME:  Can you explain that,  
20          Mr. Warman?

21                        MR. WARMAN:  Yes.  What the cloak is  
22          is it is essentially an anonymizer program, so similar  
23          to anonymizer.com; and all it is is it is a means of  
24          hiding your what is called an internet protocol address  
25          or your IP address.

1                   So when you are surfing the internet,  
2                   if you don't want people to know what the IP address  
3                   of your computer is, you can go to an anonymizing site.  
4                   Some of them require you to pay money, some of them  
5                   don't, and all it does is it is like a redirect point.

6                   So in this case what I did was I went  
7                   to the website the-cloak.com -- C-L-O-A-K.com. They  
8                   would have a box where you would enter the URL address  
9                   of the website that you wish to go to, and then that  
10                  would simply then redirect you.

11                  So if anyone tried to track where you  
12                  were coming from it would look as though you were  
13                  coming from the website of the-cloak.com. It would  
14                  give their IP address as opposed to your actual IP  
15                  address.

16                  And what that does is it is simply a  
17                  redirection to another website. It is a way of getting  
18                  there by going through a second point, and it does not  
19                  change the content in any way.

20                  MR. BAHR: Madam, if I may just so I  
21                  know that I understand what he is saying and I have it  
22                  right.

23                  So basically what the cloak does it  
24                  hides from where you are coming from so you can visit a  
25                  website without people knowing who you are? Is that

1           what it is?

2                           MR. WARMAN:  Yes, essentially.  It is  
3           an anonymous sort of redirect.

4                           MR. BAHR:  Thank you.

5                           MS WARSAME:  Madam Chair, the  
6           Commission is not planning to enter Tab 5.  However, to  
7           clarify it, because there was a question earlier asked  
8           by Mr. Bahr with respect to why the index indicate  
9           another -- the title in the index is different from the  
10          title in the top itself, so maybe for the sake of  
11          clarification the Commission will file that document,  
12          but before I file it I will ask Mr. Warman to clarify  
13          it.

14                          Mr. Warman, did you print out this  
15          document in Tab 5 --

16                          MR. WARMAN:  Yes, I did.  It was  
17          printed off by me on the 20th of February, 2004, and  
18          was provided to the Commission pursuant to my  
19          complaint.

20                          MS WARSAME:  Madam Chair, I would  
21          like to file Tab 5 as the next Exhibit.

22                          THE REGISTRAR:  A copy of the thread  
23          found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Tatoos"  
24          will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-25.

25                          EXHIBIT NO. HR-25:  A copy of

1 the thread found on the  
2 stormfront.org website entitled  
3 "Tatoos"

4 MR. WARMAN: Sorry, HR-25?

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

6 MR. WARMAN: Thank you.

7 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you  
8 please now turn to Tab 6 please.

9 Are you familiar with this document?

10 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I am. It was  
11 printed off by me on the 5th of February, 2005, from a  
12 thread on the stormfront.org website and was submitted  
13 to the Commission by me pursuant to my complaint.

14 MS WARSAME: I would like to direct  
15 you to page 3, 3 of 7. There is a posting by SS-88.

16 MR. WARMAN: Has it been entered as  
17 an exhibit?

18 MS WARSAME: Oh, not yet. Can I  
19 please enter this document identified by the  
20 complainant as an exhibit?

21 THE REGISTRAR: Tab 6?

22 MS WARSAME: Yes please.

23 THE REGISTRAR: The copy of a thread  
24 found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Should SF  
25 remove its National Socialist sym", and then it goes

1 on, will be filed as complaint Commission Exhibit  
2 HR-26.

3 EXHIBIT NO. HR-26: Copy of a  
4 thread found on the  
5 stormfront.org website entitled  
6 "Should SF remove its National  
7 Socialist (NS) symbology page?"

8 MS WARSAME: So, Mr. Warman, if you  
9 can now go to page 3 of 7 of HR-26. The posting by  
10 SS-88, what can you tell us about this posting?

11 MR. WARMAN: You can see that if  
12 you -- it is a response to the posting by Unconditioned  
13 Canuck on page 1 of 7, and there is a short bit of  
14 Unconditioned Canuck's posting that is quoted in the  
15 box at the beginning of SS-88's post. It states, "Dear  
16 SF", meaning Stormfront members:

17 "For the past 2 weeks I've been  
18 helping to organize a 'national  
19 flyer campaign' - a sticky  
20 thread on Canada SF forum.

21 Sometimes I feel as though  
22 it's all for nothing as the  
23 media, if our efforts are  
24 reported, will simply log onto  
25 this portion of the site" --

1                   it then gives you the URL address --  
2                    "and label us as a nazi  
3                    organization because we endorse  
4                    NS symbology,"  
5                   and Unconditioned Canuck has  
6                   indicated that NS -- he is using that as short for  
7                   National Socialist.

8                   SS-88 then goes on to say:  
9                    "As far as the Sticky about the  
10                   National Flyer campaign if you  
11                   do not wish to support  
12                   Stormfront make your own flyer  
13                   and distribute it. We in B.C.  
14                   are going ahead with our flyer.  
15                    Forget it, I'm a National  
16                   Socialist! Thats what freedom  
17                   of Speech is!! What are you  
18                   fighting for!"

19                   It then underneath says, it quotes  
20                   another poster. It says:

21                    "Why not create your own  
22                    completely sanitised forum or  
23                    information site, and advertise  
24                    that?"

25                   SS-88 responds to that saying, "Good

1           idea".

2                                 Underneath that in bold and all caps  
3           are the words "Heil Hitler" and underneath that is a  
4           thumbnail that SS-88 -- an image, a small image that  
5           SS-88 has attached to the posting showing a picture of  
6           Adolf Hitler sieg heiling, giving the National  
7           Socialist salute in front of a National Socialist flag  
8           bearing the swastika.

9                                 Later on at page 5 of 7 --

10                                MS WARSAME: Before you go there can  
11           you go back to SS-88 page 3 of 7. Do you know the  
12           meaning of the National Socialist?

13                                MR. WARMAN: National Socialist  
14           essentially is a reference to the World War II era  
15           political party, the NS staff, the National Socialist  
16           Deutsche Arbeiterpartei.

17                                MR. BAHR: Madam, I must object.  
18           Unless Mr. Warman is an expert on that I don't think he  
19           should testify what National Socialism is because it is  
20           not true.

21                                THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Warman is the  
22           complainant. I think it is reasonable to ask him to  
23           give us --

24                                MR. BAHR: Can he say give his  
25           opinion of what it is instead of --

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think it is fair  
2 to put that qualifier on there, and you will certainly  
3 have an opportunity through your witnesses to present  
4 any contrary evidence you would like to.

5 MR. BAHR: Thank you.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Carry on.

7 MR. WARMAN: As I indicated, it is a  
8 reference to the National Socialist Deutsche  
9 Arbeiteropartei. Essentially the group that seized  
10 control in the 1930s in Germany, commonly referred to  
11 as the Nazi party, N-A-Z-I. The political platform, I  
12 believe, is notorious. It stood for the extermination  
13 of Jews, gypsies which are commonly known as or more  
14 properly known as Roma, homosexuals and non-whites.

15 The -- as I have indicated in the  
16 complaint, I have indicated what the role of the SS-88  
17 was within that; and just for the purposes of  
18 clarification, if I am referring to -- if I use the  
19 expression "neo-Nazi" what I mean to say by that is  
20 that it is an individual or group that expound the same  
21 beliefs but that came after the period during which the  
22 actual original National Socialist party was in  
23 existence in Germany.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Warman, I have  
25 been noticing as I go through this that I am having

1 trouble reading those teensy-weensy little dates at the  
2 top of the moniker. Can you tell from your copy what  
3 the date of this one is, and I will start flagging  
4 these as we go along.

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Madam Chair, the  
6 photocopying unfortunately has come out a little weak.  
7 What I would be quite happy to do is if you flag the  
8 ones you can't read I will undertake over the weekend  
9 to get the exact dates of all of those posts.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thanks. This is  
11 one of them.

12 MR. WARMAN: Okay. Perhaps,  
13 Mr. Vigna, perhaps you could just keep a list and then  
14 I can make sure that I get those for you.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thanks.

16 MR. WARMAN: I would also just add  
17 that it is my personal belief that the nature of the  
18 National Socialist party or what -- and what National  
19 Socialism stands for is notorious in that it is a  
20 commonly known fact of history. So I don't believe  
21 that there is any expertise that is required for that  
22 kind of definition.

23 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, before we go  
24 into details in respect to Tab 6, can we go back and  
25 tell me -- I understand you have an interest in human

1 right. So can you please explain and describe to the  
2 tribunal how you became interested in human right and  
3 how do you pursue that interest?

4 MR. WARMAN: Essentially I have had a  
5 long standing interest in human rights' issues in  
6 general, and over the past 15 or 20 years I have  
7 developed a particular interest in hate group activity,  
8 predominantly white supremacist and neo-Nazi hate group  
9 activity.

10 My original interests, I guess,  
11 started back around 19 -- late 1980's early 1990's. I  
12 began monitoring the activities of what I considered to  
13 be extremist groups that would fall under those  
14 categories both in Canada and abroad.

15 I have done that ever since, and over  
16 the past six, perhaps seven years I developed a  
17 particular interest in what was occurring on the  
18 internet in relation to distribution of what I  
19 considered to be hate propaganda by those groups; and  
20 my concern stemmed predominantly from the fact that I  
21 felt that material of that nature would likely violate  
22 either Section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act or  
23 the Criminal Code provisions against hate propaganda --  
24 hate propaganda and the promotion of genocide.

25 MS WARSAME: Sorry. I know you

1            mention white supremacist and neo-Nazi. Can you please  
2            explain, based on your experience, the meaning of those  
3            terms?

4                            MR. WARMAN: When I use those  
5            terms --

6                            MS WARSAME: Or how you do understand  
7            the meaning of those terms?

8                            MR. WARMAN: When I use those terms I  
9            differentiate between white supremacist on the basis  
10           that white supremacist is simply the belief that the  
11           white or Aryan race is supreme and that all other races  
12           are subservient or deficient or what the National  
13           Socialist regime called intermenser or lesser beings.

14                            When I use the term "neo-Nazi" I use  
15           it specifically to describe things that made up the  
16           National Socialist beliefs which was in my opinion an  
17           irrational, an extreme fear and hatred of homosexuals,  
18           Jews, non-whites, Roma and other targets of their  
19           regime.

20                            MS WARSAME: And in the same context  
21           I understand you explain what led you in filing the  
22           complaint in this case, but can you briefly describe to  
23           the tribunal what led you filing the two complaints in  
24           this case?

25                            MR. WARMAN: Yes. I went through it

1 a little bit in my opening statement, and essentially  
2 it was from monitoring the Stormfront website.  
3 Stormfront, in my opinion, is essentially what has been  
4 referred to as the mother of all internet hate sites  
5 ever since its development in 1995 as essentially the  
6 first of these kinds of websites; and from monitoring  
7 it, in July of 2003 in the Canadian section I began to  
8 notice specific efforts by individuals in Edmonton to  
9 organize within the white supremacist and neo-Nazi  
10 movements.

11 As I described they initially  
12 attended -- attempted to hold a demonstration at the  
13 legislature here in Edmonton, and when that didn't work  
14 they returned and continued organizing, specifically  
15 beginning around January of 2004 I allege that Peter  
16 Kouba and Mr. Bahr began organizing around Western  
17 Canada For Us and the idea of creating an all-white  
18 homeland here in Alberta; and I believe that the rest  
19 of my understanding of what transpired since then was  
20 contained in my opening submissions or will be  
21 explained here.

22 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

23 Can we now go back to Tab 6 which is  
24 HR-26.

25 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

1 MS WARSAME: And please turn to page  
2 6 of 7. Can you tell us what is your understanding of  
3 the posting made by SS-88? It started at page 5 of 7.

4 MR. WARMAN: Yes. At the bottom of  
5 page 5 of 7, and I believe the date there would be --

6 MS WARSAME: It say on mine  
7 01-08-2005?

8 MR. WARMAN: Yes. This was  
9 downloaded by me as I said on the 5th of February,  
10 2005, and, again, it is a continuation. This is the  
11 ninth posting in that thread: Should SF remove its NS  
12 symptomatology. It indicates -- it quotes a previous  
13 poster that says:

14 "By moving that page off SF" -  
15 meaning Stormfront - "we can at  
16 least say that SF itself doesn't  
17 endorse NS" - meaning National  
18 Socialist - "symbology, it  
19 endorses freedom of expression -  
20 of which any member (ie NSs) can  
21 enjoy."

22 SS-88 then responds to that quote  
23 saying:

24 "But it does and there is  
25 nothing wrong with NS" - meaning

1 National Socialist - "symbology.  
2 What are we saying if we take it  
3 off!? That's exactly what ZOG  
4 wants!"

5 From my experience in observing these  
6 milieus over the past 20 years or so what I understand  
7 "ZOG" to mean is that it is an acronym for Zionist  
8 Occupational Government, and that essentially it refers  
9 to the neo-Nazi theory that Jews are, in fact, in  
10 control of the government, and it is often used  
11 interchangeably with the acronym "JOG", which stands  
12 for Jewish Occupational Government.

13 MS WARSAME: Thank you, Mr. Warman.  
14 Can you now turn to Tab 7. Madam  
15 Chair, I believe this was entered as HR-4 by Officer  
16 Camp.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Was it a separate  
18 document or is it in a binder --

19 MS WARSAME: It was separate  
20 document actually, three-page document, I believe. A  
21 separate document.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I am not  
23 finding it right away, but it is of no consequence.  
24 Madam Registrar can confirm that.

25 Yes, this looks like it. Three

1 pages. So this -- we may as well mark this document  
2 HR-4 as well just so I am clear.

3 Thank you.

4 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, are you  
5 familiar with this document?

6 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I am. The first  
7 three pages were printed off by me on the 12th of  
8 February, 2005, and then submitted to the Canadian  
9 Human Rights Commission pursuant to my complaint.

10 The last page with the heading  
11 "UNWANTED" is a copy of a poster that I believe has  
12 already been referred to that was distributed in Red  
13 Deer, and that this thread refers to. This particular  
14 document, and I can't remember the exact timing of  
15 which came first, but after I became aware that these  
16 posters had been distributed, whether I knew that from  
17 the Stormfront or whether it was Sergeant Camp's call  
18 to me asking if I had seen a copy, what I did was given  
19 that it indicated that it was the group called  
20 Anti-Racist Action that had put these posters up, what  
21 I did was I went to the website of that group, found  
22 the e-mail address, and then e-mailed that group  
23 inquiring if they could forward me a copy of that  
24 poster.

25 They then did that, and I printed it

1 off and submitted it to the Commission pursuant to my  
2 complaint.

3 MS WARSAME: Did you provide this  
4 document to Officer Camp?

5 MR. WARMAN: I did that as well, yes.

6 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

7 Can you now turn to page 2 of HR-4.  
8 Actually start at page 1, the posting by SS-88.

9 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Essentially,  
10 again, SS-88, by referring to the small number 1 at the  
11 top, is the originator of this thread and, again, would  
12 have chosen the title of this thread by initiating the  
13 thread.

14 If you look the title is "Posters of  
15 me in Red Deer", and I think that is important because  
16 it indicates that it is self-referential, and that when  
17 you actually look at what the poster was the poster is  
18 of an individual named Glenn Bar.

19 It goes on to complain about the fact  
20 that these posters -- he alleges these posters have  
21 been put up in Red Deer about him. He claims, he talks  
22 about different things that he alleges have occurred to  
23 him as a result. He makes statements that I believe  
24 are inaccurate about the nature of Western Canada For  
25 Us, and then it ends on the second page of 8 -- the

1 first posting ends on the second page of 8.

2 MS WARSAME: If you turn to page 2 of  
3 that same document HR-4, that of the posting that start  
4 at page 1, can you read for us the last sentence?

5 MR. WARMAN: I am sorry, I didn't  
6 catch that.

7 MS WARSAME: The last sentence of the  
8 posting that start at page 1?

9 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It states:

10 "I will never give up and WCFU  
11 is not going away! Your actions  
12 are only bringing us together  
13 and making us stronger! WCFU!"

14 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

15 Can you now go to the second posting,  
16 the same page, by SS-88.

17 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It states:

18 "You can also check out our  
19 website to see what we stand  
20 for, Western Canada For Us."

21 And if I recall correctly, that was  
22 actually a link, a hyperlink, meaning that if you  
23 clicked on that you would be directed -- either a  
24 separate window would open up or you would be  
25 transferred to the Western Canada For Us website.

1                   And I should mention as well that I  
2                   think it is important from my perspective as the  
3                   complainant because it indicates to me control over the  
4                   website. It indicates the leadership role within the  
5                   organization. It is self-referential in that it refers  
6                   to "our website"; and I just want to make sure that I  
7                   was absolutely clear when I spoke earlier in the day  
8                   that the allegation is, in addition to the individual  
9                   complaint, is that Mr. Bahr's responsibility comes just  
10                  not from his actions as an individual but also his role  
11                  under Section 13 as a member of a group of individuals  
12                  acting in concert, and thus violating Section 13.

13                  So I just wanted to make sure that I  
14                  was clear about what it was that is being alleged in  
15                  relation to Mr. Bahr.

16                  MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you go  
17                  back to the posting at page 2, the second posting by  
18                  SS-88, the one that start with "You can also check out  
19                  our website"?

20                  MR. WARMAN: Yes.

21                  MS WARSAME: At the bottom of that  
22                  posting there is a "Canadian WN Websites", and I  
23                  believe there is a list of what I believe there are  
24                  websites.

25                  Can you -- do you understand what

1           those are?

2                               MR. WARMAN:  Yes.  Again, from my  
3           experience in monitoring these groups "WN" refers to  
4           white nationalist.  It is sort of a rhetorical term  
5           that is used by individuals involved in those movements  
6           to sort of soft play or down play what the nature of  
7           the organizations are, white nationalist meaning that  
8           you support the creation of a whites only homeland.

9                               The first website is called "Canada  
10          First".  That is a website/group.  That is a website  
11          that is run by Mr. Fromm.  The Freedom Site is a  
12          website that is run by an individual named Marc Lemire.  
13          Canadian Heritage Alliance is a website, is a group  
14          based out of the London, Ontario area run by a woman  
15          named Melissa Guille who attended at least one meeting  
16          that was held by WCFU and spoke in conjunction with  
17          Mr. Fromm.  The Northern Alliance is, again, another  
18          group operated out of the London, Ontario area; and  
19          B.C. White Pride is an organization in British  
20          Columbia; and all of these groups had websites, and,  
21          again, I believe that if you clicked on any of those  
22          they would take you to those links.

23                              MS WARSAME:  Thank you.

24                              Can you turn to Tab 8 now please.

25                              Are you familiar with the document at Tab 8?

1 MR. WARMAN: Yes. This was printed  
2 off from the Stormfront website by me. The thread  
3 title is "Happy Birthday Paul Fromm". It was printed  
4 off by me on the 5th of February, 2005 and then  
5 submitted to the Commission pursuant to my complaint.

6 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
7 like to file Tab 8, the document at Tab 8 of the book  
8 of document of the Canadian Human Rights Commission.

9 THE REGISTRAR: The copy of a thread  
10 found on the Stormfront printout or website entitled  
11 "Happy Birthday Paul Fromm" will be filed as Commission  
12 Exhibit HR-27.

13 EXHIBIT NO. HR-27: The copy of  
14 a thread found on the Stormfront  
15 printout or website entitled  
16 "Happy Birthday Paul Fromm"

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: This is -- the  
18 documents or the posts on this tab I can't read the  
19 dates on, so, counsel, just make a note.

20 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, at Tab  
21 HR-27, if you turn to page 4 of 7, there is a posting  
22 by SS-88. What can you tell us about that posting?

23 MR. WARMAN: I think it is important  
24 to look at the first page before turning to page 4 just  
25 to get a sense of what this thread discusses.

1                   Individual starts the thread and says  
2           "Happy Birthday Paul Fromm!"

3                   MR. BAHR:   Madam, I must object.  I  
4           think we discussed earlier that only posts made by me  
5           were going to be included on the Stormfront?  Wasn't  
6           that what we decided?

7                   THE CHAIRPERSON:  We are going to be  
8           referred to --

9                   MR. BAHR:  Are we still going to go  
10          through each one --

11                  THE CHAIRPERSON:  I think it is  
12          reasonable to know, now that we are learning about  
13          this, the whole concept of threads, it is helpful to  
14          know there are sort of clusters in a subject matter.  
15          So it is helpful to know, I think, what the subject  
16          matter is, Mr. Bahr.

17                  MR. BAHR:  Okay.

18                  THE CHAIRPERSON:  So I think that is  
19          an appropriate question in the circumstances.

20                  MR. WARMAN:  The first post states:  
21                                "Paul Fromm turns 56 on January  
22                                3, 2005.  I'm sure all the  
23                                partisans on Stormfront Canada  
24                                would like to take this  
25                                opportunity to wish him a happy

1 birthday and a good 2005!

2 Mr. Fromm then posts a response and  
3 says:

4 "Thanks, White Etobicoke. I  
5 hoist one to all my Kinsmen and  
6 Kinswomen in Canada, the U.S.  
7 and across the European world."

8 Other individuals then respond saying  
9 "Happy Birthday". There is a post there by the  
10 individual previously named Marc Lemire.

11 You get to page 4 where SS-88 posts.  
12 It states:

13 "HAPPY BIRTHDAY PAUL!! You sure  
14 don't look 56, I would have  
15 guessed 30!"

16 And it is then signed Glenn,  
17 G-L-E-N-N. And I believe that is important because I  
18 believe it is a further indication or indicia that  
19 SS-88 is, in fact, Mr. Bahr.

20 MS WARSAME: Can you turn to Tab 9,  
21 Mr. Warman. Do you recognize this document at Tab 9?

22 MR. WARMAN: I do.

23 MS WARSAME: Did you print it?

24 MR. WARMAN: This is a document from  
25 Stormfront. The thread title is "Warman files

1 complaint against WCFU". It was printed off by me on  
2 the 12th of February, 2005, and then submitted to the  
3 Commission pursuant to my complaint.

4 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
5 like to file this document Tab 9.

6 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of a thread  
7 found in the stormfront.org website entitled "Warman  
8 files complaint against WCFU" will be filed as  
9 Commission Exhibit HR-28.

10 EXHIBIT NO. HR-28: A copy of a  
11 thread found in the  
12 stormfront.org website entitled  
13 "Warman files complaint against  
14 WCFU"

15 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you  
16 tell us about this document?

17 MR. WARMAN: What it is is it started  
18 off by an individual called RJM1488. It starts --  
19 essentially what it is is it is a copy of material that  
20 was taken from a website, an anti-racist website,  
21 called "recomnetwork.org" that is run by the British  
22 Columbia anti-racist organization called the Canadian  
23 Anti-racism Education and Research Society.

24 It is essentially copying a news  
25 release that appeared on the recomnetwork website

1           announcing the fact that formal human rights complaints  
2           had been filed against Western Canada For Us against  
3           Mr. Bahr and against Mr. Kouba.

4                         What it does is it continues with the  
5           contents on page 1 and 2, and it then attracts a number  
6           of responses from individuals on pages 3 and 4. Paul  
7           Fromm responds on page 5 and includes a copy of the  
8           entire complaint that was also posted on the  
9           recomnetwork website.

10                        And then on page 11 of 12 SS-88  
11           responds first, and again the thread title is "Warman  
12           files complaints against WCFU". SS-88, whom I believe  
13           to be Mr. Bahr, states:

14                                 "Look forward to meeting you in  
15   person Richard Warman."

16                         MR. BAHR: I must say it has been a  
17           disappointment.

18                         THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Bahr, that is  
19           not appropriate.

20                         MR. BAHR: Sorry.

21                         MR. WARMAN: Certainly if that is  
22           confirmation that that was you that is great.

23                         The SS-88 posts again under the same  
24           thread.

25                                 "The more the cops harass me,

1 the more complaints that are  
2 made against us, the more we are  
3 shut up and kept quiet the  
4 angrier and more determined I  
5 get. Something needs to be done,  
6 things are getting worse here in  
7 Canada. Soon we will have no  
8 rights at all. Soon we will be  
9 told what to think!"

10 Again, I believe, that is important  
11 because it is self-referential. It refers to himself  
12 being SS-88, and it talks in a thread about a complaint  
13 that was filed against Mr. Bahr himself.

14 And, in fact, even Mr. Fromm, if you  
15 turn to page 5 of 12, second paragraph from the bottom,  
16 Mr. Fromm who was Mr. Bahr's representative, states:

17 "Warman is a fanatic, a  
18 professional complainer who is  
19 carrying on an ideological war  
20 against people whose views he  
21 doesn't like. You'll note that  
22 much of his ire is raised by  
23 Glenn Bahr's political beliefs.  
24 So he likes Hitler, Many people  
25 like Che, or Mao or Marx.

1                    Surely, that's allowed. Heck,  
2                    isn't that "diversity". It will  
3                    be interesting."

4                    So I believe that is important  
5 because even Mr. Bahr's representative refers to him by  
6 name.

7                    MS WARSAME: Thank you, Mr. Warman.  
8                    Is there anything else from that  
9 posting you would like to highlight?

10                   MR. WARMAN: No thank you.

11                   MS WARSAME: Can you now turn to Tab  
12 10.

13                   MR. WARMAN: Sorry, I should just go  
14 back to the start of Tab 9, the first page.

15                   If you look at the -- sort of 6  
16 inches down from the top on the right-hand side, there  
17 is a small horizontal box there that says "page 1 of"  
18 and then there is a '1' in bold, '2', '3' and, then  
19 there is a small arrow, last.

20                   What that is is that the -- the fact  
21 that the '1' is indicated in bold indicates that this  
22 is the first page in this thread, and by page I don't  
23 mean physical paper page, but that that is the first --  
24 if you clicked on the '1' it would bring up the first  
25 sort of website page with all of those postings. If

1           you clicked on the '2' it would bring you to the second  
2           web page of those postings.

3                         So when we turn to Tab 10 we note  
4           that it is the same thread, but if you look at where  
5           that little box is on this page it says "page 3 of 5".  
6           So this is the third page of postings in that thread,  
7           web page of postings.

8                         MS WARSAME: Thank you for clarifying  
9           that.

10                        Can we now turn to --

11                        MR. WARMAN: Sorry. This is a --  
12           this is a page that was downloaded by me on the 12th of  
13           February, 2005, from the Stormfront website, and it was  
14           submitted by me to the Commission pursuant to my  
15           complaint.

16                        MS WARSAME: Are you referring to Tab  
17           10?

18                        MR. WARMAN: Yes.

19                        MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
20           like to file that document at Tab 10.

21                        THE REGISTRAR: Five-page document of  
22           a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled  
23           "Warman files complaint against WCFU" will be filed as  
24           Commission Exhibit HR-29.

25                        EXHIBIT NO. HR-29: Five-page

1 document of a thread found on  
2 the stormfront.org website  
3 entitled "Warman files complaint  
4 against WCFU"

5 MR. WARMAN: Again, this is a  
6 continuation of the "Warman files complaint against  
7 WCFU" thread.

8 And if we turn to page 4 of 5. At  
9 the bottom there is a posting by SS-88. Under the  
10 heading "Warman files complaint against WCFU" it says:

11 "Just received the complaint and  
12 documentation today."

13 And, again, I believe that shows that  
14 it is self-referential, that it is, in fact, Mr. Bahr.  
15 The documents were served on him, and then he indicates  
16 that he received them. He then posts that information  
17 as SS-88.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: And I can't see the  
19 date on this one, so if that could be provided at some  
20 point.

21 MS WARSAME: Can you please now turn  
22 to Tab 11.

23 MR. WARMAN: This is another thread  
24 that was downloaded by me on the 5th of February, 2005  
25 from Stormfront. It is a thread entitled "video of the

1       raid on my place last May". It was printed off by me  
2       and provided to the Commission pursuant to my  
3       complaint.

4                   MS WARSAME: Thank you.

5                   I would like to file this document.

6                   THE REGISTRAR: A copy of a thread  
7       found on the Stormfront.org website entitled "video of  
8       the raid on my place last May" will be filed as  
9       Commission Exhibit HR-30.

10                   EXHIBIT NO. HR-30: A copy of a  
11                   thread found on the  
12                   stormfront.org website entitled  
13                   "video of the raid on my place  
14                   last May"

15                   MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you  
16       tell us about this document?

17                   MR. WARMAN: If you look at the first  
18       posting, again, you note that it indicates it is page 1  
19       of 5. The top right in the first box is number 1. It  
20       indicates that SS-88 has commenced this thread, and the  
21       title of it is "video of the raid on my place last  
22       May".

23                   The content of his post states:

24                   "Heres a link to the video of  
25       the raid on my place last May.

1                   You get to see all my great  
2                   stuff that was stolen.

3                   Click the link then on the  
4                   top right click on REALVIDEO to  
5                   view it."

6                   It then gives the URL link to a CBC  
7                   website story.

8                   The reason why I think that is  
9                   important -- in fact, perhaps I can just turn to page 4  
10                  of 5.

11                  THE CHAIRPERSON: Just before you do  
12                  I can't read the date on this one either. So page 4 of  
13                  5.

14                  MR. WARMAN: SS-88, again, posts  
15                  stating:

16                         "I havn't got any of my stuff  
17                         back yet and havn't been  
18                         charged, however I'm still under  
19                         investigation for breaching 319  
20                         of the Hate Crimes Act. There  
21                         is about \$2000.00 dollars worth  
22                         of stuff there. They even took  
23                         my freeking clothes. I made  
24                         several written complaints and  
25                         since then I havn't heard from



1 explain.

2 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

3 MR. WARMAN: Sorry, I should indicate  
4 as well that on that page, if you look even just at the  
5 link, the URL link on page 1 of HR-30, you can see  
6 that at the end of it the last four letters of it are  
7 B-A-H-R, which is, of course, Mr. Bahr's last name.

8 Tab 12 is from the CBC. It is a  
9 story from the cbc.ca website. It is the actual URL  
10 link that was posted in the previous posting by SS-88.  
11 It was downloaded by me on the 5th of February, 2005,  
12 and provided to the Commission pursuant to my  
13 complaint.

14 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

15 Can I file that document as  
16 Commission exhibit please?

17 THE REGISTRAR: An article found on  
18 the CBC website entitled "Edmonton Police investigate  
19 hate crime seizure" will be filed as Commission Exhibit  
20 HR-31.

21 EXHIBIT NO. HR-31: An article  
22 found on the CBC website  
23 entitled "Edmonton Police  
24 investigate hate crime seizure"

25 MR. WARMAN: The reason why I believe

1           that this document is important is because, again, if  
2           you take the self-referential aspects from the posting  
3           by SS-88 and that exact URL link, it then translates  
4           into a story about the Edmonton police execution of a  
5           search warrant on the residence of Glenn Bar.

6                         I believe that this further  
7           establishes that Mr. Bahr is, in fact, SS-88.

8                         And also the fact that this story  
9           discusses specifically material that was seized, and  
10          SS-88 is referring to it as "my stuff", and, again, we  
11          see that the pictures in this story are pictures of  
12          flags that were specifically shown by Sergeant Camp as  
13          having been seized during the raid on Mr. Bahr's  
14          residence.

15                        MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like  
16          to refer you to go to HR-11 which was entered as an  
17          exhibit.

18                        MR. WARMAN: Yes.

19                        MS WARSAME: Can you tell us if the  
20          document at HR, I believe there, 11, the pictures?

21                        MR. WARMAN: Yes.

22                        MS WARSAME: Do you see any  
23          similarity between those pictures and the one at HR-31?

24                        MR. WARMAN: Yes. They are exact  
25          images of one of the other. They are exact copies. If

1           you turn to page 10 of HR-11, there is a specific flag  
2           that is in the center of the image that is on the CBC  
3           website.

4                               Page 11 is an exact duplicate of  
5           the -- or I believe is the same as the one that is  
6           shown on the left of the image on the CBC website, and  
7           the one on the right, I believe, is located at page 9  
8           of HR-11.

9                               MS WARSAME: Thank you.

10                              Can you please turn to at tab -- can  
11           you go to Tab 13 please.

12                              Did you print this document Tab 13?

13                              MR. WARMAN: I did. This was  
14           downloaded from the stormfront.org website. It is a  
15           continuation of the thread "video of the raid on my  
16           place last May". It was printed off by me on the 5th  
17           of February, 2005, and it was provided to the  
18           Commission pursuant to my complaint.

19                              MS WARSAME: Thank you.

20                              I would like to file document Tab 13  
21           of the Commission book of documents.

22                              THE REGISTRAR: A nine-page document  
23           of the thread found on the stormfront.org website  
24           entitled "video of the raid on my place last May" will  
25           be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-32.

1 EXHIBIT NO. HR-32: A nine-page  
2 document of the thread found on  
3 the stormfront.org website  
4 entitled "video of the raid on  
5 my place last May"

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: One question I have  
7 before I forget it.

8 We had been looking at I think it was  
9 Tab either 4 or 5, the one that -- where you accessed  
10 Stormfront through a cloaking liaison of some sort.

11 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: When you use that  
13 method of accessing a website are you able to post?

14 MR. WARMAN: That would depend on  
15 whoever had set up the forum, and it would also depend  
16 on what redirect service you were using, whether they  
17 would permit you to log into an actual website where  
18 you had to enter data.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: So more  
20 specifically then, were you able using the-cloak.com  
21 device, would you be able to make a post onto this site  
22 using this device? So could you make a post  
23 anonymously by using this?

24 MR. WARMAN: I am sorry. Based on  
25 the tab that is at 5 I would just note that at the top

1 right I am not logged in.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, I noticed  
3 that, and that is why I am asking the question because  
4 usually it is "welcome" and then your moniker.

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: And in this one it  
7 isn't, but -- so that is why I am asking the question,  
8 but I don't think that gives me the answer.

9 MR. WARMAN: Sorry. The reason I  
10 gave that response was just because basically it would  
11 say to me that I didn't log in at that using -- at the  
12 time using my pseudonym that I was using.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: No. The question I  
14 am getting to is that there has been some suggestions  
15 that there have been posts or there may have been posts  
16 made to this site that perhaps don't come from WCFU  
17 members.

18 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: So did you or were  
20 you able to go in through this device and make a post?

21 MR. WARMAN: Sorry. What I was  
22 trying to get to is that I just don't have the  
23 technical knowledge. At the very least I have no  
24 recollection of ever going in and posting using  
25 "the-cloak.com" to make --

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: So every time you  
2 accessed this site you were using the moniker that we  
3 have seen, the Gallic moniker?

4 MR. WARMAN: As I recall.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

6 MR. BAHR: Madam, I do know for a  
7 fact that you can use the cloak to post on other  
8 websites.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Bahr, you are  
10 going to have an opportunity to cross-examine.

11 MR. BAHR: Okay. Sorry.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: You are also going  
13 to have an opportunity to lead evidence, and  
14 cross-examination and leading evidence are different  
15 things.

16 MR. BAHR: Okay. Thank you.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Carry on.

18 MS WARSAME: Can we go back at HR-32  
19 which is at Tab 10.

20 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

21 MS WARSAME: Tab 13, sorry.

22 MR. WARMAN: This is a thread from  
23 Stormfront. Again, it is a continuation of the  
24 previous thread "video of the raid on my place last  
25 May", and if you look at the little horizontal

1 rectangular box near about 6 inches down from the top  
2 right corner, it states that it is page 2 of 5 and the  
3 2 is bolded. So you can confirm that.

4 And what essentially this is is this  
5 is a continuation of the discussion of the raid and the  
6 media CBC article on the raid that took place on  
7 Mr. Bahr's residence. There are a number of posts from  
8 what appear to be friends and associates indicating,  
9 you know, Oh, that's too bad; let's get your stuff  
10 back.

11 At page 2 of 9 under my pseudonym, in  
12 order to continue sort of monitoring the website, it  
13 is -- I used to essentially continue on threads  
14 pretending to be this kind of -- or participating in  
15 these threads just using innocuous contents.

16 So you will note that I posted on  
17 page 2 of 9 just saying, Oh, that is too bad, let us  
18 know if there is anything we can do to help get your  
19 stuff back.

20 So if you continue along --

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: So I am sorry. Why  
22 would you do that?

23 MR. WARMAN: Because in order to  
24 collect information using a pseudonym sometimes it is  
25 important to have -- obviously individuals believe that

1           you are, at the very least, open to the ideas or  
2           interested in learning more.

3                                So what that was was simply --  
4           because I was using that pseudonym on the Stormfront  
5           website to monitor the activities, if you -- I guess if  
6           you post a question, and it is the first time you have  
7           ever posted, it is like any other community: You are  
8           just going to get ignored. No-one is going to respond  
9           to the question you have asked. No-one is going to  
10          give you any information.

11                              So in order to, I guess, foster an  
12          identity within there I would make innocuous postings  
13          along the lines of, Oh, that is too bad or Hey, how is  
14          it going or those kinds of things just to establish a  
15          presence, and then to ask if there was any -- something  
16          that I wanted clarification on.

17                              THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

18                              MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like  
19          to direct you at page 8.

20                              MR. WARMAN: Yes. Page 8 of 9 is a  
21          posting by SS-88. It is the continuation of the video  
22          on my -- "video of the raid on my place last May". It  
23          states -- it first gives a quote from Exterminance,  
24          another individual who has posted previously. It  
25          states:

1 "Hey Glenn, my website and forum  
2 are run on an American server,  
3 if you're ready to get the WCFU  
4 up and running again, I'd be more  
5 than happy to supply you with webpages and boards on my  
6 forum for them."

7 SS-88 then responds:

8 "I appreciate it but my days  
9 with WCFU are done."

10 It then quotes a post by Der  
11 totenkopf saying:

12 "let's go get your stuff buddy."

13 And SS-88 responds:

14 "Well hopefully one day I can,  
15 gotta wait and see what ZOG" -  
16 Zionist Occupational  
17 Government - "has in mind for me  
18 first."

19 And, again, I believe that is  
20 important because it is simply further self-referential  
21 material.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Then, again, I am  
23 not able to read the dates. It looks like it might be  
24 close to Christmas here. Is that 12-21-2004? If  
25 counsel could clarify that.

1 MR. BAHR: Well, now we know.

2 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you now  
3 turn to Tab 14.

4 MR. WARMAN: This is a thread from  
5 Stormfront entitled "Glenn Bahr charged with "Hate",  
6 "hate" in quotation marks. It was downloaded by me on  
7 the 5th of February, 2005, and provided to the  
8 Commission pursuant to my complaint.

9 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

10 I would like to file this document.

11 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of the thread  
12 found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Glenn  
13 Bahr charged with "Hate" will be filed as Commission  
14 Exhibit HR-33.

15 EXHIBIT NO. HR-33: A copy of  
16 the thread found on the  
17 stormfront.org website entitled  
18 "Glenn Bahr charged with 'Hate'"

19 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I understand  
20 there is a number on the left -- right-hand side number  
21 1.

22 MR. WARMAN: This indicates that, if  
23 it is the same number 1 that we are referring to, it  
24 indicates that Paul Fromm has originated this thread,  
25 given it this title and is the first poster.

1 MS WARSAME: What can you tell us  
2 about this posting?

3 MR. WARMAN: What it is is a posting  
4 by Mr. Fromm describing the arrest of Mr. Bahr in  
5 Langley, British Columbia and his return to Edmonton  
6 and his arraignment on Section 319 willful promotion of  
7 hatred charge back in Edmonton. Mr. Fromm indicates it  
8 is apparently for postings on the defunct Western  
9 Canada For Us website.

10 At the bottom of page 1 of 4,  
11 referring to Mr. Bahr, he says:

12 "He moved to B.C. in May",  
13 and that's the last sentence on the  
14 first page.

15 It then continues on page 2 of 4.

16 "More recently Glenn, who moved  
17 to the Vancouver area, has been  
18 frequent contributor as SS-88 to  
19 Stormfront Canada.

20 In the "What you can do", number 1  
21 says:

22 "If you're American, call the  
23 nearest Canadian consulate or  
24 the Embassy in Washington and  
25 protest Canada's attack on free

1 speech and the arrest of a  
2 24-year old man for merely  
3 posting his views on a website."

4 I believe this is important because  
5 it is referenced to Mr. Bahr, and it describes things  
6 in Mr. Bahr's life such as his move to British  
7 Columbia, and Mr. Fromm, who is, in fact, Mr. Bahr's  
8 representative, states in the posting that he was a  
9 frequent contributor as SS-88 to Stormfront Canada.

10 MS WARSAME: What can you tell us  
11 under "What you can do" number 4?

12 MR. WARMAN: It states:

13 "Send a contribution to help in  
14 Glenn's Defence. You can send  
15 your VISA and expiry date or  
16 mail your cheque to CAFE".

17 Cafe is short for the Canadian  
18 Association for Free Expression. It is one of  
19 Mr. Fromm's groups. "(Glenn Bahr Defence)", and then  
20 it gives CAFE's mailing address.

21 And, again, this was a defence what  
22 was nominally or described as a legal defence fund that  
23 Mr. Bahr sought to set up to assist in the defence of  
24 Mr. Bahr -- sorry, that Mr. Fromm set up to assist in  
25 the defence of Mr. Bahr; and then Mr. Fromm gives his

1 name and the fact that he is the director of the group.

2 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

3 Can you now turn to Tab 15. Are you  
4 familiar with this document at Tab 15?

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes. This is a  
6 document, and, I am sorry, for some reason the page  
7 appears to have a date and the URL link appears to have  
8 been cut off, but it indicates that it was last updated  
9 on Monday, 29 March, 2004. So it is likely that I  
10 would have downloaded it at around that time.

11 It was printed off by me and provided  
12 to the Commission pursuant to my complaint.

13 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

14 I would like to file this document.

15 THE REGISTRAR: An article found  
16 under CBC website entitled "Edmonton rally shows  
17 support for Zundel" will be filed as Commission Exhibit  
18 HR-34.

19 EXHIBIT NO. HR-34: An article  
20 found under CBC website entitled  
21 "Edmonton rally shows support  
22 for Zundel"

23 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you  
24 tell us about this document?

25 MR. WARMAN: This article discusses a

1 rally that occurred in support of Holocaust denier  
2 Ernst Zundel who was at the time detained in Toronto  
3 pursuant to a national security certificate that was  
4 ultimately upheld and then he was deported to Germany  
5 where he is awaiting trial there.

6 It indicates at the top, it says:

7 "About 20 people rallied Sunday  
8 in support of Holocaust denier  
9 Ernst Zundel.

10 Then at paragraph 3 it states:

11 "Glenn Bahr, from Red Deer,  
12 Alberta, was one of the people  
13 who attended the rally to  
14 support Ernst Zundel's right to  
15 free speech."

16 Then if you go down three further  
17 paragraphs it states:

18 "Members of the group, who call  
19 themselves Western Canada For  
20 Us, held signs that said 'Zundel  
21 Is Right' and 'Free Zundel Now'.

22 Zundel, 64, who has written  
23 works including quote The Hitler  
24 We Love and Why, has fought in  
25 Canada's courts for the freedom

1 to express his anti-Semitic  
2 views."

3 Essentially the reason why I feel  
4 that this article is important is that it refers or it  
5 correlates with testimony given by Sergeant Camp about  
6 postings that appeared on the WCFU website under either  
7 SS-88 or Glenn talking about this particular rally. It  
8 indicates that Mr. Bahr was there at this rally. It  
9 quotes him.

10 It describes members of the group who  
11 call themselves Western Canada For Us, and I believe it  
12 is important because it demonstrates the fact that --  
13 it is further evidence supporting the allegation that  
14 Western Canada For Us was a group of individuals and  
15 that their website by extension would have been the  
16 actions of a group of individuals.

17 MS WARSAME: Thank you, Mr. Warman.

18 Can you now turn to Tab 16?

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't have  
20 anything in Tab 16.

21 MR. WARMAN: Sorry, it is 'G'. 16G.

22 MS WARSAME: 16G.

23 MR. WARMAN: This is a document that  
24 was printed off by me on the 24th of January, 2004,  
25 from the stormfront.org website, and it is entitled --

1 it is a thread entitled "pics of us proud white folks?"  
2 It was provided by me to the Commission pursuant to my  
3 complaint.

4 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

5 I would like to file this document.

6 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of a thread  
7 found on the stormfront.org website entitled "pics of  
8 us proud white folks?" printed on January 24, 2004 will  
9 be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-35.

10 EXHIBIT NO. HR-35: A copy of a  
11 thread found on the  
12 stormfront.org website entitled  
13 "pics of us proud white folks?"  
14 printed on January 24, 2004

15 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like  
16 to refer you now to page --

17 MR. WARMAN: Sorry, Madam Chair.  
18 Perhaps I should just -- because something has just  
19 occurred to me with regard to one of your earlier  
20 questions, if I could just refer you back to your  
21 question regarding the-cloak.com.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure.

23 MR. WARMAN: Without entering on  
24 stormfront.org you could read it, all the materials on  
25 Stormfront, without signing up as a member or without

1 signing in as a member, but in order to make posts you  
2 would have to register as a specific user. So you  
3 would have to give whatever the particular pseudonym  
4 was and the password for that.

5 So in order to make an anonymous  
6 posting, at the very least, the only thing that that  
7 would do is hide the IP address that it was coming  
8 from. It wouldn't hide the identity of the poster  
9 because you couldn't post anonymously on Stormfront.  
10 You had to actually enter a specific persona or  
11 pseudonym and the password for that person.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we're at  
13 'G'.

14 MS WARSAME: 'G', which is HR-35 now.  
15 Mr. Warman, I would like to direct  
16 your attention to page 2, 2 of 5.

17 MR. WARMAN: Actually if I may, I  
18 just perhaps point out that what this is is that it is  
19 a thread on Stormfront called "pics of us proud white  
20 folks", and what it is is it is a thread encouraging  
21 people to show the pictures of themselves and to post  
22 pictures of themselves.

23 So what has happened is that a number  
24 of people have participated in this and have, in fact,  
25 posted what they purport to be pictures of themselves

1 related to that specific identify that they are posting  
2 under on Stormfront.

3 So with regard to page 2, at the top,  
4 and for this one, because it was printed off on the  
5 24th of January, 2004, the heading -- the date on it  
6 says "yesterday", so I would presume that that was, in  
7 fact, the 23rd of January, 2004 that that was posted.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: So there is some  
9 yesterdays and some todays so presumably that would be  
10 January 22 and 3?

11 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Sorry, the  
12 yesterdays would be the 23rd, and the todays would be  
13 the 24th because if you look at the bottom right  
14 that's --

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

16 MR. WARMAN: So at the top there is a  
17 posting by SS-88. It includes the SS lightning bolts  
18 as the image, and the "Re pics of us proud white folks"  
19 it states:

20 "This is me. I have no hair  
21 now."

22 And what we see is three separate  
23 pictures of an individual that I believe to be  
24 Mr. Bahr.

25 You will also note that the location

1 of the poster says Red Deer, Alberta, which I believe  
2 to have been Mr. Bahr's location at that time.

3 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like  
4 to now direct your attention to Tab 16J.

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

6 16J -- I should explain that by  
7 clicking on any of those images that were attached to  
8 the posts that was just previously discussed it would  
9 then take you to a separate page on the website where  
10 there would be a larger image of those pictures.

11 So when I clicked on the image that  
12 is in the middle of the three pictures that were  
13 previously posted, it brought me to this URL link on  
14 Stormfront. It was printed off by me on the 24th of  
15 January, 2004, and provided to the Commission pursuant  
16 to my complaint.

17 MS WARSAME: I understand you can't  
18 really see the address on the bottom of that page.

19 MR. WARMAN: If I may, just by  
20 familiarity, I can likely read it, that it is  
21 [www.stormfront.org/forum/attachment.php? attachment](http://www.stormfront.org/forum/attachment.php?attachment)  
22 ID, and then what may be a dash or an underscore 15855.

23 MS WASAME: Madam Chair, my colleague  
24 found a better copy where you can see the whole  
25 address. Maybe we can make a copy and produce that

1 one.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: That is fine.

3 MS WARSAME: I would like to file Tab  
4 16J and I will provide a better copy at the break.

5 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of Glenn  
6 Bahr's British Columbia driver's license will be filed  
7 as Commission Exhibit HR-36.

8 EXHIBIT NO. HR-36: A copy of  
9 Glenn Bahr's British Columbia  
10 driver's license

11 MR. WARMAN: The reason why I believe  
12 this document is important is because, again, it is  
13 SS-88 posting it saying that this is me, and what is  
14 attached is if you click on that link of the middle  
15 picture is a picture of Mr. Bahr. It gives what I  
16 believe to be his birth date and gives his location as  
17 Langley, British Columbia, which I understand to have  
18 been the address that was contained on his British  
19 Columbia driver's license.

20 And, in fact, if you cross reference  
21 that address on the license plate -- sorry, on the  
22 license -- the driver's license, that is the same  
23 address at which I understand the Commission to have  
24 served Mr. Bahr with the complaint originally, and it  
25 is what I believe to be the permanent home address of

1 his family in Langley, British Columbia.

2 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can I now  
3 direct your attention to Tab 16E.

4 Did you download this document?

5 MR. WARMAN: I did. This is  
6 downloaded by me from Stormfront, again, having clicked  
7 on the sort of image to the far right of Mr. Bahr  
8 posted under SS-88 and provided to the Commission  
9 pursuant to my complaint.

10 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
11 like to file this document.

12 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of Glenn Bahr's  
13 picture will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-37.

14 EXHIBIT NO. HR-37: Copy of  
15 Glenn Bahr's picture

16 MR. WARMAN: The reason why I believe  
17 this picture to be important is, again, that it is  
18 self-referential, that it shows that SS-88 is, in fact,  
19 Mr. Bahr.

20 And I think it is also noteworthy  
21 that Mr. Bahr in posting a picture of himself has  
22 placed what is clearly a large black swastika behind  
23 him.

24 MR. BAHR: Sorry, what tab is that?

25 MS WARSAME: 16. 16E.

1 MR. BAHR: Thanks.

2 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I will ask  
3 you now to turn to Tab 17 please.

4 MR. WARMAN: This is a document that  
5 was printed off by me on the 5th of February, 2005. It  
6 is a thread from Stormfront entitled "Pic thread!", and  
7 it was provided to the Commission by me pursuant to my  
8 complaint.

9 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
10 like to file the document Tab 17.

11 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of a thread  
12 found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Pic  
13 thread!" will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-38.

14 EXHIBIT NO. HR-38: Copy of a  
15 thread found on the  
16 stormfront.org website entitled  
17 "Pic thread!"

18 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like  
19 to direct your attention to page 3 of that document.  
20 There is a posting by SS-88.

21 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It is entitled  
22 "Pic thread" -- "Re: Pic Thread!", and it states:

23 "This is me."

24 And it then gives a URL link to  
25 another page on the Stormfront website.

1 MR. WARMAN: And I would perhaps just  
2 note that the URL link ends with "stc = 1".

3 MS WARSAME: Did you go to that  
4 address?

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I did. I did click  
6 on that link, and it brought me to the document that is  
7 located at Tab 18.

8 If I may, the document at Tab 18 was  
9 downloaded by me on the 5th of February, 2005, and it  
10 was provided to the Commission by me pursuant to my  
11 complaint.

12 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
13 like to file the document Tab 18?

14 THE REGISTRAR: I am sorry, this is a  
15 picture of Mr. Bahr again?

16 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

17 THE REGISTRAR: A picture of Glenn  
18 Bahr printed by Mr. Warman on February 5, 2005 will be  
19 filed as Commission Exhibit HR-39.

20 EXHIBIT NO. HR-39: A picture of  
21 Glenn Bahr printed by Mr. Warman  
22 on February 5, 2005

23 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, it appears  
24 that there has been a hole punch put on it when it was  
25 placed on the Commission's file. I can undertake to

1 get you the actual original without the hole punch on  
2 Mr. Bahr's head.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

4 MR. WARMAN: But I would also testify  
5 that having seen the document personally it is, to the  
6 best of my appreciation, a photo of Mr. Bahr.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

8 MS WARSAME: Mr. Vigna, could I just  
9 ask you to make a note for me to get that on the  
10 weekend as well.

11 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like  
12 you to turn to Tab 19.

13 Did you print this document?

14 MR. WARMAN: I did. This was a  
15 document that was printed by me from the Western Canada  
16 For Us website on the 22nd of February, 2004, and it  
17 was provided by me to the Commission pursuant to my  
18 complaint.

19 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
20 like to file this document.

21 THE REGISTRAR: Document printed by  
22 Mr. Warman dated February 22, 2004 from the Western  
23 Canada For Us website will be filed as Commission  
24 Exhibit HR-40.

25 EXHIBIT NO. HR-40: Document

1 printed by Mr. Warman dated  
2 February 22, 2004 from the  
3 Western Canada for Us website

4 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you  
5 tell us about this document?

6 MR. WARMAN: This was the --  
7 essentially the initial version of the Western Canada  
8 For Us website. After all the discussion of it on  
9 Stormfront what happened was that this was the initial  
10 version of the website.

11 As you will see, it is very brief,  
12 and it originally only contained this content before  
13 being expanded. It talks about the fact that -- there  
14 is anger about the fact that -- the allegation is that  
15 other people, other groups, are permitted to have pride  
16 but that whites are not.

17 It alleges that jobs are taken away  
18 from what are described as hard working white people  
19 and given to minority groups. It makes the allegation  
20 that 25 percent of jobs, in fact, have to go to  
21 minority groups despite the fact that it alleges they  
22 don't make up 25 percent of the population.

23 It derives the fact that tens -- what  
24 they describe as tens of billions of dollars are given  
25 to Aboriginal groups each year, and that tens of

1           billions of dollars are given to minority groups in  
2           Canada each year.

3                           It alleges that the government gives  
4           out mere pennies to white Canadians. That schools  
5           don't teach white history while they are being taught  
6           African and other non-white cultures. It describes  
7           Western Canada For Us as a group of "100% white people  
8           who are fed up with being treated like second class  
9           citizens". It alleges that anyone who alleges that  
10          they are proud of their race would lose their job if  
11          they are white.

12                          Describes the situation that they  
13          consider themselves to be living under a tyranny.

14                          States that WCFU are planning on  
15          starting a number of different businesses just for our  
16          people, including a national newspaper, shelters for  
17          white families. Welcomes any kind of support.

18                          And then gives what are listed as the  
19          group's 10 Commandments, the first of which is that you  
20          must be a member of the white race to join the group.  
21          You must not be -- the third of which is you must not  
22          be a homosexual or a bi-sexual.

23                          And then it indicates that -- on the  
24          right-hand side of the second page of 2 it gives  
25          Edmonton and Red Deer just under "Send us an e-mail for

1 more information", and it is my recollection that if  
2 you clicked on either of those you could send an e-mail  
3 to what were described as the chapters in those two  
4 groups -- in those two cities of Western Canada For Us.

5 MS WARSAME: Now turn to Tab 22.

6 Are you familiar with this document?

7 MR. WARMAN: I am. This is a  
8 printed-off thread from stormfront.org entitled  
9 "W.C.F.U. needs web designer".

10 It was printed off by me on the 25th  
11 of May, 2004, and it was submitted to the Commission  
12 pursuant to my complaint.

13 MS WARSAME: I would like to file  
14 this document.

15 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of the thread  
16 found on the stormfront.org website entitled "W.C.F.U.  
17 needs web designer" will be filed as Commission Exhibit  
18 HR-41.

19 EXHIBIT NO. HR-41: Copy of the  
20 thread found on the  
21 stormfront.org website entitled  
22 "W.C.F.U. needs web designer"

23 MR. WARMAN: What this is is this is  
24 a thread discussing the Western Canada For Us website  
25 needs a web designer. The initial posting is by Proud

1 18 who I believe and who has been identified at this  
2 hearing by Sergeant Camp as an individual called Peter  
3 Kouba, K-O-U-B-A.

4 The initial posting states:

5 "As you all know things have  
6 been really busy lately. We  
7 could use some help in building  
8 and finishing up all our site.  
9 With more media coverage on the  
10 horizon we need folks to see a  
11 completed site.

12 Anybody who can somehow help please  
13 contact SS-88. Thank you."

14 There is some discussion that follows  
15 about what kind of programming code is best or  
16 programming -- website design programs are best.

17 On page 2 of 5 SS-88 posts:

18 "Frontpage sux! Use Macromedia  
19 Dreamweaver! PHP all the way."

20 To the best of my knowledge those  
21 are, in fact, website design programs.

22 And what I believe is important about  
23 that is that it demonstrates that Proud 18 indicates  
24 that the person to contact about the website is SS-88,  
25 and also that SS-88 later responds indicating what I

1 believe to be a familiarity with the computer programs  
2 used to design websites.

3 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, at the same  
4 Tab HR-41, I would like to direct your attention to  
5 page 2 of 5, a posting made by Proud 18.

6 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

7 MS WARSAME: And under Proud 18 there  
8 is a term "banned". Can you explain that?

9 MR. WARMAN: Yes. In fact, sorry, I  
10 would just draw -- before we go there I would draw your  
11 attention to the bottom of page 1 of 5. There is  
12 another post by Proud 18 that says:

13 "Would like it to look like sf"  
14 meaning Stormfront "but with  
15 different themed forums and  
16 password only forums.

17 We have to finish this site  
18 asap. Get ahold of SS-88 to get  
19 the info how to work on the  
20 site."

21 Again, it refers to SS-88 as the  
22 person to contact with regard to the website.

23 And under all of Proud 18's postings  
24 there is the small word "banned", and it is my  
25 understanding that that is because he was, in fact,

1 banned using that pseudonym on Stormfront because of  
2 his disputatious nature shall we call it.

3 MS WARSAME: Now you say that  
4 pseudonym was --

5 MR. WARMAN: That pseudonym was Proud  
6 18, and he was banned from Stormfront, I believe, by  
7 the Stormfront moderators using that pseudonym.

8 MR. BAHR: How do you spell that word  
9 "disputatious"?

10 MR. WARMAN: Oh.  
11 D-I-S-P-U-T-A-T-I-O-U-S.

12 MR. BAHR: Thanks.

13 MR. WARMAN: You are welcome.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: From your review of  
15 these posts, Mr. Warman, can you tell me approximately  
16 when that -- the identifier "Banned" started to appear?  
17 This is the first I have noticed it. It is probably  
18 not the first it has been --

19 MR. WARMAN: Unfortunately, what  
20 would happen is that even if a person, let us say in  
21 this case, if you look at Proud 18 it indicates on a  
22 first posted -- that he has posted 1688 posts.

23 Unfortunately, to the best of my  
24 knowledge when a person is banned it would go back and  
25 change that for all of those postings.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I think we  
2 learned that a couple of days ago --

3 MR. WARMAN: Right.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- about other  
5 matters. So once it is changed it changes back  
6 retroactively to the start --

7 MR. WARMAN: Exactly.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thanks.

9 MR. WARMAN: And, in fact, I won't go  
10 into the details, but it is my understanding that  
11 Mr. Kouba was, in fact, banned a number of times using  
12 a number of different pseudonyms.

13 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you now  
14 turn to Tab 23.

15 MR. WARMAN: This is a document that  
16 was printed off by me on the 25th of May, 2004. It is  
17 the second website page of the thread that we just  
18 discussed entitled "Re: W.C.F.U. needs web designer".

19 It was downloaded by me or printed  
20 off by me and provided to the Commission pursuant to my  
21 complaint.

22 MS WARSAME: Is this document printed  
23 on the 25th of May, 2004 --

24 MR. WARMAN: Exactly.

25 MS WARSAME: I would like to file

1 this document.

2 THE REGISTRAR: Four-page document of  
3 a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled  
4 "W.C.F.U. needs web designer" will be filed as  
5 Commission Exhibit HR-42.

6 EXHIBIT NO. HR-42: Four-page  
7 document of a thread found on  
8 the stormfront.org website  
9 entitled "W.C.F.U. needs web  
10 designer"

11 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like  
12 to direct your attention to the first posting at page 1  
13 by SS-88. What can you tell us about that posting?

14 MR. WARMAN: Again, it is a post by  
15 SS-88. It states -- it is a continuation of the  
16 discussion. It states:

17 "Thankx everyone for your  
18 support but Toth has donated a  
19 CMS and is modifying it for our  
20 use. I will then program in the  
21 pics and add the content. Thank  
22 Toth!"

23 If you turn to page 2. After further  
24 discussion of the issue SS-88 again responds saying:

25 "Thankx everyone but I have it

1 under control. These things  
2 don't happen overnight. I'm  
3 working on the site and it will  
4 be up soon. Be patient theres a  
5 lot involved in a big site."

6 And I believe that those postings are  
7 important because it reinforces the fact that it was  
8 SS-88 who had control over the WCFU website.

9 MS WARSAME: Thank you, Mr. Warman.  
10 Can you now turn to Tab 24. Are you  
11 familiar with this document?

12 MR. WARMAN: I am.

13 MS WARSAME: Did you print it?

14 MR. WARMAN: I did. It was printed  
15 off by me on the 5th of February, 2005. It is a  
16 printoff from stormfront.org. The thread is entitled  
17 "WCFU online!", and it was printed off by me and  
18 provided to the Commission as part of -- pursuant to my  
19 complaint.

20 MS WARSAME: I would like to file  
21 this document too.

22 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of a thread  
23 found on the stormfront.org website entitled "WCFU  
24 Online!" will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-43.

25 EXHIBIT NO. HR-43: A copy of a

1 thread found on the  
2 stormfront.org website entitled  
3 "WCFU Online!"

4 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like  
5 to direct your attention to the posting by SS-88.  
6 What can you tell us about that  
7 posting?

8 MR. WARMAN: SS-88 posting under the  
9 heading "WCFU Online" states:

10 "Our website is now active."  
11 There is a smiley face at the  
12 beginning of that.

13 "I'm still adding content etc  
14 but please come stop by and take  
15 part. Thanks to Melissa from  
16 CHA", meaning Melissa Guille  
17 from the Canadian Heritage  
18 Alliance "for donating us  
19 hosting and for the EXCELLENT  
20 tech support."

21 It then gives the URL link to the  
22 WCFU.com website.

23 And I think that is important because  
24 once again it shows that it is, in fact, SS-88 or  
25 Mr. Bahr who has control of the website.

1 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, if it might  
2 please the tribunal, can we take a break for 5 minutes?

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

4 --- Adjourned

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Welcome back. Be  
6 seated.

7 And carry on, counsel.

8 MS WARSAME: Sure.

9 Mr. Warman, can you now turn to Tab  
10 25 please. Are you familiar with this document?

11 MR. WARMAN: I am. It is a document  
12 that was printed off by me on the 18th of March, 2004  
13 from the Western Canada For Us website. It is part of  
14 a forum thread, and the thread is entitled  
15 "Homosexuals".

16 It was printed off and provided to  
17 the Commission by me pursuant to my complaint.

18 MS WARSAME: I would like to file  
19 this document please.

20 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of the thread  
21 found on Western Canada For Us website entitled  
22 "Homosexuals" will be filed as Commission Exhibit  
23 HR-44.

24 EXHIBIT NO. HR-44: Copy of the  
25 thread found on Western Canada

1                                   For Us website entitled  
2                                   "Homosexuals"

3                                   MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, before we  
4 start with this document, can you tell us if you know  
5 who is WhiteEuroCanadian?

6                                   MR. WARMAN: Yes.

7                                   Pursuant to my observation of the  
8 group's formation and also the interactions that  
9 occurred, it was my observation that WhiteEuroCanadian  
10 was Peter Kouba K-O-U-B-A, the same individual who was  
11 posting on Stormfront under the pseudonym Proud 18.

12                                  You will note the testimony, I  
13 believe, has shown that Mr. Kouba and Mr. Bahr were, in  
14 essence, the main founders of the group Western Canada  
15 For Us.

16                                  You will note at the top of the  
17 middle section just under the "Homosexuals" the  
18 moderators are listed as SS-88, Hapmaladroid and  
19 WhiteEuroCanadian; and I believe that that is  
20 reflective of the fact that Mr. Kouba and Mr. Bahr were  
21 two of the main founders or, if not, the two main  
22 founders.

23                                  MS WARSAME: Is there anything in  
24 this document you would like the tribunal to know?

25                                  MR. WARMAN: Yes. This -- this is a

1 section, as I mentioned, from the Western Canada For Us  
2 forum. The WhiteEuroCanadian begins the thread on  
3 homosexuals.

4 In discussing homosexuals he begins:

5 "These are not human beings,  
6 these are sexual perverts in the  
7 same category as pedophiles,  
8 bestiality, S&M, etc."

9 S&M referring, I could only presume,  
10 to sadomasochism and masochism.

11 "Why in the world of descent  
12 morals" --

13 I presume that is supposed to be  
14 decent morals --

15 "must the majority of the  
16 population be subjected to  
17 sexual deviants like  
18 homosexuals? It is a SEX thing.  
19 Why give them special status  
20 that normal Canadians enjoy?

21 Just because they choose a  
22 perverted lifestyle, we should  
23 not be forced by our Government  
24 to accept it.

25 What is the next sexual

1                   perverted vote our politicians  
2                   will go after next?"

3                   MR. BAHR: Madam, I state my  
4                   objection to this because I think we have already heard  
5                   this, and I think the discussion is, again, going away  
6                   from where it was alleged that I posted as we talked  
7                   about before, and I don't see how his rants, whatever  
8                   you want to call them --

9                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Bahr, just to  
10                  be clear, that this morning when we were talking about  
11                  the posts made by you that was in our discussion with  
12                  respect to the relevance of the question that Mr. Fromm  
13                  was wanting to ask Sergeant Camp.

14                 MR. BAHR: Okay.

15                 THE CHAIRPERSON: And they were clear  
16                 in saying with respect to your complaint, the complaint  
17                 that you are a respondent to, they will only in the  
18                 discussion form be dealing with your stuff; but in  
19                 respect of WCFU they will be dealing with the larger  
20                 umbrella of postings, and that is part of the reason  
21                 why we are going to be allowing some questions to be  
22                 asked of Sergeant Camp.

23                 MR. BAHR: Thank you.

24                 THE CHAIRPERSON: So just so you  
25                 know.

1                   But I agree with you. It seems to me  
2                   that we have been through this one before. So, you  
3                   know, avoid repetition as much as can you with  
4                   Mr. Camp.

5                   MR. WARMAN: Certainly.

6                   So to the extent then that this  
7                   simply demonstrates the fact that this a downloaded  
8                   copy that I myself observed of this material on the  
9                   website on the 18th of March, 2004.

10                  MS WARSAME: Can you please for the  
11                  record tell which website you are talking about?

12                  MR. WARMAN: Excuse me.

13                  Westerncanadaforum.com, and you will  
14                  note that in the URL indicated at the bottom left  
15                  corner of the printed-off copies.

16                  MS WARSAME: Can you now turn please  
17                  to Tab 26.

18                  Did you print this document at Tab  
19                  26?

20                  MR. WARMAN: I did as a matter of  
21                  fact. I printed it off on the 28th of April in 2004 --

22                  MS WARSAME: Excuse me, Madam Chair.  
23                  I believe we haven't asked to file Tab 25.

24                  MR. WARMAN: I believe it was HR-44.

25                  MS WARSAME: Sorry. Sorry. Continue.

1                   MR. WARMAN: This was downloaded by  
2 me from the Western Canada For Us website. It is a  
3 thread on the forum, and it is entitled "Letters to the  
4 Winnipeg Sun Printed Today", and I provided that to the  
5 Commission pursuant to my complaint.

6                   MS WARSAME: And I understand you  
7 printed on the date on the bottom of the page?

8                   MR. WARMAN: Yes. The 28th of April,  
9 2004.

10                  MS WARSAME: I would like to file  
11 this document.

12                  THE REGISTRAR: Copy of the thread  
13 found at Western Canada For Us website entitled  
14 "Letters to the Winnipeg Sun Printed Today" will be  
15 filed as Commission Exhibit HR-45.

16                   EXHIBIT NO. HR-45: Copy of the  
17 thread found at Western Canada  
18 For Us website entitled "Letters  
19 to the Winnipeg Sun Printed  
20 Today"

21                  MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you tell  
22 the tribunal what do you find important in this  
23 document?

24                  MR. WARMAN: This is a thread out of  
25 Western Canada For Us forum, and it is titled "Letters

1 to the Winnipeg Sun Printed Today". The first posting  
2 in this thread is by Glenn G-L-E-N-N who Sergeant Camp  
3 has already identified he believed it was, in fact,  
4 Mr. Bahr. That is my belief as well. It is posted on  
5 Tuesday, April 27th of 2004.

6 And what this is essentially is it is  
7 a response to an article that appeared in a Winnipeg  
8 Sun following the debacle of an individual named Jamie  
9 Murphy's attempt to begin a chapter there.

10 He attempted to hold a demonstration  
11 or a -- sort of an open meeting, I guess, in a local  
12 park in Winnipeg.

13 He was met with dozens of anti-racist  
14 youth who essentially made it quite clear that, you  
15 know, sort of young people in Winnipeg would not  
16 tolerate this kind of organizing.

17 He didn't end up having anybody show  
18 up to join his group that he had tried to promote and  
19 advertised as the Winnipeg chapter of Western Canada  
20 For Us.

21 So a columnist for the Winnipeg Sun  
22 named Tom Brodbeck writes an article on April 24th, and  
23 what he describes is essentially that sort of, you  
24 know, ignorance he can handle but that stupidity he  
25 can't, and he describes WCFU's efforts in general as

1           being just simply stupid; that they are, in effect, a  
2           gang of white supremacist Holocaust-deniers based on  
3           the literature that he viewed on the website.

4                         So what this is is this is a response  
5           to that article by Mr. Brodbeck that appeared in the  
6           Winnipeg Sun. It begins "Racist tag undeserved".

7                                 "Supreme stupidity by Tom  
8                                 Brodbeck (April 24) is full of  
9                                 errors. Brodbeck writes,  
10                                'Western Canada For Us -- a  
11                                white supremacist,  
12                                Holocaust-denier group -- is  
13                                apparently trying to open a  
14                                chapter in Manitoba.'"

15                               The letter states:

16                                "Western Canada For Us is  
17                                neither a white supremacist  
18                                group nor Holocaust-denier  
19                                group.

20                                If Brodbeck had done any  
21                                research or contacted Jaimie",  
22                                meaning Jamie Murphy, the  
23                                individual in Winnipeg, "or  
24                                myself, he would have learned  
25                                this. Western Canada supports

1 free speech, we want to preserve  
2 our ancestors' history and  
3 heritage.

4 Yes, we do support Ernst  
5 Zundel because he is a political  
6 prisoner, jailed only for the  
7 non-violent invalid of his  
8 political views. Mr. Zundel has  
9 never been charged, must less  
10 convicted, of any act of  
11 violation.

12 WCFU opposes the continued  
13 detention of Ernst Zundel.

14 We also think the secret  
15 hearings that have formed much  
16 of the case against Zundel are  
17 'un-Canadian'.

18 Brodbeck's article supports  
19 our views that being proud of  
20 one's 'white race' will always  
21 be called racist.

22 Because we are trying to  
23 preserve our Canadian and  
24 European history we are labeled  
25 'Nazis' and 'white

1                   supremacists'.

2                   Brodbeck's article is  
3                   nothing more than an  
4                   unprofessional rant. I thought  
5                   journalists were supposed to  
6                   present the facts to the  
7                   public!"

8                   Signed Glenn Bahr, President and  
9                   Founder WCFU Alberta Chapter.

10                  This is important to me because -- I  
11                  believe it speaks for itself. It indicates Mr. Bahr  
12                  posting as Glenn on the WCFU forum. Confirms that he  
13                  is, in fact, the president and founder of WCFU and the  
14                  Alberta chapter.

15                  MS WARSAME: I would like now ask you  
16                  to turn to page 2.

17                  MR. WARMAN: Sorry, was that entered?

18                  THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

19                  MR. WARMAN: HR?

20                  THE CHAIRPERSON: 45.

21                  MR. WARMAN: Thank you.

22                  MS WARSAME: Page 2 of the same  
23                  document.

24                  MR. WARMAN: Yes.

25                  MS WARSAME: There is a posting on

1 the bottom by Exterminance.

2 MR. WARMAN: Yes. On Tuesday, April  
3 27, 2004, Exterminance posts:

4 "Heather Graham Scott seems  
5 blissfully unaware of the fact  
6 that the 'First Nations' people  
7 are in fact immigrants from  
8 Mongolia."

9 He goes on to state:

10 "America is White by right of  
11 conquest. The 'First Nations'  
12 folks should be happy that we  
13 weren't smart enough to  
14 eradicate indicate them in  
15 entirety at the time of  
16 conquest."

17 The inter-linkages with other  
18 individuals involved within the white supremacist and  
19 neo-Nazi movement are readily apparent here.

20 Exterminance posts a picture of  
21 himself. From monitoring this type of activity in  
22 Canada Exterminance is easily identifiable as an  
23 individual named Bill Nobel previously living in  
24 British Columbia but now I believe to be living here in  
25 Edmonton.

1                   Mr. Nobel has recently been charged  
2                   under Section 319 as well of the Criminal Code for the  
3                   willful promotion of hatred.

4                   MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like  
5                   to take you back to Tab 1 which is HR-23.

6                   MR. WARMAN: I'm sorry?

7                   MS WARSAME: Tab 1, HR-23. If you go  
8                   to page 2 HR-23, which is your complaint form?

9                   MR. WARMAN: Yes. Sorry.

10                  THE CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry, I think  
11                  I might have made a mistake here.

12                  I have Tab 1 HR-21 being the  
13                  complaint. Is that where I am going?

14                  MS WARSAME: Sorry, it is my mistake.  
15                  It is 21.

16                  THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thanks.

17                  MR. WARMAN: I'm sorry, yes.

18                  MS WARSAME: I would like you to go  
19                  to page 2 of your complaint.

20                  MR. WARMAN: Yes.

21                  MS WARSAME: The bottom of page 2 you  
22                  are talking about Nazi and neo-Nazi literature.

23                  MR. WARMAN: Yes.

24                  MS WARSAME: And you mention that you  
25                  download a list showing the files.

1 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

2 MS WARSAME: That were available.

3 Did you provide that to the

4 Commission?

5 MR. WARMAN: I did, in fact. I  
6 provided a CD copy of images of the pages from WCFU  
7 website where it showed that those documents, those  
8 electronic documents, were available for download; and  
9 in addition I provided pdf copies that I personally  
10 downloaded from the WCFU website myself.

11 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

12 MR. WARMAN: On the dates that are  
13 indicated in my complaint.

14 MS WARSAME: If you go to Tab 27.

15 MR. WARMAN: Yes, ma'am.

16 MS WARSAME: There is a CD-ROM.

17 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

18 MS WARSAME: Can you view that CD-ROM  
19 and tell us if that is the CD-ROM you provided to the  
20 Commission?

21 MR. WARMAN: With your permission I  
22 will go to the computer.

23 Thank you.

24 Yes. This does look to be the same  
25 documents, electronic documents, that I provided to the

1 Commission pursuant to my complaint.

2 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
3 like to file Tab 27, the CD-ROM Tab 27?

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: It is one CD-ROM  
5 and then an index? Is that the little piece of paper  
6 beside it?

7 MS WARSAME: I think there is a  
8 printout of what is in the CD-ROM.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

10 MS WARSAME: Can you review that  
11 please, Mr. Warman?

12 MR. WARMAN: Yes. That is an  
13 accurate reflection of what I provided to the  
14 Commission.

15 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of the CD-ROM  
16 entitled "Warman v. Bahr & Western Canada For Us 8  
17 June, 2004. 1) complaint; 2) Evidence Downloaded Texts  
18 & Forum (WCFU) Materials" will be filed as Commission  
19 Exhibit HR-46.

20 EXHIBIT NO. HR-46: Copy of the  
21 CD-ROM entitled "Warman v. Bahr  
22 & Western Canada For Us 8 June,  
23 2004. 1) Complaint; 2) Evidence  
24 downloaded texts & forum (WCFU)  
25 materials"

1 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I don't  
2 think it is necessary to file the content of the  
3 CD-ROM. We will be able to explain that as a separate  
4 exhibit because --

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: I agree, yes. I  
6 was just trying to identify for myself what it was.

7 Thank you.

8 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

9 Mr. Warman, what can you tell us  
10 about the contents of this CD-ROM?

11 MR. WARMAN: What this is is a list  
12 of -- a series of pdf files that are copies of the  
13 electronic works that I personally downloaded from the  
14 WCFU website; and what these three items are is -- are  
15 individual snapshots of the WCFU website that contain  
16 the links that provided me the electronic documents.

17 With your permission I will open the  
18 first one.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure.

20 MR. WARMAN: So as you will see, this  
21 was downloaded by me on the 19th of March, 2004. All  
22 it is is a snapshot of the web page that was on the  
23 WCFU website.

24 So this is a list of the files that  
25 were available for download. The name is on the

1 left-hand side. The date that they were last modified  
2 on the WCFU website is listed here. Their electronic  
3 size here.

4 So under Parent Directory the first  
5 thing you will note is that 88 precepts.pdf is  
6 described, and that was described by Sergeant Camp in  
7 his testimony.

8 If you go down what you will also see  
9 is a number of other works that were available. The  
10 first one is Mein Kampf that was available on a pdf  
11 format. The next is Silent Jew in a pdf format. Then  
12 The International Jew in a pdf format. Turner Diaries  
13 in pdf format and White Power in pdf format.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: I notice the other  
15 files are JPG. That usually stands for -- usually  
16 means pictures. Are the rest of them pictures?

17 MR. WARMAN: Yes. What they were was  
18 essentially pictures of World War II era and Nazi  
19 postcards. Memorabilia if you will.

20 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you  
21 explain for the record which document you click on to  
22 get that information?

23 MR. WARMAN: I am sorry, can you  
24 specify which information --

25 MS WARSAME: Yes.

1                   If you go back, just for the record,  
2           can you explain which --

3                   MR. WARMAN:  Sorry.  So what I  
4           clicked on there was a document entitled "wcfu  
5           downloads - full list of files 19 March 2004", sizes  
6           5.23 kilobytes and the date modified was March 19, 2004  
7           at 3:36 p.m.

8                   MS WARSAME:  Thank you.

9                   MR. WARMAN:  So these were  
10          hyperlinks, and by clicking on them it would take  
11          you -- you will note the link for mein kampf.pdf is  
12          seen at the bottom of the page, and it is clearly  
13          indicated as being available on the Western Canada For  
14          Us website.  Same with The Silent Jew, The  
15          International Jew, The Turner Diaries, White Power, as  
16          well as the 88 precepts.

17                   And for the record I say that because  
18          at the bottom whenever I move the cursor over the  
19          hyperlink that is available for those documents on the  
20          page, at the bottom of the page shown in the hearing  
21          room, the URL link for those comes up, and the base of  
22          the URL link is [www.westerncanadaforum.com](http://www.westerncanadaforum.com), and then  
23          the subsequent letters and numbers vary depending on  
24          the title of the document.

25                   If I may?

1 MS WARSAME: Sure.

2 MR. WARMAN: What I will do is I will  
3 show you the next document, which is entitled "wcfu  
4 downloads - The International" shortened to "Int'l"  
5 Jew. Last modified March 19, 2004, 3:35 p.m., and the  
6 size is 23.8 kilobytes.

7 So by clicking on that what that  
8 brings up is a picture of what you would get if you  
9 tried to click on "mein kampf" from the last page.

10 So what happens is this page would  
11 come up, and all of the actual images haven't been  
12 saved for whatever reason, but what it shows is, again,  
13 that it is a page on Western Canada For Us website, and  
14 then by clicking there what happened was that The  
15 International Jew authored by Henry Ford was available  
16 for download, and, again, the actual URL at which that  
17 document would then appear is shown at the bottom of  
18 the screen in the hearing room, and the base URL is,  
19 again, westerncanadaforum.com.

20 What I would like to do now is show  
21 what would have happened if you had clicked on "white  
22 power" or "mein kampf" on the first page that I showed.  
23 It is entitled "wcfu downloads - white power and mein  
24 kampf". Last modified March 19, 2004, 3:36 p.m. 25.4  
25 kilobytes.

1                   So what happens is it brings up a  
2 page similar to the last one that was shown, and,  
3 again, if you scroll down, at the very bottom it shows  
4 Western Canada For Us is the website that they are  
5 available on, and by scrolling over the title "White  
6 Power" and "Mein Kampf" it brings up the fact that  
7 these were available as electronic downloads, White  
8 Power being by George Lincoln Rockwell, and Mein Kampf  
9 by Adolf Hitler.

10                   Again, by scrolling over the specific  
11 titles, the actual URL that would give you those  
12 documents is shown at the bottom of the screen.

13                   If I may, what I would like to do,  
14 Madam Warsame, is simply take you to the pdf versions  
15 of those documents, if I may, that were personally  
16 downloaded by me.

17                   MS WARSAME: Sure.

18                   MR. WARMAN: So starting with, not in  
19 any particular order, White Power.

20                   This is a pdf copy, Madam Chair, of  
21 the document that I personally downloaded from the  
22 website. It is entitled "White Power" saved 19 March  
23 2004. The description of it indicates that it was  
24 downloaded at 3:26 p.m. and the size is indicated at  
25 766 kilobytes.

1                   So by clicking on the icon for that  
2 document what happens is that White Power in electronic  
3 format the book by George Lincoln Rockwell appears in  
4 its full text.

5                   By moving now to the document  
6 described by Sergeant Camp yesterday, 88 precepts by  
7 David Lane, again, this was downloaded by me, Madam  
8 Chair, on the 19th of March, 2004, at 3:33 p.m. The  
9 size of the pdf file is just indicated as 20.5  
10 kilobytes, and by clicking on that electronic full  
11 version of 88 precepts was available for downloading.  
12 Just show it all the way down to 88.

13                   Madam Warsame, the next document that  
14 I downloaded an electronic version of was The  
15 International Jew by Henry Ford. Again, that has been  
16 canvassed by Sergeant Camp so I won't go into it. It  
17 was downloaded by me on the 19th of March, 2004 at 3:29  
18 p.m., and it is fairly large. The size is 2.42  
19 megabytes.

20                   So by clicking on that document what  
21 we get is the same as the excerpts that were tendered  
22 by Sergeant Camp. We see that the entire version of  
23 The International Jew was, in fact, available for  
24 electronic download on the Western Canada For Us  
25 website.

1                   So then the last document I would  
2                   like to show is The Turner Diaries. It was saved on  
3                   the 19th of March, 2004, at 3:28 p.m., and the size is  
4                   indicated as 374 kilobytes; and once again, by clicking  
5                   on that it brings us to the fact that The Turner  
6                   Diaries already described as one of the most horrific  
7                   works of hate propaganda in existence is available for  
8                   download in its entirety from the WCFU website.

9                   And that is the end of the work.

10                  Madam Chair, I believe that this  
11                  demonstrates that those documents were, in fact,  
12                  communicated or caused to be communicated by both the  
13                  group Western Canada For Us and Mr. Bahr as the  
14                  controller of that website.

15                  MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, did you  
16                  provide the CD-ROM to Officer Camp?

17                  MR. WARMAN: Yes, I did. I did send  
18                  him an identical copy of the CD as I submitted to the  
19                  Commission demonstrating that all of those works were  
20                  available for download, and the specific works there  
21                  that I had downloaded those as pdf versions.

22                  MS WARSAME: Unless you want to add  
23                  something else I would like to move to the next tab?

24                  MR. WARMAN: No, thank you. That is  
25                  good.

1 MS WARSAME: Can I draw you now to  
2 Tab 28.

3 Mr. Warman, did you provide this  
4 document to the Commission?

5 MR. WARMAN: I did as a matter of  
6 fact. I downloaded it on the 18th of March, 2004, from  
7 the Western Canada For Us website. It is a forum  
8 thread entitled "Application".

9 I provided it to the Commission  
10 pursuant to my complaint.

11 MS WARSAME: I would like to file  
12 this document please.

13 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of a thread  
14 found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled  
15 "Application" will be filed as Commission Exhibit  
16 HR-47.

17 EXHIBIT NO. HR-47: A copy of a  
18 thread found on the Western  
19 Canada For Us website entitled  
20 "Application"

21 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you  
22 tell us about this document?

23 MR. WARMAN: Well, as you will note,  
24 at the sort of the top of the box just below the main  
25 heading the forums -- it gives the links that you had

1 to go through to get to this particular forum.

2 So the initial forum is Western  
3 Canada For Us forums, and then the forum that this is a  
4 sub-forum of is called "Ethnic Crime Watch", and it  
5 came as no surprise to me that nothing under that  
6 heading that I witnessed ever described white crime.  
7 It was always dedicated towards the actions of members  
8 of racial minorities.

9 What this is is this is a post by  
10 Tower DB. It's called "Application". Posted on  
11 Sunday, March 14, 2004, Tower DB states:

12 "Not sure where to post this, so  
13 I'm gonna post it here. I also  
14 tried to post it on storm"  
15 meaning Stormfront I assume,  
16 "but never worked".

17 And essentially it is described as  
18 being an "Application to be a Indian", and what it is  
19 it is a variety of sort of check-off categories that is  
20 just extremely disdainful and disparaging of First  
21 Nations people.

22 The initial heading under the  
23 "Application to be a Indian" states "Department of  
24 Indian & Unimportant Affairs". People are asked to  
25 give their name and dates. It then lists address, (if

1 living in an automobile please give the make, model and  
2 license number) implying that many First Nations people  
3 live in automobiles.

4 It then misspells the heading "Name  
5 of Mudder" M-U-D-D-E-R, name of Fudder F-U-D-D-E-R,  
6 implying to my -- certainly to my perception that First  
7 Nations people are of low intelligence and cannot  
8 properly pronounce or spell "mother" or "father".

9 It then asks for the person applying  
10 to be a Native -- to be an Indian to check the  
11 automobile that they own, and it says:

12 "If the auto is finananced",  
13 being financed I presume, "what  
14 is the repossession date",

15 implying that First Nations people  
16 are unable to properly budget for their financial  
17 affairs, to insure that their vehicles are not  
18 repossessed.

19 It states:

20 "Color of car - Blue, Pink,  
21 Multi, Primer, Other."

22 Again, the use of something like  
23 primer implies to me that they are insinuating that  
24 First Nations people do not take proper care of their  
25 vehicles, and, in fact, what they are driving around in

1 are basically, to use their vernacular, junk heaps.

2 And then the next heading is  
3 "Approximate estimate of Income", and the only  
4 categories provided under "income" that people could  
5 fill in are Welfare, UIC meaning unemployment  
6 insurance, theft and beer bottles.

7 Again, this is just clearly  
8 disparaging of the First Nations community and implies  
9 that those are the only possible sources of income that  
10 they might have.

11 There is also a clear indication  
12 under the heading of "Beer Bottles" that First Nations  
13 people are chronic or inveterate alcohol abusers.

14 Place of birth. Again, the only  
15 options given are hospitals, back alley, ditch or back  
16 of car.

17 Tools or machines you can operate are  
18 listed as crowbar, pinball, knife, bingo dabber, match,  
19 TV remote, slot machine or other, implying that those  
20 are the only possible tools that a First Nations person  
21 might be able to operate.

22 Then there is a heading:

23 "Check illnesses you had the  
24 last year.

25 Vanilla Poisoning, Alcohol Poisoning,

1 V.D., Lung Burns (due to gasoline inhalation), Other.

2 You know, it speaks for itself in  
3 terms of what kind of hatred and contempt that promotes  
4 of the First Nations community.

5 "Number of children". The  
6 options are 1st wife, 2nd wife,  
7 3rd wife, neighbor's wife and  
8 then shackups.

9 "Education". The only possible  
10 options are listed as 1st Grade,  
11 2nd Grade, 3rd Grade or none.

12 The next heading is entitled "Have  
13 you ever been arrested?" The options are "yes" and  
14 "no", and states "If no, explain". This is a clear  
15 indication of the belief that the First Nations  
16 community is almost universally criminally inclined.

17 The next heading is "How many  
18 refrigerators and junk cars are in your front yard?"

19 The next heading and last one is:

20 "Other than living for free and  
21 drinking all the taxpayers money  
22 away, what is your greatest goal  
23 in life beside nothing?"

24 And I think that really sums up in  
25 terms of, personally from my perspective, the fact that

1           this entire document is meant to disparage and promote  
2           hatred and contempt of the First Nations community.

3                           MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you now  
4           turn to Tab 29.

5                           Mr. Warman, if you go back to Tab 28,  
6           which is HR-47, first page under "Application".

7                           MR. WARMAN: Yes.

8                           MS WARSAME: There is a term  
9           "Moderators", and you have SS-88.

10                          MR. WARMAN: Yes. Hapmaladroid and  
11           WhiteEuroCanadian.

12                          MS WARSAME: And then if you go back  
13           to 26, Tab 26, which is HR-45, under "Glenn" you say  
14           "head administrator"?

15                          MR. WARMAN: Yes, that is correct.

16                          MS WARSAME: Can you please explain  
17           the difference if you know, between a moderator and  
18           head administrator?

19                          MR. WARMAN: Sure.

20                          Essentially what moderators can do  
21           usually, and again, this is just generic, usually in  
22           forums is that they are responsible for monitoring the  
23           content of forum postings to insure the application of  
24           whatever, you know, rules of conduct may exist in the  
25           forum.

1                   And when someone is either the head  
2 admin or also the head admin, to the best of my  
3 understanding that implies that they have much greater  
4 powers in terms of the website, that they can, in  
5 fact -- I mean, usually you would be able to change  
6 actual content on the website, and not just in the  
7 forum, but you are sort of the super-moderator, if you  
8 will, and they would also, to the best of my knowledge,  
9 have the ability to add or to delete moderators.

10                   MS WARSAME: Okay. Now if you go  
11 back Tab 28 HR-27, under thread "Application" you have  
12 Moderator as SS-88?

13                   MR. WARMAN: Yes. There are three  
14 moderators listed there: SS-88, Hapmaladroid and  
15 WhiteEuroCanadian.

16                   MS WARSAME: So what is your  
17 understanding about the moderator on top underneath the  
18 thread?

19                   MR. WARMAN: My understanding is that  
20 all three of those individuals are moderators for this  
21 website, and that they would have the ability to remove  
22 this content if they so desire.

23                   MS WARSAME: Thank you.

24                   Can you now turn to Tab 29. Did you  
25 print this document at Tab 29?

1                   MR. WARMAN: I did. I printed it off  
2 on the 26th of April 2004 from the Winnipeg CBC  
3 website, and it is a document entitled "Group attracts  
4 police, protestors - but not members", and I provided  
5 it to the Commission in furtherance of my complaint.

6                   MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
7 like to file this document at Tab 29.

8                   THE REGISTRAR: Article found on the  
9 Winnipeg CBC website entitled "Group attacks police,  
10 protestors - but not members" will be marked as  
11 Commission Exhibit HR-48.

12                   EXHIBIT NO. HR-48: Article  
13 found on the Winnipeg CBC  
14 website entitled "Group attacks  
15 police, protestors - but not  
16 members"

17                   MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you  
18 tell us about this document?

19                   MR. WARMAN: I -- what I would do is  
20 refer back to Tab 26 HR-45, and this is in general  
21 related to the same incident, if you will. It was the  
22 attempted expansion by Western Canada For Us into  
23 Manitoba by their Winnipeg representative Jamie Murphy.

24                   And what HR-48 at 29 is is the CBC's  
25 report of what I described previously, the attempt by

1 Mr. Murphy to go to Assiniboine Park in Winnipeg. He  
2 had tried to advertise this around the internet as sort  
3 of come out, find out more information and join our  
4 group Western Canada For Us. He was, you know,  
5 essentially establishing a chapter in Manitoba that he  
6 was to be the head of.

7 So here the CBC report says:

8 "A group that says it wants to  
9 bring the white race back to its  
10 former power" --

11 Sorry, this was downloaded again on  
12 the 26th and the article says it was last updated 26  
13 April, 2004.

14 "A group that says it wants to  
15 bring the white race back to its  
16 former power failed to recruit  
17 any new members in Winnipeg on  
18 the weekend.

19 Western Canada For Us has  
20 been active mostly in Alberta,  
21 where they are vocal supporters  
22 of Holocaust denier Ernst  
23 Zundel. Until CBC began  
24 reporting on the organization  
25 last week, its website offered

1 downloads of Mein Kampf and  
2 other Nazi materials.

3 Winnipegger Jamie Murphy  
4 hoped people interested in  
5 forming a Manitoba chapter would  
6 attend a rally this weekend in  
7 Assiniboine Park. Instead of  
8 new members, he was met by about  
9 20 anti-racist activists and  
10 members of the media, with  
11 Winnipeg police officers  
12 hovering nearby.

13 Neatly dressed in polished  
14 boots, a shirt and tie and a  
15 military flight jacket, Murphy  
16 stayed cool when activists told  
17 them what they thought of him.  
18 When it was clear that no one  
19 was showing up to join his  
20 organization, he expressed his  
21 disappointment.

22 'I was hoping that there  
23 would be more support, but maybe  
24 Winnipeg isn't the market for  
25 it - maybe Winnipeg isn't the

1 kind of place that embraces that  
2 kind of thing,' he says.

3 Anti-racist activist  
4 Helmut-Harry Loewen says things  
5 couldn't have turned out better.

6 'I'm very happy that very  
7 little support has been shown  
8 for Jamie Murphy and this  
9 Western Canada For Us  
10 organization here,' he says.

11 Murphy maintains that he is  
12 interested only in promoting  
13 white pride, and not interested  
14 in promoting racial hatred."

15 So the importance of this document, I  
16 believe, certainly to me is that it shows that Western  
17 Canada For Us constitutes a group of persons acting in  
18 concert both in sort of real life and on the internet.

19 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I will ask  
20 you now to turn to Tab 30, the next tab?

21 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

22 MS WARSAME: Did you provide this  
23 document to the Commission?

24 MR. WARMAN: I did. Just give me a  
25 second. I am just trying to -- yes.

1                   I printed this document off on the  
2                   3rd of May 2004. It is a thread from the Western  
3                   Canada For Us website, and the thread is entitled "My  
4                   resignation letter", and I submitted it to the  
5                   Commission pursuant to my complaint.

6                   MS WARSAME: There is handwritten  
7                   notes next to the thread. Is that your handwriting  
8                   notes?

9                   MR. WARMAN: It is.

10                  MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
11                  like to file this document.

12                  THE REGISTRAR: A copy of a thread  
13                  found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled "My  
14                  resignation" will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-49.

15                  EXHIBIT NO. HR-49: A copy of a  
16                  thread found on the Western  
17                  Canada For Us website entitled  
18                  "My resignation"

19                  MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, do you know  
20                  who is Irishcream?

21                  MR. WARMAN: Yes, I do. I had  
22                  certainly identified him previously, and to the best of  
23                  my understanding his name was Jamie Murphy, and, in  
24                  fact, Mr. Murphy signs the letter that he has posted to  
25                  the website himself at the bottom of it as Jamie

1           Murphy.

2                               MS WARSAME:   What can you tell us  
3           about this posting?

4                               MR. WARMAN:   This is a thread  
5           entitled "My resignation letter".  It is under the  
6           Manitoba chapter.

7                               Essentially what it is is it is  
8           Mr. Murphy submitting his resignation as WCFU's  
9           representative in Manitoba.  He states:

10                              "Today I was faced with a  
11                              decision I didn't want to have  
12                              to make.

13                              I think, if nothing else, this shows  
14           that women are often sort of the better half of a lot  
15           of marriages, but she says -- he says:

16                              "My wife has told me because she  
17                              is embarrassed by the bad media  
18                              surrounding myself that she will  
19                              leave me if I continue to be  
20                              involved with WCFU and it's and  
21                              I quote 'racist ties'."

22                              Obviously being that as what his wife  
23           had described WCFU to him as.

24                              "She says that because she has  
25           minority friends that she

1                   doesn't want for her life to be  
2                   affected by what I have said on  
3                   the news."

4                   Again, this is following the  
5                   attempted organization and the attempted meeting in  
6                   Winnipeg in Assiniboine Park, and Mr. Murphy did, in  
7                   fact, appear on the news.

8                   "I don't think the way she  
9                   thinks and want to continue with  
10                  the fight but when it comes to  
11                  my family, that is one thing I  
12                  don't want to lose. She seems  
13                  to believe that we cannot change  
14                  things and that we are just a  
15                  bunch of racists. It's really  
16                  upsetting to see that the media  
17                  has gotten to my wife and  
18                  convinced her that no good will  
19                  come of this.

20                  I think that if there was  
21                  more support for the Manitoba  
22                  chapter and the goals of our  
23                  group here, this letter wouldn't  
24                  have had to be written. I  
25                  regret having put myself out

1                   there just to go back into  
2                   hiding and resort to living  
3                   along side those whom take our  
4                   country for granted and abuse  
5                   all that we have built for  
6                   ourselves, but I have no choice.  
7                   Glenn", whom I presume to be  
8                   Mr. Bahr, "has been nothing but  
9                   supportive and a great  
10                  motivation to what I was trying  
11                  to achieve and he will achieve  
12                  great things, but as for myself  
13                  I think that people have to find  
14                  out, (in Manitoba) the hard way  
15                  that the death to their free  
16                  speech and pride in their  
17                  heritage is coming.

18                               This letter in no way is  
19                               brought on by anyone involved in  
20                               WCFU and I wish the best of luck  
21                               to all of you."

22                                       Signed Jamie Murphy.

23                                       MS WARSAME: I would like now to  
24                                       direct your attention to the second page.

25                                       MR. WARMAN: Actually if I may.

1 I would just note that at the top  
2 right underneath where it says "My resignation letter",  
3 you have often pointed me to moderators.

4 MS WARSAME: Yes.

5 MR. WARMAN: I would just note that  
6 the moderators are now Glenn, Hapmaladroid, Toth,  
7 mobil300 and Irish cream; and the reason why I think  
8 that is important is that both WhiteEuro Canadian have  
9 disappeared and SS-88 have disappeared, and in my  
10 submission that is because SS-88 has simply changed his  
11 pseudonym to "Glenn", and I will come to the reason why  
12 WhiteEuroCanadian is no longer there shortly.

13 So, sorry, you asked me to turn to  
14 page 2?

15 MS WARSAME: Yes. The last posting  
16 by Glenn?

17 MR. WARMAN: Yes. There is a posting  
18 by Glenn. Underneath the heading "Glenn" there is a  
19 flag displayed. The flag is what is called the red  
20 unisign, and it is the pre Maple Leaf flag of Canada.

21 For reasons that I have never been  
22 entirely clear on, a lot of members of the white  
23 supremacist and neo-Nazi movement have adopted this as  
24 kind of a pre multicultural sort of  
25 British-heritage-back-in-the-good-old-white-days-of -Ca

1           nada emblem. It is widely --

2                       MR. BAHR: Is that an opinion?

3                       THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Bahr.

4                       MR. BAHR: I am sorry. I just don't  
5 think it is fair to say that everybody that adopts that  
6 is neo-Nazi.

7                       THE CHAIRPERSON: You will have an  
8 opportunity to cross-examine.

9                       Carry on.

10                      MR. WARMAN: I would note that it is  
11 promoted heavily by Mr. Fromm's group as a symbol, and  
12 that a copy -- and I am sorry, I gave back the photos  
13 to you of the items, the flags that were seized in  
14 Mr. Bahr's residence when the search warrant was  
15 executed.

16                      MS WARSAME: HR-11, Madam Chair.

17                      MR. WARMAN: Thank you.

18                      On the sixth page, page -- it is  
19 photographed backwards, but that is the same image that  
20 is posted underneath Mr. Bahr's pseudonym on WCFU.

21                      So the posting is on Sunday, May 2,  
22 2004. Glenn states:

23                               "I'm sorry to hear this. I do  
24 not have family but I understand  
25 they come first. The anti's

1 will see this as a small  
2 victory."

3 And then all caps, bold:

4 "BUT LET IT BE KNOWN WCFU WILL  
5 NOT FALTER!

6 Despite the negative label  
7 the media has given us we are  
8 right! WCFU will continue and  
9 keep progressing and"

10 all bold, all caps:

11 "WE WILL NEVER GIVE UP THE  
12 FIGHT!

13 I hope you keep posting  
14 Jamie and I know we'll keep in  
15 touch.

16 Good Luck brother!"

17 All bold, all caps:

18 "WCFU FIGHTS ON!"

19 Then, again, I think to me certainly  
20 that shows that Mr. Bahr is, in fact, the leader of  
21 WCFU and is now after the collapse of the Manitoba  
22 chapter attempting to what amounts to rally the troops.

23 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you now  
24 turn to Tab 31 please.

25 MR. WARMAN: I personally printed off

1           this document on the 29th of April, 2004. It is the --  
2           it is a thread entitled "A question for racists" from  
3           the Western Canada For Us website, and I dropped off a  
4           copy of it to the Commission offices pursuant to my  
5           complaint.

6                       MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
7           like to file the document at Tab 31.

8                       THE REGISTRAR: A copy of the thread  
9           found in the Western Canada For Us website entitled  
10          "Question for racists" will be filed as Commission  
11          Exhibit HR-50.

12                      EXHIBIT NO. HR-50: A copy of  
13                      the thread found in the Western  
14                      Canada For Us website entitled  
15                      "Question for racists"

16                      MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, there is --  
17          the first page of that document there is a posting by  
18          Glenn. What can you tell us about that posting?

19                      MR. WARMAN: It is a posting dated  
20          Friday, April 23, 2004. Glenn states:

21                      "I don't hate but I believe that  
22                      we have every right to be proud  
23                      of our 'white' race without  
24                      being called a racist. I also  
25                      believe that Europeans who

1 settled this country should come  
2 first before our government  
3 spends billions of dollars on  
4 fighting crime, unemployment,  
5 drugs and welfare because of our  
6 lack of immigration laws. I also  
7 believe in free speech and being  
8 able to express ones opinion. I  
9 think there is a Jewish problem  
10 and I do not believe all races  
11 are equal."

12 Underneath there is the slogan "We  
13 pledge our trust and loyalty to each other and our  
14 race".

15 Underneath that I think it is  
16 important to note in terms of WCFU in general, there is  
17 an individual, the individual Bill Nobel, Exterminance,  
18 who posts that same day about 45 minutes after Glenn  
19 has posted.

20 The second paragraph states:

21 "There are people who hate  
22 Blacks. I myself do not. But  
23 then, I have never lived near a  
24 ghetto. Many of the folks who  
25 hate Blacks do live near a

1                   ghetto. And many of those folks  
2                   have been robbed, beaten, or  
3                   raped, or had a friend or family  
4                   member robbed, beaten, raped, or  
5                   murdered by a Black from that  
6                   ghetto."

7                   If you then turn to the second page  
8                   of this thread, a posting by an individual named Todd  
9                   Conroy. Todd Conroy posts on Saturday, April 24th. He  
10                  states:

11                   "My passionate and deep rooted  
12                   LOVE of my Race unfortunately  
13                   also causes me to hate those who  
14                   seek to shackle us into the  
15                   slavery of being the benefactors  
16                   for races that are too useless  
17                   to survive solely upon their own  
18                   merits. I hate those of other  
19                   races and of our own who seek to  
20                   have our once great Race blink  
21                   out of existence through race  
22                   mixing and other assorted  
23                   destructive courses plotted by  
24                   the perfidious Jew."

25                  And I think why those posts are

1           important, not just for their self evident hatred  
2           towards members of the black community and Jewish  
3           community and other non-white races, is the fact that  
4           Glenn as the head administrator does nothing to remove  
5           those documents.

6                               What is clearly the allegation that  
7           blacks are almost invariably living in poverty in a  
8           ghetto and criminally inclined, and the second posting  
9           that argues that other -- that non-white races are too  
10          useless to survive solely on their own merits, and that  
11          the person hates other races and people of their own  
12          race who comport or consort with what he alleges is a  
13          destructive course plotted by the perfidious Jew.

14                              MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you turn  
15          to Tab 33.

16                              THE CHAIRPERSON: We are skipping 32?

17                              MS WARSAME: That's correct.

18                              THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

19                              MS WARSAME: Did you print the  
20          document at Tab 33?

21                              MR. WARMAN: I did. I printed this  
22          off on the 30th of April, 2004.

23                              It is a printoff of a forum thread  
24          called "Battle lines drawn over gay marriage", and it  
25          is from the Western Canada For Us website, and, again,

1 I gave this to the Commission pursuant to my complaint.

2 MS WARSAME: I would like to file  
3 that document.

4 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of the thread  
5 found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled  
6 "Battle lines drawn over gay marriage" will be filed as  
7 Commission Exhibit HR-51.

8 EXHIBIT NO. HR-51: Copy of the  
9 thread found on the Western  
10 Canada For Us website entitled  
11 "Battle lines drawn over gay  
12 marriage"

13 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you look  
14 at the first page there is a posting by Glenn and  
15 underneath that posting it say "head administrator",  
16 but if you look on top of that "moderators" you also  
17 see "Glenn".

18 MR. WARMAN: Yes. I take those sort  
19 of to be one and the same, and it was certainly my  
20 belief that that was, in fact, Mr. Bahr.

21 MS WARSAME: Okay. I would like to  
22 direct your attention to tab -- to page 3 of 3.

23 MR. WARMAN: I think there are a  
24 number things that are important to note. The first is  
25 that there is a history of posting, as Sergeant Camp

1 noted, articles about racial, sexual orientation,  
2 immigrant issues, and then kind of letting the chips  
3 fall where they may knowing, certainly to my mind, it  
4 would be difficult not to know that given the types of  
5 comments that are appearing on the forum that it is  
6 likely to provoke more comments that would be -- that  
7 would expose the groups to hatred and contempt.

8 And on page 3 of 3 that is exactly  
9 what happens. Glenn posts an article called "Battle  
10 lines drawn over gay marriage. Opponents, supporters  
11 launch campaigns"; and what happens is that Der  
12 totenkopf posts in response:

13 "These 'gays' should be put away  
14 in a mental hospital, there a  
15 defect! Why would we give  
16 'perverts' any rights?"

17 And I think, again, one of the  
18 important things to note is that the symbol for Der  
19 totenkopf are the words "National", underneath which is  
20 the World War II era Nazi swastika and the word  
21 "Socialist" underneath it, and that all of this kind of  
22 symbolism is present throughout the WCFU forum, and  
23 that none of the moderators, including Mr. Bahr whom I  
24 allege certainly was in control of the forum, do  
25 anything to remove this kind of imagery.

1 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would  
2 like to introduce a new document.

3 I will ask the complainant to  
4 identify the document first.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Has that document  
6 previously been disclosed to Mr. Fromm?

7 MS WARSAME: Yes.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

9 MR. BAHR: Can I just have a minute  
10 to -- what was 33 filed as? Sorry, I...

11 MS WARSAME: There was nothing else  
12 after that. It is empty.

13 MR. WARMAN: No, sorry, 33 was filed  
14 as HR-51.

15 MS WARSAME: 51, sorry.

16 Madam Chair, maybe if we can put this  
17 document at Tab 34.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure. May we enter  
19 it first as an exhibit?

20 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you  
21 please tell us if you print this document?

22 MR. WARMAN: I did. I printed it off  
23 on the 20th of April in 2004. It is a thread from the  
24 Western Canada For Us website. It is entitled  
25 "WhiteEuroCanadian" - all one word with white -- the

1 'W', the second 'E' and the first 'C' all capitalized -  
2 "is no longer here", and I provided it to the  
3 Commission in furtherance of my complaint as per usual.

4 MS WARSAME: I would like to file  
5 this document please.

6 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of the thread  
7 found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled  
8 "WhiteEuroCanadian is no longer here" will be filed as  
9 Commission Exhibit HR-52.

10 EXHIBIT NO. HR-52: Copy of the  
11 thread found on the Western  
12 Canada For Us website entitled  
13 "WhiteEuroCanadian is no longer  
14 here"

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: I wonder if anybody  
16 has a 3-hole punch.

17 THE REGISTRAR: Yes, I do.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: You are amazing.

19 Thank you for your patience. If I  
20 don't do this now I am going to lose it. If anybody  
21 else would like to use the punch you are welcome to.

22 So we are putting this at Tab 34?

23 MS WARSAME: Tab 34.

24 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you  
25 tell us about the document at Tab 34 which is now

1 HR-52?

2 MR. WARMAN: Essentially what I  
3 observed on Stormfront and in this forum was the  
4 development of what was essentially internecine  
5 fighting in-between the two co-founders of WCFU  
6 in-between Mr. Bahr and Mr. Kouba, Mr. Kouba being  
7 WhiteEuroCanadian and Mr. Bahr being SS-88.

8 There was kind of a division in  
9 philosophies, if you will. Mr. Kouba was essentially  
10 alleging that Mr. Bahr and his circle of WCFU amounted  
11 to nothing more than a bunch of neo-Nazi dilettantes  
12 who wanted to just kind of hang out, have barbecues and  
13 get drunk whereas his belief and vision of WCFU was  
14 essentially really strongly focused on Whiteville and  
15 the establishment of the all-white homeland in Alberta.

16 So it had become clear that friction  
17 was starting to arise in-between Mr. Kouba and Mr. Bahr  
18 and his supporters within the group; and ultimately  
19 what happens is as a result of that kind of internecine  
20 fighting is, what I believe is Mr. Bahr kicks Mr. Kouba  
21 out of the group.

22 And what this is is this is a post by  
23 SS-88 head administrator dated Friday, March 19, 2004,  
24 and it states:

25 "Due to differences between WCFU

1                   and WhiteEuroCanadian he is no  
2                   longer here. Do not believe the  
3                   lies he is spreading."

4                   And essentially that is my  
5                   understanding of what has transpired is that Mr. Bahr  
6                   has and the others have decided that Mr. Kouba is no  
7                   longer welcome within their little group.

8                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Can you remind me  
9                   when the WCFU site started up? Is it in early March?

10                  MR. VIGNA: I don't recall for sure,  
11                  but I think it was March 8th, but I am not sure. I am  
12                  relying on my memory.

13                  MR. WARMAN: Actually, if I do go  
14                  back perhaps to the first page -- here we are. So Tab  
15                  19 HR-40. So this was downloaded by me on the 22nd of  
16                  February, 2004, and there is the other tab.

17                  THE CHAIRPERSON: There is the e-mail  
18                  that says we are up and running, but I can't read the  
19                  date on that one so...

20                  MR. WARMAN: Right.

21                  THE CHAIRPERSON: It is at Tab 24.

22                  MR. WARMAN: So I would imagine that  
23                  once we get that --

24                  THE CHAIRPERSON: Once we get those  
25                  dates filled in --

1 MR. WARMAN: Yeah. That will answer  
2 that question perhaps.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

4 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I am almost  
5 done. If I can take 2 minutes with my colleague to see  
6 if there is...

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course.

8 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I believe I  
9 am almost done, the Commission is almost done with  
10 Mr. Warman's evidence.

11 However, I would like to adjourn  
12 today and then come back tomorrow morning -- Monday  
13 morning if I have any more questions.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: You still have got  
15 45 minutes. How about I give you 15. It would be nice  
16 to know that Mr. Warman's evidence is concluded, if  
17 that is possible. I mean, unless there is a reason why  
18 you need the weekend to review the matter, I would  
19 prefer that we just get it done.

20 MS WARSAME: Perfect. Then we take  
21 15 minutes if possible. Thank you.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thanks.

23 --- Adjourned

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Hello. Be seated.

25 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, before we

1 start, I know you asked a question with respect to WCFU  
2 and when the website was online for the first time.

3 If you look at HR-3.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, that is the  
5 bundle of e-mails. HR-3, okay.

6 MS WARSAME: Almost at the end there  
7 is a posting by SS-88 and the name of the thread is WCF  
8 website online.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there like a 4  
10 or a 5 or a 1 of something?

11 MS WARSAME: 1 of 5.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: 1 of 5, okay. Here  
13 we go. Thanks. You are right. That was March 8,  
14 2004. Thank you.

15 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, just for  
16 greater specificity, I would just note that HR-40,  
17 which is at Tab 19, because there was essentially --  
18 there was the prototype Western Canada For Us website  
19 and then there was the bigger Western Canada For Us  
20 website, if you will. So you will note that the date  
21 on HR-40 is 22 February, 2004.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, it was a work  
23 in progress for a while.

24 MR. WARMAN: Exactly.

25 So if we say, you know, February,

1 early March, I think that is fair.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Okay.

3 Thanks for that.

4 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman.

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes, Ms Warsame.

6 MS WARSAME: Is there anything else  
7 you want to provide to the evidence you provided to the  
8 tribunal this afternoon?

9 MR. WARMAN: I think the only other  
10 thing that I would mention, Madam Chair, is that in  
11 some of the material that there is a term called  
12 "racialist" that has been used as sort of a  
13 self-descriptor for some of the individuals involved;  
14 and just in terms of my observation of this, sort of  
15 these movements over the past sort of 20 years or so,  
16 when someone uses the term "racialist" usually what  
17 they are trying to describe themselves as is saying I  
18 am not a racist, I'm a racialist, implying somehow that  
19 all I want is for white people to be able to live on  
20 their own. I don't want to necessarily harm or destroy  
21 people who aren't white, but that is what I want.

22 It is similar to the euphemism of  
23 calling one's self a white nationalist or that you are  
24 only interested in white pride, and as I described in  
25 my opening remarks, sort of the pre-cursor organizing

1 to Western Canada For us, what would ultimately become  
2 Western Canada For Us.

3 In August of 2003 around the  
4 organization of this white pride rally on the Alberta  
5 legislature steps the main organizer who was describing  
6 it as a white pride rally, all of his posts on  
7 Stormfront were accompanied by an avatar, the little  
8 symbol of a swastika, what was clearly a swastika.

9 So that is why essentially I describe  
10 terms like "white nationalist", "racialist" or "white  
11 pride" as euphemisms, and certainly from my experience  
12 and observation of them, I have never met or seen  
13 anyone who uses those terms to describe themselves who  
14 I would have any doubt in my mind is, in fact,  
15 espousing views that are racist, member of the white  
16 supremacist or neo-Nazi movements.

17 Just on the basis that as Sergeant  
18 Camp testified, when these groups try and put on their  
19 happy face out in public, they have to tone down the  
20 image, they have to tone down the language a bit if  
21 they want to attract middle Canada, if you will,  
22 whereas it has been my experience certainly that if you  
23 scratch a little bit under the surface of those terms  
24 usually the people or the groups involved are, in fact,  
25 there is something substantially more to that.

1 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, the  
2 Commission has no more questions for this witness.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Well, we get  
4 to go home early on a Friday. It is 20 to 5.

5 Just so I am clear, on Monday morning  
6 then we are going to continue the cross-examination of  
7 Sergeant Camp, and that will be -- so that is what we  
8 are all expecting at that point.

9 Well, have a lovely weekend. Go  
10 Oilers, and we will see you on Monday morning.

11 MS WARSAME: Thank you.  
12 --- Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 4:40 p.m.  
13 to resume on Monday, May 29, 2006  
14 at 9:30 a.m.

15

16

17 I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE, to  
18 the best of my skill and  
19 ability, accurately reported and  
20 transcribed the foregoing.

21

22

23



---

24

Darlene Sirman

25

C.S.R.(A), R.P.R.