

**CANADIAN
HUMAN RIGHTS
TRIBUNAL**



**TRIBUNAL CANADIEN
DES DROITS
DE LA PERSONNE**

BETWEEN/ENTRE:

RICHARD WARMAN

Complainant

le plaignant

and/et

CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

Commission

la Commission

and/et

WESTERN CANADA FOR US AND GLENN BAHR

Respondents

les l'intimés

BEFORE/DEVANT:

JULIE LLOYD

THE CHAIRPERSON/
LA PRÉSIDENTE

LINE JOYAL

REGISTRY OFFICER/
L'AGENTE DU GREFFE

FILE NO./N^o CAUSE:

T1087/6805

VOLUME:

4

LOCATION/ENDROIT:

EDMONTON, ALBERTA

DATE:

2006-05-26

PAGES:

670 - 877

StenoTran

CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS TRIBUNAL/
TRIBUNAL CANADIEN DES DROITS DE LA PERSONNE

HEARING HELD IN THE QUEEN ELIZABETH ROOM, DELTA EDMONTON CENTRE,
10222 102 STREET, EDMONTON, ALBERTA ON FRIDAY, MAY 26, 2006,
AT 9:30 A.M. LOCAL TIME

IN THE MATTER OF a complaint filed by Richard Warman dated June 8, 2004, pursuant to Section 13(1) of the Canadian Human Rights Act against Western Canada For Us and Glenn Bahr. The complainant alleges that the respondents have engaged in a discriminatory practice on the grounds of religion, sexual orientation, race, colour, national and/or ethnic origin and disability in the matter related to the usage of telecommunication undertaking.

APPEARANCES/COMPARUTIONS

Richard Warman	on his own behalf
Giacomo Vigna Ikrame Warsame	on behalf of the Commission
Paul Fromm	on behalf of Glenn Bahr

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Previously sworn: Stephen Camp	694
Examination (con't) by Mr. Fromm	694
Affirmed: Richard Warman	745
Examination by Ms Warsame	746

LIST OF EXHIBITS

NO.		PAGE
HR-21	Complaint filed by Richard Warman and the Canadian Human Rights Commission dated June 8, 2004	746
HR-22	The summary of complaint signed by Richard Warman dated June 8, 2004	750
HR-23	Thread titled "Stormfront forum new here, anyone in Surrey BC"	753
HR-24	Copy of a thread found on the stormfront.org website titled "Eagle Tattoo"	756
HR-25	A copy of the thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Tatoos"	761
HR-26	Copy of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Should SF remove its National Socialist (NS) symbology page?"	763
HR-27	The copy of a thread found on the Stormfront printout or website entitled "Happy Birthday Paul Fromm"	778
HR-28	A copy of a thread found in the stormfront.org website entitled "Warman files complaint against WCFU"	781
HR-29	Five-page document of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Warman files complaint against WCFU"	785
HR-30	A copy of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "video of the raid on my place last May"	787
HR-31	An article found on the CBC website entitled "Edmonton Police investigate hate crime seizure"	790

LIST OF EXHIBITS

NO.		PAGE
HR-32	A nine-page document of the thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "video of the raid on my place last May"	792
HR-33	A copy of the thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Glenn Bahr charged with 'Hate'"	799
HR-34	An article found under CBC website entitled "Edmonton rally shows support for Zundel"	802
HR-35	A copy of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "pics of us proud white folks?" printed on January 24, 2004	805
HR-36	A copy of Glenn Bahr's British Columbia driver's license	809
HR-37	Copy of Glenn Bahr's picture	810
HR-38	Copy of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Pic thread!"	811
HR-39	A picture of Glenn Bahr printed by Mr. Warman on February 5, 2005	812
HR-40	Document printed by Mr. Warman dated February 22, 2004 from the Western Canada for Us website	813
HR-41	Copy of the thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "W.C.F.U. needs web designer"	816
HR-42	Four-page document of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "W.C.F.U. needs web designer"	821
HR-43	A copy of a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled "WCFU Online!"	822

LIST OF EXHIBITS

NO.		PAGE
HR-44	Copy of the thread found on Western Canada For Us website entitled "Homosexuals"	824
HR-45	Copy of the thread found at Western Canada For Us website entitled "Letters to the Winnipeg Sun Printed Today"	829
HR-46	Copy of the CD-ROM entitled "Warman v. Bahr & Western Canada For Us 8 June, 2004. 1) Complaint; 2) Evidence downloaded texts & forum (WCFU) materials"	837
HR-47	A copy of a thread found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled "Application"	845
HR-48	Article found on the Winnipeg CBC website entitled "Group attacks police, protestors - but not members"	852
HR-49	A copy of a thread found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled "My resignation"	856
HR-50	A copy of the thread found in the Western Canada For Us website entitled "Question for racists"	863
HR-51	Copy of the thread found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled "Battle lines drawn over gay marriage"	867
HR-52	Copy of the thread found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled "WhiteEuroCanadian is no longer here"	870

1 Edmonton, Alberta

2 --- Upon resuming on Friday, May 26, 2006

3 at 9:30 a.m.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning,
5 everyone. Be seated please.

6 MR. VIGNA: Madam Chair.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

8 MR. VIGNA: I would like to make a
9 few submissions additional to where we left off
10 yesterday.

11 I had a chance to speak on the
12 specific objection that you were to rule on this
13 morning.

14 And before you rule on the issue, I
15 was made aware of the serious concerns that are
16 involved with answering the question that was asked in
17 relation to the identity; and as a compromise we could
18 even perhaps agree, the complainant and the Commission,
19 to have the part of the thread which deals with Estates
20 disregarded from the evidence so that we don't have
21 to -- so that there is no questions that are need to be
22 asked in relation to that.

23 If that is not a feasible option,
24 Madam Chair, another -- we would like to stress again
25 that there is a public interest issue that would be

1 seriously affecting jeopardy of the investigation: The
2 safety of the officers.

3 I believe it is Section 38 of the
4 Canada Evidence Act. I haven't had a chance to verify
5 it, but the officer is familiar with the section and
6 often invokes it before the criminal codes -- criminal
7 courts, and I believe in the preliminary inquiry he had
8 invoked the same section on the same issue, if I am not
9 mistaken.

10 Is that the case, Mr. Camp?

11 MR. CAMP: That is correct.

12 MR. VIGNA: And finally, if the
13 question needs to be asked, perhaps another option
14 would be that to have an in-camera hearing to discuss
15 the actual security issues because I can't reveal more
16 than that because it would be defeating the purpose
17 and...

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

19 I spent some time yesterday evening
20 considering the question --

21 MR. FROMM: Could I --

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure. Can I
23 just -- can I do this, and then I am expecting
24 representations after that.

25 I thought about this last night, and

1 I went through the complaint and the statements of
2 particulars, and, you know, we are trying to determine
3 relevance. We need to go back to first principles, and
4 so that is what I have done.

5 One of the -- and so I am just going
6 to sort of outline and just remind us all what the
7 complaint is and what the respondent is telling us.

8 The issue that we have here is we
9 have got a couple of questions that were asked of
10 Sergeant Camp by the respondent. One of them was to
11 identify a moniker, a particular pseudonym, I guess,
12 that may or may not have been used on one or both of
13 the websites that are in question here; and the other
14 is to identify whether a particular post was -- or
15 posts were made by Sergeant Camp.

16 The Commission, of course, is taking
17 the position that it is not relevant.

18 The sergeant is refusing to answer by
19 reason that -- the impact on an ongoing investigation,
20 and the respondent says it is relevant.

21 So a starting point to determine here
22 whether it is relevant is the complaint itself, all
23 right. We have got a couple different allegations.

24 The first is that Mr. Bahr and WCFU
25 violated 13(1) by communicating messages through the

1 internet site; and the second is that Mr. Bahr and WCFU
2 published a notice pursuant -- in a violation of
3 Section 12(a).

4 And then we are looking at the
5 particulars, and this is where my concern arises
6 because the particulars, in my view, have some
7 vagueness to them, and perhaps if we can get some
8 clarity on that it will help resolve the relevance
9 issues.

10 In the particulars, first the
11 allegation is that Mr. Bahr posted, himself posted
12 material on Stormfront using pseudonym SS-88 and Glenn
13 Bahr posted the discriminatory material on the WCFU
14 website using names SS-88 and Glenn. So there is some
15 part of the allegation that is very specific to
16 Mr. Bahr.

17 There is also in the complaint a note
18 that there is a broad range of discriminatory materials
19 disclosed in the evidence accompanying the complaint,
20 and then some examples are provided below, and there
21 are examples of specific posts by SS-88 and Glenn.

22 There is also an allegation that
23 Glenn Bahr offered literature downloads off the WCFU
24 site, and examples are listed there.

25 The 12(a) violation, the allegation

1 is that Glenn Bahr designed and created the WCFU
2 website, and the 12(a) complaint goes to the 10
3 Commandments post that we reviewed a couple of days
4 ago.

5 Now it is not clear to me from the
6 particulars if the content, if the content of the
7 discussion forum is argued to contribute to the Section
8 13(1) violation; and in particular, the role of the
9 WCFU in this complaint isn't drawn really clearly.

10 So it seems to me that if the
11 complaint, if the complainant and the Commission are
12 alleging that the content of the discussion forums,
13 other than the impugned posts that are alleged to be
14 made by SS-88 and Glenn, contribute to the Section 13
15 violation, then questions regarding what, if any, posts
16 are made by Sergeant Camp during the relevant period
17 are relevant.

18 If the complainant is not alleging
19 that the content of the discussion forums, other than
20 those specific posts by SS-88 and Glenn, then the
21 questions aren't relevant.

22 Now the respondent has suggested that
23 posts might have been made with an intent to entrap and
24 provoke and those aren't defences under the Act, and so
25 that does not -- so those questions do not become

1 relevant for that reason.

2 But that is the question that I need
3 to have some answers on.

4 Now I hear counsel saying that they
5 might agree to stay away from some particular threads.
6 I don't know that that helps us here particularly.

7 So I think question number 1 is to
8 decide whether this question is relevant, and, in my
9 view, this is how we need to approach that question.

10 Then the second part of the question
11 is I am happy to hear arguments under the Canada
12 Evidence Act or any arguments that somebody wants to
13 put forward to suggest that there are some reasons why
14 we can decline an answer or a question can be declined.

15 Finally, the jurisdiction of the
16 Commission does not include a jurisdiction with respect
17 to contempt, so I can allow a question to be asked; I
18 can't compel an answer. I can and will, if I have
19 decided it is relevant, draw a negative inference from
20 a refusal to answer a question.

21 So that is the way I am seeing this
22 right now.

23 So if somebody could talk to me -- I
24 am sorry, you have got your hand up?

25 MR. BAHR: Yeah. I would just like

1 to make a statement why I think it is important.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

3 MR. BAHR: Okay. The person with the
4 user name Estate was --

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: I beg your pardon?

6 MR. BAHR: The person with the user
7 name Estate under question that was on the forum of
8 Stormfront and WCFU, he was an integral part of the
9 WCFU. He came to our protests. He came to our meeting
10 and --

11 MR. WARMAN: I am sorry, but that
12 sounds awfully like giving evidence rather than making
13 argument.

14 MR. BAHR: Well, it has something to
15 do with the whole thing.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Go ahead.

17 MR. BAHR: I mean, he helped build
18 the organization. He put forward ideas. He took part
19 in discussions.

20 I mean, if this tribunal wants a fair
21 trial, I mean, I can't even get to any evidence because
22 it is objected to because they say there is
23 investigations and stuff? I don't think that is fair.
24 I mean, Estate --

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, we are not

1 quite to that point yet.

2 MR. BAHR: Right.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: At this point I am
4 trying to understand where we are at from a relevance
5 perspective --

6 MR. BAHR: But I mean --

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- and I understand
8 your point that even if, that if it is relevant you
9 feel that the evidence should be disclosed whether or
10 not there is an ongoing criminal investigation.

11 But let us start with the first part
12 of the formula too because depending on the answer
13 there we might not need to get to the other --

14 MR. BAHR: Okay.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- to the other,
16 okay?

17 MR. BAHR: Thanks.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

19 So can I hear perhaps from Mr. Warman
20 on the question of relevance?

21 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, I am sorry,
22 but given the sort of areas that you have asked to be
23 addressed, I am just wondering if I could have 5
24 minutes to speak with my clients please.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

1 MR. VIGNA: I was going to ask for
2 same...

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

4 --- Recessed

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Hello everyone.
6 Please be seated.

7 MR. FROMM: Madam Chairman, before we
8 proceed on this, could I just clarify something I said
9 yesterday.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure.

11 MR. FROMM: I think I asked you to
12 get your colleagues off my back when I was being what I
13 thought was interrupted.

14 What I -- I may have left the
15 impression that I was considering you to be working
16 with Mr. Vigna and Mr. Warman.

17 What I really meant is that your
18 fellow lawyers. I did not mean to imply anything more
19 sinister - just a couple of lawyers.

20 I don't know if -- I was thinking
21 about this driving away yesterday that I may have left
22 an impression I didn't intend to leave.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that,
24 Mr. Fromm, and, no, I didn't get that from your
25 comment.

1 And, you know, as a lawyer, I know
2 the frustration of trying to ask questions and not
3 being able to do it. So I know what that feels like,
4 so sympathies.

5 So where are we at here? Somebody is
6 missing.

7 MR. VIGNA: Well, my colleague is
8 coming, but we can continue.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

10 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, there is
11 just one or two points I would like to clarify by
12 virtue of your previous statements just before the
13 pause, if I could please.

14 During the teleconference call with
15 Member Jensen just before the start of the hearing, it
16 may have, in fact, been the last teleconference call,
17 it was clarified that there was confusion as to whether
18 it was the Section 13 complaint had been referred by
19 the Commission or whether it was the Section 13 and the
20 Section 12 complaint.

21 I clarified for Madam Jensen that
22 if -- even if the Section 12 complaint had been
23 referred to the tribunal by the Commission that I was
24 content simply to let that fall by the wayside just in
25 the interests of a more expeditious hearing.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That is
2 helpful. That tidies things up a little bit here.
3 Okay. Good.

4 MR. WARMAN: I would like to clarify
5 as well that there are, in fact, or just emphasize that
6 there are, in fact, two complaints that are here before
7 the tribunal. There is the complaint against an
8 individual respondent Glenn Bahr, and then there is a
9 complaint against what we allege is a group of
10 individuals acting in concert against under the name
11 Western Canada For Us.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

13 MR. WARMAN: In terms of the
14 complaint against the individual respondent Mr. Bahr
15 and the forum postings, I would like to emphasize that
16 all of Mr. Bahr's postings, whether they are on
17 Stormfront or whether they are on WCFU, are the only
18 postings -- are the only forum postings that we
19 consider to be relevant or that we will be advancing as
20 the basis of his liability under the Act.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

22 And what about -- I am sorry.

23 MR. WARMAN: Just getting there.

24 In terms of the broader forum
25 postings that are not alleged to be by Mr. Bahr on --

1 that stem from the WCFU website, I would like to
2 emphasize that those are in relation to the complaint
3 against the group respondent WCFU only.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

5 MR. WARMAN: By virtue of that, I
6 would also like to make the submission that Mr. Fromm
7 is not eligible to ask questions in relation to those
8 broader forum postings because they do not relate to
9 the person that he is currently acting as agent on
10 behalf of.

11 He has indicated quite clearly that
12 he does not represent WCFU, that he does not have
13 instructions to represent them, and that, therefore,
14 questions regarding the broader forum postings to the
15 extent that they go to the question of liability for
16 the group respondent are not open.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: I do recall reading
18 that position expressed by Mr. Fromm several times. It
19 would -- okay.

20 So at the end of the day you are
21 relying on the broader postings, you are relying on
22 them with respect to WCFU only, and the respondent's
23 position that has been stated fairly consistently is
24 that WCFU is not a proper respondent, and that is their
25 defence with respect to the status of WCFU as a

1 respondent; and so, therefore, questions in that
2 context are not relevant for that reason.

3 MR. WARMAN: Not only that, but
4 Mr. Fromm has stated repeatedly in the past, including
5 at this hearing, that he does not represent WCFU and
6 therefore --

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I think maybe
8 that is too fine a point for me but -- because clearly
9 Mr. Bahr could ask those questions; but I think perhaps
10 I am more persuaded, I guess, by the suggestion that
11 the defence with respect to WCFU is not that the posts
12 were not made, but rather that that entity is not a
13 proper entity before the tribunal.

14 Mr. Fromm?

15 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, sorry.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry.

17 MR. WARMAN: If I may. There is one
18 other --

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: No, please go.

20 MR. WARMAN: So the other -- that
21 your point, of course, leads to if Mr. Bahr were to ask
22 those questions we would ask him to establish how it is
23 that he represents WCFU.

24 And --

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Carry on.

1 MR. WARMAN: -- the last point I
2 would make is that Sergeant Camp over the break has had
3 an opportunity to speak with his colleagues and
4 superiors at the Edmonton Police Service as well as
5 other -- I won't go into -- he has had a chance to
6 confirm that there are no further ongoing issues in
7 relation to -- we won't be continuing with the Section
8 37 Canada Evidence Act objection.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

10 Mr. Fromm?

11 MR. VIGNA: I got the same comments,
12 Madam Chair, for the Commission --

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, sorry,
14 Mr. Vigna.

15 MR. VIGNA: -- but we had conferred
16 together so I have got the same comments.

17 MR. BAHR: I would just like to speak
18 on my behalf about WCFU and me representing it.

19 I think that -- I don't think I
20 should have to convict myself in any way in trying to
21 cross-examine about the argument that I controlled WCFU
22 like Richard Warman asked. He asked that if I am to
23 continue asking Mr. Camp about WCFU and Estate that I
24 have to give reasonable grounds what my role was before
25 asking those questions, and I think I have to object to

1 that.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

3 The point that Mr. Warman and
4 Mr. Vigna are making is that when we, again, go back to
5 first principles and look at the complaint and look at
6 the response to the complaint, the response with
7 respect to the WCFU part of the complaint from the
8 respondent is that they are not a proper party, that
9 they shouldn't be -- and, you know, for that reason any
10 allegations made against them are moot from the start.

11 And I find that argument fairly
12 compelling actually because questions with respect to
13 whether, you know, what the contributions were or what
14 the content was or who contributed those contents don't
15 seem to relate at all to the defence that has been put
16 forward with respect to WCFU.

17 And furthermore, I don't have anyone
18 here that is either a representative of WCFU or on
19 their behalf of WCFU.

20 So I see that as a fairly significant
21 issue.

22 Anyway, Mr. Fromm, do you have
23 anything?

24 MR. FROMM: It would streamline the
25 hearings, I think, if, Madam Chairman, you did make a

1 ruling on, and I think maybe you have gone some
2 distance in that direction as to the status of the part
3 of the complaint that deals with WCFU, and I continue
4 to rely on the Commission's own report which identified
5 WCFU.com and the Western Canada For Us as two websites.
6 Our submission has been consistently that -- that those
7 are the equivalent of 12202 -- whatever the street is
8 out here. It's a street --

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I read your
10 submissions on 644 Slater Street I think it was.

11 MR. FROMM: Right.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: So, yes, I
13 understand your position with respect to that.

14 MR. FROMM: So if that were then
15 moved aside, and we are talking about the initial
16 complaint, and if I understand your I guess it was a
17 suggestion that that -- that the complaint be -- or
18 your request for clarification is the complaint limited
19 to the posts that were cited in Mr. Warman's complaint,
20 which I think were five in number by Mr. Bahr, if -- if
21 the complaint is limited to that, then that is
22 certainly something much -- much more compact that I
23 think we can deal with.

24 If it is expanded to all of
25 Mr. Bahr's posts on Stormfront or WCFU that makes it --

1 still makes it very difficult for us to deal with
2 because does that mean we must go through every one of
3 the posts and discuss it? I continue to ask for
4 clarification.

5 If it is all the threads that either
6 Mr. Bahr started or Mr. Bahr contributed to then I
7 would still be interested to know if there -- an answer
8 to my question as to whether Officer Camp was posting
9 there or under what name to see if in those threads as
10 they developed there was incitement or agitation.

11 As we went through some of the
12 threads the other day we would see that Mr. Bahr would
13 post a newspaper story without comment, and somebody
14 would come on with a comment, somebody else would have
15 another comment. There might be -- maybe there would
16 be some comments that might be inflammatory; other
17 comments were, you know, just more or less people's
18 opinion and so on. This might be helpful in determining
19 what exactly Mr. Bahr had done and what the
20 responsibilities were.

21 I am also glad, if I understood
22 Mr. Warman correctly, to learn that there is not -- we
23 are not going to be told that none of this can be
24 discussed because of an ongoing police investigation
25 because it would have been my submission that in the

1 case of Mr. Bahr and Western Canada For Us and the 319
2 charges, the investigation has already been complete,
3 we have the fruits of the investigation before us, that
4 would not seem like a very credible excuse.

5 MR. VIGNA: Just to clarify, Madam
6 Chair, so there is no confusion.

7 Western Canada For Us we still
8 maintain is a party to the...

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh yes. I
10 understand.

11 MR. VIGNA: Because from the
12 respondent's perspective I want to make that clear.

13 It is just that we are not going to
14 have Mr. Bahr be associated to Western Canada For Us in
15 terms of liability, but we still continue to maintain
16 that it is a party to this proceeding.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I am clear on
18 that.

19 Thank you.

20 MR. VIGNA: Okay.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

22 I am concerned, Mr. Fromm and
23 Mr. Bahr, the suggestion has been, I think what I am
24 hearing from you, is that even though the broader
25 postings are going to be analyzed with respect to

1 WCFU's liability only, there has been a suggestion that
2 somebody, perhaps Sergeant Camp, didn't just post but
3 played a more integral role in the formulation or the
4 development of the site; and, in particular, what we
5 reviewed on the website was two different elements that
6 Mr. Bahr is being -- is being suggested that he did.

7 The first is posts certainly, and
8 that is just, you know, commentary that goes along; but
9 the other thing that I heard in the last couple of days
10 is that Mr. Bahr also selected topics, took news
11 articles or, you know, different things to start, to
12 initiate the discussion.

13 So he did -- the suggestion is he did
14 two things: He initiated discussions by posting
15 articles, and then he contributed to discussions; and
16 what I am hearing here is that perhaps the themes,
17 perhaps some of these themes were, in fact, encouraged
18 or suggested by a third party, for example, Mr. Camp or
19 Sergeant Camp rather.

20 And so I am saying that that is a
21 relevant inquiry, and I realize, and we have made some
22 inquiries here, and Sergeant Camp may or may not have a
23 statutory excuse or exclusion from asking a particular
24 question, be it under the Canada Evidence Act or some
25 other piece of legislation; however, in the

1 circumstances, I can no more compel Sergeant Camp to
2 answer questions than I can compel Mr. Bahr to testify.

3 What I can do and what I feel the
4 respondents ought to be entitled to is in the event
5 that a question is not answered, a negative inference,
6 and if the respondent is going to in their evidence
7 lead some evidence that would suggest that Sergeant
8 Camp or some person played an integral role in the
9 development of the site, then I think they are entitled
10 to the benefit of that negative inference, in fairness.

11 So that is what I am thinking at this
12 point.

13 Do you have any other comments?

14 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, for what it
15 is worth, personally as a party I would be concerned
16 with the position that the tribunal does not have the
17 power, does not have a contempt power. I believe that
18 has been exercised in the past by Human Rights
19 tribunals. So just --

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: M-hmm. I had a
21 discussion with some colleagues at the tribunal last
22 night about that very issue, and it is my position at
23 this point that the power to compel the answers to
24 questions and the jurisdiction in contempt is something
25 that arises out of the equitable jurisdiction of

1 superior courts and their construction; and unless in
2 the statute you can point to me a provision that gives
3 me that power, I don't -- it is my position that I
4 don't have that jurisdiction.

5 MR. WARMAN: Certainly not something
6 I am going to follow up at this point. Thank you.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Thank you.

8 So, my goodness, everybody is so
9 quiet. I am used to you all bouncing up and down out
10 of your chairs.

11 All right then. Let us continue. I
12 think you have my direction. The area of relevance is
13 fairly narrow. We have a time period here of October
14 '03 to May '04. The question of the contributions to
15 the content of the WCFU website I rule are relevant
16 questions.

17 So having said that, and that is
18 issue number 1.

19 Issue number 2 is what are we doing
20 at noon? Now I know, Mr. Fromm, you have to leave at
21 noon. I really don't want to stop the proceedings and
22 lose that half day. I am directed under the rules to
23 conclude these hearings as expeditiously as possible.

24 You had suggested yesterday,
25 Mr. Fromm, that Mr. Bahr might be able to conduct some

1 of the cross-examination in your absence. That is one
2 option.

3 The second option, it seems to me, is
4 to suspend the cross-examination of Sergeant Camp and
5 to have the next witness be brought forward and start
6 on that for the afternoon, at least get some work done
7 there, and then return to the cross-examination of
8 Sergeant Camp on Monday morning.

9 MR. FROMM: Could I have one moment
10 to confer with somebody in the audience?

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Of course.

12 MR. FROMM: I would prefer, because
13 of what I consider the controversy and really delicate
14 nature of the cross-examination, if you must continue
15 this afternoon it would be Mr. Warman's testimony.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Would that be -- is
17 that the next in the order, Mr. Warman?

18 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

20 MR. FROMM: And I have been assured
21 that I will have -- one of my colleagues can probably
22 give me a fairly good summary of the evidence.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

24 So are you content with that,

25 Mr. Warman?

1 MR. WARMAN: For myself, yes.

2 MR. FROMM: And --

3 MR. WARMAN: Perhaps the
4 Commission --

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

6 MR. FROMM: -- through you to
7 Mr. Vigna, how long does he anticipate the evidence
8 lasting?

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Vigna?

10 MR. VIGNA: For Mr. Warman?

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: M-hmm.

12 MR. VIGNA: At least a day. At least
13 a day for our side.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: At least a day.
15 Okay. So we might be able to get that half done this
16 afternoon.

17 MR. VIGNA: The thing is from my
18 understanding then Mr. Camp would have to return on
19 Monday. That is not really the best scenario.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: I agree, but I am
21 concerned, I am concerned with fairness. I mean, I
22 don't know why Mr. Fromm has to leave, but he has told
23 me he had to.

24 In the circumstances I am really
25 sorry, Sergeant, to have to inconvenience you, but I

1 think that is the best way to suit the ends of fairness
2 and expedition really.

3 MR. FROMM: If I might add.

4 I did clear this with the previous
5 member. This was not sprung on the Thursday afternoon.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: I did speak to
7 Member Jensen actually last night, and she advised me
8 that she did not make a ruling and that she would not
9 make a ruling and that she would have advised that that
10 would properly be dealt with during the course of this
11 hearing.

12 So memories are a little bit
13 inconsistent, but I did -- I did canvass the question
14 expressly with Member Jensen, and her memory doesn't
15 conform with yours.

16 MR. FROMM: But the point was that
17 this was something that I had anticipated and did not
18 try to, you know, ambush at the last minute.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Of course. I am
20 not suggesting that.

21 So the only person I haven't
22 canvassed is Sergeant Camp.

23 You aren't going to Aruba on Monday
24 are you?

25 MR. CAMP: No, Madam Chair, I should

1 be available.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: You would be able?

3 Okay.

4 All right. So that has hopefully
5 cleared up some preliminary matters.

6 So perhaps we can get underway with
7 the hearing.

8 MR. FROMM: So we are going to -- is
9 it your ruling we are going to start with Mr. Warman's
10 evidence now?

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: No. I would
12 like -- we may as well -- we have got Mr. Camp here
13 now. Let us continue the cross-examination until noon
14 when you have to leave, and then we will have our lunch
15 break and come back and hear from Mr. Warman.

16 PREVIOUSLY SWORN: STEPHEN CAMP

17 EXAMINATION (Cont'd) BY MR. FROMM

18 MR. FROMM: Before I take you back to
19 the postings from Stormfront that were contained in
20 HR-3, I was wondering if I could go back to the
21 activities of the Edmonton Police force's hate squad
22 that you headed for several years.

23 Would you have for us the statistics
24 of the -- over the last several years of the number of
25 hate crimes that you record, would you not? You said

1 you recorded them?

2 MR. CAMP: Do I have them with me?

3 MR. FROMM: Would you know off the
4 top of your head?

5 MR. CAMP: No. I can kind of
6 generalize for you if you like.

7 MR. FROMM: Well, rather than that,
8 would you be able to on Monday bring us the -- you
9 would have filed a report, would you not, with your
10 superiors or with the --

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm, I am not
12 seeing the relevance of that question. Your question
13 is how many hate crimes has the Edmonton Hate Crimes
14 Unit processed since its inception?

15 MR. FROMM: Well, police forces
16 across the country that have hate squads generally put
17 out a report each year.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I expect they
19 do. I don't see how that is relevant though to this
20 inquiry and this complaint. If they did one or 600
21 what would that evidence -- how would it relate --

22 MR. FROMM: The information might be
23 helpful in submissions I would be making about the --
24 about the credibility of the witness.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: And I am --

1 MR. FROMM: It is a -- I don't mean
2 to pursue it at any great length. I just would like to
3 know what the statistics were.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: I am not going to
5 allow the -- I am not going to request or require that
6 Sergeant Camp bring statistical documents.

7 If you have some general questions of
8 the kind of activity that the Hate Crime Unit is
9 engaged in maybe we can explore that for a little bit,
10 but I really just don't see the relevance, Mr. Fromm,
11 and I don't see how it goes to credibility.

12 MR. FROMM: All right. For the year
13 2005 how many prosecutions for hate crimes were there
14 in Edmonton?

15 MR. CAMP: For crimes motivated by
16 hate? 2005? Again, I would have to check with the
17 Hate Crimes Unit and check their statistical database.

18 MR. FROMM: Which you wouldn't know
19 for 2004 or 2003?

20 MR. CAMP: I can give you a general
21 idea.

22 I remember the first year I think
23 there was 140, and this is very general, files that
24 came through our unit. I think there was 70 -- like
25 half of them are classified as either a hate crime or a

1 hate incident.

2 A lot of files come through that a
3 number of our officers may feel is hate motivated or it
4 is an incident of hate because they have a racial slur
5 in it, and those would be files that would be just
6 filed away and not put in our database.

7 So I do remember 2003 half the files
8 were not classified, and it was around 70 to 80 files
9 that came through from -- maybe 60, 70, 80, somewhere
10 in there.

11 MR. FROMM: Could you recall of those
12 70 how many were prosecuted?

13 MR. CAMP: No. Very limited. When
14 it comes to hate crimes, because it is a crime that is
15 very random, in other words, a lot -- most crimes out
16 there you will have some kind of connection between the
17 victim and the accused.

18 With hate crimes the perpetrator is
19 usually trying to send a message out to the community,
20 so it will be a random act of violence or a random act
21 of vandalism; and as far as a connection to the
22 individual, there usually is none except that the
23 person committing the crime has a bias, prejudice or
24 hatred toward the identifying group that they are
25 targeting.

1 MR. FROMM: So are you saying there
2 would be relatively few of these crimes?

3 MR. CAMP: Yeah. When it comes to
4 hate crimes right across -- internationally there is
5 relatively few prosecutions let alone convictions.
6 Very low percentage.

7 MR. FROMM: And particularly in
8 Edmonton?

9 MR. CAMP: Right. Same applies.

10 MR. FROMM: Thank you.

11 But going back to the -- to HR-3. If
12 you can in a sense remember where we were yesterday. I
13 am now on a page that is 1 of 8, and it is a posting
14 by --

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm, can I
16 stop you for a minute. I think I have left that
17 exhibit in the room. So if I could just have one
18 moment to grab that.

19 --- Pause

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thanks for your
21 patience.

22 Okay. Mr. Fromm, carry on.

23 MR. FROMM: As these are not
24 numbered, I don't know if you can recall where we left
25 off yesterday, but I am looking at page 1 of 8 and the

1 posting is by Xenologist, X-E-N-O-L.

2 MR. CAMP: If you could show me the
3 front page you are looking at it might be easier --

4 MR. FROMM: Yeah. It looks like it
5 is about 20 pages into it.

6 MR. CAMP: Okay.

7 MR. FROMM: And it is Xenologist.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: I have got it.

9 Thank you.

10 MR. CAMP: Okay.

11 MR. FROMM: This was included --
12 included in the Commission's evidence, was it not?

13 MR. CAMP: Yes.

14 MR. FROMM: Okay. Did you see a
15 problem in this particular posting? I mean, what would
16 you like us to note about it?

17 MR. CAMP: The issue that I had was
18 more of the thread and the post on the thread on 5 of 8
19 by SS-88.

20 MR. FROMM: This is the first item in
21 the thread?

22 MR. CAMP: Right.

23 Again, the reason that is included in
24 the Commission's copy, and, again, I will note that on
25 page 5 of 8 there is a comment by SS-88 that, again,

1 leads me to believe that he is involved in the
2 development of the website.

3 MR. FROMM: I know it is fairly --
4 there is a lot of reading, but I assume you are
5 fairly -- you are knowledgeable about it. What is
6 Xenologist's contribution to this? What is the point
7 of Xenologist's posting here?

8 MR. CAMP: You know, when I read
9 through it it looks to be the ideology or philosophy or
10 the mission of Western Canada For Us that, I guess, an
11 idea or to go on to the site, I guess.

12 Basically in the threads during this
13 time there were a lot of discussions from numerous
14 persons on the Canadian discussion board about what to
15 put on the website WCFU, and this is obviously one of
16 them.

17 MR. FROMM: So it would be your
18 testimony that a number of people are having input into
19 the material on the WCFU site?

20 MR. CAMP: Yeah, that's correct.

21 MR. FROMM: And the date of that
22 posting would be what? The Xenologist?

23 MR. CAMP: It looks like March 2,
24 2004.

25 MR. FROMM: In your testimony on page

1 3 of that thread, that is still in Xenologist's
2 posting, you indicated that you had some problems with
3 the 10 Commandments that seem to be appended to
4 Xenologist's posting; is that correct?

5 MR. CAMP: Yeah. Again, it would
6 peak the interest of me as a hate crime investigator,
7 that is correct.

8 MR. FROMM: Why would that be?
9 How --

10 MR. CAMP: Basically it looks like
11 Xenologist has posted this and then made a comment at
12 the end of it. That is why it is there.

13 But, I mean, the 10 Commandments
14 would be very -- and, again, it is about a community
15 they want to build on behalf of the WCFU. This, again,
16 is a reference to Whiteville, and it would be very -- a
17 community that is very exclusive to whites only and
18 that would exclude homosexuals.

19 In my experience with reviewing hate
20 sites internationally this is the type of rhetoric that
21 you see. Always look at it as a euphemism of -- it
22 could be a euphemism of ideologies of white supremacy.

23 Again, the problem would be would
24 just peak my interest. It is interesting, something to
25 keep in mind.

1 Again, the reason the thread is there
2 is not for that; it is more for page 5 of 8 and a
3 posting by SS-88.

4 MR. FROMM: Well, but not to belabour
5 the point, are the 10 Commandments a problem or not?

6 MR. CAMP: There is a couple of the
7 commandments that would peak my interest: Number 1 and
8 number 3.

9 MR. FROMM: We may all be interested
10 in one thing or another, but, I mean, is this a police
11 matter?

12 MR. CAMP: The 10 Commandments?

13 MR. FROMM: Yeah.

14 MR. CAMP: I am not investigating the
15 10 Commandments. I am investigating the WCFU, and this
16 is, again, just another piece of the investigation.

17 MR. FROMM: On page 5 of 8 of that
18 thread there is a posting by Proud 18, and you
19 identified that individual as Peter Kouba yesterday,
20 did you not?

21 MR. CAMP: That is correct.

22 MR. FROMM: And what is your
23 interpretation of Mr. Kouba's role as indicated in his
24 post there?

25 MR. CAMP: That SS-88 knows the

1 computer better than he does, and he is asking SS-88
2 to, I guess, take an idea that is being talked about
3 and implement it into the website.

4 MR. FROMM: Would you agree that
5 Proud 18 does seem to have some role though in the
6 creation of the website?

7 MR. CAMP: By that post?

8 MR. FROMM: Yes.

9 MR. CAMP: By this post I wouldn't
10 say so. It sounds like he is transferring the
11 responsibility to SS-88 to me. SS-88 you take this
12 one; you know the PC better than me. PC being personal
13 computer.

14 MR. FROMM: Do you know what "this"
15 refers to or "this one" refers to?

16 MR. CAMP: Again, I think it is in
17 regards to the thread here, in proofreading the site
18 and getting the philosophies and ideologies of the WCFU
19 up since the thread is about proofreading the WCFU
20 site.

21 MR. FROMM: Move ahead to page 7 of
22 8. I believe you high -- or the Commission highlighted
23 a post there. Seems to -- by SS-88.

24 MR. CAMP: Right.

25 MR. FROMM: And what -- why was that

1 highlighted?

2 MR. CAMP: Again, it appears to me
3 that SS-88 is -- has responsibility in regards to the
4 discussions of the ideas for the website.

5 So basically ideas get discussed, he
6 makes the suggested changes to the site, and then again
7 puts out the idea if anyone wants to proofread it to go
8 ahead and proofread it again.

9 MR. FROMM: So would you agree that
10 the WCFU site seems to be in some ways a collaborative
11 effort?

12 MR. CAMP: No.

13 MR. FROMM: No?

14 MR. CAMP: Again, if you note, in the
15 WCFU pages of the website it does mention that all
16 final decisions will be made by the Alberta Chapter,
17 and the Alberta Chapter founder and president is Glenn
18 Bahr.

19 MR. FROMM: Is there any evidence
20 that the -- that the Alberta Chapters -- that the only
21 power in the Alberta Chapter is Glenn Bahr?

22 MR. CAMP: From my investigation it
23 is my opinion that Glenn Bahr is the person, the sole
24 decision-maker, as far as making the final decisions on
25 the content of the site and the graphics, et cetera.

1 MR. FROMM: But that post though,
2 would you not agree, contradicts what you are saying?

3 MR. CAMP: No. I think it is
4 important for anyone that wants to lead an organization
5 or -- to me it appears that he is trying to get input,
6 which is a good thing if you are going to develop
7 something; but once the input is there he makes the
8 decision what finally to put on the site.

9 That is what I am getting from all of
10 this. Nothing more. Nothing less.

11 MR. FROMM: You would not agree it
12 would seem to be a collaborative effort?

13 MR. CAMP: Again, the ideas for the
14 website, again, there is a discussion about what ideas
15 are out there, but I think the final -- my opinion is
16 the final decision to what goes on the site will be
17 from SS-88.

18 MR. FROMM: Moving ahead to the next
19 series of posts, page 1 of 9 starts -- the first post
20 is Proud 18, which you said was Mr. Kouba?

21 MR. CAMP: Right.

22 MR. FROMM: This is a very extensive
23 document that goes on onto page 5.

24 MR. CAMP: Right.

25 MR. FROMM: Why would this have been

1 included or did you see this as a problem, this long
2 statement --

3 MR. CAMP: Again, the thread is
4 included because on page 6 of 9 SS-88 agrees and
5 basically says that Proud 18's post is something that
6 he does not agree with, that it is too much like an
7 attack and too violent; and that, again, as decisions
8 are being made here we need to concentrate more on our
9 goals and the good things we are doing to help our
10 society.

11 So Proud 18 throws out an idea, and
12 SS-88 agrees with other persons that it is not a good
13 idea and states what should be said.

14 That is why that thread is there.

15 MR. FROMM: He says it sounds too
16 much like an attack, it is too violent?

17 MR. CAMP: Right.

18 MR. FROMM: That is SS-88, that is his
19 position?

20 MR. CAMP: That is correct.

21 MR. FROMM: I, again, ask you how is
22 that a police matter?

23 MR. CAMP: Again, this thread went to
24 the identity and the -- also went to the opinion that
25 SS-88 is involved in the decision-making process of the

1 website. That is why that is there.

2 I like the idea that he says it is
3 too violent, the initial philosophies.

4 And again, Madam Chair, I am going to
5 add to that as well. My opinion is based on the
6 completion of the investigation.

7 However, I do agree with Mr. Fromm
8 that at this point we are still putting the pieces
9 together, but when the investigation was done it
10 just -- these posts lead me -- are, again, a piece of
11 the puzzle to the final opinion as a police officer,
12 and Glenn Bahr is the -- is the guy: The president,
13 the founder, the decision-maker.

14 And if you continue the thread on
15 page 8 of 9, and again it continues the point I am
16 trying to highlight here: Good work, very well done, I
17 am going to try to put that on our site tonight.

18 So, again, he -- if you look at the
19 discussion SS-88 has the PC knowledge, SS-88 is saying
20 no to ideas put out by other members, and then decides
21 I am going to put what he decides to put on the site.
22 That is why this thread is there. This goes to
23 ownership of the site.

24 MR. FROMM: If we could flip ahead to
25 the next document, to page 5 of 9. The next document

1 is the long thread headlined WCFU Needs Web Designer.

2 MR. CAMP: Right.

3 MR. FROMM: And on page 5 of that
4 there is a post by SS-88.

5 MR. CAMP: On page 5?

6 MR. FROMM: Yes. At the bottom of
7 the page.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm, I am not
9 finding them. What number is that please?

10 MR. CAMP: Neither am I.

11 MR. FROMM: Actually, it seems to
12 have jumped, but now the headline across the top of the
13 page is ARA Thugs In Bid to Have Volksfront Meeting --
14 and that trails off.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thanks. Yeah.
16 Okay. Good. I am with you there. I was just looking
17 for -- I thought you were saying about editing a
18 website or something.

19 MR. FROMM: That is what I thought
20 too, but that is what page 1 says, but page 5 the story
21 is different. So page 5 seems to say across -- across
22 the top ARA Thugs in Bid to Have Volksfront Meeting,
23 page 5 of 9 and at the bottom of the post by SS-88.

24 MR. CAMP: Just to clarify, that is
25 not from the same thread. The initial thread by --

1 that was referred to that ends, and this is just a page
2 of a thread that was copied.

3 MR. FROMM: Okay. The one I am
4 interested in though is this page 5 of 9 across the top
5 ARA Thugs.

6 MR. CAMP: Right.

7 MR. FROMM: In the -- in the post at
8 the bottom of the page by SS-88 could you read the --
9 this is about nine lines down after Speakers. Could
10 you read the -- the several sentences after that.

11 MR. CAMP: Okay.

12 Any way, these ARA have been hacking
13 into our e-mails, computers and MSN accounts and
14 stealing info. They posted posters of me with all my
15 personal info and a paragraph of lies all over my
16 community.

17 MR. FROMM: Read to the end of the
18 post.

19 MR. CAMP:

20 I was evicted and lost my job because
21 of it. We made all the papers up here. Any way, the
22 R.C.M.P. are looking at whether I can file charges
23 against them. They are taking their sweet time too.
24 Check out the Canada section on this board for all the
25 details. Any way, the fight goes on. WCFU.

1 MR. FROMM: This post is dated what?

2 MR. CAMP: March 5, 2004.

3 MR. FROMM: So there is a complaint
4 in here among other things that -- by SS-88 that our
5 e-mails, computers and MSN accounts are being hacked
6 and stealing info and a complaint is being made to the
7 R.C.M.P.?

8 MR. CAMP: Right.

9 MR. FROMM: Would you know where the
10 R.C.M.P., like what detachment that would be?

11 MR. CAMP: It would probably be the
12 one in Red Deer.

13 MR. FROMM: You said you had been in
14 touch with I think you called them -- you mentioned his
15 name is Sergeant Larocque or --

16 MR. CAMP: Corporal Larocque.

17 MR. FROMM: Corporal Larocque. And
18 that is French, right? Would the spelling be
19 L-A-R-O-C-Q-U-E for the Court Reporter?

20 MR. CAMP: Sure. I don't have his
21 documents here so...

22 MR. FROMM: I think from memory that
23 is the way you spelled it in your report.

24 But did you inquire, having seen this
25 on Stormfront and following the activities of SS-88,

1 did you inquire of the R.C.M.P. in Red Deer about this
2 computer hacking business?

3 MR. CAMP: No, sir.

4 MR. FROMM: Can you explain why?

5 MR. CAMP: I wasn't investigating a
6 computer hacking offence in Red Deer.

7 MR. FROMM: But you -- well, you --

8 MR. CAMP: And also it says right
9 here that the R.C.M.P. in Red Deer are going to be
10 investigating on behalf of Glenn Bahr in their
11 jurisdiction.

12 MR. FROMM: Is that the way -- the
13 way you read this post what is it the R.C.M.P. are
14 apparently investigating?

15 MR. CAMP: It appears that Glenn Bahr
16 went to the R.C.M.P. because of this incident, and they
17 are investigating to see whether charges can be filed
18 against the ARA.

19 MR. FROMM: As you understand it what
20 incident are the R.C.M.P. investigating?

21 MR. CAMP: Again, I can't speak for
22 the R.C.M.P.

23 MR. FROMM: No, I am not asking for
24 your interpretation --

25 MR. CAMP: I can -- right.

1 Again, there is nothing by the
2 R.C.M.P. in here to be interpreted. All I know is
3 that -- or to interpret -- that Glenn Bahr is
4 complaining about being hacked and he has gone to the
5 R.C.M.P. with it. That is all I can see from this post
6 here.

7 MR. FROMM: All right. You
8 testified -- now this is 5th of March. You have been
9 monitoring Glenn Bahr since sometime the previous year,
10 and WCFU begins to take shape.

11 Here you have information from their
12 computers, that would seem to be WCFU but certainly
13 Bahr's computer, MSN accounts, e-mails are being hacked
14 and stolen.

15 Would this not, in your opinion,
16 taint the type of postings that might be occurring? He
17 is saying he is being hacked or whether or not that is
18 true, you didn't inquire, you didn't ask the R.C.M.P.?

19 MR. WARMAN: Objection, Madam Chair.
20 What the sentence actually says is,
21 Any way, these ARA have been hacking
22 into our e-mails, computers and MSN accounts and
23 stealing info.

24 There is no information in there
25 whatsoever about false postings.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Goes on to say,
2 They posted posters of me with all my
3 personal info and a paragraph of lies all over my
4 community.

5 MR. WARMAN: But, Madam Chair, that
6 doesn't really --

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: And it doesn't --
8 yes, I agree.

9 So maybe if you could rephrase that
10 question, Mr. Fromm.

11 The e-mail does speak for itself, so
12 if you are going to characterize it I would ask you to
13 do it as accurately as you can.

14 MR. FROMM: That is what I was trying
15 to -- I mean, it is susceptible to two interpretations,
16 Madam Chair.

17 The R.C.M.P. are looking at whether I
18 can file charges. Does that mean they are looking to
19 file -- whether I can file charges that my computer is
20 being hacked or whether I can file charges that false
21 information is being posted all over my community, and
22 I have lost my job, and I have been evicted from my
23 apartment.

24 I was wondering if Sergeant Camp had
25 an interpretation of that?

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think the e-mail
2 speaks for itself. Sergeant Camp didn't draft this
3 e-mail, and so he doesn't have any information, and I
4 don't know that his interpretation of this is going to
5 be any more helpful to me than my own read of it.

6 MR. FROMM: So just to clarify, your
7 testimony is that having read this you did not contact
8 the R.C.M.P. in Red Deer to inquire what they knew?

9 MR. CAMP: That is right.

10 MR. FROMM: You had contacted the
11 R.C.M.P. in Red Deer though earlier to find out what
12 they knew about Glenn Bahr? That was your testimony?

13 MR. CAMP: Right. It was not about
14 Glenn Bahr. It was about the Kneehill community hall
15 rally that was upcoming for late February, and what the
16 R.C.M.P. told me was that they had spoken to Glenn
17 Bahr. I was concerned about the rally.

18 MR. FROMM: Why would an Edmonton
19 Policeman be concerned about a rally in Red Deer?

20 MR. CAMP: As mentioned in previous
21 testimony, a number of persons that were posting on
22 Stormfront Canadian discussion appeared to be from
23 Edmonton because there was references to Edmonton on
24 their posts.

25 MR. FROMM: So your testimony is from

1 some of the posts it looked as though some people from
2 Edmonton might be going to the rally in Red Deer?

3 MR. CAMP: That is correct.

4 And like I had previously testified
5 as well, one of our man -- part of our mandate in the
6 Hate Crime Unit is to network with police, law
7 enforcement agencies, not only across Alberta but
8 across Canada and internationally as well in regards to
9 these issues, not Glenn Bahr issues, but, you know,
10 hate issues, hate ideologies, extremism.

11 So when we see members of a hate site
12 discussing, I guess, hate on Stormfront, and that they
13 are going to have a rally in Red Deer, we will talk to
14 Red Deer about that rally in late February.

15 And, again, it wasn't -- Corporal
16 Larocque was the one that told me that he is the one
17 that spoke to Glenn Bahr. It was just the way it was.

18 I mean, if there is any problem with
19 Glenn Bahr's computer in Red Deer, if he feels that he
20 has issues, then he did the proper thing: He went to
21 the R.C.M.P. He wouldn't come to the Edmonton City
22 Police, he would go to the R.C.M.P. and talk to them
23 about his personal computer being hacked or his e-mails
24 being hacked.

25 If he had phoned me I would direct

1 him to the R.C.M.P. in Red Deer. That would be my --
2 it would not be my mandate to discuss the file with him
3 in Edmonton if it was a Red Deer file.

4 MR. FROMM: So your testimony is that
5 when you heard that there -- when you learned that
6 there would be a rally in Red Deer you contacted the
7 R.C.M.P. and spoke to Corporal Larocque; but when you
8 read further that there were issues of hacking and
9 postings and so on this -- this was no longer of any
10 interest --

11 MR. WARMAN: Well, he said --

12 THE COURT REPORTER: I can only write
13 one at a time, I am sorry, gentlemen.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm, that
15 question has been asked of Sergeant Camp and answered.
16 Would you move on please.

17 MR. FROMM: Would it be fair to say
18 that this material and the report that you provided,
19 the police report provided, I guess, in the 319 charges
20 indicated that you were compiling postings as evidence
21 against Glenn Bahr and WCFU and perhaps others?

22 MR. CAMP: I don't understand the
23 question. I was compiling evidence because there was
24 times during the course of these months where I wasn't
25 compiling evidence. There was no site that was up.

1 Like the Stormfront.org ones, they
2 are interesting and there is talk of a site being
3 developed, but, I mean, there is no criminal
4 investigation at this time until the site is up and
5 running.

6 So I found these postings as a hate
7 crimes investigator interesting and of concern to me
8 because of what I thought may be coming.

9 MR. FROMM: Considering what you just
10 said there, this information about possible hacking and
11 tampering with -- with communications, this not concern
12 you that this might taint the -- the continuing chain
13 of evidence?

14 MR. CAMP: Again, if there is a
15 criminal complaint that Bahr wants to file with the
16 police agency he is more than welcome to --

17 MR. FROMM: Well, you are monitoring
18 people. Bahr may or may not have filed a complaint,
19 but you now have information that computers are
20 being -- Bahr's computer, perhaps other computers, are
21 being hacked and e-mail accounts. Were you not
22 concerned that perhaps further information apparently
23 coming from Bahr might not be his?

24 MR. CAMP: If Glenn Bahr --

25 MR. WARMAN: Objection. Again, it is

1 mischaracterization.

2 The e-mail in question -- the posting
3 by SS-88 clearly states hacking into our e-mails,
4 computers and MSN accounts and stealing info. There is
5 nothing there about false information being posted, and
6 Mr. Fromm is continually trying to mischaracterize what
7 the posting states.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: I agree,
9 Mr. Fromm. I think this e-mail speaks for itself.

10 MR. FROMM: I seek your direction on
11 this, Madam Chairman. Sergeant Bahr continuously
12 characterizes --

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry, who,
14 sir?

15 MR. FROMM: Sorry. Sorry.

16 Sergeant Camp continuously
17 characterizes postings on Stormfront and WCFU as hate,
18 and none of that has been established. I think the
19 testimony is -- is highly prejudicial. He said he had
20 been monitoring hate postings, and at this point nobody
21 had been charged with anything. I think this is...

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: That is not my
23 understanding of Sergeant Camp's evidence.

24 MR. CAMP: That is correct. I
25 never -- I am monitoring information. I never -- I am

1 not saying I am monitoring hate, especially on -- there
2 is hate on Stormfront, but, again, I keep bringing you
3 back to this.

4 On the Stormfront downloads here it
5 is about who is controlling -- who is the controlling
6 mind behind WCFU website and who is SS-88.

7 I mean, I am looking at the posts
8 that we continue to go through, and most of the stuff I
9 am looking at Stormfront is not hate. I mean, the one
10 that you just referred to I don't see any hate on
11 there. I don't think there has been any hate on the
12 Stormfront download ones since you have been
13 cross-examining me this morning.

14 MR. FROMM: Okay. If we could move
15 ahead to the next page in that. Move ahead to the next
16 page in that bundle. Page 1 of 7.

17 This is headlined Nation Wide Zundel
18 Protest March 28th, and you -- or this was included in
19 the bundle here?

20 MR. CAMP: That is correct.

21 MR. FROMM: First posting is by
22 SS-88. I direct you to the final paragraph. I was
23 wondering if you might read that for us, the WCFU?

24 MR. CAMP: Right.

25 WCFU will have 40 plus members

1 protesting and the media will be there as well. We are
2 trying to contact as many people we know in other
3 provinces and getting their support. One of our
4 members will be videotaping our protests and it will be
5 viewable on our website shortly after. There will be a
6 donation box at our meet for Ernst Zundel's defence
7 fund. There will also be one at our protest for people
8 passing by to donate.

9 MR. FROMM: Okay. That is fine.

10 The -- why was this posting included?
11 Did you see any problems as a police officer with the
12 planned activity here?

13 MR. CAMP: Are you asking me if there
14 is a problem with the posts or are you asking me the
15 second question? Which one would you like me to
16 answer --

17 MR. FROMM: No. Well, the post was
18 included either by you or by the Commission. I am
19 interested in asking you do you have any problems with
20 the plans as outlined in the paragraph you just read?

21 MR. CAMP: No.

22 MR. FROMM: As a police officer?

23 Thank you.

24 MR. CAMP: Oh, the protest plans are
25 not an issue. They were very peaceful actually.

1 Again, this post is put there, again,
2 in regards to trying to show that Glenn Bahr is the
3 founder and president of the WCFU.

4 MR. FROMM: The next post is 1 of 3.
5 Edit or add to Whiteville article.

6 MR. CAMP: Right.

7 MR. FROMM: Posted by SS-88?

8 MR. CAMP: Right.

9 MR. FROMM: This deals with --
10 apparently with plans for Whiteville?

11 MR. CAMP: Right.

12 MR. FROMM: What interpretation do
13 you give to the first paragraph? Anyone...

14 MR. CAMP: Again, as the other posts
15 that are similar to this, SS-88 is soliciting input
16 from the participants on this Canadian discussion forum
17 in regards to the ideas for Whiteville before it is
18 posted on the site.

19 MR. FROMM: It is more than just
20 input though, isn't it, Sergeant Camp?

21 MR. CAMP: No.

22 MR. FROMM: Does he not say edit or
23 add to this article?

24 MR. CAMP: I would put that as input.
25 Like if you are editing and put some ideas in, go right

1 ahead, he is asking, and the information that SS-88
2 gets is -- from what I am seeing from this, not the
3 other posts, is that he is looking for input and --
4 which is a good idea from the participants. Everybody
5 has a good idea to add to the ideas for Whiteville
6 before it is finally posted.

7 MR. FROMM: Could you read the first
8 sentence of the final paragraph on that page?

9 MR. CAMP:
10 This will not be connected to
11 Whiteville.

12 MR. FROMM: No.
13 What one does in their own home.

14 MR. CAMP: You want me to read the
15 first paragraph?

16 MR. FROMM: No, the first sentence of
17 the last paragraph.

18 MR. CAMP:
19 What one does in their own home is up
20 to them. However, out in the community we will not
21 support cross burnings, Nazi flags or any other white
22 power symbols.

23 MR. FROMM: From your extensive
24 investigation of what you call white supremacists and
25 neo-Nazis does this look to be like a typical neo-Nazi

1 proposal?

2 MR. CAMP: Yes. A lot of neo-Nazis
3 when they are trying to show themselves better in the
4 public light will dress professional, for example, or
5 they will say if we are going to go to this rally let's
6 not wear the neo-Nazi garb like the braces, the boots
7 and don't show your swastikas because they want to
8 endear or obtain some kind of credibility with the
9 public.

10 So in the -- I guess on the
11 international websites of hate you see this kind of
12 rhetoric a fair amount.

13 And what is also true is that there
14 is also a lot of heated discussion between -- the
15 neo-Nazi groups and the white supremacists become
16 factionalized where you will have some of them
17 believing in, look, we have national socialists white
18 supremacy ideas, but we should dress professional and
19 go political with it; other ones will say, no, we are
20 neo-Nazis, we are skinheads, we are going to fight for
21 and be soldiers for the cause, and they will want to
22 wear the Nazi garb.

23 So this is typical rhetoric.

24 MR. FROMM: Would you allow for a
25 third possibility that --

1 MR. CAMP: Always open --

2 MR. FROMM: -- he is not neo-Nazi? I
3 mean, he does seem to be saying here he doesn't want
4 cross burnings or flags or white power symbols. Is
5 that not supposed to be their own community,
6 Whiteville?

7 MR. CAMP: Are you asking me if I
8 think SS-88 at this time is a neo-Nazi because if you
9 are putting it in the context of my investigation I
10 would have to say that SS-88 is a neo-Nazi.

11 MR. FROMM: Okay. If you could move
12 ahead to page -- well, to the page 1 of 4, and this is
13 logged Free Ernst Zundel Rallies Toronto and Edmonton
14 March 28th, and this was a post by SS-88 and about
15 the -- a rally that occurred in Edmonton.

16 MR. CAMP: Right.

17 MR. FROMM: And reading over the
18 content of that post did that accord with your
19 investigation, that account of the rally?

20 MR. CAMP: Could you kind of clarify
21 the question please.

22 MR. FROMM: Okay.

23 Well, the gist of the report is that
24 there were 30 support of all ages, and they handed out
25 pamphlets and cards, and I guess it is a judgment call

1 whether it was a great success or not, but the report
2 seems to be of a gathering and -- that was peaceful.

3 Was that your --

4 MR. CAMP: That is correct.

5 MR. FROMM: You investigated? You
6 were present?

7 MR. CAMP: That is right.

8 MR. FROMM: All right.

9 And there are further pictures of it.

10 And so then if we could then flip
11 ahead to the next posting, which is 4 of 5, and this is
12 entitled "Is the WCFU site down", and I think you
13 called our attention to the fact that after Mr. Bahr's
14 residence was raided at that time he promised you he
15 would shut down his WCFU site, and was that what he
16 told you?

17 MR. CAMP: That is correct.

18 MR. FROMM: And I believe you
19 testified that the next day he did shut it down; is
20 that correct?

21 MR. CAMP: That is right.

22 MR. FROMM: And as I think you took
23 us through the fact there were a number of people
24 writing what is happening, et cetera?

25 MR. CAMP: Right.

1 MR. FROMM: Very last post on that
2 page is from somebody named Pogue Mahone.

3 You have identified a number of the
4 people who posted. Would you happen to know who Pogue
5 Mahone is?

6 MR. CAMP: Okay. I have lost you
7 here. Sorry.

8 MR. FROMM: Okay. It is page 4 of 5
9 in the -- in the thread: Is the WCFU site down.

10 MR. CAMP: Right.

11 MR. FROMM: And there -- many people
12 post, and they are asking questions or saying they are
13 going to contact Glenn, but the last post there is by
14 somebody named Pogue P-O-G-U-E Mahone M-A-H-O-N-E.

15 MR. CAMP: Oh, okay.

16 MR. FROMM: I don't know if that is
17 considered my testifying but that's Gallic.

18 MR. CAMP: Got you.

19 MR. FROMM: It is Gallic for
20 something rather vulgar.

21 MR. CAMP: Okay.

22 MR. FROMM: Would you know who Pogue
23 Mahone was -- is?

24 MR. CAMP: No.

25 MR. FROMM: I was just wondering if I

1 could have a moment to shuffle through these notes.

2 I believe it is HR-8, but that is
3 the -- or what was that? Tab 8. That was the -- your
4 investigation report.

5 MR. CAMP: Okay.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: In my copy Tab 8 is
7 HR-2.

8 MR. FROMM: Okay. HR-2.

9 This report here, could you identify
10 what it is? It is a three-page report entitled
11 "Investigations".

12 MR. CAMP: This is Tab 8?

13 MR. FROMM: Yes, it was at Tab 8, but
14 I guess that is -- you said it is HR-2?

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: M-hmm. So what I
16 have at Tab 8 in the binder, which is HR-2, is Sergeant
17 Camp's report. So I think maybe we are looking at
18 different documents.

19 MR. FROMM: I don't know. I think
20 there are actually several reports.

21 The one I have is called
22 "Investigations", and deals with the arrest of Glenn
23 Bahr in Langley. It is a three-page report dated 2 --
24 December 3, 2005.

25 MR. VIGNA: I don't think it was

1 produced. That report existed but -- but I don't think
2 it was --

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh. We might need
4 to enter that as an exhibit, Mr. Fromm. Perhaps if you
5 could show Madam Registrar we will see if we recognize
6 it.

7 Mr. Fromm, could you check, if you
8 were to check Tabs 9 and 10 of the binder that might be
9 what you are referring to.

10 MR. FROMM: It doesn't really matter.
11 I can come to this later.

12 Okay. HR-2. How would you
13 characterize this document that appears to list the
14 accused and witnesses? What would you call that
15 document?

16 MR. CAMP: A police report.

17 MR. FROMM: Sorry?

18 MR. CAMP: A police report.

19 MR. FROMM: A police report.

20 Why is it that the address of
21 Mr. Bahr is there but the addresses of all the other
22 people in this list are not?

23 MR. VIGNA: Madam Chair, I can answer
24 that.

25 The addresses were blotched by

1 myself. When I introduced it I had explained that the
2 dark print on the addresses, that was myself that
3 erased it for reasons I gave at the -- at the time the
4 document was introduced.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I remember.

6 Thank you, Mr. Vigna.

7 MR. FROMM: Then in interests of
8 fairness I would request that -- that this document
9 have Mr. Bahr's particulars blocked out too.

10 Everybody else is simply identified
11 by name either as a witness or accused. It seems to me
12 to be unfair and prejudicial that everything about
13 Mr. Bahr is there but everybody else there is just a
14 name.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm, we
16 canvassed the question of a publication ban right at
17 the commencement of the hearing, and it is something
18 that you weren't interested in pursuing, and so there
19 isn't a publication ban. I can't sort of do it in bits
20 and pieces.

21 I would observe that going through
22 these documents Mr. Bahr's address appears more than
23 one time in more than one document.

24 I am going to deny that request.

25 MR. FROMM: Well then, can I ask it a

1 different way? Could I ask Mr. Vigna to provide us
2 with an unmagic-markered copy of this document?

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Vigna?

4 MR. VIGNA: Madam Chair, there is no
5 reason for us to provide the addresses of the
6 witnesses. There is no compelling the arguments made
7 in support of that, so I don't see why we need to
8 disclose that kind of confidential --

9 MR. FROMM: Just equity and fairness.

10 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair --

11 MR. VIGNA: I would like to hear the
12 arguments for such a request.

13 MR. WARMAN: The document has already
14 been entered as an exhibit.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

16 Mr. Fromm?

17 MR. FROMM: The reasons are very
18 clear: Equity and fairness.

19 Mr. Bahr is only - and this is the
20 319 case - is only at this point an accused. Unless
21 the laws of the land are being changed overnight --

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm, this is
23 an adjudication of a Human Rights complaint.

24 MR. FROMM: Yes.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Our restrictions

1 here are many, but one of them is relevance, and if you
2 can explain to me why you need to have the personal
3 information of these other individuals and how it
4 relates to the complaint I think that is the first
5 hurdle that we need to deal with before we go any
6 further.

7 MR. FROMM: As far as I can tell this
8 piece of evidence has virtually nothing to do with this
9 complaint. It has been testified that Mr. Bahr was
10 arrested. I don't think anybody disputes that.

11 This document simply provides
12 information about him and witnesses in another case,
13 not witnesses in this case.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm, this
15 document has been entered as an exhibit. If you want
16 to address the character, I guess, of this exhibit in
17 your submissions on close, that is fine; but the
18 document is in evidence, and so let us carry on.

19 MR. FROMM: The next document in
20 that -- in this exhibit is entitled "Willful Promotion
21 of Hatred Section 319", and it is dated May 7, 2004.

22 Could you identify exactly what this
23 document is, Sergeant Camp?

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are we still
25 in Tab 8? I'm just trying to --

1 MR. FROMM: Yes.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: And it is the --

3 MR. FROMM: The next document there
4 says --

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, so the fifth
6 page in document HR-2?

7 MR. FROMM: Yes, 5 of 32.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thanks.

9 MR. CAMP: Again, it is part of the
10 police report.

11 MR. FROMM: A report on what?

12 MR. CAMP: Well, the entire Tab 8 is
13 a police report. This is part of it. It is page 5.

14 MR. FROMM: On page 6 of 32 at the
15 bottom there is a section that begins Bail.

16 MR. CAMP: Right.

17 MR. FROMM: And could you just
18 refresh our memories as to what that refers to?

19 MR. CAMP: When an accused is
20 arrested they can speak to a Justice in regards to
21 obtaining bail or whether they can be released pending
22 trial, and the Justice will make a decision on whether
23 to remand the accused in custody, release the accused
24 on conditions, release the accused on cash bail,
25 release the accused on recognizance, for example, with

1 conditions.

2 MR. FROMM: And in your experience on
3 the Edmonton Police force have you been involved in a
4 number of cases of people being charged and having --
5 and going for bail?

6 MR. CAMP: That is correct.

7 MR. FROMM: And have you frequently
8 been involved in making recommendations as to what the
9 police should seek in terms -- what their position
10 should be in terms of bail?

11 MR. CAMP: That is right.

12 MR. FROMM: And the information
13 contained on -- well, beginning on the bottom of page 6
14 of 32 continuing on 7, on the top of 8 of 32, were
15 these your recommendations in terms of bail for
16 Mr. Bahr?

17 MR. CAMP: The recommendations are
18 starting at page 7 number 1 and moving over to
19 number -- page number 8, and you will see number 2 and
20 3. Three recommendations.

21 MR. FROMM: Did you appear before the
22 Justice of the Peace in Mr. Bahr's bail hearing?

23 MR. CAMP: No.

24 MR. FROMM: Which officer did?

25 MR. CAMP: I don't know. It is not

1 protocol for the arresting officer to appear on bail.
2 They prepare the conditions, bring them down to the
3 arrest processing unit, and another officer will appear
4 and read over the bail conditions to the JP that is
5 presiding.

6 MR. FROMM: Did you communicate with
7 the officer who would be appearing at the bail hearing?

8 MR. CAMP: I may have. I can't
9 remember if I did or not.

10 MR. FROMM: Would he have had your
11 recommendations or report here?

12 MR. CAMP: Yeah. He would have had
13 the -- pages -- 1 probably to all the way up to page 10
14 of the report.

15 MR. FROMM: Was your recommendation
16 that Mr. Bahr be denied bail?

17 MR. CAMP: I am sorry?

18 MR. FROMM: Was your recommendation
19 that Mr. Bahr be denied bail?

20 MR. CAMP: Yeah. The first sentence
21 on -- underneath "Bail" on page 6 recommends that Glenn
22 Bahr be remanded in custody for the following reasons.

23 So we did put down the primary
24 recommendation to remand him.

25 MR. FROMM: Would you agree that a

1 charge -- that Section 319 refers to expressions of
2 opinions, not acts of violence?

3 MR. CAMP: I'm sorry?

4 MR. FROMM: Section 319 under which
5 Mr. Bahr is charged refers to expressions of opinions
6 rather than acts of violence?

7 MR. VIGNA: Madam Chair, I don't see
8 the relevance of --

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have
10 allowed you some latitude dealing with the matter of
11 bail in a criminal proceeding, but I am having trouble
12 seeing the relevance of your line of questions to the
13 complaint that we are dealing with in this forum.

14 MR. FROMM: In the long term, Madam
15 Chairman, it will go to the credibility of this
16 witness.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: How?

18 MR. FROMM: Could I ask that the
19 witness be excluded?

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that is
21 appropriate.

22 Sergeant Camp, would you?

23 --- Sergeant Camp steps down

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Fromm?

25 MR. FROMM: It would be my submission

1 that the witness has a serious animosity to Mr. Bahr
2 that is extraordinary in a -- on a charge that is
3 non-violent. It is inconsistent with the practices in
4 other jurisdictions across Canada in the 319 charges
5 for the accused to -- for the recommendation that the
6 accused be held without bail.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: And if there is
8 animus what does that go to?

9 MR. FROMM: Well, the animus goes to
10 the credibility of the witness' evidence.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: And in what
12 respects is his credibility at issue?

13 MR. FROMM: Among other things, the
14 question I was asking this morning.

15 When there was evidence -- he has
16 been dogging Mr. Bahr for -- from sometime in 2003,
17 reading his posts, watching for the formation of
18 Western Canada For Us, and then in February there is
19 this post by SS-88 that websites are -- websites in the
20 internet communications are being -- are being hacked
21 and information --

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Said that was in
23 March, I think, but anyway, carry on.

24 MR. FROMM: Okay, in March, and he
25 testifies that this was of no interest to him, it was

1 just the fact that Mr. Bahr --

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: No. Just -- what
3 does the credibility go to? Are you suggesting that
4 Sergeant Camp has animus toward Mr. Bahr, and so what?
5 Like what did he do that is relevant to the complaint
6 here?

7 MR. FROMM: Well, among other things,
8 because of the animus, and this -- this has not yet
9 been in evidence exactly -- when he arrested
10 Mr. Bahr -- sorry, when he executed the warrant against
11 Mr. Bahr in May of 2004 he threatened the woman he was
12 living with.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, I know, I
14 know, but what, if you are -- you are suggesting that
15 there is a credibility issue here, okay. So that is --
16 and that is why you want to explore these areas, and I
17 have read them in your submissions.

18 But what is it that you are alleging
19 that Sergeant Camp did that is relevant to this
20 complaint? The complaint is what we described this
21 morning, and the responses that I have heard is I
22 didn't make these posts or all of them, and even if I
23 did, the content doesn't violate Section 13.

24 MR. FROMM: That is true.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: So how, tell me

1 where Sergeant Camp fits in to that --

2 MR. FROMM: Well, Sergeant Camp
3 testifies that when he executed the warrant Mr. Bahr
4 said I take complete responsibility for the WCFU site.
5 What I will be hoping eventually to elicit from him is
6 that this was done under the threat -- the time
7 sequence is very important. In his report he says
8 Mr. Bahr was cautioned and he made these admissions --

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: So you want to be
10 able to challenge Sergeant Camp on the question of
11 whether or not Mr. Bahr told him that he was associated
12 with or the president of WCFU. So that is one thing
13 you want to --

14 MR. FROMM: No. No. He -- he --

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Because that is in
16 your documentation.

17 MR. FROMM: He tells -- he says that
18 Mr. Bahr said I am entirely responsible for the WCFU
19 site. It is all my doing and -- and Mr. Bahr was
20 responding to a threat that was made to take away
21 his -- the lady's with whom he was living child. That
22 was a threat made by Sergeant that he was -- Sergeant
23 Camp. He was going to call child services with a view
24 to removing her, and that is in his report.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, I have read

1 that.

2 My question to you is not what is the
3 evidence of animus, but what is the consequence? Like
4 so what? If there is animus what is it that you are
5 suggesting, that he is lying about what Mr. Bahr told
6 him at the arrest? That appears to be one thing.

7 MR. FROMM: Well, it -- it certainly
8 taints, that if I can show animus it would certainly
9 strengthen the argument that he essentially coerced the
10 submission. The only way Mr. Bahr could -- felt he
11 could extricate his --

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Please don't
13 summarize that again. I am trying to get to the nature
14 of why it is you feel it is appropriate to be
15 challenging the credibility of the sergeant, and so far
16 I have heard that you want to be in a position to argue
17 that Sergeant Camp misrepresented Mr. Bahr's statements
18 on arrest. Okay. That is one thing.

19 What is the next thing that you want
20 to -- that is relevant from -- and why you are going to
21 deal with credibility? What is the other allegation
22 that you are going to be making with respect to
23 Sergeant Camp?

24 MR. FROMM: Well, the allegation is
25 that this animus has tainted the entire investigation.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: How? Like you need
2 to be able to demonstrate for me what the relevance is
3 of challenging Sergeant Camp's credibility, and so far
4 you have identified one, and that is that he would be
5 likely to misrepresent what he was told by Mr. Bahr.

6 Is there anything else?

7 MR. FROMM: Well, not necessarily
8 just misrepresent, but because of this animosity, force
9 Mr. Bahr into an admission that may not have been true.
10 I think there is considerable evidence we have -- we
11 have pointed to already that other people were involved
12 in the WCFU site, that there was more of a
13 collaborative effort than a one man show, but
14 Mr. Bahr -- and the statement was -- was made by
15 Sergeant Camp that Mr. Bahr took complete
16 responsibility for it, and it is my submission that
17 if -- if we can see a pattern of animosity and
18 hostility that perhaps our submission would be more
19 credible.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: And what parts of
21 that submission? The fact that -- now just hang on. I
22 am going to deal with Mr. Fromm here.

23 The fact that now perhaps that
24 Mr. Bahr's statement was coerced when he was being
25 arrested --

1 MR. FROMM: Yes.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: And is there
3 another allegation that -- of misconduct that you are
4 going to be making with respect to Sergeant Camp?

5 MR. FROMM: Well, because we don't
6 have the answers to some of the questions we have
7 asked, I am reluctant to, you know, to go -- to make a
8 direct allegation, but there was -- there were
9 postings, in our submission, that were -- were not --
10 were apparently made by Mr. Bahr, but he did not
11 make -- I am not saying Sergeant Camp made them, but
12 that there was highly inflammatory material put up on
13 the WCFU site that Mr. Bahr denies responsibility for,
14 and the entire -- the entire prosecution --

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any
16 evidence, because I have not read this anywhere in your
17 submissions, that Sergeant Camp had the power to get in
18 and pretend he is somebody else on the website? Is
19 there -- because I am not seeing that as an
20 allegation --

21 MR. FROMM: Oh, yes, it would be
22 within the -- we heard the testimony of -- well, we
23 heard the -- we heard the recording -- the -- the
24 Warren show that was played by the Commission and a
25 fellow by the name Helmut-Harry Loewen who is an

1 activist in Winnipeg, said he agreed with Warren that
2 it was very easy to trick your way or to get onto the
3 site and set up a persona --

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but then that
5 is not an issue. I mean, clearly coming in and
6 posting, but the allegation that you appear to be
7 making is that Sergeant Camp by reason of animus was
8 able to -- was able to --

9 MR. FROMM: He Certainly was able
10 to --

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Was able to post as
12 Glenn or SS-88. Is there any evidence of that because
13 if you are going to be making that suggestion there has
14 got to be something.

15 MR. FROMM: Well, I can't make that
16 accusation that it was Sergeant Camp who did it.

17 It is our submission somebody did it.
18 Whether it was him or somebody else or somebody with
19 ARA or -- we are not in a position to say that.

20 We are saying that somebody was
21 posting -- was posting highly inflammatory and
22 mischievous and perhaps even posts that were contrary
23 to Section 13(1).

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Warman?

25 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, perhaps

1 Mr. Fromm can simply ask Sergeant Camp did you make
2 postings under Glenn Bahr's identity.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I am inclined
4 to agree with you, Mr. Warman.

5 All right. I do have a concern with
6 this line of questioning. I don't want to unfairly
7 impede Mr. Fromm's appropriate latitude, but in the
8 circumstances I have got your submissions now,
9 Mr. Fromm.

10 If I understand them, you feel it is
11 appropriate to attack the sergeant's credibility for
12 two reasons: First that to bolster, I suppose, a
13 suggestion that an admission made by Mr. Bahr was
14 coerced; and secondly, that the sergeant may, in fact,
15 have found a way to pretend he was Mr. Bahr on the
16 internet and make postings on his behalf.

17 Is that --

18 MR. FROMM: Yes. As long as that
19 latter is -- is understood as might. This is not
20 our --

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to be
22 leading any evidence that would suggest that somebody
23 like Sergeant Camp could get that kind of power within
24 the website?

25 MR. FROMM: Yes. The -- our expert

1 witness will be explaining how that can be done. I am
2 sorry, I can't.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: So those are the
4 two reasons --

5 MR. FROMM: Yes, that is correct.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

7 What I am going to do is break for
8 lunch now. I realize that you are not going to be here
9 this afternoon, and so we will deal with this at the
10 commencement of the continuation of Sergeant Camp's
11 examination.

12 MR. FROMM: Would I be -- could I
13 have your indulgence for just a moment please.

14 Because I know we can't have the
15 transcripts like for Monday, and I want to be sure I
16 understand, I am aware of what was said this afternoon,
17 I was wondering if this gentleman here would be able to
18 sit with Mr. Bahr, and together they can take notes.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course.

20 MR. FROMM: Because I would like some
21 pretty extensive notes if possible.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Of course.

23 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, my only
24 request would be that the individual who is going to be
25 sitting at counsel table be identified.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I agree, and
2 we will know who you are, but you are welcome to come
3 and sit with Mr. Bahr.

4 MR. FROMM: He will sit in the
5 audience.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you
7 everyone. We will see you at -- what time is it now?
8 It is quarter to 12 by my watch. So, say, 1 o'clock.

9 Thank you.

10 --- Upon recessing at 11:45 a.m.

11 --- Upon resuming at 1:00 p.m.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon
13 everyone. Be seated.

14 So this afternoon we are going to be
15 starting with Mr. Warman's evidence?

16 MS WARSAME: Yes, that is correct.

17 And before we start, Madam Chair, the
18 Commission will be using a second black binder to enter
19 the evidence of Mr. Warman, and that was provided to
20 you, I believe.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.

22 AFFIRMED: RICHARD WARMAN

23 MR. BAHR: Before we begin, Madam.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

25 MR. BAHR: May I ask that she use a

1 podium just so I can hear her better. I find that that
2 picks up the voice.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, sure, that's
4 fine, unless counsel has a problem with that.

5 EXAMINATION BY MS WARSAME

6 MS WARSAME: Good afternoon,
7 Mr. Warman.

8 Before we begin can you please turn
9 to Tab 1. Are you familiar with this document?

10 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I am. This is a
11 copy of the complaint that I filed with the Canadian
12 Human Rights Commission. I filed it on the 8th of
13 June, 2004. The pages numbered 1 to 3 are the
14 documents that I submitted to the Commission, and the
15 front page is a Commission document titled "Summary of
16 Complaint".

17 MS WARSAME: The last page of that
18 document there is a signature. Is that your signature?

19 MR. WARMAN: Yes, it is.

20 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
21 like to file this document as a Commission exhibit.

22 THE REGISTRAR: The complaint filed
23 by Richard Warman and the Canadian Human Rights
24 Commission dated June 8, 2004 will be filed as
25 Commission Exhibit HR-21.

1 EXHIBIT NO. HR-21: Complaint
2 filed by Richard Warman and the
3 Canadian Human Rights Commission
4 dated June 8, 2004

5 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you go to
6 page 1 of HR-21 where it say "websites involved" can
7 you please explain that to the tribunal?

8 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It indicates that
9 the websites -- there are three websites involved: The
10 first one is www.wcfu.com; the second is
11 www.westerncanadaforum.com; and the third is
12 www.stormfront.org.

13 The reason there are two separate
14 websites there, the first two websites, is that there
15 were two distinct universal resource locator, URL
16 addresses that you could go to the WCFU websites.
17 Whenever I looked at them, to the best of my ability,
18 they were both simply mirror images of the other, and,
19 in fact, although I don't know, they could have simply
20 led to the same place.

21 MS WARSAME: At the same page, if you
22 go to the bottom part where it says "Particulars".

23 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

24 MS WARSAME: Can you please explain
25 the meaning of SS-88 or SS -- what SS stand for?

1 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Because the
2 allegation in the complaint is that the person behind
3 SS-88 was, in fact, Mr. Glenn Bahr, SS is short for
4 Schutzstaffel.

5 Schutzstaffel was the organization in
6 Nazi Germany that had the responsibility for the
7 protection of Adolf Hitler and the operation of the
8 concentration camps.

9 In terms of 88, based on my
10 experience monitoring these types of groups, the number
11 88 is often used in neo-Nazi parlance as a numeric code
12 for HH because 'H' is the 8th letter of the alphabet,
13 and it is used as shorthand in the neo-Nazi milieu for
14 Heil Hitler, 88 being 'H' times 2 being short for Heil
15 Hitler.

16 MS WARSAME: The same document,
17 HR-23, I would like to refer you to page 2, the bottom
18 paragraph where it say "During the month of March,
19 2004", and you are talking here about neo-Nazi
20 literature?

21 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

22 MS. WARSAME: Can you please explain
23 that?

24 MR. WARMAN: During the month March
25 2004 from monitoring the WCFU website I became aware

1 that in the download section there were electronic
2 versions of Nazi and neo-Nazi literature that were
3 available for download.

4 As it indicates on the next page,
5 which is page 3 at the bottom, on the 17th of March,
6 2004, I downloaded a list the files that were
7 available. They included the works *The Silent Jew; The*
8 *International Jew*, by Henry Ford; *The Turner Diaries*,
9 by William Pierce, William Pierce being the former head
10 of the U.S. neo-Nazi group the National Alliance; *White*
11 *Power* by George Lincoln Rockwell, the former head of
12 the American Nazi Party.

13 From my familiarity with these
14 documents it was my opinion that they were viciously
15 anti-Semitic, and that the *Turner Diaries* clearly
16 advocated the genocide of Jews, blacks and other
17 non-whites, as well as any whites who associated with
18 non-whites.

19 I personally viewed all of the
20 materials that were available that I have described in
21 their entirety through the WCFU website on a number of
22 occasions; and on the 17th of March, 2004, I printed
23 off hard copies of the *Turner Diaries* and *The*
24 *International Jew*, and on 19th of March, 2004, I
25 downloaded pdf copies of *The Turner Diaries*, *The*

1 International Jew and White Power.

2 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

3 I will ask you now to turn to Tab 2.
4 Are you familiar with this document?

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I am. It is
6 virtually identical to the previous tab except that the
7 summary of the complaint by the Canadian Human Rights
8 Commission names the respondent as Western Canada For
9 Us as opposed to the individual respondent Glenn Bar
10 identified in the previous tab.

11 MS WARSAME: And the last page, page
12 3, are you familiar with that signature?

13 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I am. It is my
14 signature.

15 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
16 like to file Tab 2.

17 THE REGISTRAR: The Summary of the
18 Complaint signed by Richard Warman dated June 8, 2004
19 will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-22.

20 EXHIBIT NO. HR-22: The summary
21 of complaint signed by Richard
22 Warman dated June 8, 2004

23 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you
24 please now turn to Tab 3. What can you tell us about
25 this document?

1 MR. WARMAN: What this is is it is a
2 hard copy image of a page that was available on the
3 U.S. neo-Nazi website stormfront.org. The way you can
4 sort of read it, if you will, is that up in the top
5 left corner in the -- at the very top it gives the
6 website's name and then it gives what are called the
7 thread titles. So each individual new discussion is
8 usually referred to as a thread, and that is the title,
9 and you can see that repeated again in the box that is
10 just underneath the "Live Internet Radio with David
11 Duke" near the top.

12 So the different kind of forums that
13 were available on Stormfront, the first one was the
14 biggest, the Stormfront White Nationalist Community,
15 and then if you go into the international section, and
16 then Stormfront Canada; and this is from the particular
17 thread within Stormfront Canada called "New here,
18 anyone in Surrey BC".

19 MS WARSAME: At the top of that
20 document under where it say "Live International Radio"
21 there is a -- there is a sentence start with "Welcome"?

22 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

23 MS WARSAME: Can you tell us the
24 meaning of that name after "Welcome"?

25 MR. WARMAN: That states: "Welcome

1 pogue mahone", and the reason for that is because that
2 was one of the pseudonyms that I used to log onto
3 Stormfront, and in monitoring the website.

4 Basically I picked that title because
5 it was the name of an Irish Celtic music band that went
6 by the name The Pogue Mahones, and then eventually they
7 shortened their name to The Pogues.

8 MS WARSAME: The same document, we
9 are still at the same document, if you look at the
10 posting of SS-88 what can you tell us about that
11 posting?

12 MR. WARMAN: In the -- in the posting
13 on the top right you will note that there is a small
14 number 2 there, and if you go to the box, the post
15 immediately above it, there is a very small number 1 in
16 the top right corner. What that indicates is the
17 number in order of the thread that that post
18 represents.

19 So "Greek Crusade 4 Constantnople" is
20 the first person who opened that thread, and therefore
21 they have got the little number 1. SS-88 is the second
22 person who is posted in that thread, and thus the
23 little number 2.

24 This particular hard copy was printed
25 off by me on the 5th of February, 2005 and provided to

1 the Human Rights -- Canadian Human Rights Commission
2 pursuant to my complaint.

3 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
4 like to file that document.

5 THE REGISTRAR: I am not quite sure
6 how to describe this, but copy of the thread found in
7 stormfront.org website will be filed as Commission
8 Exhibit HR-23.

9 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair and Madam
10 Joyal, perhaps just because there are a number of
11 threads from Stormfront, perhaps if we just listed them
12 by the title it would differentiate them. So perhaps
13 if we just called it "Stormfront forum New here, anyone
14 in Surrey BC", that may assist in differentiating them
15 if we need to refer to them in the future.

16 EXHIBIT NO. HR-23: Thread
17 titled "Stormfront forum new
18 here, anyone in Surrey BC"

19 MS WARSAME: Let us move now to Tab
20 4.

21 MR. WARMAN: Sorry, I would just like
22 to identify a couple of things, if I could --

23 MS WARSAME: Sure.

24 MR. WARMAN: -- in this specific
25 printed-off thread.

1 On the first page, page 1 of 5, the
2 thread starter has essentially asked if there is anyone
3 who is around Surrey, B.C. SS-88 posts a response
4 indicating:

5 "I'm in your area and so are
6 others. PM me if your
7 interested in meeting friday."

8 Subsequent to that on page 3 of 5 at
9 the very bottom SS-88 goes back and posts again:

10 "Re: New here, anyone in Surrey
11 BC."

12 Helsingor, just above that posting,
13 has indicated that they are from Langley, and SS-88
14 responds:

15 "I'm also in Langley."

16 I think another thing of note is that
17 the symbol or what are called avatars, the little sort
18 of picture underneath the SS-88 is a picture -- the
19 avatar that SS-88 has chosen is the picture of what
20 appear to be -- what are traditionally associated with
21 skinhead boots with white laces standing with open legs
22 in front of a large Nazi banner with the swastika.

23 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

24 Can we now move to Tab 4.

25 MR. WARMAN: Sorry. Perhaps if I

1 could, I would just like to refer back to HR-21 on
2 page -- the second page or what is numbered as page 1
3 at the bottom.

4 Just like to note the permanent home
5 address that was listed for Mr. Bahr and through which
6 I understand the Commission served him with the
7 document that was submitted by me with the complaint,
8 and I won't give the exact address, but it indicates
9 that Mr. Bahr was residing in Langley, British Columbia
10 at the time.

11 MS WARSAME: Thank you for the
12 clarification.

13 So we are now -- can you turn at Tab
14 4. Did you print this document?

15 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I did. This was
16 printed off on the 5th of February, 2005. That is the
17 date at the bottom right corner. The sort of extensive
18 numbers and letters at the bottom left indicates the
19 URL from which I downloaded it from the stormfront.org
20 website, and I submitted this to the Commission
21 pursuant to my complaint.

22 MS WARSAME: When you are talking
23 about the number on the bottom are you talking the one
24 that say 17106 --

25 MR. WARMAN: I am talking about that

1 whole series of letters and numbers beginning with
2 http, what is commonly called the URL address.

3 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

4 Can I file this document -- I would
5 like to file this document as a Commission exhibit
6 please, Tab 4.

7 THE REGISTRAR: The copy of a thread
8 found on the stormfront.org website titled "Eagle
9 Tattoo" will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-24.

10 EXHIBIT NO. HR-24: Copy of a
11 thread found on the
12 stormfront.org website titled
13 "Eagle Tattoo"

14 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman --

15 MR. BAHR: Sorry, Madam. I was
16 wondering if the Crown could just clarify something for
17 me about this Tab 4.

18 On the index it looks like it is from
19 Stormfront but it says "the-cloak.com". I was just
20 wondering what is the-cloak.com and how come it says
21 "Stormfront" when it says "the-cloak.com"?

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Bahr, I don't
23 see where it says that.

24 MR. BAHR: At the very beginning of
25 the binder under "Index", do you see description?

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

2 MR. BAHR: And next to 4 it says --
3 the rest say "stormfront.org" but this says
4 "the-cloak.com", and I was just wondering...

5 MS WARSAME: Thank you. I will
6 clarify that.

7 Madam Chair, the way we prepare the
8 book of documents we ask our assistant to prepare an
9 index so it will be -- it will help the party and the
10 tribunal to follow, and I believe that was a typo
11 because I don't see anywhere where --

12 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, actually,
13 if I could perhaps be of assistance.

14 When you get to Tab 4 -- sorry.
15 Perhaps it would be easiest if I could explain that
16 when we reach Tab 5. It will -- because Tab 5 actually
17 makes -- indicates -- some information on that specific
18 page that will assist in explaining what it means.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: So these entries 4
20 and 5 might be just out of order rather than --

21 MR. WARMAN: That goes back to the
22 Commission's preparation.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We are going
24 to have to be both of us in suspense for a little bit
25 then until we hear --

1 MR. BAHR: Okay. As long as they
2 explain it to me I'm fine.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Carry on. So where
4 are we at now, Tab 5?

5 MS WARSAME: Tab 4. We are now at
6 Tab 4 HR-24.

7 Mr. Warman, I would like to direct
8 your attention to page 4 of 5. There is a posting by
9 SS-88. What can you tell us about that posting please?

10 MR. WARMAN: What it is is it is a
11 response to a posting by CyrosImmortal, and it shows
12 one of the traditional Nazi emblems that is a page
13 of -- an image of an eagle in flight. Within its claws
14 is sort of a ring within which is the World War II era
15 Nazi swastika emblem.

16 SS-88 posts:

17 "I have that on my back from
18 shoulder to shoulder."

19 I believe that is important because
20 it ties in with the descriptions that were given to
21 Mr. Camp and that Sergeant Camp has testified with
22 regard to the actual tattoos that Mr. Bahr has.

23 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

24 MR. BAHR: Madam, I think I need to
25 object to what Mr. Warman has said because I believe

1 there was no -- nothing Mr. Camp said about an eagle
2 tattoo on my back, and I think we should leave that for
3 Mr. Camp to decide, not Mr. Warman, because it is
4 Mr. Camp's testimony.

5 MR. WARMAN: In fact, all I am doing
6 is explaining why I believe that it is important.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

8 MR. WARMAN: And, in fact, Sergeant
9 Camp had testified to the presence of an eagle tattoo
10 similar to that, whether it is specifically on the back
11 or whatever location it may be on Mr. Bahr's body.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think the e-mail
13 or the post, I guess, might speak for itself on that
14 point, so we will carry on.

15 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, if we turn
16 at Tab 5, on the bottom it say "www.the-cloak.com".

17 MR. WARMAN: I would be happy to
18 explain that.

19 MS WARSAME: Can you explain that,
20 Mr. Warman?

21 MR. WARMAN: Yes. What the cloak is
22 is it is essentially an anonymizer program, so similar
23 to anonymizer.com; and all it is is it is a means of
24 hiding your what is called an internet protocol address
25 or your IP address.

1 So when you are surfing the internet,
2 if you don't want people to know what the IP address
3 of your computer is, you can go to an anonymizing site.
4 Some of them require you to pay money, some of them
5 don't, and all it does is it is like a redirect point.

6 So in this case what I did was I went
7 to the website the-cloak.com -- C-L-O-A-K.com. They
8 would have a box where you would enter the URL address
9 of the website that you wish to go to, and then that
10 would simply then redirect you.

11 So if anyone tried to track where you
12 were coming from it would look as though you were
13 coming from the website of the-cloak.com. It would
14 give their IP address as opposed to your actual IP
15 address.

16 And what that does is it is simply a
17 redirection to another website. It is a way of getting
18 there by going through a second point, and it does not
19 change the content in any way.

20 MR. BAHR: Madam, if I may just so I
21 know that I understand what he is saying and I have it
22 right.

23 So basically what the cloak does it
24 hides from where you are coming from so you can visit a
25 website without people knowing who you are? Is that

1 what it is?

2 MR. WARMAN: Yes, essentially. It is
3 an anonymous sort of redirect.

4 MR. BAHR: Thank you.

5 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, the
6 Commission is not planning to enter Tab 5. However, to
7 clarify it, because there was a question earlier asked
8 by Mr. Bahr with respect to why the index indicate
9 another -- the title in the index is different from the
10 title in the top itself, so maybe for the sake of
11 clarification the Commission will file that document,
12 but before I file it I will ask Mr. Warman to clarify
13 it.

14 Mr. Warman, did you print out this
15 document in Tab 5 --

16 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I did. It was
17 printed off by me on the 20th of February, 2004, and
18 was provided to the Commission pursuant to my
19 complaint.

20 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
21 like to file Tab 5 as the next Exhibit.

22 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of the thread
23 found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Tatoos"
24 will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-25.

25 EXHIBIT NO. HR-25: A copy of

1 the thread found on the
2 stormfront.org website entitled
3 "Tatoos"

4 MR. WARMAN: Sorry, HR-25?

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

6 MR. WARMAN: Thank you.

7 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you
8 please now turn to Tab 6 please.

9 Are you familiar with this document?

10 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I am. It was
11 printed off by me on the 5th of February, 2005, from a
12 thread on the stormfront.org website and was submitted
13 to the Commission by me pursuant to my complaint.

14 MS WARSAME: I would like to direct
15 you to page 3, 3 of 7. There is a posting by SS-88.

16 MR. WARMAN: Has it been entered as
17 an exhibit?

18 MS WARSAME: Oh, not yet. Can I
19 please enter this document identified by the
20 complainant as an exhibit?

21 THE REGISTRAR: Tab 6?

22 MS WARSAME: Yes please.

23 THE REGISTRAR: The copy of a thread
24 found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Should SF
25 remove its National Socialist sym", and then it goes

1 on, will be filed as complaint Commission Exhibit
2 HR-26.

3 EXHIBIT NO. HR-26: Copy of a
4 thread found on the
5 stormfront.org website entitled
6 "Should SF remove its National
7 Socialist (NS) symbology page?"

8 MS WARSAME: So, Mr. Warman, if you
9 can now go to page 3 of 7 of HR-26. The posting by
10 SS-88, what can you tell us about this posting?

11 MR. WARMAN: You can see that if
12 you -- it is a response to the posting by Unconditioned
13 Canuck on page 1 of 7, and there is a short bit of
14 Unconditioned Canuck's posting that is quoted in the
15 box at the beginning of SS-88's post. It states, "Dear
16 SF", meaning Stormfront members:

17 "For the past 2 weeks I've been
18 helping to organize a 'national
19 flyer campaign' - a sticky
20 thread on Canada SF forum.

21 Sometimes I feel as though
22 it's all for nothing as the
23 media, if our efforts are
24 reported, will simply log onto
25 this portion of the site" --

1 it then gives you the URL address --
2 "and label us as a nazi
3 organization because we endorse
4 NS symbology,"
5 and Unconditioned Canuck has
6 indicated that NS -- he is using that as short for
7 National Socialist.

8 SS-88 then goes on to say:
9 "As far as the Sticky about the
10 National Flyer campaign if you
11 do not wish to support
12 Stormfront make your own flyer
13 and distribute it. We in B.C.
14 are going ahead with our flyer.
15 Forget it, I'm a National
16 Socialist! Thats what freedom
17 of Speech is!! What are you
18 fighting for!"

19 It then underneath says, it quotes
20 another poster. It says:

21 "Why not create your own
22 completely sanitised forum or
23 information site, and advertise
24 that?"

25 SS-88 responds to that saying, "Good

1 idea".

2 Underneath that in bold and all caps
3 are the words "Heil Hitler" and underneath that is a
4 thumbnail that SS-88 -- an image, a small image that
5 SS-88 has attached to the posting showing a picture of
6 Adolf Hitler sieg heiling, giving the National
7 Socialist salute in front of a National Socialist flag
8 bearing the swastika.

9 Later on at page 5 of 7 --

10 MS WARSAME: Before you go there can
11 you go back to SS-88 page 3 of 7. Do you know the
12 meaning of the National Socialist?

13 MR. WARMAN: National Socialist
14 essentially is a reference to the World War II era
15 political party, the NS staff, the National Socialist
16 Deutsche Arbeiterpartei.

17 MR. BAHR: Madam, I must object.
18 Unless Mr. Warman is an expert on that I don't think he
19 should testify what National Socialism is because it is
20 not true.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Warman is the
22 complainant. I think it is reasonable to ask him to
23 give us --

24 MR. BAHR: Can he say give his
25 opinion of what it is instead of --

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think it is fair
2 to put that qualifier on there, and you will certainly
3 have an opportunity through your witnesses to present
4 any contrary evidence you would like to.

5 MR. BAHR: Thank you.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Carry on.

7 MR. WARMAN: As I indicated, it is a
8 reference to the National Socialist Deutsche
9 Arbeiteropartei. Essentially the group that seized
10 control in the 1930s in Germany, commonly referred to
11 as the Nazi party, N-A-Z-I. The political platform, I
12 believe, is notorious. It stood for the extermination
13 of Jews, gypsies which are commonly known as or more
14 properly known as Roma, homosexuals and non-whites.

15 The -- as I have indicated in the
16 complaint, I have indicated what the role of the SS-88
17 was within that; and just for the purposes of
18 clarification, if I am referring to -- if I use the
19 expression "neo-Nazi" what I mean to say by that is
20 that it is an individual or group that expound the same
21 beliefs but that came after the period during which the
22 actual original National Socialist party was in
23 existence in Germany.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Warman, I have
25 been noticing as I go through this that I am having

1 trouble reading those teensy-weensy little dates at the
2 top of the moniker. Can you tell from your copy what
3 the date of this one is, and I will start flagging
4 these as we go along.

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Madam Chair, the
6 photocopying unfortunately has come out a little weak.
7 What I would be quite happy to do is if you flag the
8 ones you can't read I will undertake over the weekend
9 to get the exact dates of all of those posts.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thanks. This is
11 one of them.

12 MR. WARMAN: Okay. Perhaps,
13 Mr. Vigna, perhaps you could just keep a list and then
14 I can make sure that I get those for you.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thanks.

16 MR. WARMAN: I would also just add
17 that it is my personal belief that the nature of the
18 National Socialist party or what -- and what National
19 Socialism stands for is notorious in that it is a
20 commonly known fact of history. So I don't believe
21 that there is any expertise that is required for that
22 kind of definition.

23 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, before we go
24 into details in respect to Tab 6, can we go back and
25 tell me -- I understand you have an interest in human

1 right. So can you please explain and describe to the
2 tribunal how you became interested in human right and
3 how do you pursue that interest?

4 MR. WARMAN: Essentially I have had a
5 long standing interest in human rights' issues in
6 general, and over the past 15 or 20 years I have
7 developed a particular interest in hate group activity,
8 predominantly white supremacist and neo-Nazi hate group
9 activity.

10 My original interests, I guess,
11 started back around 19 -- late 1980's early 1990's. I
12 began monitoring the activities of what I considered to
13 be extremist groups that would fall under those
14 categories both in Canada and abroad.

15 I have done that ever since, and over
16 the past six, perhaps seven years I developed a
17 particular interest in what was occurring on the
18 internet in relation to distribution of what I
19 considered to be hate propaganda by those groups; and
20 my concern stemmed predominantly from the fact that I
21 felt that material of that nature would likely violate
22 either Section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act or
23 the Criminal Code provisions against hate propaganda --
24 hate propaganda and the promotion of genocide.

25 MS WARSAME: Sorry. I know you

1 mention white supremacist and neo-Nazi. Can you please
2 explain, based on your experience, the meaning of those
3 terms?

4 MR. WARMAN: When I use those
5 terms --

6 MS WARSAME: Or how you do understand
7 the meaning of those terms?

8 MR. WARMAN: When I use those terms I
9 differentiate between white supremacist on the basis
10 that white supremacist is simply the belief that the
11 white or Aryan race is supreme and that all other races
12 are subservient or deficient or what the National
13 Socialist regime called intermenser or lesser beings.

14 When I use the term "neo-Nazi" I use
15 it specifically to describe things that made up the
16 National Socialist beliefs which was in my opinion an
17 irrational, an extreme fear and hatred of homosexuals,
18 Jews, non-whites, Roma and other targets of their
19 regime.

20 MS WARSAME: And in the same context
21 I understand you explain what led you in filing the
22 complaint in this case, but can you briefly describe to
23 the tribunal what led you filing the two complaints in
24 this case?

25 MR. WARMAN: Yes. I went through it

1 a little bit in my opening statement, and essentially
2 it was from monitoring the Stormfront website.
3 Stormfront, in my opinion, is essentially what has been
4 referred to as the mother of all internet hate sites
5 ever since its development in 1995 as essentially the
6 first of these kinds of websites; and from monitoring
7 it, in July of 2003 in the Canadian section I began to
8 notice specific efforts by individuals in Edmonton to
9 organize within the white supremacist and neo-Nazi
10 movements.

11 As I described they initially
12 attended -- attempted to hold a demonstration at the
13 legislature here in Edmonton, and when that didn't work
14 they returned and continued organizing, specifically
15 beginning around January of 2004 I allege that Peter
16 Kouba and Mr. Bahr began organizing around Western
17 Canada For Us and the idea of creating an all-white
18 homeland here in Alberta; and I believe that the rest
19 of my understanding of what transpired since then was
20 contained in my opening submissions or will be
21 explained here.

22 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

23 Can we now go back to Tab 6 which is
24 HR-26.

25 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

1 MS WARSAME: And please turn to page
2 6 of 7. Can you tell us what is your understanding of
3 the posting made by SS-88? It started at page 5 of 7.

4 MR. WARMAN: Yes. At the bottom of
5 page 5 of 7, and I believe the date there would be --

6 MS WARSAME: It say on mine
7 01-08-2005?

8 MR. WARMAN: Yes. This was
9 downloaded by me as I said on the 5th of February,
10 2005, and, again, it is a continuation. This is the
11 ninth posting in that thread: Should SF remove its NS
12 symptomatology. It indicates -- it quotes a previous
13 poster that says:

14 "By moving that page off SF" -
15 meaning Stormfront - "we can at
16 least say that SF itself doesn't
17 endorse NS" - meaning National
18 Socialist - "symbology, it
19 endorses freedom of expression -
20 of which any member (ie NSs) can
21 enjoy."

22 SS-88 then responds to that quote
23 saying:

24 "But it does and there is
25 nothing wrong with NS" - meaning

1 National Socialist - "symbology.
2 What are we saying if we take it
3 off!? That's exactly what ZOG
4 wants!"

5 From my experience in observing these
6 milieus over the past 20 years or so what I understand
7 "ZOG" to mean is that it is an acronym for Zionist
8 Occupational Government, and that essentially it refers
9 to the neo-Nazi theory that Jews are, in fact, in
10 control of the government, and it is often used
11 interchangeably with the acronym "JOG", which stands
12 for Jewish Occupational Government.

13 MS WARSAME: Thank you, Mr. Warman.
14 Can you now turn to Tab 7. Madam
15 Chair, I believe this was entered as HR-4 by Officer
16 Camp.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Was it a separate
18 document or is it in a binder --

19 MS WARSAME: It was separate
20 document actually, three-page document, I believe. A
21 separate document.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I am not
23 finding it right away, but it is of no consequence.
24 Madam Registrar can confirm that.

25 Yes, this looks like it. Three

1 pages. So this -- we may as well mark this document
2 HR-4 as well just so I am clear.

3 Thank you.

4 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, are you
5 familiar with this document?

6 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I am. The first
7 three pages were printed off by me on the 12th of
8 February, 2005, and then submitted to the Canadian
9 Human Rights Commission pursuant to my complaint.

10 The last page with the heading
11 "UNWANTED" is a copy of a poster that I believe has
12 already been referred to that was distributed in Red
13 Deer, and that this thread refers to. This particular
14 document, and I can't remember the exact timing of
15 which came first, but after I became aware that these
16 posters had been distributed, whether I knew that from
17 the Stormfront or whether it was Sergeant Camp's call
18 to me asking if I had seen a copy, what I did was given
19 that it indicated that it was the group called
20 Anti-Racist Action that had put these posters up, what
21 I did was I went to the website of that group, found
22 the e-mail address, and then e-mailed that group
23 inquiring if they could forward me a copy of that
24 poster.

25 They then did that, and I printed it

1 off and submitted it to the Commission pursuant to my
2 complaint.

3 MS WARSAME: Did you provide this
4 document to Officer Camp?

5 MR. WARMAN: I did that as well, yes.

6 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

7 Can you now turn to page 2 of HR-4.
8 Actually start at page 1, the posting by SS-88.

9 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Essentially,
10 again, SS-88, by referring to the small number 1 at the
11 top, is the originator of this thread and, again, would
12 have chosen the title of this thread by initiating the
13 thread.

14 If you look the title is "Posters of
15 me in Red Deer", and I think that is important because
16 it indicates that it is self-referential, and that when
17 you actually look at what the poster was the poster is
18 of an individual named Glenn Bar.

19 It goes on to complain about the fact
20 that these posters -- he alleges these posters have
21 been put up in Red Deer about him. He claims, he talks
22 about different things that he alleges have occurred to
23 him as a result. He makes statements that I believe
24 are inaccurate about the nature of Western Canada For
25 Us, and then it ends on the second page of 8 -- the

1 first posting ends on the second page of 8.

2 MS WARSAME: If you turn to page 2 of
3 that same document HR-4, that of the posting that start
4 at page 1, can you read for us the last sentence?

5 MR. WARMAN: I am sorry, I didn't
6 catch that.

7 MS WARSAME: The last sentence of the
8 posting that start at page 1?

9 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It states:

10 "I will never give up and WCFU
11 is not going away! Your actions
12 are only bringing us together
13 and making us stronger! WCFU!"

14 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

15 Can you now go to the second posting,
16 the same page, by SS-88.

17 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It states:

18 "You can also check out our
19 website to see what we stand
20 for, Western Canada For Us."

21 And if I recall correctly, that was
22 actually a link, a hyperlink, meaning that if you
23 clicked on that you would be directed -- either a
24 separate window would open up or you would be
25 transferred to the Western Canada For Us website.

1 And I should mention as well that I
2 think it is important from my perspective as the
3 complainant because it indicates to me control over the
4 website. It indicates the leadership role within the
5 organization. It is self-referential in that it refers
6 to "our website"; and I just want to make sure that I
7 was absolutely clear when I spoke earlier in the day
8 that the allegation is, in addition to the individual
9 complaint, is that Mr. Bahr's responsibility comes just
10 not from his actions as an individual but also his role
11 under Section 13 as a member of a group of individuals
12 acting in concert, and thus violating Section 13.

13 So I just wanted to make sure that I
14 was clear about what it was that is being alleged in
15 relation to Mr. Bahr.

16 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you go
17 back to the posting at page 2, the second posting by
18 SS-88, the one that start with "You can also check out
19 our website"?

20 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

21 MS WARSAME: At the bottom of that
22 posting there is a "Canadian WN Websites", and I
23 believe there is a list of what I believe there are
24 websites.

25 Can you -- do you understand what

1 those are?

2 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Again, from my
3 experience in monitoring these groups "WN" refers to
4 white nationalist. It is sort of a rhetorical term
5 that is used by individuals involved in those movements
6 to sort of soft play or down play what the nature of
7 the organizations are, white nationalist meaning that
8 you support the creation of a whites only homeland.

9 The first website is called "Canada
10 First". That is a website/group. That is a website
11 that is run by Mr. Fromm. The Freedom Site is a
12 website that is run by an individual named Marc Lemire.
13 Canadian Heritage Alliance is a website, is a group
14 based out of the London, Ontario area run by a woman
15 named Melissa Guille who attended at least one meeting
16 that was held by WCFU and spoke in conjunction with
17 Mr. Fromm. The Northern Alliance is, again, another
18 group operated out of the London, Ontario area; and
19 B.C. White Pride is an organization in British
20 Columbia; and all of these groups had websites, and,
21 again, I believe that if you clicked on any of those
22 they would take you to those links.

23 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

24 Can you turn to Tab 8 now please.

25 Are you familiar with the document at Tab 8?

1 MR. WARMAN: Yes. This was printed
2 off from the Stormfront website by me. The thread
3 title is "Happy Birthday Paul Fromm". It was printed
4 off by me on the 5th of February, 2005 and then
5 submitted to the Commission pursuant to my complaint.

6 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
7 like to file Tab 8, the document at Tab 8 of the book
8 of document of the Canadian Human Rights Commission.

9 THE REGISTRAR: The copy of a thread
10 found on the Stormfront printout or website entitled
11 "Happy Birthday Paul Fromm" will be filed as Commission
12 Exhibit HR-27.

13 EXHIBIT NO. HR-27: The copy of
14 a thread found on the Stormfront
15 printout or website entitled
16 "Happy Birthday Paul Fromm"

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: This is -- the
18 documents or the posts on this tab I can't read the
19 dates on, so, counsel, just make a note.

20 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, at Tab
21 HR-27, if you turn to page 4 of 7, there is a posting
22 by SS-88. What can you tell us about that posting?

23 MR. WARMAN: I think it is important
24 to look at the first page before turning to page 4 just
25 to get a sense of what this thread discusses.

1 Individual starts the thread and says
2 "Happy Birthday Paul Fromm!"

3 MR. BAHR: Madam, I must object. I
4 think we discussed earlier that only posts made by me
5 were going to be included on the Stormfront? Wasn't
6 that what we decided?

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: We are going to be
8 referred to --

9 MR. BAHR: Are we still going to go
10 through each one --

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think it is
12 reasonable to know, now that we are learning about
13 this, the whole concept of threads, it is helpful to
14 know there are sort of clusters in a subject matter.
15 So it is helpful to know, I think, what the subject
16 matter is, Mr. Bahr.

17 MR. BAHR: Okay.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: So I think that is
19 an appropriate question in the circumstances.

20 MR. WARMAN: The first post states:
21 "Paul Fromm turns 56 on January
22 3, 2005. I'm sure all the
23 partisans on Stormfront Canada
24 would like to take this
25 opportunity to wish him a happy

1 birthday and a good 2005!

2 Mr. Fromm then posts a response and
3 says:

4 "Thanks, White Etobicoke. I
5 hoist one to all my Kinsmen and
6 Kinswomen in Canada, the U.S.
7 and across the European world."

8 Other individuals then respond saying
9 "Happy Birthday". There is a post there by the
10 individual previously named Marc Lemire.

11 You get to page 4 where SS-88 posts.

12 It states:

13 "HAPPY BIRTHDAY PAUL!! You sure
14 don't look 56, I would have
15 guessed 30!"

16 And it is then signed Glenn,
17 G-L-E-N-N. And I believe that is important because I
18 believe it is a further indication or indicia that
19 SS-88 is, in fact, Mr. Bahr.

20 MS WARSAME: Can you turn to Tab 9,
21 Mr. Warman. Do you recognize this document at Tab 9?

22 MR. WARMAN: I do.

23 MS WARSAME: Did you print it?

24 MR. WARMAN: This is a document from
25 Stormfront. The thread title is "Warman files

1 complaint against WCFU". It was printed off by me on
2 the 12th of February, 2005, and then submitted to the
3 Commission pursuant to my complaint.

4 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
5 like to file this document Tab 9.

6 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of a thread
7 found in the stormfront.org website entitled "Warman
8 files complaint against WCFU" will be filed as
9 Commission Exhibit HR-28.

10 EXHIBIT NO. HR-28: A copy of a
11 thread found in the
12 stormfront.org website entitled
13 "Warman files complaint against
14 WCFU"

15 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you
16 tell us about this document?

17 MR. WARMAN: What it is is it started
18 off by an individual called RJM1488. It starts --
19 essentially what it is is it is a copy of material that
20 was taken from a website, an anti-racist website,
21 called "recomnetwork.org" that is run by the British
22 Columbia anti-racist organization called the Canadian
23 Anti-racism Education and Research Society.

24 It is essentially copying a news
25 release that appeared on the recomnetwork website

1 announcing the fact that formal human rights complaints
2 had been filed against Western Canada For Us against
3 Mr. Bahr and against Mr. Kouba.

4 What it does is it continues with the
5 contents on page 1 and 2, and it then attracts a number
6 of responses from individuals on pages 3 and 4. Paul
7 Fromm responds on page 5 and includes a copy of the
8 entire complaint that was also posted on the
9 recomnetwork website.

10 And then on page 11 of 12 SS-88
11 responds first, and again the thread title is "Warman
12 files complaints against WCFU". SS-88, whom I believe
13 to be Mr. Bahr, states:

14 "Look forward to meeting you in
15 person Richard Warman."

16 MR. BAHR: I must say it has been a
17 disappointment.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Bahr, that is
19 not appropriate.

20 MR. BAHR: Sorry.

21 MR. WARMAN: Certainly if that is
22 confirmation that that was you that is great.

23 The SS-88 posts again under the same
24 thread.

25 "The more the cops harass me,

1 the more complaints that are
2 made against us, the more we are
3 shut up and kept quiet the
4 angrier and more determined I
5 get. Something needs to be done,
6 things are getting worse here in
7 Canada. Soon we will have no
8 rights at all. Soon we will be
9 told what to think!"

10 Again, I believe, that is important
11 because it is self-referential. It refers to himself
12 being SS-88, and it talks in a thread about a complaint
13 that was filed against Mr. Bahr himself.

14 And, in fact, even Mr. Fromm, if you
15 turn to page 5 of 12, second paragraph from the bottom,
16 Mr. Fromm who was Mr. Bahr's representative, states:

17 "Warman is a fanatic, a
18 professional complainer who is
19 carrying on an ideological war
20 against people whose views he
21 doesn't like. You'll note that
22 much of his ire is raised by
23 Glenn Bahr's political beliefs.
24 So he likes Hitler, Many people
25 like Che, or Mao or Marx.

1 Surely, that's allowed. Heck,
2 isn't that "diversity". It will
3 be interesting."

4 So I believe that is important
5 because even Mr. Bahr's representative refers to him by
6 name.

7 MS WARSAME: Thank you, Mr. Warman.
8 Is there anything else from that
9 posting you would like to highlight?

10 MR. WARMAN: No thank you.

11 MS WARSAME: Can you now turn to Tab
12 10.

13 MR. WARMAN: Sorry, I should just go
14 back to the start of Tab 9, the first page.

15 If you look at the -- sort of 6
16 inches down from the top on the right-hand side, there
17 is a small horizontal box there that says "page 1 of"
18 and then there is a '1' in bold, '2', '3' and, then
19 there is a small arrow, last.

20 What that is is that the -- the fact
21 that the '1' is indicated in bold indicates that this
22 is the first page in this thread, and by page I don't
23 mean physical paper page, but that that is the first --
24 if you clicked on the '1' it would bring up the first
25 sort of website page with all of those postings. If

1 you clicked on the '2' it would bring you to the second
2 web page of those postings.

3 So when we turn to Tab 10 we note
4 that it is the same thread, but if you look at where
5 that little box is on this page it says "page 3 of 5".
6 So this is the third page of postings in that thread,
7 web page of postings.

8 MS WARSAME: Thank you for clarifying
9 that.

10 Can we now turn to --

11 MR. WARMAN: Sorry. This is a --
12 this is a page that was downloaded by me on the 12th of
13 February, 2005, from the Stormfront website, and it was
14 submitted by me to the Commission pursuant to my
15 complaint.

16 MS WARSAME: Are you referring to Tab
17 10?

18 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

19 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
20 like to file that document at Tab 10.

21 THE REGISTRAR: Five-page document of
22 a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled
23 "Warman files complaint against WCFU" will be filed as
24 Commission Exhibit HR-29.

25 EXHIBIT NO. HR-29: Five-page

1 document of a thread found on
2 the stormfront.org website
3 entitled "Warman files complaint
4 against WCFU"

5 MR. WARMAN: Again, this is a
6 continuation of the "Warman files complaint against
7 WCFU" thread.

8 And if we turn to page 4 of 5. At
9 the bottom there is a posting by SS-88. Under the
10 heading "Warman files complaint against WCFU" it says:

11 "Just received the complaint and
12 documentation today."

13 And, again, I believe that shows that
14 it is self-referential, that it is, in fact, Mr. Bahr.
15 The documents were served on him, and then he indicates
16 that he received them. He then posts that information
17 as SS-88.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: And I can't see the
19 date on this one, so if that could be provided at some
20 point.

21 MS WARSAME: Can you please now turn
22 to Tab 11.

23 MR. WARMAN: This is another thread
24 that was downloaded by me on the 5th of February, 2005
25 from Stormfront. It is a thread entitled "video of the

1 raid on my place last May". It was printed off by me
2 and provided to the Commission pursuant to my
3 complaint.

4 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

5 I would like to file this document.

6 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of a thread
7 found on the Stormfront.org website entitled "video of
8 the raid on my place last May" will be filed as
9 Commission Exhibit HR-30.

10 EXHIBIT NO. HR-30: A copy of a
11 thread found on the
12 stormfront.org website entitled
13 "video of the raid on my place
14 last May"

15 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you
16 tell us about this document?

17 MR. WARMAN: If you look at the first
18 posting, again, you note that it indicates it is page 1
19 of 5. The top right in the first box is number 1. It
20 indicates that SS-88 has commenced this thread, and the
21 title of it is "video of the raid on my place last
22 May".

23 The content of his post states:

24 "Heres a link to the video of
25 the raid on my place last May.

1 You get to see all my great
2 stuff that was stolen.

3 Click the link then on the
4 top right click on REALVIDEO to
5 view it."

6 It then gives the URL link to a CBC
7 website story.

8 The reason why I think that is
9 important -- in fact, perhaps I can just turn to page 4
10 of 5.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Just before you do
12 I can't read the date on this one either. So page 4 of
13 5.

14 MR. WARMAN: SS-88, again, posts
15 stating:

16 "I havn't got any of my stuff
17 back yet and havn't been
18 charged, however I'm still under
19 investigation for breaching 319
20 of the Hate Crimes Act. There
21 is about \$2000.00 dollars worth
22 of stuff there. They even took
23 my freeking clothes. I made
24 several written complaints and
25 since then I havn't heard from

1 explain.

2 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

3 MR. WARMAN: Sorry, I should indicate
4 as well that on that page, if you look even just at the
5 link, the URL link on page 1 of HR-30, you can see
6 that at the end of it the last four letters of it are
7 B-A-H-R, which is, of course, Mr. Bahr's last name.

8 Tab 12 is from the CBC. It is a
9 story from the cbc.ca website. It is the actual URL
10 link that was posted in the previous posting by SS-88.
11 It was downloaded by me on the 5th of February, 2005,
12 and provided to the Commission pursuant to my
13 complaint.

14 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

15 Can I file that document as
16 Commission exhibit please?

17 THE REGISTRAR: An article found on
18 the CBC website entitled "Edmonton Police investigate
19 hate crime seizure" will be filed as Commission Exhibit
20 HR-31.

21 EXHIBIT NO. HR-31: An article
22 found on the CBC website
23 entitled "Edmonton Police
24 investigate hate crime seizure"

25 MR. WARMAN: The reason why I believe

1 that this document is important is because, again, if
2 you take the self-referential aspects from the posting
3 by SS-88 and that exact URL link, it then translates
4 into a story about the Edmonton police execution of a
5 search warrant on the residence of Glenn Bar.

6 I believe that this further
7 establishes that Mr. Bahr is, in fact, SS-88.

8 And also the fact that this story
9 discusses specifically material that was seized, and
10 SS-88 is referring to it as "my stuff", and, again, we
11 see that the pictures in this story are pictures of
12 flags that were specifically shown by Sergeant Camp as
13 having been seized during the raid on Mr. Bahr's
14 residence.

15 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like
16 to refer you to go to HR-11 which was entered as an
17 exhibit.

18 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

19 MS WARSAME: Can you tell us if the
20 document at HR, I believe there, 11, the pictures?

21 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

22 MS WARSAME: Do you see any
23 similarity between those pictures and the one at HR-31?

24 MR. WARMAN: Yes. They are exact
25 images of one of the other. They are exact copies. If

1 you turn to page 10 of HR-11, there is a specific flag
2 that is in the center of the image that is on the CBC
3 website.

4 Page 11 is an exact duplicate of
5 the -- or I believe is the same as the one that is
6 shown on the left of the image on the CBC website, and
7 the one on the right, I believe, is located at page 9
8 of HR-11.

9 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

10 Can you please turn to at tab -- can
11 you go to Tab 13 please.

12 Did you print this document Tab 13?

13 MR. WARMAN: I did. This was
14 downloaded from the stormfront.org website. It is a
15 continuation of the thread "video of the raid on my
16 place last May". It was printed off by me on the 5th
17 of February, 2005, and it was provided to the
18 Commission pursuant to my complaint.

19 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

20 I would like to file document Tab 13
21 of the Commission book of documents.

22 THE REGISTRAR: A nine-page document
23 of the thread found on the stormfront.org website
24 entitled "video of the raid on my place last May" will
25 be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-32.

1 EXHIBIT NO. HR-32: A nine-page
2 document of the thread found on
3 the stormfront.org website
4 entitled "video of the raid on
5 my place last May"

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: One question I have
7 before I forget it.

8 We had been looking at I think it was
9 Tab either 4 or 5, the one that -- where you accessed
10 Stormfront through a cloaking liaison of some sort.

11 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: When you use that
13 method of accessing a website are you able to post?

14 MR. WARMAN: That would depend on
15 whoever had set up the forum, and it would also depend
16 on what redirect service you were using, whether they
17 would permit you to log into an actual website where
18 you had to enter data.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: So more
20 specifically then, were you able using the-cloak.com
21 device, would you be able to make a post onto this site
22 using this device? So could you make a post
23 anonymously by using this?

24 MR. WARMAN: I am sorry. Based on
25 the tab that is at 5 I would just note that at the top

1 right I am not logged in.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, I noticed
3 that, and that is why I am asking the question because
4 usually it is "welcome" and then your moniker.

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: And in this one it
7 isn't, but -- so that is why I am asking the question,
8 but I don't think that gives me the answer.

9 MR. WARMAN: Sorry. The reason I
10 gave that response was just because basically it would
11 say to me that I didn't log in at that using -- at the
12 time using my pseudonym that I was using.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: No. The question I
14 am getting to is that there has been some suggestions
15 that there have been posts or there may have been posts
16 made to this site that perhaps don't come from WCFU
17 members.

18 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: So did you or were
20 you able to go in through this device and make a post?

21 MR. WARMAN: Sorry. What I was
22 trying to get to is that I just don't have the
23 technical knowledge. At the very least I have no
24 recollection of ever going in and posting using
25 "the-cloak.com" to make --

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: So every time you
2 accessed this site you were using the moniker that we
3 have seen, the Gallic moniker?

4 MR. WARMAN: As I recall.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

6 MR. BAHR: Madam, I do know for a
7 fact that you can use the cloak to post on other
8 websites.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Bahr, you are
10 going to have an opportunity to cross-examine.

11 MR. BAHR: Okay. Sorry.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: You are also going
13 to have an opportunity to lead evidence, and
14 cross-examination and leading evidence are different
15 things.

16 MR. BAHR: Okay. Thank you.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Carry on.

18 MS WARSAME: Can we go back at HR-32
19 which is at Tab 10.

20 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

21 MS WARSAME: Tab 13, sorry.

22 MR. WARMAN: This is a thread from
23 Stormfront. Again, it is a continuation of the
24 previous thread "video of the raid on my place last
25 May", and if you look at the little horizontal

1 rectangular box near about 6 inches down from the top
2 right corner, it states that it is page 2 of 5 and the
3 2 is bolded. So you can confirm that.

4 And what essentially this is is this
5 is a continuation of the discussion of the raid and the
6 media CBC article on the raid that took place on
7 Mr. Bahr's residence. There are a number of posts from
8 what appear to be friends and associates indicating,
9 you know, Oh, that's too bad; let's get your stuff
10 back.

11 At page 2 of 9 under my pseudonym, in
12 order to continue sort of monitoring the website, it
13 is -- I used to essentially continue on threads
14 pretending to be this kind of -- or participating in
15 these threads just using innocuous contents.

16 So you will note that I posted on
17 page 2 of 9 just saying, Oh, that is too bad, let us
18 know if there is anything we can do to help get your
19 stuff back.

20 So if you continue along --

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: So I am sorry. Why
22 would you do that?

23 MR. WARMAN: Because in order to
24 collect information using a pseudonym sometimes it is
25 important to have -- obviously individuals believe that

1 you are, at the very least, open to the ideas or
2 interested in learning more.

3 So what that was was simply --
4 because I was using that pseudonym on the Stormfront
5 website to monitor the activities, if you -- I guess if
6 you post a question, and it is the first time you have
7 ever posted, it is like any other community: You are
8 just going to get ignored. No-one is going to respond
9 to the question you have asked. No-one is going to
10 give you any information.

11 So in order to, I guess, foster an
12 identity within there I would make innocuous postings
13 along the lines of, Oh, that is too bad or Hey, how is
14 it going or those kinds of things just to establish a
15 presence, and then to ask if there was any -- something
16 that I wanted clarification on.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

18 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like
19 to direct you at page 8.

20 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Page 8 of 9 is a
21 posting by SS-88. It is the continuation of the video
22 on my -- "video of the raid on my place last May". It
23 states -- it first gives a quote from Exterminance,
24 another individual who has posted previously. It
25 states:

1 "Hey Glenn, my website and forum
2 are run on an American server,
3 if you're ready to get the WCFU
4 up and running again, I'd be more
5 than happy to supply you with webpages and boards on my
6 forum for them."

7 SS-88 then responds:

8 "I appreciate it but my days
9 with WCFU are done."

10 It then quotes a post by Der
11 totenkopf saying:

12 "let's go get your stuff buddy."

13 And SS-88 responds:

14 "Well hopefully one day I can,
15 gotta wait and see what ZOG" -
16 Zionist Occupational
17 Government - "has in mind for me
18 first."

19 And, again, I believe that is
20 important because it is simply further self-referential
21 material.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Then, again, I am
23 not able to read the dates. It looks like it might be
24 close to Christmas here. Is that 12-21-2004? If
25 counsel could clarify that.

1 MR. BAHR: Well, now we know.

2 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you now
3 turn to Tab 14.

4 MR. WARMAN: This is a thread from
5 Stormfront entitled "Glenn Bahr charged with "Hate",
6 "hate" in quotation marks. It was downloaded by me on
7 the 5th of February, 2005, and provided to the
8 Commission pursuant to my complaint.

9 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

10 I would like to file this document.

11 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of the thread
12 found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Glenn
13 Bahr charged with "Hate" will be filed as Commission
14 Exhibit HR-33.

15 EXHIBIT NO. HR-33: A copy of
16 the thread found on the
17 stormfront.org website entitled
18 "Glenn Bahr charged with 'Hate'"

19 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I understand
20 there is a number on the left -- right-hand side number
21 1.

22 MR. WARMAN: This indicates that, if
23 it is the same number 1 that we are referring to, it
24 indicates that Paul Fromm has originated this thread,
25 given it this title and is the first poster.

1 MS WARSAME: What can you tell us
2 about this posting?

3 MR. WARMAN: What it is is a posting
4 by Mr. Fromm describing the arrest of Mr. Bahr in
5 Langley, British Columbia and his return to Edmonton
6 and his arraignment on Section 319 willful promotion of
7 hatred charge back in Edmonton. Mr. Fromm indicates it
8 is apparently for postings on the defunct Western
9 Canada For Us website.

10 At the bottom of page 1 of 4,
11 referring to Mr. Bahr, he says:

12 "He moved to B.C. in May",
13 and that's the last sentence on the
14 first page.

15 It then continues on page 2 of 4.

16 "More recently Glenn, who moved
17 to the Vancouver area, has been
18 frequent contributor as SS-88 to
19 Stormfront Canada.

20 In the "What you can do", number 1
21 says:

22 "If you're American, call the
23 nearest Canadian consulate or
24 the Embassy in Washington and
25 protest Canada's attack on free

1 speech and the arrest of a
2 24-year old man for merely
3 posting his views on a website."

4 I believe this is important because
5 it is referenced to Mr. Bahr, and it describes things
6 in Mr. Bahr's life such as his move to British
7 Columbia, and Mr. Fromm, who is, in fact, Mr. Bahr's
8 representative, states in the posting that he was a
9 frequent contributor as SS-88 to Stormfront Canada.

10 MS WARSAME: What can you tell us
11 under "What you can do" number 4?

12 MR. WARMAN: It states:

13 "Send a contribution to help in
14 Glenn's Defence. You can send
15 your VISA and expiry date or
16 mail your cheque to CAFE".

17 Cafe is short for the Canadian
18 Association for Free Expression. It is one of
19 Mr. Fromm's groups. "(Glenn Bahr Defence)", and then
20 it gives CAFE's mailing address.

21 And, again, this was a defence what
22 was nominally or described as a legal defence fund that
23 Mr. Bahr sought to set up to assist in the defence of
24 Mr. Bahr -- sorry, that Mr. Fromm set up to assist in
25 the defence of Mr. Bahr; and then Mr. Fromm gives his

1 name and the fact that he is the director of the group.

2 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

3 Can you now turn to Tab 15. Are you
4 familiar with this document at Tab 15?

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes. This is a
6 document, and, I am sorry, for some reason the page
7 appears to have a date and the URL link appears to have
8 been cut off, but it indicates that it was last updated
9 on Monday, 29 March, 2004. So it is likely that I
10 would have downloaded it at around that time.

11 It was printed off by me and provided
12 to the Commission pursuant to my complaint.

13 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

14 I would like to file this document.

15 THE REGISTRAR: An article found
16 under CBC website entitled "Edmonton rally shows
17 support for Zundel" will be filed as Commission Exhibit
18 HR-34.

19 EXHIBIT NO. HR-34: An article
20 found under CBC website entitled
21 "Edmonton rally shows support
22 for Zundel"

23 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you
24 tell us about this document?

25 MR. WARMAN: This article discusses a

1 rally that occurred in support of Holocaust denier
2 Ernst Zundel who was at the time detained in Toronto
3 pursuant to a national security certificate that was
4 ultimately upheld and then he was deported to Germany
5 where he is awaiting trial there.

6 It indicates at the top, it says:

7 "About 20 people rallied Sunday
8 in support of Holocaust denier
9 Ernst Zundel.

10 Then at paragraph 3 it states:

11 "Glenn Bahr, from Red Deer,
12 Alberta, was one of the people
13 who attended the rally to
14 support Ernst Zundel's right to
15 free speech."

16 Then if you go down three further
17 paragraphs it states:

18 "Members of the group, who call
19 themselves Western Canada For
20 Us, held signs that said 'Zundel
21 Is Right' and 'Free Zundel Now'.

22 Zundel, 64, who has written
23 works including quote The Hitler
24 We Love and Why, has fought in
25 Canada's courts for the freedom

1 to express his anti-Semitic
2 views."

3 Essentially the reason why I feel
4 that this article is important is that it refers or it
5 correlates with testimony given by Sergeant Camp about
6 postings that appeared on the WCFU website under either
7 SS-88 or Glenn talking about this particular rally. It
8 indicates that Mr. Bahr was there at this rally. It
9 quotes him.

10 It describes members of the group who
11 call themselves Western Canada For Us, and I believe it
12 is important because it demonstrates the fact that --
13 it is further evidence supporting the allegation that
14 Western Canada For Us was a group of individuals and
15 that their website by extension would have been the
16 actions of a group of individuals.

17 MS WARSAME: Thank you, Mr. Warman.

18 Can you now turn to Tab 16?

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't have
20 anything in Tab 16.

21 MR. WARMAN: Sorry, it is 'G'. 16G.

22 MS WARSAME: 16G.

23 MR. WARMAN: This is a document that
24 was printed off by me on the 24th of January, 2004,
25 from the stormfront.org website, and it is entitled --

1 it is a thread entitled "pics of us proud white folks?"
2 It was provided by me to the Commission pursuant to my
3 complaint.

4 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

5 I would like to file this document.

6 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of a thread
7 found on the stormfront.org website entitled "pics of
8 us proud white folks?" printed on January 24, 2004 will
9 be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-35.

10 EXHIBIT NO. HR-35: A copy of a
11 thread found on the
12 stormfront.org website entitled
13 "pics of us proud white folks?"
14 printed on January 24, 2004

15 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like
16 to refer you now to page --

17 MR. WARMAN: Sorry, Madam Chair.
18 Perhaps I should just -- because something has just
19 occurred to me with regard to one of your earlier
20 questions, if I could just refer you back to your
21 question regarding the-cloak.com.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure.

23 MR. WARMAN: Without entering on
24 stormfront.org you could read it, all the materials on
25 Stormfront, without signing up as a member or without

1 signing in as a member, but in order to make posts you
2 would have to register as a specific user. So you
3 would have to give whatever the particular pseudonym
4 was and the password for that.

5 So in order to make an anonymous
6 posting, at the very least, the only thing that that
7 would do is hide the IP address that it was coming
8 from. It wouldn't hide the identity of the poster
9 because you couldn't post anonymously on Stormfront.
10 You had to actually enter a specific persona or
11 pseudonym and the password for that person.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we're at
13 'G'.

14 MS WARSAME: 'G', which is HR-35 now.
15 Mr. Warman, I would like to direct
16 your attention to page 2, 2 of 5.

17 MR. WARMAN: Actually if I may, I
18 just perhaps point out that what this is is that it is
19 a thread on Stormfront called "pics of us proud white
20 folks", and what it is is it is a thread encouraging
21 people to show the pictures of themselves and to post
22 pictures of themselves.

23 So what has happened is that a number
24 of people have participated in this and have, in fact,
25 posted what they purport to be pictures of themselves

1 related to that specific identify that they are posting
2 under on Stormfront.

3 So with regard to page 2, at the top,
4 and for this one, because it was printed off on the
5 24th of January, 2004, the heading -- the date on it
6 says "yesterday", so I would presume that that was, in
7 fact, the 23rd of January, 2004 that that was posted.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: So there is some
9 yesterdays and some todays so presumably that would be
10 January 22 and 3?

11 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Sorry, the
12 yesterdays would be the 23rd, and the todays would be
13 the 24th because if you look at the bottom right
14 that's --

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

16 MR. WARMAN: So at the top there is a
17 posting by SS-88. It includes the SS lightning bolts
18 as the image, and the "Re pics of us proud white folks"
19 it states:

20 "This is me. I have no hair
21 now."

22 And what we see is three separate
23 pictures of an individual that I believe to be
24 Mr. Bahr.

25 You will also note that the location

1 of the poster says Red Deer, Alberta, which I believe
2 to have been Mr. Bahr's location at that time.

3 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like
4 to now direct your attention to Tab 16J.

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

6 16J -- I should explain that by
7 clicking on any of those images that were attached to
8 the posts that was just previously discussed it would
9 then take you to a separate page on the website where
10 there would be a larger image of those pictures.

11 So when I clicked on the image that
12 is in the middle of the three pictures that were
13 previously posted, it brought me to this URL link on
14 Stormfront. It was printed off by me on the 24th of
15 January, 2004, and provided to the Commission pursuant
16 to my complaint.

17 MS WARSAME: I understand you can't
18 really see the address on the bottom of that page.

19 MR. WARMAN: If I may, just by
20 familiarity, I can likely read it, that it is
21 [www.stormfront.org/forum/attachment.php? attachment](http://www.stormfront.org/forum/attachment.php?attachment)
22 ID, and then what may be a dash or an underscore 15855.

23 MS WASAME: Madam Chair, my colleague
24 found a better copy where you can see the whole
25 address. Maybe we can make a copy and produce that

1 one.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: That is fine.

3 MS WARSAME: I would like to file Tab
4 16J and I will provide a better copy at the break.

5 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of Glenn
6 Bahr's British Columbia driver's license will be filed
7 as Commission Exhibit HR-36.

8 EXHIBIT NO. HR-36: A copy of
9 Glenn Bahr's British Columbia
10 driver's license

11 MR. WARMAN: The reason why I believe
12 this document is important is because, again, it is
13 SS-88 posting it saying that this is me, and what is
14 attached is if you click on that link of the middle
15 picture is a picture of Mr. Bahr. It gives what I
16 believe to be his birth date and gives his location as
17 Langley, British Columbia, which I understand to have
18 been the address that was contained on his British
19 Columbia driver's license.

20 And, in fact, if you cross reference
21 that address on the license plate -- sorry, on the
22 license -- the driver's license, that is the same
23 address at which I understand the Commission to have
24 served Mr. Bahr with the complaint originally, and it
25 is what I believe to be the permanent home address of

1 his family in Langley, British Columbia.

2 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can I now
3 direct your attention to Tab 16E.

4 Did you download this document?

5 MR. WARMAN: I did. This is
6 downloaded by me from Stormfront, again, having clicked
7 on the sort of image to the far right of Mr. Bahr
8 posted under SS-88 and provided to the Commission
9 pursuant to my complaint.

10 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
11 like to file this document.

12 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of Glenn Bahr's
13 picture will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-37.

14 EXHIBIT NO. HR-37: Copy of
15 Glenn Bahr's picture

16 MR. WARMAN: The reason why I believe
17 this picture to be important is, again, that it is
18 self-referential, that it shows that SS-88 is, in fact,
19 Mr. Bahr.

20 And I think it is also noteworthy
21 that Mr. Bahr in posting a picture of himself has
22 placed what is clearly a large black swastika behind
23 him.

24 MR. BAHR: Sorry, what tab is that?

25 MS WARSAME: 16. 16E.

1 MR. BAHR: Thanks.

2 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I will ask
3 you now to turn to Tab 17 please.

4 MR. WARMAN: This is a document that
5 was printed off by me on the 5th of February, 2005. It
6 is a thread from Stormfront entitled "Pic thread!", and
7 it was provided to the Commission by me pursuant to my
8 complaint.

9 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
10 like to file the document Tab 17.

11 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of a thread
12 found on the stormfront.org website entitled "Pic
13 thread!" will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-38.

14 EXHIBIT NO. HR-38: Copy of a
15 thread found on the
16 stormfront.org website entitled
17 "Pic thread!"

18 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like
19 to direct your attention to page 3 of that document.
20 There is a posting by SS-88.

21 MR. WARMAN: Yes. It is entitled
22 "Pic thread" -- "Re: Pic Thread!", and it states:

23 "This is me."

24 And it then gives a URL link to
25 another page on the Stormfront website.

1 MR. WARMAN: And I would perhaps just
2 note that the URL link ends with "stc = 1".

3 MS WARSAME: Did you go to that
4 address?

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I did. I did click
6 on that link, and it brought me to the document that is
7 located at Tab 18.

8 If I may, the document at Tab 18 was
9 downloaded by me on the 5th of February, 2005, and it
10 was provided to the Commission by me pursuant to my
11 complaint.

12 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
13 like to file the document Tab 18?

14 THE REGISTRAR: I am sorry, this is a
15 picture of Mr. Bahr again?

16 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

17 THE REGISTRAR: A picture of Glenn
18 Bahr printed by Mr. Warman on February 5, 2005 will be
19 filed as Commission Exhibit HR-39.

20 EXHIBIT NO. HR-39: A picture of
21 Glenn Bahr printed by Mr. Warman
22 on February 5, 2005

23 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, it appears
24 that there has been a hole punch put on it when it was
25 placed on the Commission's file. I can undertake to

1 get you the actual original without the hole punch on
2 Mr. Bahr's head.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

4 MR. WARMAN: But I would also testify
5 that having seen the document personally it is, to the
6 best of my appreciation, a photo of Mr. Bahr.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

8 MS WARSAME: Mr. Vigna, could I just
9 ask you to make a note for me to get that on the
10 weekend as well.

11 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like
12 you to turn to Tab 19.

13 Did you print this document?

14 MR. WARMAN: I did. This was a
15 document that was printed by me from the Western Canada
16 For Us website on the 22nd of February, 2004, and it
17 was provided by me to the Commission pursuant to my
18 complaint.

19 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
20 like to file this document.

21 THE REGISTRAR: Document printed by
22 Mr. Warman dated February 22, 2004 from the Western
23 Canada For Us website will be filed as Commission
24 Exhibit HR-40.

25 EXHIBIT NO. HR-40: Document

1 printed by Mr. Warman dated
2 February 22, 2004 from the
3 Western Canada for Us website

4 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you
5 tell us about this document?

6 MR. WARMAN: This was the --
7 essentially the initial version of the Western Canada
8 For Us website. After all the discussion of it on
9 Stormfront what happened was that this was the initial
10 version of the website.

11 As you will see, it is very brief,
12 and it originally only contained this content before
13 being expanded. It talks about the fact that -- there
14 is anger about the fact that -- the allegation is that
15 other people, other groups, are permitted to have pride
16 but that whites are not.

17 It alleges that jobs are taken away
18 from what are described as hard working white people
19 and given to minority groups. It makes the allegation
20 that 25 percent of jobs, in fact, have to go to
21 minority groups despite the fact that it alleges they
22 don't make up 25 percent of the population.

23 It derives the fact that tens -- what
24 they describe as tens of billions of dollars are given
25 to Aboriginal groups each year, and that tens of

1 billions of dollars are given to minority groups in
2 Canada each year.

3 It alleges that the government gives
4 out mere pennies to white Canadians. That schools
5 don't teach white history while they are being taught
6 African and other non-white cultures. It describes
7 Western Canada For Us as a group of "100% white people
8 who are fed up with being treated like second class
9 citizens". It alleges that anyone who alleges that
10 they are proud of their race would lose their job if
11 they are white.

12 Describes the situation that they
13 consider themselves to be living under a tyranny.

14 States that WCFU are planning on
15 starting a number of different businesses just for our
16 people, including a national newspaper, shelters for
17 white families. Welcomes any kind of support.

18 And then gives what are listed as the
19 group's 10 Commandments, the first of which is that you
20 must be a member of the white race to join the group.
21 You must not be -- the third of which is you must not
22 be a homosexual or a bi-sexual.

23 And then it indicates that -- on the
24 right-hand side of the second page of 2 it gives
25 Edmonton and Red Deer just under "Send us an e-mail for

1 more information", and it is my recollection that if
2 you clicked on either of those you could send an e-mail
3 to what were described as the chapters in those two
4 groups -- in those two cities of Western Canada For Us.

5 MS WARSAME: Now turn to Tab 22.

6 Are you familiar with this document?

7 MR. WARMAN: I am. This is a
8 printed-off thread from stormfront.org entitled
9 "W.C.F.U. needs web designer".

10 It was printed off by me on the 25th
11 of May, 2004, and it was submitted to the Commission
12 pursuant to my complaint.

13 MS WARSAME: I would like to file
14 this document.

15 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of the thread
16 found on the stormfront.org website entitled "W.C.F.U.
17 needs web designer" will be filed as Commission Exhibit
18 HR-41.

19 EXHIBIT NO. HR-41: Copy of the
20 thread found on the
21 stormfront.org website entitled
22 "W.C.F.U. needs web designer"

23 MR. WARMAN: What this is is this is
24 a thread discussing the Western Canada For Us website
25 needs a web designer. The initial posting is by Proud

1 18 who I believe and who has been identified at this
2 hearing by Sergeant Camp as an individual called Peter
3 Kouba, K-O-U-B-A.

4 The initial posting states:

5 "As you all know things have
6 been really busy lately. We
7 could use some help in building
8 and finishing up all our site.
9 With more media coverage on the
10 horizon we need folks to see a
11 completed site.

12 Anybody who can somehow help please
13 contact SS-88. Thank you."

14 There is some discussion that follows
15 about what kind of programming code is best or
16 programming -- website design programs are best.

17 On page 2 of 5 SS-88 posts:

18 "Frontpage sux! Use Macromedia
19 Dreamweaver! PHP all the way."

20 To the best of my knowledge those
21 are, in fact, website design programs.

22 And what I believe is important about
23 that is that it demonstrates that Proud 18 indicates
24 that the person to contact about the website is SS-88,
25 and also that SS-88 later responds indicating what I

1 believe to be a familiarity with the computer programs
2 used to design websites.

3 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, at the same
4 Tab HR-41, I would like to direct your attention to
5 page 2 of 5, a posting made by Proud 18.

6 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

7 MS WARSAME: And under Proud 18 there
8 is a term "banned". Can you explain that?

9 MR. WARMAN: Yes. In fact, sorry, I
10 would just draw -- before we go there I would draw your
11 attention to the bottom of page 1 of 5. There is
12 another post by Proud 18 that says:

13 "Would like it to look like sf"
14 meaning Stormfront "but with
15 different themed forums and
16 password only forums.

17 We have to finish this site
18 asap. Get ahold of SS-88 to get
19 the info how to work on the
20 site."

21 Again, it refers to SS-88 as the
22 person to contact with regard to the website.

23 And under all of Proud 18's postings
24 there is the small word "banned", and it is my
25 understanding that that is because he was, in fact,

1 banned using that pseudonym on Stormfront because of
2 his disputatious nature shall we call it.

3 MS WARSAME: Now you say that
4 pseudonym was --

5 MR. WARMAN: That pseudonym was Proud
6 18, and he was banned from Stormfront, I believe, by
7 the Stormfront moderators using that pseudonym.

8 MR. BAHR: How do you spell that word
9 "disputatious"?

10 MR. WARMAN: Oh.
11 D-I-S-P-U-T-A-T-I-O-U-S.

12 MR. BAHR: Thanks.

13 MR. WARMAN: You are welcome.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: From your review of
15 these posts, Mr. Warman, can you tell me approximately
16 when that -- the identifier "Banned" started to appear?
17 This is the first I have noticed it. It is probably
18 not the first it has been --

19 MR. WARMAN: Unfortunately, what
20 would happen is that even if a person, let us say in
21 this case, if you look at Proud 18 it indicates on a
22 first posted -- that he has posted 1688 posts.

23 Unfortunately, to the best of my
24 knowledge when a person is banned it would go back and
25 change that for all of those postings.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I think we
2 learned that a couple of days ago --

3 MR. WARMAN: Right.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- about other
5 matters. So once it is changed it changes back
6 retroactively to the start --

7 MR. WARMAN: Exactly.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thanks.

9 MR. WARMAN: And, in fact, I won't go
10 into the details, but it is my understanding that
11 Mr. Kouba was, in fact, banned a number of times using
12 a number of different pseudonyms.

13 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you now
14 turn to Tab 23.

15 MR. WARMAN: This is a document that
16 was printed off by me on the 25th of May, 2004. It is
17 the second website page of the thread that we just
18 discussed entitled "Re: W.C.F.U. needs web designer".

19 It was downloaded by me or printed
20 off by me and provided to the Commission pursuant to my
21 complaint.

22 MS WARSAME: Is this document printed
23 on the 25th of May, 2004 --

24 MR. WARMAN: Exactly.

25 MS WARSAME: I would like to file

1 this document.

2 THE REGISTRAR: Four-page document of
3 a thread found on the stormfront.org website entitled
4 "W.C.F.U. needs web designer" will be filed as
5 Commission Exhibit HR-42.

6 EXHIBIT NO. HR-42: Four-page
7 document of a thread found on
8 the stormfront.org website
9 entitled "W.C.F.U. needs web
10 designer"

11 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like
12 to direct your attention to the first posting at page 1
13 by SS-88. What can you tell us about that posting?

14 MR. WARMAN: Again, it is a post by
15 SS-88. It states -- it is a continuation of the
16 discussion. It states:

17 "Thankx everyone for your
18 support but Toth has donated a
19 CMS and is modifying it for our
20 use. I will then program in the
21 pics and add the content. Thanx
22 Toth!"

23 If you turn to page 2. After further
24 discussion of the issue SS-88 again responds saying:

25 "Thankx everyone but I have it

1 under control. These things
2 don't happen overnight. I'm
3 working on the site and it will
4 be up soon. Be patient theres a
5 lot involved in a big site."

6 And I believe that those postings are
7 important because it reinforces the fact that it was
8 SS-88 who had control over the WCFU website.

9 MS WARSAME: Thank you, Mr. Warman.
10 Can you now turn to Tab 24. Are you
11 familiar with this document?

12 MR. WARMAN: I am.

13 MS WARSAME: Did you print it?

14 MR. WARMAN: I did. It was printed
15 off by me on the 5th of February, 2005. It is a
16 printoff from stormfront.org. The thread is entitled
17 "WCFU online!", and it was printed off by me and
18 provided to the Commission as part of -- pursuant to my
19 complaint.

20 MS WARSAME: I would like to file
21 this document too.

22 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of a thread
23 found on the stormfront.org website entitled "WCFU
24 Online!" will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-43.

25 EXHIBIT NO. HR-43: A copy of a

1 thread found on the
2 stormfront.org website entitled
3 "WCFU Online!"

4 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like
5 to direct your attention to the posting by SS-88.
6 What can you tell us about that
7 posting?

8 MR. WARMAN: SS-88 posting under the
9 heading "WCFU Online" states:

10 "Our website is now active."
11 There is a smiley face at the
12 beginning of that.

13 "I'm still adding content etc
14 but please come stop by and take
15 part. Thanks to Melissa from
16 CHA", meaning Melissa Guille
17 from the Canadian Heritage
18 Alliance "for donating us
19 hosting and for the EXCELLENT
20 tech support."

21 It then gives the URL link to the
22 WCFU.com website.

23 And I think that is important because
24 once again it shows that it is, in fact, SS-88 or
25 Mr. Bahr who has control of the website.

1 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, if it might
2 please the tribunal, can we take a break for 5 minutes?

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

4 --- Adjourned

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Welcome back. Be
6 seated.

7 And carry on, counsel.

8 MS WARSAME: Sure.

9 Mr. Warman, can you now turn to Tab
10 25 please. Are you familiar with this document?

11 MR. WARMAN: I am. It is a document
12 that was printed off by me on the 18th of March, 2004
13 from the Western Canada For Us website. It is part of
14 a forum thread, and the thread is entitled
15 "Homosexuals".

16 It was printed off and provided to
17 the Commission by me pursuant to my complaint.

18 MS WARSAME: I would like to file
19 this document please.

20 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of the thread
21 found on Western Canada For Us website entitled
22 "Homosexuals" will be filed as Commission Exhibit
23 HR-44.

24 EXHIBIT NO. HR-44: Copy of the
25 thread found on Western Canada

1 For Us website entitled
2 "Homosexuals"

3 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, before we
4 start with this document, can you tell us if you know
5 who is WhiteEuroCanadian?

6 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

7 Pursuant to my observation of the
8 group's formation and also the interactions that
9 occurred, it was my observation that WhiteEuroCanadian
10 was Peter Kouba K-O-U-B-A, the same individual who was
11 posting on Stormfront under the pseudonym Proud 18.

12 You will note the testimony, I
13 believe, has shown that Mr. Kouba and Mr. Bahr were, in
14 essence, the main founders of the group Western Canada
15 For Us.

16 You will note at the top of the
17 middle section just under the "Homosexuals" the
18 moderators are listed as SS-88, Hapmaladroid and
19 WhiteEuroCanadian; and I believe that that is
20 reflective of the fact that Mr. Kouba and Mr. Bahr were
21 two of the main founders or, if not, the two main
22 founders.

23 MS WARSAME: Is there anything in
24 this document you would like the tribunal to know?

25 MR. WARMAN: Yes. This -- this is a

1 section, as I mentioned, from the Western Canada For Us
2 forum. The WhiteEuroCanadian begins the thread on
3 homosexuals.

4 In discussing homosexuals he begins:

5 "These are not human beings,
6 these are sexual perverts in the
7 same category as pedophiles,
8 bestiality, S&M, etc."

9 S&M referring, I could only presume,
10 to sadomasochism and masochism.

11 "Why in the world of descent
12 morals" --

13 I presume that is supposed to be
14 decent morals --

15 "must the majority of the
16 population be subjected to
17 sexual deviants like
18 homosexuals? It is a SEX thing.
19 Why give them special status
20 that normal Canadians enjoy?

21 Just because they choose a
22 perverted lifestyle, we should
23 not be forced by our Government
24 to accept it.

25 What is the next sexual

1 perverted vote our politicians
2 will go after next?"

3 MR. BAHR: Madam, I state my
4 objection to this because I think we have already heard
5 this, and I think the discussion is, again, going away
6 from where it was alleged that I posted as we talked
7 about before, and I don't see how his rants, whatever
8 you want to call them --

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Bahr, just to
10 be clear, that this morning when we were talking about
11 the posts made by you that was in our discussion with
12 respect to the relevance of the question that Mr. Fromm
13 was wanting to ask Sergeant Camp.

14 MR. BAHR: Okay.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: And they were clear
16 in saying with respect to your complaint, the complaint
17 that you are a respondent to, they will only in the
18 discussion form be dealing with your stuff; but in
19 respect of WCFU they will be dealing with the larger
20 umbrella of postings, and that is part of the reason
21 why we are going to be allowing some questions to be
22 asked of Sergeant Camp.

23 MR. BAHR: Thank you.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: So just so you
25 know.

1 But I agree with you. It seems to me
2 that we have been through this one before. So, you
3 know, avoid repetition as much as can you with
4 Mr. Camp.

5 MR. WARMAN: Certainly.

6 So to the extent then that this
7 simply demonstrates the fact that this a downloaded
8 copy that I myself observed of this material on the
9 website on the 18th of March, 2004.

10 MS WARSAME: Can you please for the
11 record tell which website you are talking about?

12 MR. WARMAN: Excuse me.

13 Westerncanadaforum.com, and you will
14 note that in the URL indicated at the bottom left
15 corner of the printed-off copies.

16 MS WARSAME: Can you now turn please
17 to Tab 26.

18 Did you print this document at Tab
19 26?

20 MR. WARMAN: I did as a matter of
21 fact. I printed it off on the 28th of April in 2004 --

22 MS WARSAME: Excuse me, Madam Chair.
23 I believe we haven't asked to file Tab 25.

24 MR. WARMAN: I believe it was HR-44.

25 MS WARSAME: Sorry. Sorry. Continue.

1 MR. WARMAN: This was downloaded by
2 me from the Western Canada For Us website. It is a
3 thread on the forum, and it is entitled "Letters to the
4 Winnipeg Sun Printed Today", and I provided that to the
5 Commission pursuant to my complaint.

6 MS WARSAME: And I understand you
7 printed on the date on the bottom of the page?

8 MR. WARMAN: Yes. The 28th of April,
9 2004.

10 MS WARSAME: I would like to file
11 this document.

12 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of the thread
13 found at Western Canada For Us website entitled
14 "Letters to the Winnipeg Sun Printed Today" will be
15 filed as Commission Exhibit HR-45.

16 EXHIBIT NO. HR-45: Copy of the
17 thread found at Western Canada
18 For Us website entitled "Letters
19 to the Winnipeg Sun Printed
20 Today"

21 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you tell
22 the tribunal what do you find important in this
23 document?

24 MR. WARMAN: This is a thread out of
25 Western Canada For Us forum, and it is titled "Letters

1 to the Winnipeg Sun Printed Today". The first posting
2 in this thread is by Glenn G-L-E-N-N who Sergeant Camp
3 has already identified he believed it was, in fact,
4 Mr. Bahr. That is my belief as well. It is posted on
5 Tuesday, April 27th of 2004.

6 And what this is essentially is it is
7 a response to an article that appeared in a Winnipeg
8 Sun following the debacle of an individual named Jamie
9 Murphy's attempt to begin a chapter there.

10 He attempted to hold a demonstration
11 or a -- sort of an open meeting, I guess, in a local
12 park in Winnipeg.

13 He was met with dozens of anti-racist
14 youth who essentially made it quite clear that, you
15 know, sort of young people in Winnipeg would not
16 tolerate this kind of organizing.

17 He didn't end up having anybody show
18 up to join his group that he had tried to promote and
19 advertised as the Winnipeg chapter of Western Canada
20 For Us.

21 So a columnist for the Winnipeg Sun
22 named Tom Brodbeck writes an article on April 24th, and
23 what he describes is essentially that sort of, you
24 know, ignorance he can handle but that stupidity he
25 can't, and he describes WCFU's efforts in general as

1 being just simply stupid; that they are, in effect, a
2 gang of white supremacist Holocaust-deniers based on
3 the literature that he viewed on the website.

4 So what this is is this is a response
5 to that article by Mr. Brodbeck that appeared in the
6 Winnipeg Sun. It begins "Racist tag undeserved".

7 "Supreme stupidity by Tom
8 Brodbeck (April 24) is full of
9 errors. Brodbeck writes,
10 'Western Canada For Us -- a
11 white supremacist,
12 Holocaust-denier group -- is
13 apparently trying to open a
14 chapter in Manitoba.'"

15 The letter states:

16 "Western Canada For Us is
17 neither a white supremacist
18 group nor Holocaust-denier
19 group.

20 If Brodbeck had done any
21 research or contacted Jaimie",
22 meaning Jamie Murphy, the
23 individual in Winnipeg, "or
24 myself, he would have learned
25 this. Western Canada supports

1 free speech, we want to preserve
2 our ancestors' history and
3 heritage.

4 Yes, we do support Ernst
5 Zundel because he is a political
6 prisoner, jailed only for the
7 non-violent invalid of his
8 political views. Mr. Zundel has
9 never been charged, must less
10 convicted, of any act of
11 violation.

12 WCFU opposes the continued
13 detention of Ernst Zundel.

14 We also think the secret
15 hearings that have formed much
16 of the case against Zundel are
17 'un-Canadian'.

18 Brodbeck's article supports
19 our views that being proud of
20 one's 'white race' will always
21 be called racist.

22 Because we are trying to
23 preserve our Canadian and
24 European history we are labeled
25 'Nazis' and 'white

1 supremacists'.

2 Brodbeck's article is
3 nothing more than an
4 unprofessional rant. I thought
5 journalists were supposed to
6 present the facts to the
7 public!"

8 Signed Glenn Bahr, President and
9 Founder WCFU Alberta Chapter.

10 This is important to me because -- I
11 believe it speaks for itself. It indicates Mr. Bahr
12 posting as Glenn on the WCFU forum. Confirms that he
13 is, in fact, the president and founder of WCFU and the
14 Alberta chapter.

15 MS WARSAME: I would like now ask you
16 to turn to page 2.

17 MR. WARMAN: Sorry, was that entered?

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

19 MR. WARMAN: HR?

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: 45.

21 MR. WARMAN: Thank you.

22 MS WARSAME: Page 2 of the same
23 document.

24 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

25 MS WARSAME: There is a posting on

1 the bottom by Exterminance.

2 MR. WARMAN: Yes. On Tuesday, April
3 27, 2004, Exterminance posts:

4 "Heather Graham Scott seems
5 blissfully unaware of the fact
6 that the 'First Nations' people
7 are in fact immigrants from
8 Mongolia."

9 He goes on to state:

10 "America is White by right of
11 conquest. The 'First Nations'
12 folks should be happy that we
13 weren't smart enough to
14 eradicate indicate them in
15 entirety at the time of
16 conquest."

17 The inter-linkages with other
18 individuals involved within the white supremacist and
19 neo-Nazi movement are readily apparent here.

20 Exterminance posts a picture of
21 himself. From monitoring this type of activity in
22 Canada Exterminance is easily identifiable as an
23 individual named Bill Nobel previously living in
24 British Columbia but now I believe to be living here in
25 Edmonton.

1 Mr. Nobel has recently been charged
2 under Section 319 as well of the Criminal Code for the
3 willful promotion of hatred.

4 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I would like
5 to take you back to Tab 1 which is HR-23.

6 MR. WARMAN: I'm sorry?

7 MS WARSAME: Tab 1, HR-23. If you go
8 to page 2 HR-23, which is your complaint form?

9 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Sorry.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry, I think
11 I might have made a mistake here.

12 I have Tab 1 HR-21 being the
13 complaint. Is that where I am going?

14 MS WARSAME: Sorry, it is my mistake.
15 It is 21.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thanks.

17 MR. WARMAN: I'm sorry, yes.

18 MS WARSAME: I would like you to go
19 to page 2 of your complaint.

20 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

21 MS WARSAME: The bottom of page 2 you
22 are talking about Nazi and neo-Nazi literature.

23 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

24 MS WARSAME: And you mention that you
25 download a list showing the files.

1 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

2 MS WARSAME: That were available.

3 Did you provide that to the

4 Commission?

5 MR. WARMAN: I did, in fact. I
6 provided a CD copy of images of the pages from WCFU
7 website where it showed that those documents, those
8 electronic documents, were available for download; and
9 in addition I provided pdf copies that I personally
10 downloaded from the WCFU website myself.

11 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

12 MR. WARMAN: On the dates that are
13 indicated in my complaint.

14 MS WARSAME: If you go to Tab 27.

15 MR. WARMAN: Yes, ma'am.

16 MS WARSAME: There is a CD-ROM.

17 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

18 MS WARSAME: Can you view that CD-ROM
19 and tell us if that is the CD-ROM you provided to the
20 Commission?

21 MR. WARMAN: With your permission I
22 will go to the computer.

23 Thank you.

24 Yes. This does look to be the same
25 documents, electronic documents, that I provided to the

1 Commission pursuant to my complaint.

2 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
3 like to file Tab 27, the CD-ROM Tab 27?

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: It is one CD-ROM
5 and then an index? Is that the little piece of paper
6 beside it?

7 MS WARSAME: I think there is a
8 printout of what is in the CD-ROM.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

10 MS WARSAME: Can you review that
11 please, Mr. Warman?

12 MR. WARMAN: Yes. That is an
13 accurate reflection of what I provided to the
14 Commission.

15 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of the CD-ROM
16 entitled "Warman v. Bahr & Western Canada For Us 8
17 June, 2004. 1) complaint; 2) Evidence Downloaded Texts
18 & Forum (WCFU) Materials" will be filed as Commission
19 Exhibit HR-46.

20 EXHIBIT NO. HR-46: Copy of the
21 CD-ROM entitled "Warman v. Bahr
22 & Western Canada For Us 8 June,
23 2004. 1) Complaint; 2) Evidence
24 downloaded texts & forum (WCFU)
25 materials"

1 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I don't
2 think it is necessary to file the content of the
3 CD-ROM. We will be able to explain that as a separate
4 exhibit because --

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: I agree, yes. I
6 was just trying to identify for myself what it was.

7 Thank you.

8 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

9 Mr. Warman, what can you tell us
10 about the contents of this CD-ROM?

11 MR. WARMAN: What this is is a list
12 of -- a series of pdf files that are copies of the
13 electronic works that I personally downloaded from the
14 WCFU website; and what these three items are is -- are
15 individual snapshots of the WCFU website that contain
16 the links that provided me the electronic documents.

17 With your permission I will open the
18 first one.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure.

20 MR. WARMAN: So as you will see, this
21 was downloaded by me on the 19th of March, 2004. All
22 it is is a snapshot of the web page that was on the
23 WCFU website.

24 So this is a list of the files that
25 were available for download. The name is on the

1 left-hand side. The date that they were last modified
2 on the WCFU website is listed here. Their electronic
3 size here.

4 So under Parent Directory the first
5 thing you will note is that 88 precepts.pdf is
6 described, and that was described by Sergeant Camp in
7 his testimony.

8 If you go down what you will also see
9 is a number of other works that were available. The
10 first one is Mein Kampf that was available on a pdf
11 format. The next is Silent Jew in a pdf format. Then
12 The International Jew in a pdf format. Turner Diaries
13 in pdf format and White Power in pdf format.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: I notice the other
15 files are JPG. That usually stands for -- usually
16 means pictures. Are the rest of them pictures?

17 MR. WARMAN: Yes. What they were was
18 essentially pictures of World War II era and Nazi
19 postcards. Memorabilia if you will.

20 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you
21 explain for the record which document you click on to
22 get that information?

23 MR. WARMAN: I am sorry, can you
24 specify which information --

25 MS WARSAME: Yes.

1 If you go back, just for the record,
2 can you explain which --

3 MR. WARMAN: Sorry. So what I
4 clicked on there was a document entitled "wcfu
5 downloads - full list of files 19 March 2004", sizes
6 5.23 kilobytes and the date modified was March 19, 2004
7 at 3:36 p.m.

8 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

9 MR. WARMAN: So these were
10 hyperlinks, and by clicking on them it would take
11 you -- you will note the link for mein kampf.pdf is
12 seen at the bottom of the page, and it is clearly
13 indicated as being available on the Western Canada For
14 Us website. Same with The Silent Jew, The
15 International Jew, The Turner Diaries, White Power, as
16 well as the 88 precepts.

17 And for the record I say that because
18 at the bottom whenever I move the cursor over the
19 hyperlink that is available for those documents on the
20 page, at the bottom of the page shown in the hearing
21 room, the URL link for those comes up, and the base of
22 the URL link is www.westerncanadaforum.com, and then
23 the subsequent letters and numbers vary depending on
24 the title of the document.

25 If I may?

1 MS WARSAME: Sure.

2 MR. WARMAN: What I will do is I will
3 show you the next document, which is entitled "wcfu
4 downloads - The International" shortened to "Int'l"
5 Jew. Last modified March 19, 2004, 3:35 p.m., and the
6 size is 23.8 kilobytes.

7 So by clicking on that what that
8 brings up is a picture of what you would get if you
9 tried to click on "mein kampf" from the last page.

10 So what happens is this page would
11 come up, and all of the actual images haven't been
12 saved for whatever reason, but what it shows is, again,
13 that it is a page on Western Canada For Us website, and
14 then by clicking there what happened was that The
15 International Jew authored by Henry Ford was available
16 for download, and, again, the actual URL at which that
17 document would then appear is shown at the bottom of
18 the screen in the hearing room, and the base URL is,
19 again, westerncanadaforum.com.

20 What I would like to do now is show
21 what would have happened if you had clicked on "white
22 power" or "mein kampf" on the first page that I showed.
23 It is entitled "wcfu downloads - white power and mein
24 kampf". Last modified March 19, 2004, 3:36 p.m. 25.4
25 kilobytes.

1 So what happens is it brings up a
2 page similar to the last one that was shown, and,
3 again, if you scroll down, at the very bottom it shows
4 Western Canada For Us is the website that they are
5 available on, and by scrolling over the title "White
6 Power" and "Mein Kampf" it brings up the fact that
7 these were available as electronic downloads, White
8 Power being by George Lincoln Rockwell, and Mein Kampf
9 by Adolf Hitler.

10 Again, by scrolling over the specific
11 titles, the actual URL that would give you those
12 documents is shown at the bottom of the screen.

13 If I may, what I would like to do,
14 Madam Warsame, is simply take you to the pdf versions
15 of those documents, if I may, that were personally
16 downloaded by me.

17 MS WARSAME: Sure.

18 MR. WARMAN: So starting with, not in
19 any particular order, White Power.

20 This is a pdf copy, Madam Chair, of
21 the document that I personally downloaded from the
22 website. It is entitled "White Power" saved 19 March
23 2004. The description of it indicates that it was
24 downloaded at 3:26 p.m. and the size is indicated at
25 766 kilobytes.

1 So by clicking on the icon for that
2 document what happens is that White Power in electronic
3 format the book by George Lincoln Rockwell appears in
4 its full text.

5 By moving now to the document
6 described by Sergeant Camp yesterday, 88 precepts by
7 David Lane, again, this was downloaded by me, Madam
8 Chair, on the 19th of March, 2004, at 3:33 p.m. The
9 size of the pdf file is just indicated as 20.5
10 kilobytes, and by clicking on that electronic full
11 version of 88 precepts was available for downloading.
12 Just show it all the way down to 88.

13 Madam Warsame, the next document that
14 I downloaded an electronic version of was The
15 International Jew by Henry Ford. Again, that has been
16 canvassed by Sergeant Camp so I won't go into it. It
17 was downloaded by me on the 19th of March, 2004 at 3:29
18 p.m., and it is fairly large. The size is 2.42
19 megabytes.

20 So by clicking on that document what
21 we get is the same as the excerpts that were tendered
22 by Sergeant Camp. We see that the entire version of
23 The International Jew was, in fact, available for
24 electronic download on the Western Canada For Us
25 website.

1 So then the last document I would
2 like to show is The Turner Diaries. It was saved on
3 the 19th of March, 2004, at 3:28 p.m., and the size is
4 indicated as 374 kilobytes; and once again, by clicking
5 on that it brings us to the fact that The Turner
6 Diaries already described as one of the most horrific
7 works of hate propaganda in existence is available for
8 download in its entirety from the WCFU website.

9 And that is the end of the work.

10 Madam Chair, I believe that this
11 demonstrates that those documents were, in fact,
12 communicated or caused to be communicated by both the
13 group Western Canada For Us and Mr. Bahr as the
14 controller of that website.

15 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, did you
16 provide the CD-ROM to Officer Camp?

17 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I did. I did send
18 him an identical copy of the CD as I submitted to the
19 Commission demonstrating that all of those works were
20 available for download, and the specific works there
21 that I had downloaded those as pdf versions.

22 MS WARSAME: Unless you want to add
23 something else I would like to move to the next tab?

24 MR. WARMAN: No, thank you. That is
25 good.

1 MS WARSAME: Can I draw you now to
2 Tab 28.

3 Mr. Warman, did you provide this
4 document to the Commission?

5 MR. WARMAN: I did as a matter of
6 fact. I downloaded it on the 18th of March, 2004, from
7 the Western Canada For Us website. It is a forum
8 thread entitled "Application".

9 I provided it to the Commission
10 pursuant to my complaint.

11 MS WARSAME: I would like to file
12 this document please.

13 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of a thread
14 found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled
15 "Application" will be filed as Commission Exhibit
16 HR-47.

17 EXHIBIT NO. HR-47: A copy of a
18 thread found on the Western
19 Canada For Us website entitled
20 "Application"

21 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you
22 tell us about this document?

23 MR. WARMAN: Well, as you will note,
24 at the sort of the top of the box just below the main
25 heading the forums -- it gives the links that you had

1 to go through to get to this particular forum.

2 So the initial forum is Western
3 Canada For Us forums, and then the forum that this is a
4 sub-forum of is called "Ethnic Crime Watch", and it
5 came as no surprise to me that nothing under that
6 heading that I witnessed ever described white crime.
7 It was always dedicated towards the actions of members
8 of racial minorities.

9 What this is is this is a post by
10 Tower DB. It's called "Application". Posted on
11 Sunday, March 14, 2004, Tower DB states:

12 "Not sure where to post this, so
13 I'm gonna post it here. I also
14 tried to post it on storm"
15 meaning Stormfront I assume,
16 "but never worked".

17 And essentially it is described as
18 being an "Application to be a Indian", and what it is
19 it is a variety of sort of check-off categories that is
20 just extremely disdainful and disparaging of First
21 Nations people.

22 The initial heading under the
23 "Application to be a Indian" states "Department of
24 Indian & Unimportant Affairs". People are asked to
25 give their name and dates. It then lists address, (if

1 living in an automobile please give the make, model and
2 license number) implying that many First Nations people
3 live in automobiles.

4 It then misspells the heading "Name
5 of Mudder" M-U-D-D-E-R, name of Fudder F-U-D-D-E-R,
6 implying to my -- certainly to my perception that First
7 Nations people are of low intelligence and cannot
8 properly pronounce or spell "mother" or "father".

9 It then asks for the person applying
10 to be a Native -- to be an Indian to check the
11 automobile that they own, and it says:

12 "If the auto is finananced",
13 being financed I presume, "what
14 is the repossession date",

15 implying that First Nations people
16 are unable to properly budget for their financial
17 affairs, to insure that their vehicles are not
18 repossessed.

19 It states:

20 "Color of car - Blue, Pink,
21 Multi, Primer, Other."

22 Again, the use of something like
23 primer implies to me that they are insinuating that
24 First Nations people do not take proper care of their
25 vehicles, and, in fact, what they are driving around in

1 are basically, to use their vernacular, junk heaps.

2 And then the next heading is
3 "Approximate estimate of Income", and the only
4 categories provided under "income" that people could
5 fill in are Welfare, UIC meaning unemployment
6 insurance, theft and beer bottles.

7 Again, this is just clearly
8 disparaging of the First Nations community and implies
9 that those are the only possible sources of income that
10 they might have.

11 There is also a clear indication
12 under the heading of "Beer Bottles" that First Nations
13 people are chronic or inveterate alcohol abusers.

14 Place of birth. Again, the only
15 options given are hospitals, back alley, ditch or back
16 of car.

17 Tools or machines you can operate are
18 listed as crowbar, pinball, knife, bingo dabber, match,
19 TV remote, slot machine or other, implying that those
20 are the only possible tools that a First Nations person
21 might be able to operate.

22 Then there is a heading:

23 "Check illnesses you had the
24 last year.

25 Vanilla Poisoning, Alcohol Poisoning,

1 V.D., Lung Burns (due to gasoline inhalation), Other.

2 You know, it speaks for itself in
3 terms of what kind of hatred and contempt that promotes
4 of the First Nations community.

5 "Number of children". The
6 options are 1st wife, 2nd wife,
7 3rd wife, neighbor's wife and
8 then shackups.

9 "Education". The only possible
10 options are listed as 1st Grade,
11 2nd Grade, 3rd Grade or none.

12 The next heading is entitled "Have
13 you ever been arrested?" The options are "yes" and
14 "no", and states "If no, explain". This is a clear
15 indication of the belief that the First Nations
16 community is almost universally criminally inclined.

17 The next heading is "How many
18 refrigerators and junk cars are in your front yard?"

19 The next heading and last one is:

20 "Other than living for free and
21 drinking all the taxpayers money
22 away, what is your greatest goal
23 in life beside nothing?"

24 And I think that really sums up in
25 terms of, personally from my perspective, the fact that

1 this entire document is meant to disparage and promote
2 hatred and contempt of the First Nations community.

3 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you now
4 turn to Tab 29.

5 Mr. Warman, if you go back to Tab 28,
6 which is HR-47, first page under "Application".

7 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

8 MS WARSAME: There is a term
9 "Moderators", and you have SS-88.

10 MR. WARMAN: Yes. Hapmaladroid and
11 WhiteEuroCanadian.

12 MS WARSAME: And then if you go back
13 to 26, Tab 26, which is HR-45, under "Glenn" you say
14 "head administrator"?

15 MR. WARMAN: Yes, that is correct.

16 MS WARSAME: Can you please explain
17 the difference if you know, between a moderator and
18 head administrator?

19 MR. WARMAN: Sure.

20 Essentially what moderators can do
21 usually, and again, this is just generic, usually in
22 forums is that they are responsible for monitoring the
23 content of forum postings to insure the application of
24 whatever, you know, rules of conduct may exist in the
25 forum.

1 And when someone is either the head
2 admin or also the head admin, to the best of my
3 understanding that implies that they have much greater
4 powers in terms of the website, that they can, in
5 fact -- I mean, usually you would be able to change
6 actual content on the website, and not just in the
7 forum, but you are sort of the super-moderator, if you
8 will, and they would also, to the best of my knowledge,
9 have the ability to add or to delete moderators.

10 MS WARSAME: Okay. Now if you go
11 back Tab 28 HR-27, under thread "Application" you have
12 Moderator as SS-88?

13 MR. WARMAN: Yes. There are three
14 moderators listed there: SS-88, Hapmaladroid and
15 WhiteEuroCanadian.

16 MS WARSAME: So what is your
17 understanding about the moderator on top underneath the
18 thread?

19 MR. WARMAN: My understanding is that
20 all three of those individuals are moderators for this
21 website, and that they would have the ability to remove
22 this content if they so desire.

23 MS WARSAME: Thank you.

24 Can you now turn to Tab 29. Did you
25 print this document at Tab 29?

1 MR. WARMAN: I did. I printed it off
2 on the 26th of April 2004 from the Winnipeg CBC
3 website, and it is a document entitled "Group attracts
4 police, protestors - but not members", and I provided
5 it to the Commission in furtherance of my complaint.

6 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
7 like to file this document at Tab 29.

8 THE REGISTRAR: Article found on the
9 Winnipeg CBC website entitled "Group attacks police,
10 protestors - but not members" will be marked as
11 Commission Exhibit HR-48.

12 EXHIBIT NO. HR-48: Article
13 found on the Winnipeg CBC
14 website entitled "Group attacks
15 police, protestors - but not
16 members"

17 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you
18 tell us about this document?

19 MR. WARMAN: I -- what I would do is
20 refer back to Tab 26 HR-45, and this is in general
21 related to the same incident, if you will. It was the
22 attempted expansion by Western Canada For Us into
23 Manitoba by their Winnipeg representative Jamie Murphy.

24 And what HR-48 at 29 is is the CBC's
25 report of what I described previously, the attempt by

1 Mr. Murphy to go to Assiniboine Park in Winnipeg. He
2 had tried to advertise this around the internet as sort
3 of come out, find out more information and join our
4 group Western Canada For Us. He was, you know,
5 essentially establishing a chapter in Manitoba that he
6 was to be the head of.

7 So here the CBC report says:

8 "A group that says it wants to
9 bring the white race back to its
10 former power" --

11 Sorry, this was downloaded again on
12 the 26th and the article says it was last updated 26
13 April, 2004.

14 "A group that says it wants to
15 bring the white race back to its
16 former power failed to recruit
17 any new members in Winnipeg on
18 the weekend.

19 Western Canada For Us has
20 been active mostly in Alberta,
21 where they are vocal supporters
22 of Holocaust denier Ernst
23 Zundel. Until CBC began
24 reporting on the organization
25 last week, its website offered

1 downloads of Mein Kampf and
2 other Nazi materials.

3 Winnipegger Jamie Murphy
4 hoped people interested in
5 forming a Manitoba chapter would
6 attend a rally this weekend in
7 Assiniboine Park. Instead of
8 new members, he was met by about
9 20 anti-racist activists and
10 members of the media, with
11 Winnipeg police officers
12 hovering nearby.

13 Neatly dressed in polished
14 boots, a shirt and tie and a
15 military flight jacket, Murphy
16 stayed cool when activists told
17 them what they thought of him.
18 When it was clear that no one
19 was showing up to join his
20 organization, he expressed his
21 disappointment.

22 'I was hoping that there
23 would be more support, but maybe
24 Winnipeg isn't the market for
25 it - maybe Winnipeg isn't the

1 kind of place that embraces that
2 kind of thing,' he says.

3 Anti-racist activist
4 Helmut-Harry Loewen says things
5 couldn't have turned out better.

6 'I'm very happy that very
7 little support has been shown
8 for Jamie Murphy and this
9 Western Canada For Us
10 organization here,' he says.

11 Murphy maintains that he is
12 interested only in promoting
13 white pride, and not interested
14 in promoting racial hatred."

15 So the importance of this document, I
16 believe, certainly to me is that it shows that Western
17 Canada For Us constitutes a group of persons acting in
18 concert both in sort of real life and on the internet.

19 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, I will ask
20 you now to turn to Tab 30, the next tab?

21 MR. WARMAN: Yes.

22 MS WARSAME: Did you provide this
23 document to the Commission?

24 MR. WARMAN: I did. Just give me a
25 second. I am just trying to -- yes.

1 I printed this document off on the
2 3rd of May 2004. It is a thread from the Western
3 Canada For Us website, and the thread is entitled "My
4 resignation letter", and I submitted it to the
5 Commission pursuant to my complaint.

6 MS WARSAME: There is handwritten
7 notes next to the thread. Is that your handwriting
8 notes?

9 MR. WARMAN: It is.

10 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
11 like to file this document.

12 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of a thread
13 found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled "My
14 resignation" will be filed as Commission Exhibit HR-49.

15 EXHIBIT NO. HR-49: A copy of a
16 thread found on the Western
17 Canada For Us website entitled
18 "My resignation"

19 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, do you know
20 who is Irishcream?

21 MR. WARMAN: Yes, I do. I had
22 certainly identified him previously, and to the best of
23 my understanding his name was Jamie Murphy, and, in
24 fact, Mr. Murphy signs the letter that he has posted to
25 the website himself at the bottom of it as Jamie

1 Murphy.

2 MS WARSAME: What can you tell us
3 about this posting?

4 MR. WARMAN: This is a thread
5 entitled "My resignation letter". It is under the
6 Manitoba chapter.

7 Essentially what it is is it is
8 Mr. Murphy submitting his resignation as WCFU's
9 representative in Manitoba. He states:

10 "Today I was faced with a
11 decision I didn't want to have
12 to make.

13 I think, if nothing else, this shows
14 that women are often sort of the better half of a lot
15 of marriages, but she says -- he says:

16 "My wife has told me because she
17 is embarrassed by the bad media
18 surrounding myself that she will
19 leave me if I continue to be
20 involved with WCFU and it's and
21 I quote 'racist ties'."

22 Obviously being that as what his wife
23 had described WCFU to him as.

24 "She says that because she has
25 minority friends that she

1 doesn't want for her life to be
2 affected by what I have said on
3 the news."

4 Again, this is following the
5 attempted organization and the attempted meeting in
6 Winnipeg in Assiniboine Park, and Mr. Murphy did, in
7 fact, appear on the news.

8 "I don't think the way she
9 thinks and want to continue with
10 the fight but when it comes to
11 my family, that is one thing I
12 don't want to lose. She seems
13 to believe that we cannot change
14 things and that we are just a
15 bunch of racists. It's really
16 upsetting to see that the media
17 has gotten to my wife and
18 convinced her that no good will
19 come of this.

20 I think that if there was
21 more support for the Manitoba
22 chapter and the goals of our
23 group here, this letter wouldn't
24 have had to be written. I
25 regret having put myself out

1 there just to go back into
2 hiding and resort to living
3 along side those whom take our
4 country for granted and abuse
5 all that we have built for
6 ourselves, but I have no choice.
7 Glenn", whom I presume to be
8 Mr. Bahr, "has been nothing but
9 supportive and a great
10 motivation to what I was trying
11 to achieve and he will achieve
12 great things, but as for myself
13 I think that people have to find
14 out, (in Manitoba) the hard way
15 that the death to their free
16 speech and pride in their
17 heritage is coming.

18 This letter in no way is
19 brought on by anyone involved in
20 WCFU and I wish the best of luck
21 to all of you."

22 Signed Jamie Murphy.

23 MS WARSAME: I would like now to
24 direct your attention to the second page.

25 MR. WARMAN: Actually if I may.

1 I would just note that at the top
2 right underneath where it says "My resignation letter",
3 you have often pointed me to moderators.

4 MS WARSAME: Yes.

5 MR. WARMAN: I would just note that
6 the moderators are now Glenn, Hapmaladroid, Toth,
7 mobil300 and Irish cream; and the reason why I think
8 that is important is that both WhiteEuro Canadian have
9 disappeared and SS-88 have disappeared, and in my
10 submission that is because SS-88 has simply changed his
11 pseudonym to "Glenn", and I will come to the reason why
12 WhiteEuroCanadian is no longer there shortly.

13 So, sorry, you asked me to turn to
14 page 2?

15 MS WARSAME: Yes. The last posting
16 by Glenn?

17 MR. WARMAN: Yes. There is a posting
18 by Glenn. Underneath the heading "Glenn" there is a
19 flag displayed. The flag is what is called the red
20 unisign, and it is the pre Maple Leaf flag of Canada.

21 For reasons that I have never been
22 entirely clear on, a lot of members of the white
23 supremacist and neo-Nazi movement have adopted this as
24 kind of a pre multicultural sort of
25 British-heritage-back-in-the-good-old-white-days-of -Ca

1 nada emblem. It is widely --

2 MR. BAHR: Is that an opinion?

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Bahr.

4 MR. BAHR: I am sorry. I just don't
5 think it is fair to say that everybody that adopts that
6 is neo-Nazi.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: You will have an
8 opportunity to cross-examine.

9 Carry on.

10 MR. WARMAN: I would note that it is
11 promoted heavily by Mr. Fromm's group as a symbol, and
12 that a copy -- and I am sorry, I gave back the photos
13 to you of the items, the flags that were seized in
14 Mr. Bahr's residence when the search warrant was
15 executed.

16 MS WARSAME: HR-11, Madam Chair.

17 MR. WARMAN: Thank you.

18 On the sixth page, page -- it is
19 photographed backwards, but that is the same image that
20 is posted underneath Mr. Bahr's pseudonym on WCFU.

21 So the posting is on Sunday, May 2,
22 2004. Glenn states:

23 "I'm sorry to hear this. I do
24 not have family but I understand
25 they come first. The anti's

1 will see this as a small
2 victory."

3 And then all caps, bold:

4 "BUT LET IT BE KNOWN WCFU WILL
5 NOT FALTER!

6 Despite the negative label
7 the media has given us we are
8 right! WCFU will continue and
9 keep progressing and"

10 all bold, all caps:

11 "WE WILL NEVER GIVE UP THE
12 FIGHT!

13 I hope you keep posting
14 Jamie and I know we'll keep in
15 touch.

16 Good Luck brother!"

17 All bold, all caps:

18 "WCFU FIGHTS ON!"

19 Then, again, I think to me certainly
20 that shows that Mr. Bahr is, in fact, the leader of
21 WCFU and is now after the collapse of the Manitoba
22 chapter attempting to what amounts to rally the troops.

23 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you now
24 turn to Tab 31 please.

25 MR. WARMAN: I personally printed off

1 this document on the 29th of April, 2004. It is the --
2 it is a thread entitled "A question for racists" from
3 the Western Canada For Us website, and I dropped off a
4 copy of it to the Commission offices pursuant to my
5 complaint.

6 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
7 like to file the document at Tab 31.

8 THE REGISTRAR: A copy of the thread
9 found in the Western Canada For Us website entitled
10 "Question for racists" will be filed as Commission
11 Exhibit HR-50.

12 EXHIBIT NO. HR-50: A copy of
13 the thread found in the Western
14 Canada For Us website entitled
15 "Question for racists"

16 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, there is --
17 the first page of that document there is a posting by
18 Glenn. What can you tell us about that posting?

19 MR. WARMAN: It is a posting dated
20 Friday, April 23, 2004. Glenn states:

21 "I don't hate but I believe that
22 we have every right to be proud
23 of our 'white' race without
24 being called a racist. I also
25 believe that Europeans who

1 settled this country should come
2 first before our government
3 spends billions of dollars on
4 fighting crime, unemployment,
5 drugs and welfare because of our
6 lack of immigration laws. I also
7 believe in free speech and being
8 able to express ones opinion. I
9 think there is a Jewish problem
10 and I do not believe all races
11 are equal."

12 Underneath there is the slogan "We
13 pledge our trust and loyalty to each other and our
14 race".

15 Underneath that I think it is
16 important to note in terms of WCFU in general, there is
17 an individual, the individual Bill Nobel, Exterminance,
18 who posts that same day about 45 minutes after Glenn
19 has posted.

20 The second paragraph states:

21 "There are people who hate
22 Blacks. I myself do not. But
23 then, I have never lived near a
24 ghetto. Many of the folks who
25 hate Blacks do live near a

1 ghetto. And many of those folks
2 have been robbed, beaten, or
3 raped, or had a friend or family
4 member robbed, beaten, raped, or
5 murdered by a Black from that
6 ghetto."

7 If you then turn to the second page
8 of this thread, a posting by an individual named Todd
9 Conroy. Todd Conroy posts on Saturday, April 24th. He
10 states:

11 "My passionate and deep rooted
12 LOVE of my Race unfortunately
13 also causes me to hate those who
14 seek to shackle us into the
15 slavery of being the benefactors
16 for races that are too useless
17 to survive solely upon their own
18 merits. I hate those of other
19 races and of our own who seek to
20 have our once great Race blink
21 out of existence through race
22 mixing and other assorted
23 destructive courses plotted by
24 the perfidious Jew."

25 And I think why those posts are

1 important, not just for their self evident hatred
2 towards members of the black community and Jewish
3 community and other non-white races, is the fact that
4 Glenn as the head administrator does nothing to remove
5 those documents.

6 What is clearly the allegation that
7 blacks are almost invariably living in poverty in a
8 ghetto and criminally inclined, and the second posting
9 that argues that other -- that non-white races are too
10 useless to survive solely on their own merits, and that
11 the person hates other races and people of their own
12 race who comport or consort with what he alleges is a
13 destructive course plotted by the perfidious Jew.

14 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you turn
15 to Tab 33.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: We are skipping 32?

17 MS WARSAME: That's correct.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

19 MS WARSAME: Did you print the
20 document at Tab 33?

21 MR. WARMAN: I did. I printed this
22 off on the 30th of April, 2004.

23 It is a printoff of a forum thread
24 called "Battle lines drawn over gay marriage", and it
25 is from the Western Canada For Us website, and, again,

1 I gave this to the Commission pursuant to my complaint.

2 MS WARSAME: I would like to file
3 that document.

4 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of the thread
5 found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled
6 "Battle lines drawn over gay marriage" will be filed as
7 Commission Exhibit HR-51.

8 EXHIBIT NO. HR-51: Copy of the
9 thread found on the Western
10 Canada For Us website entitled
11 "Battle lines drawn over gay
12 marriage"

13 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, if you look
14 at the first page there is a posting by Glenn and
15 underneath that posting it say "head administrator",
16 but if you look on top of that "moderators" you also
17 see "Glenn".

18 MR. WARMAN: Yes. I take those sort
19 of to be one and the same, and it was certainly my
20 belief that that was, in fact, Mr. Bahr.

21 MS WARSAME: Okay. I would like to
22 direct your attention to tab -- to page 3 of 3.

23 MR. WARMAN: I think there are a
24 number things that are important to note. The first is
25 that there is a history of posting, as Sergeant Camp

1 noted, articles about racial, sexual orientation,
2 immigrant issues, and then kind of letting the chips
3 fall where they may knowing, certainly to my mind, it
4 would be difficult not to know that given the types of
5 comments that are appearing on the forum that it is
6 likely to provoke more comments that would be -- that
7 would expose the groups to hatred and contempt.

8 And on page 3 of 3 that is exactly
9 what happens. Glenn posts an article called "Battle
10 lines drawn over gay marriage. Opponents, supporters
11 launch campaigns"; and what happens is that Der
12 totenkopf posts in response:

13 "These 'gays' should be put away
14 in a mental hospital, there a
15 defect! Why would we give
16 'perverts' any rights?"

17 And I think, again, one of the
18 important things to note is that the symbol for Der
19 totenkopf are the words "National", underneath which is
20 the World War II era Nazi swastika and the word
21 "Socialist" underneath it, and that all of this kind of
22 symbolism is present throughout the WCFU forum, and
23 that none of the moderators, including Mr. Bahr whom I
24 allege certainly was in control of the forum, do
25 anything to remove this kind of imagery.

1 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I would
2 like to introduce a new document.

3 I will ask the complainant to
4 identify the document first.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Has that document
6 previously been disclosed to Mr. Fromm?

7 MS WARSAME: Yes.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

9 MR. BAHR: Can I just have a minute
10 to -- what was 33 filed as? Sorry, I...

11 MS WARSAME: There was nothing else
12 after that. It is empty.

13 MR. WARMAN: No, sorry, 33 was filed
14 as HR-51.

15 MS WARSAME: 51, sorry.

16 Madam Chair, maybe if we can put this
17 document at Tab 34.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure. May we enter
19 it first as an exhibit?

20 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, can you
21 please tell us if you print this document?

22 MR. WARMAN: I did. I printed it off
23 on the 20th of April in 2004. It is a thread from the
24 Western Canada For Us website. It is entitled
25 "WhiteEuroCanadian" - all one word with white -- the

1 'W', the second 'E' and the first 'C' all capitalized -
2 "is no longer here", and I provided it to the
3 Commission in furtherance of my complaint as per usual.

4 MS WARSAME: I would like to file
5 this document please.

6 THE REGISTRAR: Copy of the thread
7 found on the Western Canada For Us website entitled
8 "WhiteEuroCanadian is no longer here" will be filed as
9 Commission Exhibit HR-52.

10 EXHIBIT NO. HR-52: Copy of the
11 thread found on the Western
12 Canada For Us website entitled
13 "WhiteEuroCanadian is no longer
14 here"

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: I wonder if anybody
16 has a 3-hole punch.

17 THE REGISTRAR: Yes, I do.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: You are amazing.

19 Thank you for your patience. If I
20 don't do this now I am going to loose it. If anybody
21 else would like to use the punch you are welcome to.

22 So we are putting this at Tab 34?

23 MS WARSAME: Tab 34.

24 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman, what can you
25 tell us about the document at Tab 34 which is now

1 HR-52?

2 MR. WARMAN: Essentially what I
3 observed on Stormfront and in this forum was the
4 development of what was essentially internecine
5 fighting in-between the two co-founders of WCFU
6 in-between Mr. Bahr and Mr. Kouba, Mr. Kouba being
7 WhiteEuroCanadian and Mr. Bahr being SS-88.

8 There was kind of a division in
9 philosophies, if you will. Mr. Kouba was essentially
10 alleging that Mr. Bahr and his circle of WCFU amounted
11 to nothing more than a bunch of neo-Nazi dilettantes
12 who wanted to just kind of hang out, have barbecues and
13 get drunk whereas his belief and vision of WCFU was
14 essentially really strongly focused on Whiteville and
15 the establishment of the all-white homeland in Alberta.

16 So it had become clear that friction
17 was starting to arise in-between Mr. Kouba and Mr. Bahr
18 and his supporters within the group; and ultimately
19 what happens is as a result of that kind of internecine
20 fighting is, what I believe is Mr. Bahr kicks Mr. Kouba
21 out of the group.

22 And what this is is this is a post by
23 SS-88 head administrator dated Friday, March 19, 2004,
24 and it states:

25 "Due to differences between WCFU

1 and WhiteEuroCanadian he is no
2 longer here. Do not believe the
3 lies he is spreading."

4 And essentially that is my
5 understanding of what has transpired is that Mr. Bahr
6 has and the others have decided that Mr. Kouba is no
7 longer welcome within their little group.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Can you remind me
9 when the WCFU site started up? Is it in early March?

10 MR. VIGNA: I don't recall for sure,
11 but I think it was March 8th, but I am not sure. I am
12 relying on my memory.

13 MR. WARMAN: Actually, if I do go
14 back perhaps to the first page -- here we are. So Tab
15 19 HR-40. So this was downloaded by me on the 22nd of
16 February, 2004, and there is the other tab.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: There is the e-mail
18 that says we are up and running, but I can't read the
19 date on that one so...

20 MR. WARMAN: Right.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: It is at Tab 24.

22 MR. WARMAN: So I would imagine that
23 once we get that --

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Once we get those
25 dates filled in --

1 MR. WARMAN: Yeah. That will answer
2 that question perhaps.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

4 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I am almost
5 done. If I can take 2 minutes with my colleague to see
6 if there is...

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course.

8 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, I believe I
9 am almost done, the Commission is almost done with
10 Mr. Warman's evidence.

11 However, I would like to adjourn
12 today and then come back tomorrow morning -- Monday
13 morning if I have any more questions.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: You still have got
15 45 minutes. How about I give you 15. It would be nice
16 to know that Mr. Warman's evidence is concluded, if
17 that is possible. I mean, unless there is a reason why
18 you need the weekend to review the matter, I would
19 prefer that we just get it done.

20 MS WARSAME: Perfect. Then we take
21 15 minutes if possible. Thank you.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thanks.

23 --- Adjourned

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Hello. Be seated.

25 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, before we

1 start, I know you asked a question with respect to WCFU
2 and when the website was online for the first time.

3 If you look at HR-3.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, that is the
5 bundle of e-mails. HR-3, okay.

6 MS WARSAME: Almost at the end there
7 is a posting by SS-88 and the name of the thread is WCF
8 website online.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there like a 4
10 or a 5 or a 1 of something?

11 MS WARSAME: 1 of 5.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: 1 of 5, okay. Here
13 we go. Thanks. You are right. That was March 8,
14 2004. Thank you.

15 MR. WARMAN: Madam Chair, just for
16 greater specificity, I would just note that HR-40,
17 which is at Tab 19, because there was essentially --
18 there was the prototype Western Canada For Us website
19 and then there was the bigger Western Canada For Us
20 website, if you will. So you will note that the date
21 on HR-40 is 22 February, 2004.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, it was a work
23 in progress for a while.

24 MR. WARMAN: Exactly.

25 So if we say, you know, February,

1 early March, I think that is fair.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Okay.

3 Thanks for that.

4 MS WARSAME: Mr. Warman.

5 MR. WARMAN: Yes, Ms Warsame.

6 MS WARSAME: Is there anything else
7 you want to provide to the evidence you provided to the
8 tribunal this afternoon?

9 MR. WARMAN: I think the only other
10 thing that I would mention, Madam Chair, is that in
11 some of the material that there is a term called
12 "racialist" that has been used as sort of a
13 self-descriptor for some of the individuals involved;
14 and just in terms of my observation of this, sort of
15 these movements over the past sort of 20 years or so,
16 when someone uses the term "racialist" usually what
17 they are trying to describe themselves as is saying I
18 am not a racist, I'm a racialist, implying somehow that
19 all I want is for white people to be able to live on
20 their own. I don't want to necessarily harm or destroy
21 people who aren't white, but that is what I want.

22 It is similar to the euphemism of
23 calling one's self a white nationalist or that you are
24 only interested in white pride, and as I described in
25 my opening remarks, sort of the pre-cursor organizing

1 to Western Canada For us, what would ultimately become
2 Western Canada For Us.

3 In August of 2003 around the
4 organization of this white pride rally on the Alberta
5 legislature steps the main organizer who was describing
6 it as a white pride rally, all of his posts on
7 Stormfront were accompanied by an avatar, the little
8 symbol of a swastika, what was clearly a swastika.

9 So that is why essentially I describe
10 terms like "white nationalist", "racialist" or "white
11 pride" as euphemisms, and certainly from my experience
12 and observation of them, I have never met or seen
13 anyone who uses those terms to describe themselves who
14 I would have any doubt in my mind is, in fact,
15 espousing views that are racist, member of the white
16 supremacist or neo-Nazi movements.

17 Just on the basis that as Sergeant
18 Camp testified, when these groups try and put on their
19 happy face out in public, they have to tone down the
20 image, they have to tone down the language a bit if
21 they want to attract middle Canada, if you will,
22 whereas it has been my experience certainly that if you
23 scratch a little bit under the surface of those terms
24 usually the people or the groups involved are, in fact,
25 there is something substantially more to that.

1 MS WARSAME: Madam Chair, the
2 Commission has no more questions for this witness.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Well, we get
4 to go home early on a Friday. It is 20 to 5.

5 Just so I am clear, on Monday morning
6 then we are going to continue the cross-examination of
7 Sergeant Camp, and that will be -- so that is what we
8 are all expecting at that point.

9 Well, have a lovely weekend. Go
10 Oilers, and we will see you on Monday morning.

11 MS WARSAME: Thank you.
12 --- Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 4:40 p.m.
13 to resume on Monday, May 29, 2006
14 at 9:30 a.m.

15


16

17 I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE, to
18 the best of my skill and
19 ability, accurately reported and
20 transcribed the foregoing.

21

22

23



24

Darlene Sirman

25

C.S.R.(A), R.P.R.